Asynchronous Programming with Seastar

Nadav Har'El - nyh@ScyllaDB.com Avi Kivity - avi@ScyllaDB.com

 1 Introduction 1.1 Asynchronous programming 1.2 Seastar 2 Getting started 3 Threads and memory 3.1 Seastar threads 3.2 Seastar memory 4 Introducing futures and continuations 4.1 Ready futures 5 Coroutines 5.1 Exceptions in coroutines 5.2 Concurrency in coroutines 5.3 Breaking up long running computations • 6 Continuations • 6.1 Capturing state in continuations 6.2 Evaluation order considerations (C++14 only) 6.3 Chaining continuations • 7 Handling exceptions 7.1 Exceptions vs. exceptional futures 8 Lifetime management 8.1 Passing ownership to continuation 8.2 Keeping ownership at the caller 8.3 Sharing ownership (reference counting) 8.4 Saving objects on the stack 9 Advanced futures 9.1 Futures and interruption 9.2 Futures are single use 10 Fibers • 11 Loops 11.1 repeat 11.2 do_until 11.3 do_for_each
11.4 parallel_for_each
11.5 max_concurrent_for_each 12 when_all: Waiting for multiple futures 13 Semaphores 13.1 Limiting parallelism with semaphores 13.2 Limiting resource use 13.3 Limiting parallelism of loops 14 Pipes 15 Shutting down a service with a gate
16 Introducing shared-nothing programming 17 More about Seastar's event loop 18 Introducing Seastar's network stack 19 Sharded services 20 Shutting down cleanly 21 Command line options 21.1 Standard Seastar command-line options 21.2 User-defined command-line options
 22 Debugging a Seastar program
 22.1 Debugging ignored exceptions 22.2 Finding where an exception was thrown 22.3 Debugging with gdb 23 Promise objects 24 Memory allocation in Seastar24.1 Per-thread memory allocation 24.2 Foreign pointers 25 Seastar::thread 25.1 Starting and ending a seastar::thread 26 Isolation of application components 26.1 Scheduling groups (CPU scheduler) 26.2 Latency 26.3 Disk I/O scheduler 26.4 Network scheduler 26.5 Controllers

1 Introduction

Seastar, which we introduce in this document, is a C++ library for writing highly efficient complex server applications on modern multi-core machines.

26.6 Multi-tenancy

Traditionally, the programming languages libraries and frameworks used for writing server applications have been divided into two distinct camps: those focusing on efficiency, and those focusing on complexity. Some frameworks are extremely efficient and yet allow building only simple applications (e.g., DPDK allows applications which process packets individually), while other frameworks allow building extremely complex applications, at the cost of run-time efficiency. Seastar is our attempt to get the best of both worlds: To create a library which allows building highly complex server applications, and yet achieve optimal performance.

The inspiration and first use case of Seastar was Scylla, a rewrite of Apache Cassandra. Cassandra is a very complex application, and yet, with Seastar we were able to re-implement it with as much as 10-fold throughput increase, as well as significantly lower and more consistent latencies.

Seastar offers a complete asynchronous programming framework, which uses two concepts - futures and continuations - to uniformly represent, and handle, every type of asynchronous event, including network I/O, disk I/O, and complex combinations of other events.

Since modern multi-core and multi-socket machines have steep penalties for sharing data between cores (atomic instructions, cache line bouncing and memory fences), Seastar programs use the share-nothing programming model, i.e., the available memory is divided between the cores, each core works on data in its

own part of memory, and communication between cores happens via explicit message passing (which itself happens using the SMP's shared memory hardware, of course).

1.1 Asynchronous programming

A server for a network protocol, such as the classic HTTP (Web) or SMTP (e-mail) servers, inherently deals with parallelism: Multiple clients send requests in parallel, and we cannot finish handling one request before starting to handle the next: A request may, and often does, need to block because of various reasons — a full TCP window (i.e., a slow connection), disk I/O, or even the client holding on to an inactive connection — and the server needs to handle other connections as well.

The most straightforward way to handle such parallel connections, employed by classic network servers such as Inetd, Apache Httpd and Sendmail, is to use a separate operating-system process per connection. This technique evolved over the years to improve its performance: At first, a new process was spawned to handle each new connection; Later, a pool of existing processes was kept and each new connection was assigned to an unemployed process from the pool; Finally, the processes were replaced by threads. However, the common idea behind all these implementations is that at each moment, each process handles exclusively a single connection. Therefore, the server code is free to use blocking system calls, such as reading or writing to a connection, or reading from disk, and if this process blocks, all is well because we have many additional processes ready to handle other connections.

Programming a server which uses a process (or a thread) per connection is known as *synchronous* programming, because the code is written linearly, and one line of code starts to run after the previous line finished. For example, the code may read a request from a socket, parse the request, and then piecemeal read a file from disk and write it back to the socket. Such code is easy to write, almost like traditional non-parallel programs. In fact, it's even possible to run an external non-parallel program to handle each request — this is for example how Apache HTTPd ran "CGI" programs, the first implementation of dynamic Web-page generation.

NOTE: although the synchronous server application is written in a linear, non-parallel, fashion, behind the scenes the kernel helps ensure that everything happens in parallel and the machine's resources — CPUs, disk and network — are fully utilized. Beyond the process parallelism (we have multiple processes handling multiple connections in parallel), the kernel may even parallelize the work of one individual connection — for example process an outstanding disk request (e.g., read from a disk file) in parallel with handling the network connection (send buffered-but-yet-unsent data, and buffer newly-received data until the application is ready to read it).

But synchronous, process-per-connection, server programming didn't come without disadvantages and costs. Slowly but surely, server authors realized that starting a new process is slow, context switching is slow, and each process comes with significant overheads — most notably the size of its stack. Server and kernel authors worked hard to mitigate these overheads: They switched from processes to threads, from creating new threads to thread pools, they lowered default stack size of each thread, and increased the virtual memory size to allow more partially-utilized stacks. But still, servers with synchronous designs had unsatisfactory performance, and scaled badly as the number of concurrent connections grew. In 1999, Dan Kigel popularized "the C10K problem", the need of a single server to efficiently handle 10,000 concurrent connections — most of them slow or even inactive.

The solution, which became popular in the following decade, was to abandon the cozy but inefficient synchronous server design, and switch to a new type of server design — the asynchronous, or event-driven, server. An event-driven server has just one thread, or more accurately, one thread per CPU. This single thread runs a tight loop which, at each iteration, checks, using poll() (or the more efficient epoll) for new events on many open file descriptors, e.g., sockets. For example, an event can be a socket becoming readable (new data has arrived from the remote end) or becoming writable (we can send more data on this connection). The application handles this event by doing some non-blocking operations, modifying one or more of the file descriptors, and maintaining its knowledge of the state of this connection.

However, writers of asynchronous server applications faced, and still face today, two significant challenges:

- Complexity: Writing a simple asynchronous server is straightforward. But writing a complex asynchronous server is notoriously difficult. The handling of a single connection, instead of being a simple easy-to-read function call, now involves a large number of small callback functions, and a complex state machine to remember which function needs to be called when each event occurs.
- Non-blocking: Having just one thread per core is important for the performance of the server application, because context switches are slow. However, if we only have one thread per core, the event-handling functions must *never* block, or the core will remain idle. But some existing programming languages and frameworks leave the server author no choice but to use blocking functions, and therefore multiple threads. For example, Cassandra was written as an asynchronous server application; But because disk I/O was implemented with mmaped files, which can uncontrollably block the whole thread when accessed, they are forced to run multiple threads per CPU.

Moreover, when the best possible performance is desired, the server application, and its programming framework, has no choice but to also take the following into account:

- Modern Machines: Modern machines are very different from those of just 10 years ago. They have many cores and deep memory hierarchies (from L1 caches to NUMA) which reward certain programming practices and penalizes others: Unscalable programming practices (such as taking locks) can devastate performance on many cores; Shared memory and lock-free synchronization primitives are available (i.e., atomic operations and memory-ordering fences) but are dramatically slower than operations that involve only data in a single core's cache, and also prevent the application from scaling to many cores.
- Programming Language: High-level languages such Java, Javascript, and similar "modern" languages are convenient, but each comes with its own set of assumptions which conflict with the requirements listed above. These languages, aiming to be portable, also give the programmer less control over the performance of critical code. For really optimal performance, we need a programming language which gives the programmer full control, zero run-time overheads, and on the other hand sophisticated compile-time code generation and optimization.

Seastar is a framework for writing asynchronous server applications which aims to solve all four of the above challenges: It is a framework for writing *complex* asynchronous applications involving both network and disk I/O. The framework's fast path is entirely single-threaded (per core), scalable to many cores and minimizes the use of costly sharing of memory between cores. It is a C++14 library, giving the user sophisticated compile-time features and full control over performance, without run-time overhead.

1.2 Seastar

Seastar is an event-driven framework allowing you to write non-blocking, asynchronous code in a relatively straightforward manner (once understood). Its APIs are based on futures. Seastar utilizes the following concepts to achieve extreme performance:

- Cooperative micro-task scheduler: instead of running threads, each core runs a cooperative task scheduler. Each task is typically very lightweight only running for as long as it takes to process the last I/O operation's result and to submit a new one.
- Share-nothing SMP architecture: each core runs independently of other cores in an SMP system. Memory, data structures, and CPU time are not shared; instead, inter-core communication uses explicit message passing. A Seastar core is often termed a shard. TODO: more here https://github.com/scylladb/seastar/wiki/SMP
- Future based APIs: futures allow you to submit an I/O operation and to chain tasks to be executed on completion of the I/O operation. It is easy to run multiple I/O operations in parallel for example, in response to a request coming from a TCP connection, you can issue multiple disk I/O requests, send messages to other cores on the same system, or send requests to other nodes in the cluster, wait for some or all of the results to complete, aggregate the results, and send a response.
- Share-nothing TCP stack: while Seastar can use the host operating system's TCP stack, it also provides its own high-performance TCP/IP stack built on top of the task scheduler and the share-nothing architecture. The stack provides zero-copy in both directions: you can process data directly from the TCP stack's buffers, and send the contents of your own data structures as part of a message without incurring a copy. Read more...
- DMA-based storage APIs: as with the networking stack, Seastar provides zero-copy storage APIs, allowing you to DMA your data to and from your storage devices.

This tutorial is intended for developers already familiar with the C++ language, and will cover how to use Seastar to create a new application.

TODO: copy text from https://github.com/scylladb/seastar/wiki/SMP https://github.com/scylladb/seastar/wiki/Networking

2 Getting started

The simplest Seastar program is this:

```
#include <seastar/core/app-template.hh>
#include <seastar/core/reactor.hh>
#include <iostream>
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    seastar::app_template app;
    app.run(argc, argv, [] {
        std::cout << "Hello world\n";
        return seastar::make_ready_future<>();
    });
}
```

As we do in this example, each Seastar program must define and run, an app_template object. This object starts the main event loop (the Seastar engine) on one or more CPUs, and then runs the given function - in this case an unnamed function, a lambda - once.

The return make_ready_future<>(); causes the event loop, and the whole application, to exit immediately after printing the "Hello World" message. In a more typical Seastar application, we will want event loop to remain alive and process incoming packets (for example), until explicitly exited. Such applications will return a *future* which determines when to exit the application. We will introduce futures and how to use them below. In any case, the regular C exit() should not be used, because it prevents Seastar or the application from cleaning up appropriately.

As shown in this example, all Seastar functions and types live in the "seastar" namespace. An user can either type this namespace prefix every time, or use shortcuts like "using seastar::app_template" or even "using namespace seastar" to avoid typing this prefix. We generally recommend to use the namespace prefixes seastar and std explicitly, and will will follow this style in all the examples below.

To compile this program, first make sure you have downloaded, built, and optionally installed Seastar, and put the above program in a source file anywhere you want, let's call the file getting-started.cc.

Linux's pkg-config is one way for easily determining the compilation and linking parameters needed for using various libraries - such as Seastar. For example, if Seastar was built in the directory \$SEASTAR but not installed, one can compile getting-started.cc with it using the command:

```
c++ getting-started.cc `pkg-config --cflags --libs --static $SEASTAR/build/release/seastar.pc`
```

The "--static" is needed because currently, Seastar is built as a static library, so we need to tell pkg-config to include its dependencies in the link command (whereas, had Seastar been a shared library, it could have pulled in its own dependencies).

If Seastar was installed, the pkg-config command line is even shorter:

```
c++ getting-started.cc `pkg-config --cflags --libs --static seastar`
```

Alternatively, one can easily build a Seastar program with CMake. Given the following CMakeLists.txt

```
cmake_minimum_required (VERSION 3.5)
project (SeastarExample)
find_package (Seastar REQUIRED)
add_executable (example
    getting-started.cc)
target_link_libraries (example
    PRIVATE Seastar::seastar)
```

you can compile the example with the following commands:

```
$ mkdir build
$ cd build
$ cmake ..
$ make
```

The program now runs as expected:

```
$ ./example
Hello world
$
```

3 Threads and memory

3.1 Seastar threads

As explained in the introduction, Seastar-based programs run a single thread on each CPU. Each of these threads runs its own event loop, known as the engine in Seastar nomenclature. By default, the Seastar application will take over all the available cores, starting one thread per core. We can see this with the following program, printing seastar::smp::count which is the number of started threads:

```
#include <seastar/core/app-template.hh>
#include <seastar/core/reactor.hh>
#include <iostream>

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    seastar::app_template app;
    app.run(argc, argv, [] {
```

```
std::cout << seastar::smp::count << "\n";
    return seastar::make_ready_future<>();
});
}
```

On a machine with 4 hardware threads (two cores, and hyperthreading enabled). Seastar will by default start 4 engine threads:

```
$ ./a.out
4
```

Each of these 4 engine threads will be pinned (a la taskset(1)) to a different hardware thread. Note how, as we mentioned above, the app's initialization function is run only on one thread, so we see the ouput "4" only once. Later in the tutorial we'll see how to make use of all threads.

The user can pass a command line parameter, -c, to tell Seastar to start fewer threads than the available number of hardware threads. For example, to start Seastar on only 2 threads, the user can do:

```
$ ./a.out -c2
2
```

When the machine is configured as in the example above - two cores with two hyperthreads on each - and only two threads are requested, Seastar ensures that each thread is pinned to a different core, and we don't get the two threads competing as hyperthreads of the same core (which would, of course, damage performance).

We cannot start more threads than the number of hardware threads, as allowing this will be grossly inefficient. Trying it will result in an error:

```
$ ./a.out -c5
Could not initialize seastar: std::runtime_error (insufficient processing units)
```

The error is an exception thrown from app.run, which was caught by seastar itself and turned into a non-zero exit code. Note that catching the exceptions this way does **not** catch exceptions thrown in the application's actual asynchronous code. We will discuss these later in this tutorial.

3.2 Seastar memory

As explained in the introduction, Seastar applications shard their memory. Each thread is preallocated with a large piece of memory (on the same NUMA node it is running on), and uses only that memory for its allocations (such as malloc() or new).

By default, the machine's **entire memory** except a certain reservation left for the OS (defaulting to the maximum of 1.5G or 7% of total memory) is pre-allocated for the application in this manner. This default can be changed by *either* changing the amount reserved for the OS (not used by Seastar) with the --reserve-memory option, or by explicitly giving the amount of memory given to the Seastar application, with the -m option. This amount of memory can be in bytes, or using the units "k", "M", "G" or "T". These units use the power-of-two values: "M" is a **mebibyte**, 2^20 (=1,048,576) bytes, not a **megabyte** (10^6 or 1,000,000 bytes).

Trying to give Seastar more memory than physical memory immediately fails:

```
$ ./a.out -m10T
Couldn't start application: std::runtime_error (insufficient physical memory)
```

4 Introducing futures and continuations

Futures and continuations, which we will introduce now, are the building blocks of asynchronous programming in Seastar. Their strength lies in the ease of composing them together into a large, complex, asynchronous program, while keeping the code fairly readable and understandable.

A future is a result of a computation that may not be available yet. Examples include:

- a data buffer that we are reading from the network
- the expiration of a timer
- the completion of a disk write
- · the result of a computation that requires the values from one or more other futures.

The type future<int> variable holds an int that will eventually be available - at this point might already be available, or might not be available yet. The method available() tests if a value is already available, and the method get() gets the value. The type future<> indicates something which will eventually complete, but not return any value.

A future is usually returned by an **asynchronous function**, a function which returns a future and arranges for this future to be eventually resolved. Because asynchronous functions *promise* to eventually resolve the future which they returned, asynchronous functions are sometimes called "promises"; But we will avoid this term because it tends to confuse more than it explains.

One simple example of an asynchronous function is Seastar's function sleep():

```
future<> sleep(std::chrono::duration<Rep, Period> dur);
```

This function arranges a timer so that the returned future becomes available (without an associated value) when the given time duration elapses.

A **continuation** is a callback (typically a lambda) to run when a future becomes available. A continuation is attached to a future with the then() method. Here is a simple example:

```
#include <seastar/core/app-template.hh>
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
#include <iostream>
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    seastar::app_template app;
    app.run(argc, argv, [] {
        std::cout << "Sleeping... " << std::flush;
        using namespace std::chrono_literals;
        return seastar::sleep(1s).then([] {
            std::cout << "Done.\n";
        });</pre>
```

```
});
}
```

In this example we see us getting a future from seastar::sleep(1s), and attaching to it a continuation which prints a "Done." message. The future will become available after 1 second has passed, at which point the continuation is executed. Running this program, we indeed see the message "Sleeping..." immediately, and one second later the message "Done." appears and the program exits.

The return value of then() is itself a future which is useful for chaining multiple continuations one after another, as we will explain below. But here we just note that we return this future from app.run's function, so that the program will exit only after both the sleep and its continuation are done.

To avoid repeating the boilerplate "app_engine" part in every code example in this tutorial, let's create a simple main() with which we will compile the following examples. This main just calls function future<> f(), does the appropriate exception handling, and exits when the future returned by f is resolved:

Compiling together with this main.cc, the above sleep() example code becomes:

```
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
#include <iostream>
seastar::future<> f() {
    std::cout << "Sleeping... " << std::flush;
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    return seastar::sleep(ls).then([] {
        std::cout << "Done.\n";
    });
}</pre>
```

So far, this example was not very interesting - there is no parallelism, and the same thing could have been achieved by the normal blocking POSIX sleep(). Things become much more interesting when we start several sleep() futures in parallel, and attach a different continuation to each. Futures and continuation make parallelism very easy and natural:

```
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
#include <iostream>
seastar::future<> f() {
    std::cout << "Sleeping... " << std::flush;
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    seastar::sleep(200ms).then([] { std::cout << "200ms " << std::flush; });
    seastar::sleep(100ms).then([] { std::cout << "100ms " << std::flush; });
    return seastar::sleep(1s).then([] { std::cout << "Done.\n"; });
}</pre>
```

Each sleep() and then() call returns immediately: sleep() just starts the requested timer, and then() sets up the function to call when the timer expires. So all three lines happen immediately and f returns. Only then, the event loop starts to wait for the three outstanding futures to become ready, and when each one becomes ready, the continuation attached to it is run. The output of the above program is of course:

```
$ ./a.out
Sleeping... 100ms 200ms Done.
```

sleep() returns future<>, meaning it will complete at a future time, but once complete, does not return any value. More interesting futures do specify a value of any type (or multiple values) that will become available later. In the following example, we have a function returning a future<int>, and a continuation to be run once this value becomes available. Note how the continuation gets the future's value as a parameter:

```
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
#include <iostream>
seastar::future<int> slow() {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    return seastar::sleep(100ms).then([] { return 3; });
}
seastar::future<> f() {
    return slow().then([] (int val) {
        std::cout << "Got " << val << "\n";
    });
}</pre>
```

The function slow() deserves more explanation. As usual, this function returns a future<int> immediately, and doesn't wait for the sleep to complete, and the code in f() can chain a continuation to this future's completion. The future returned by slow() is itself a chain of futures: It will become ready once sleep's future becomes ready and then the value 3 is returned. We'll explain below in more details how then() returns a future, and how this allows chaining futures.

This example begins to show the convenience of the futures programming model, which allows the programmer to neatly encapsulate complex asynchronous operations. slow() might involve a complex asynchronous operation requiring multiple steps, but its user can use it just as easily as a simple sleep(), and Seastar's engine takes care of running the continuations whose futures have become ready at the right time.

4.1 Ready futures

A future value might already be ready when then() is called to chain a continuation to it. This important case is optimized, and *usually* the continuation is run immediately instead of being registered to run later in the next iteration of the event loop.

This optimization is done *usually*, though sometimes it is avoided: The implementation of then() holds a counter of such immediate continuations, and after many continuations have been run immediately without returning to the event loop (currently the limit is 256), the next continuation is deferred to the event loop in any case. This is important because in some cases (such as future loops, discussed later) we could find that each ready continuation spawns a new one, and without this limit we can starve the event loop. It is important not to starve the event loop, as this would starve continuations of futures that weren't ready but have since become ready, and also starve the important **polling** done by the event loop (e.g., checking whether there is new activity on the network card).

make_ready_future<> can be used to return a future which is already ready. The following example is identical to the previous one, except the promise function fast() returns a future which is already ready, and not one which will be ready in a second as in the previous example. The nice thing is that the consumer of the future does not care, and uses the future in the same way in both cases.

```
#include <seastar/core/future.hh>
#include <iostream>
seastar::future<int> fast() {
    return seastar::make_ready_future<int>(3);
}
seastar::future<> f() {
    return fast().then([] (int val) {
        std::cout << "Got " << val << "\n";
    });
}</pre>
```

5 Coroutines

Note: coroutines require C++20 and a supporting compiler. Clang 10 and above is known to work.

The simplest way to write efficient asynchronous code with Seastar is to use coroutines. Coroutines don't share most of the pitfalls of traditional continuations (below), and so are the preferred way to write new code.

A coroutine is a function that returns a seastar::future<T> and uses the co_await or co_return keywords. Coroutines are invisible to their callers and callees; they integrate with traditional Seastar code in either role. If you are not familiar with C++ coroutines, you may want to consult A more general introduction to C++ coroutines; this section focuses on how coroutines integrate with Seastar.

Here's an example of a simple Seastar coroutine:

In #1, we call the read() function, which returns a future. The co_await keyword instructs Seastar to inspect the returned future. If the future is ready, then the value (an int) is extracted from the future and assigned to n. If the future is not ready, the coroutine arranges for itself to be called when the future becomes ready, and control is returned to Seastar. Once the future becomes ready, the coroutine is awakened and the value is extracted from the future and assigned to n.

In #2, we call seastar::sleep() and wait for the returned future to become ready, which it will in a second. This demonstrates that n is preserved across co await calls, and the author of the coroutine need not arrange for storage for coroutine local variables.

Line #3 demonstrates the addition operation, with which the reader is assumed to be familiar.

In #4, we call a function that returns a seastar::future<>. In this case, the future carries no value, and so no value is extracted and assigned.

Line #5 demonstrates returning a value. The integer value is used to satisfy the future<int> that our caller got when calling the coroutine.

5.1 Exceptions in coroutines

Coroutines automatically translate exceptions to futures and back.

Calling co_await foo(), when foo() returns an exceptional future, will throw the exception carried by the future.

Similarly throwing within a coroutine will cause the coroutine to return an exceptional future.

Example:

```
#include <seastar/core/coroutine.hh>
seastar::future<> function_returning_an_exceptional_future();
```

```
seastar::future<> exception_handling() {
    try {
        co_await function_returning_an_exceptional_future();
    } catch (...) {
        // exception will be handled here
    }
    throw 3; // will be captured by coroutine and returned as
        // an exceptional future
}
```

In certain cases, exceptions can also be propagated directly, without throwing or rethrowing them. It can be achieved by returning a coroutine::exception wrapper, but it unfortunately only works for coroutines which return future<T>, not future<>, due to the limitations in compilers. In particular, the example above won't compile if the return type is changed to future<>.

Example:

```
seastar::future<int> exception_propagating() {
    std::exception_ptr eptr;
    try {
        co_await function_returning_an_exceptional_future();
    } catch (...) {
        eptr = std::current_exception();
    }
    if (eptr) {
        co_return seastar::coroutine::exception(eptr); // Saved exception pointer can be propagated without rethrowing
    }
    co_return seastar::coroutine::make_exception(3); // Custom exceptions can be propagated without throwing
}
```

5.2 Concurrency in coroutines

The co_await operator allows for simple sequential execution. Multiple coroutines can execute in parallel, but each coroutine has only one outstanding computation at a time.

The seastar::coroutine::all class template allows a coroutine to fork into several concurrently executing sub-coroutines (or Seastar fibers, see below) and join again when they complete. Consider this example:

```
#include <seastar/core/coroutines.hh>
#include <seastar/coroutine/all.hh>
seastar::future<int> read(int key);
seastar::future<int> parallel_sum(int key1, int key2) {
   int [a, b] = co_await seastar::coroutine::all(
        [&] {
        return read(key1);
      },
      [&] {
        return read(key2);
      }
   );
   co_return a + b;
}
```

Here, two read() calls are launched concurrently. The coroutine is paused until both reads complete, and the values returned are assigned to a and b. If read(key) is an operation that involves I/O, then the concurrent execution will complete sooner than if we co_awaited each call separately, since I/O can be overlapped.

Note that all waits for all of its sub-computations, even if some throw an exception. If an exception is thrown, it is propagated to the calling coroutine.

5.3 Breaking up long running computations

Seastar is generally used for I/O, and coroutines usually launch I/O operations and consume their results, with little computation in between. But occasionally a long running computation is needed, and this risks preventing the reactor from performing I/O and scheduling other tasks.

A coroutine will automatically yield in a co_await expression; but in a computation we do not co_await anything. We can use the seastar::coroutine::maybe_yield class in such cases:

```
#include <seastar/coroutine/maybe_yield>
seastar::future<int> long_loop(int n) {
    float acc = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        acc += std::sin(float(i));
        // Give the Seastar reactor opportunity to perform I/O or schedule
        // other tasks.
        co_await seastar::coroutine::maybe_yield();
    }
    co_return acc;
}</pre>
```

6 Continuations

6.1 Capturing state in continuations

We've already seen that Seastar *continuations* are lambdas, passed to the then() method of a future. In the examples we've seen so far, lambdas have been nothing more than anonymous functions. But C++11 lambdas have one more trick up their sleeve, which is extremely important for future-based asynchronous programming in Seastar: Lambdas can **capture** state. Consider the following example:

```
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
#include <iostream>
seastar::future<int> incr(int i) {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([i] { return i + 1; });
}
seastar::future<> f() {
    return incr(3).then([] (int val) {
        std::cout << "Got " << val << "\n";
    });
}</pre>
```

The future operation <code>incr(i)</code> takes some time to complete (it needs to sleep a bit first...), and in that duration, it needs to save the <code>i</code> value it is working on. In the early event-driven programming models, the programmer needed to explicitly define an object for holding this state, and to manage all these objects. Everything is much simpler in Seastar, with C++11's lambdas: The <code>capture syntax</code> "[i]" in the above example means that the value of i, as it existed when incr() was called() is captured into the lambda. The lambda is not just a function - it is in fact an <code>object</code>, with both code and data. In essence, the compiler created for us automatically the state object, and we neither need to define it, nor to keep track of it (it gets saved together with the continuation, when the continuation is deferred, and gets deleted automatically after the continuation runs).

One implementation detail worth understanding is that when a continuation has captured state and is run immediately, this capture incurs no runtime overhead. However, when the continuation cannot be run immediately (because the future is not yet ready) and needs to be saved till later, memory needs to be allocated on the heap for this data, and the continuation's captured data needs to be copied there. This has runtime overhead, but it is unavoidable, and is very small compared to the related overhead in the threaded programming model (in a threaded program, this sort of state usually resides on the stack of the blocked thread, but the stack is much larger than our tiny capture state, takes up a lot of memory and causes a lot of cache pollution on context switches between those threads).

In the above example, we captured i by value - i.e., a copy of the value of i was saved into the continuation. C++ has two additional capture options: capturing by reference and capturing by move:

Using capture-by-reference in a continuation is usually a mistake, and can lead to serious bugs. For example, if in the above example we captured a reference to i, instead of copying it,

```
seastar::future<int> incr(int i) {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    // Oops, the "&" below is wrong:
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([&i] { return i + 1; });
}
```

this would have meant that the continuation would contain the address of i, not its value. But i is a stack variable, and the incr() function returns immediately, so when the continuation eventually gets to run, long after incr() returns, this address will contain unrelated content.

An exception to the capture-by-reference-is-usually-a-mistake rule is the do_with() idiom, which we will introduce later. This idiom ensures that an object lives throughout the life of the continuation, and makes capture-by-reference possible, and very convenient.

Using capture-by-move in continuations is also very useful in Seastar applications. By **moving** an object into a continuation, we transfer ownership of this object to the continuation, and make it easy for the object to be automatically deleted when the continuation ends. For example, consider a traditional function taking a std::unique_ptr<T>.

```
int do_something(std::unique_ptr<T> obj) {
    // do some computation based on the contents of obj, let's say the result is 17
    return 17;
    // at this point, obj goes out of scope so the compiler delete()s it.
```

By using unique_ptr in this way, the caller passes an object to the function, but tells it the object is now its exclusive responsibility - and when the function is done with the object, it automatically deletes it. How do we use unique_ptr in a continuation? The following won't work:

```
seastar::future<int> slow_do_something(std::unique_ptr<T> obj) {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    // The following line won't compile...
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([obj] () mutable { return do_something(std::move(obj)); });
}
```

The problem is that a unique_ptr cannot be passed into a continuation by value, as this would require copying it, which is forbidden because it violates the guarantee that only one copy of this pointer exists. We can, however, *move* obj into the continuation:

```
seastar::future<int> slow_do_something(std::unique_ptr<T> obj) {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([obj = std::move(obj)] () mutable {
        return do_something(std::move(obj));
    });
}
```

Here the use of std::move() causes obj's move-assignment is used to move the object from the outer function into the continuation. The notion of move (move semantics), introduced in C++11, is similar to a shallow copy followed by invalidating the source copy (so that the two copies do not co-exist, as forbidden by unique_ptr). After moving obj into the continuation, the top-level function can no longer use it (in this case it's of course ok, because we return anyway).

The [obj = ...] capture syntax we used here is new to C++14. This is the main reason why Seastar requires C++14, and does not support older C++11 compilers.

The extra () mutable syntax was needed here because by default when C++ captures a value (in this case, the value of std::move(obj)) into a lambda, it makes this value read-only, so our lambda cannot, in this example, move it again. Adding mutable removes this artificial restriction.

6.2 Evaluation order considerations (C++14 only)

C++14 (and below) does *not* guarantee that lambda captures in continuations will be evaluated after the futures they relate to are evaluated (See https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/eval_order).

Consequently, avoid the programming pattern below:

```
return do_something(obj).then([obj = std::move(obj)] () mutable {
    return do_something_else(std::move(obj));
});
```

In the example above, [obj = std::move(obj)] might be evaluated before do_something(obj) is called, potentially leading to use-after-move of obj.

To guarantee the desired evaluation order, the expression above may be broken into separate statements as follows:

```
auto fut = do_something(obj);
return fut.then([obj = std::move(obj)] () mutable {
    return do_something_else(std::move(obj));
});
```

This was changed in C++17. The expression that creates the object the function then is called on (the future) is evaluated before all the arguments to the function, so this style is not required in C++17 and above.

6.3 Chaining continuations

TODO: We already saw chaining example in slow() above. talk about the return from then, and returning a future and chaining more thens.

7 Handling exceptions

An exception thrown in a continuation is implicitly captured by the system and stored in the future. A future that stores such an exception is similar to a ready future in that it can cause its continuation to be launched, but it does not contain a value – only the exception.

Calling .then() on such a future skips over the continuation, and transfers the exception for the input future (the object on which .then() is called) to the output future (.then() is return value).

This default handling parallels normal exception behavior - if an exception is thrown in straight-line code, all following lines are skipped:

```
line1();
line2(); // throws!
line3(); // skipped
```

is similar to

```
return line1().then([] {
    return line2(); // throws!
}).then([] {
    return line3(); // skipped
});
```

Usually, aborting the current chain of operations and returning an exception is what's needed, but sometimes more fine-grained control is required. There are several primitives for handling exceptions:

- 1. .then_wrapped(): instead of passing the values carried by the future into the continuation, .then_wrapped() passes the input future to the continuation. The future is guaranteed to be in ready state, so the continuation can examine whether it contains a value or an exception, and take appropriate action.
- 2. .finally(): similar to a Java finally block, a .finally() continuation is executed whether or not its input future carries an exception or not. The result of the finally continuation is its input future, so .finally() can be used to insert code in a flow that is executed unconditionally, but otherwise does not alter the flow.

TODO: give example code for the above. Also mention handle_exception - although perhaps delay that to a later chapter?

7.1 Exceptions vs. exceptional futures

An asynchronous function can fail in one of two ways: It can fail immediately, by throwing an exception, or it can return a future which will eventually fail (resolve to an exception). These two modes of failure appear similar to the uninitiated, but behave differently when attempting to handle exceptions using finally(), handle_exception(), or then_wrapped(). For example, consider the code:

```
#include <seastar/core/future.hh>
#include <iostream>
#include <exception>

class my_exception : public std::exception {
    virtual const char* what() const noexcept override { return "my exception"; }
};

seastar::future<> fail() {
    return seastar::make_exception_future<>(my_exception());
}

seastar::future<> f() {
    return fail().finally([] {
        std::cout << "cleaning up\n";
}</pre>
```

```
});
}
```

This code will, as expected, print the "cleaning up" message - the asynchronous function fail() returns a future which resolves to a failure, and the finally() continuation is run despite this failure, as expected.

Now consider that in the above example we had a different definition for fail():

```
seastar::future<> fail() {
   throw my_exception();
}
```

Here, fail() does not return a failing future. Rather, it fails to return a future at all! The exception it throws stops the entire function f(), and the finally() continuation does not not get attached to the future (which was never returned), and will never run. The "cleaning up" message is not printed now.

We recommend that to reduce the chance for such errors, asynchronous functions should always return a failed future rather than throw an actual exception. If the asynchronous function calls another function *before* returning a future, and that second function might throw, it should use try/catch to catch the exception and convert it into a failed future:

```
void inner() {
    throw my_exception();
}
seastar::future<> fail() {
    try {
        inner();
    } catch(...) {
        return seastar::make_exception_future(std::current_exception());
    }
    return seastar::make_ready_future<>();
}
```

Here, fail() catches the exception thrown by inner(), whatever it might be, and returns a failed future with that failure. Written this way, the finally() continuation will be reached, and the "cleaning up" message printed.

Despite this recommendation that asynchronous functions avoid throwing, some asynchronous functions do throw exceptions in addition to returning exceptional futures. A common example are functions which allocate memory and throw std::bad_alloc when running out of memory, instead of returning a future. The future<> seastar::semaphore::wait() method is one such function: It returns a future which may be exceptional if the semaphore was broken() or the wait timed out, but may also throw an exception when failing to allocate memory it needs to hold the list of waiters. Therefore, unless a function — including asynchronous functions — is explicitly tagged "noexcept", the application should be prepared to handle exceptions thrown from it. In modern C++, code usually uses RAII to be exception-safe without sprinkling it with try/catch. seastar::defer() is a RAII-based idiom that ensures that some cleanup code is run even if an exception is thrown.

Seastar has a convenient generic function, futurize_invoke(), which can be useful here. futurize_invoke(func, args...) runs a function which may return either a future value or an immediate value, and in both cases convert the result into a future value. futurize_invoke() also converts an immediate exception thrown by the function, if any, into a failed future, just like we did above. So using futurize_invoke() we can make the above example work even if fail() did throw exceptions:

```
seastar::future<> fail() {
    throw my_exception();
}
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::futurize_invoke(fail).finally([] {
        std::cout << "cleaning up\n";
    });
}</pre>
```

Note that most of this discussion becomes moot if the risk of exception is inside a continuation. Consider the following code:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::sleep(ls).then([] {
        throw my_exception();
    }).finally([] {
        std::cout << "cleaning up\n";
    });
}</pre>
```

Here, the lambda function of the first continuation does throw an exception instead of returning a failed future. However, we do not have the same problem as before, which only happened because an asynchronous function threw an exception before returning a valid future. Here, f() does return a valid future immediately - the failure will only be known later, after sleep() resolves. The message in finally() will be printed. The methods which attach continuations (such as tleep()) run the continuation the same way, so continuation functions may return immediate values or, in this case, throw an immediate exception, and still work properly.

8 Lifetime management

An asynchronous function starts an operation which may continue long after the function returns: The function itself returns a future<T> almost immediately, but it may take a while until this future is resolved.

When such an asynchronous operation needs to operate on existing objects, or to use temporary objects, we need to worry about the *lifetime* of these objects: We need to ensure that these objects do not get destroyed before the asynchronous function completes (or it will try to use the freed object and malfunction or crash), and to also ensure that the object finally get destroyed when it is no longer needed (otherwise we will have a memory leak). Seastar offers a variety of mechanisms for safely and efficiently keeping objects alive for the right duration. In this section we will explore these mechanisms, and when to use each mechanism.

8.1 Passing ownership to continuation

The most straightforward way to ensure that an object is alive when a continuation runs and is destroyed afterwards is to pass its ownership to the continuation. When continuation *owns* the object, the object will be kept until the continuation runs, and will be destroyed as soon as the continuation is not needed (i.e., it may have run, or skipped in case of exception and then() continuation).

We already saw above that the way for a continuation to get ownership of an object is through capturing:

```
seastar::future<> slow_incr(int i) {
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([i] { return i + 1; });
}
```

Here the continuation captures the value of i. In other words, the continuation includes a copy of i. When the continuation runs 10ms later, it will have access to this value, and as soon as the continuation finishes its object is destroyed, together with its captured copy of i. The continuation owns this copy of i.

Capturing by value as we did here - making a copy of the object we need in the continuation - is useful mainly for very small objects such as the integer in the previous example. Other objects are expensive to copy, or sometimes even cannot be copied. For example, the following is **not** a good idea:

```
seastar::future<> slow_op(std::vector<int> v) {
    // this makes another copy of v:
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([v] { /* do something with v */ });
}
```

This would be inefficient - as the vector \mathbf{v} , potentially very long, will be copied and the copy will be saved in the continuation. In this example, there is no reason to copy \mathbf{v} - it was anyway passed to the function by value and will not be used again after capturing it into the continuation, as right after the capture, the function returns and destroys its copy of \mathbf{v} .

For such cases, C++14 allows moving the object into the continuation:

```
seastar::future<> slow_op(std::vector<int> v) {
    // v is not copied again, but instead moved:
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([v = std::move(v)] { /* do something with v */ });
}
```

Now, instead of copying the object v into the continuation, it is *moved* into the continuation. The C++11-introduced move constructor moves the vector's data into the continuation and clears the original vector. Moving is a quick operation - for a vector it only requires copying a few small fields such as the pointer to the data. As before, once the continuation is dismissed the vector is destroyed - and its data array (which was moved in the move operation) is finally freed.

TODO: talk about temporary_buffer as an example of an object designed to be moved in this way.

In some cases, moving the object is undesirable. For example, some code keeps references to an object or one of its fields and the references become invalid if the object is moved. In some complex objects, even the move constructor is slow. For these cases, C++ provides the useful wrapper std::unique_ptr<T>. A unique_ptr<T> object owns an object of type T allocated on the heap. When a unique_ptr<T> is moved, the object of type T is not touched at all - just the pointer to it is moved. An example of using std::unique_ptr<T> in capture is:

```
seastar::future<> slow_op(std::unique_ptr<T> p) {
    return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([p = std::move(p)] { /* do something with *p */ });
}
```

std::unique_ptr<T> is the standard C++ mechanism for passing unique ownership of an object to a function: The object is only owned by one piece of code at a time, and ownership is transferred by moving the unique_ptr object. A unique_ptr cannot be copied: If we try to capture p by value, not by move, we will get a compilation error.

8.2 Keeping ownership at the caller

The technique we described above - giving the continuation ownership of the object it needs to work on - is powerful and safe. But often it becomes hard and verbose to use. When an asynchronous operation involves not just one continuation but a chain of continuations that each needs to work on the same object, we need to pass the ownership of the object between each successive continuation, which can become inconvenient. It is especially inconvenient when we need to pass the same object into two separate asynchronous functions (or continuations) - after we move the object into one, the object needs to be returned so it can be moved again into the second. E.g.,

This complexity arises because we wanted asynchronous functions and continuations to take the ownership of the objects they operated on. A simpler approach would be to have the *caller* of the asynchronous function continue to be the owner of the object, and just pass *references* to the object to the various other asynchronous functions and continuations which need the object. For example:

This approach raises a question: The caller of slow_op is now responsible for keeping the object o alive while the asynchronous code started by slow_op needs this object. But how will this caller know how long this object is actually needed by the asynchronous operation it started?

The most reasonable answer is that an asynchronous function may need access to its parameters until the future it returns is resolved - at which point the asynchronous code completes and no longer needs access to its parameters. We therefore recommend that Seastar code adopt the following convention:

Whenever an asynchronous function takes a parameter by reference, the caller must ensure that the referred object lives until the future returned by the function is resolved.

Note that this is merely a convention suggested by Seastar, and unfortunately nothing in the C++ language enforces it. C++ programmers in non-Seastar programs often pass large objects to functions as a const reference just to avoid a slow copy, and assume that the called function will *not* save this reference anywhere. But in Seastar code, that is a dangerous practice because even if the asynchronous function did not intend to save the reference anywhere, it may end up doing it implicitly by passing this reference to another function and eventually capturing it in a continuation.

It would be nice if future versions of C++ could help us catch incorrect uses of references. Perhaps we could have a tag for a special kind of reference, an "immediate reference" which a function can use use immediately (i.e, before returning a future), but cannot be captured into a continuation.

With this convention in place, it is easy to write complex asynchronous functions like slow_op which pass the object around, by reference, until the asynchronous operation is done. But how does the caller ensure that the object lives until the returned future is resolved? The following is wrong:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
   T obj; // wrong! will be destroyed too soon!
   return slow_op(obj);
}
```

It is wrong because the object obj here is local to the call of f, and is destroyed as soon as f returns a future - not when this returned future is resolved! The correct thing for a caller to do would be to create the object obj on the heap (so it does not get destroyed as soon as f returns), and then run slow_op(obj) and when that future resolves (i.e., with .finally()), destroy the object.

Seastar provides a convenient idiom, do_with() for doing this correctly:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::do_with(T(), [] (auto& obj) {
        // obj is passed by reference to slow_op, and this is fine:
        return slow_op(obj);
    }
}
```

do with will do the given function with the given object alive.

do_with saves the given object on the heap, and calls the given lambda with a reference to the new object. Finally it ensures that the new object is destroyed after the returned future is resolved. Usually, do_with is given an rvalue, i.e., an unnamed temporary object or an std::move()ed object, and do_with moves that object into its final place on the heap. do_with returns a future which resolves after everything described above is done (the lambda's future is resolved and the object is destroyed).

For convenience, do_with can also be given multiple objects to hold alive. For example here we create two objects and hold alive them until the future resolves:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
   return seastar::do_with(T1(), T2(), [] (auto& obj1, auto& obj2) {
      return slow_op(obj1, obj2);
   }
}
```

While do_with can the lifetime of the objects it holds, if the user accidentally makes copies of these objects, these copies might have the wrong lifetime. Unfortunately, a simple typo like forgetting an "&" can cause such accidental copies. For example, the following code is broken:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
   return seastar::do_with(T(), [] (T obj) { // WRONG: should be T&, not T
        return slow_op(obj);
   }
}
```

In this wrong snippet, obj is mistakenly not a reference to the object which do_with allocated, but rather a copy of it - a copy which is destroyed as soon as the lambda function returns, rather than when the future it returns resolved. Such code will most likely crash because the object is used after being freed. Unfortunately the compiler will not warn about such mistakes. Users should get used to always using the type "auto&" with do_with - as in the above correct examples - to reduce the chance of such mistakes.

For the same reason, the following code snippet is also wrong:

```
seastar::future<> slow_op(T obj); // WRONG: should be T&, not T
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::do_with(T(), [] (auto& obj) {
        return slow_op(obj);
    }
}
```

Here, although obj was correctly passed to the lambda by reference, we later accidentally passed slow_op() a copy of it (because here slow_op takes the object by value, not by reference), and this copy will be destroyed as soon as slow_op returns, not waiting until the returned future resolves.

When using do_with, always remember it requires adhering to the convention described above: The asynchronous function which we call inside do_with must not use the objects held by do_with after the returned future is resolved. It is a serious use-after-free bug for an asynchronous function to return a future which resolves while still having background operations using the do_with()ed objects.

In general, it is rarely a good idea for an asynchronous function to resolve while leaving behind background operations - even if those operations do not use the do_with()ed objects. Background operations that we do not wait for may cause us to run out of memory (if we don't limit their number) and make it difficult to shut down the application cleanly.

8.3 Sharing ownership (reference counting)

In the beginning of this chapter, we already noted that capturing a copy of an object into a continuation is the simplest way to ensure that the object is alive when the continuation runs and destroyed afterwards. However, complex objects are often expensive (in time and memory) to copy. Some objects cannot be copied at all, or are read-write and the continuation should modify the original object, not a new copy. The solution to all these issues are **reference counted**, a.k.a. **shared** objects:

A simple example of a reference-counted object in Seastar is a seastar::file, an object holding an open file object (we will introduce seastar::file in a later section). A file object can be copied, but copying does not involve copying the file descriptor (let alone the file). Instead, both copies point to the same open file, and a reference count is increased by 1. When a file object is destroyed, the file's reference count is decreased by one, and only when the reference count reaches 0 the underlying file is actually closed.

The fact that file objects can be copied very quickly and all copies actually point to the same file, make it very convenient to pass them to asynchronous code; For example,

```
seastar::future<uint64_t> slow_size(file f) {
   return seastar::sleep(10ms).then([f] {
      return f.size();
   });
}
```

Note how calling slow_size is as simple as calling slow_size(f), passing a copy of f, without needing to do anything special to ensure that f is only destroyed when no longer needed. That simply happens naturally when nothing refers to f any more.

You may wonder why return f.size() in the above example is safe: Doesn't it start an asynchronous operation on f (the file's size may be stored on disk, so not immediately available), and f may be immediately destroyed when we return and nothing keeps holding a copy of f? If f is really the last reference, that is indeed a bug, but there is another one: the file is never closed. The assumption that makes the code valid is that there is another reference to f that will be used to close it. The close member function holds on to the reference count of that object, so it continues to live even if nothing else keeps holding on to it. Since all futures produced by a file object complete before it is closed, all that is needed for correctness is to remember to always close files.

The reference counting has a run-time cost, but it is usually very small; It is important to remember that Seastar objects are always used by a single CPU only, so the reference-count increment and decrement operations are not the slow atomic operations often used for reference counting, but just regular CPU-local integer operations. Moreover, judicious use of std::move() and the compiler's optimizer can reduce the number of unnecessary back-and-forth increment and decrement of the reference count.

C++11 offers a standard way of creating reference-counted shared objects - using the template std::shared_ptr<T>. A shared_ptr can be used to wrap any type into a reference-counted shared object like seastar::file above. However, the standard std::shared_ptr was designed with multi-threaded applications in mind so it uses slow atomic increment/decrement operations for the reference count which we already noted is unnecessary in Seastar. For this reason Seastar offers its own single-threaded implementation of this template, seastar::shared_ptr<T>. It is similar to std::shared_ptr<T> except no atomic operations are used.

Additionally, Seastar also provides an even lower overhead variant of shared_ptr: seastar::lw_shared_ptr<T>. The full-featured shared_ptr is complicated by the need to support polymorphic types correctly (a shared object created of one class, and accessed through a pointer to a base class). It makes shared_ptr need to add two words to the shared object, and two words to each shared_ptr copy. The simplified lw_shared_ptr - which does **not** support polymorphic types - adds just one word in the object (the reference count) and each copy is just one word - just like copying a regular pointer. For this reason, the light-weight seastar::lw_shared_ptr<T> should be preferred when possible (T is not a polymorphic type), otherwise seastar::shared_ptr<T>. The slower std::shared_ptr<T> should never be used in sharded Seastar applications.

8.4 Saving objects on the stack

Wouldn't it be convenient if we could save objects on a stack just like we normally do in synchronous code? I.e., something like:

```
int i = ...;
seastar::sleep(10ms).get();
return i;
```

Seastar allows writing such code, by using a seastar::thread object which comes with its own stack. A complete example using a seastar::thread might look like this:

```
seastar::future<> slow_incr(int i) {
    return seastar::async([i] {
        seastar::sleep(10ms).get();
        // We get here after the 10ms of wait, i is still available.
        return i + 1;
    });
}
```

We present seastar::thread, seastar::async() and seastar::future::get() in the seastar::thread section.

9 Advanced futures

9.1 Futures and interruption

TODO: A future, e.g., sleep(10s) cannot be interrupted. So if we need to, the promise needs to have a mechanism to interrupt it. Mention pipe's close feature, semaphore stop feature, etc.

9.2 Futures are single use

TODO: Talk about if we have a future<int> variable, as soon as we get() or then() it, it becomes invalid - we need to store the value somewhere else. Think if there's an alternative we can suggest

10 Fibers

Seastar continuations are normally short, but often chained to one another, so that one continuation does a bit of work and then schedules another continuation for later. Such chains can be long, and often even involve loopings - see the following section, "Loops". We call such chains "fibers" of execution.

These fibers are not threads - each is just a string of continuations - but they share some common requirements with traditional threads. For example, we want to avoid one fiber getting starved while a second fiber continuously runs its continuations one after another. As another example, fibers may want to communicate - e.g., one fiber produces data that a second fiber consumes, and we wish to ensure that both fibers get a chance to run, and that if one stops prematurely, the other doesn't hang forever.

TODO: Mention fiber-related sections like loops, semaphores, gates, pipes, etc.

11 Loops

A majority of time-consuming computations involve using loops. Seastar provides several primitives for expressing them in a way that composes nicely with the future/promise model. A very important aspect of Seastar loop primitives is that each iteration is followed by a preemption point, thus allowing other tasks to run inbetween iterations.

11.1 repeat

A loop created with repeat executes its body until it receives a stop_iteration object, which informs if the iteration should continue (stop_iteration::no) or stop (stop_iteration::yes). Next iteration will be launched only after the first one has finished. The loop body passed to repeat is expected to have a future<stop_iteration> return type.

```
seastar::future<int> recompute_number(int number);
seastar::future<> push_until_100(seastar::lw_shared_ptr<std::vector<int>> queue, int element) {
    return seastar::repeat([queue, element] {
        if (queue->size() == 100) {
            return make_ready_future<stop_iteration>(stop_iteration::yes);
        }
        return recompute_number(element).then([queue] (int new_element) {
            queue->push_back(new_element);
            return stop_iteration::no;
        });
    });
}
```

11.2 do_until

Do until is a close relative of repeat, but it uses an explicitly passed condition to decide whether it should stop iterating. The above example could be expressed with do_until as follows:

Note that the loop body is expected to return a future<>, which allows composing complex continuations inside the loop.

11.3 do_for_each

A do_for_each is an equivalent of a for loop in Seastar world. It accepts a range (or a pair of iterators) and a function body, which it applies to each argument, in order, one by one. The next iteration will be launched only after the first one has finished, as was the case with repeat. As usual, do_for_each expects its loop body to return a future<>.

```
seastar::future<> append(seastar::lw_shared_ptr<std::vector<int>> queue1, seastar::lw_shared_ptr<std::vector<int>>
        queue2) {
    return seastar::do_for_each(queue2, [queue1] (int element) {
        queue1->push_back(element);
    });
}

seastar::future<> append_iota(seastar::lw_shared_ptr<std::vector<int>> queue1, int n) {
    return seastar::do_for_each(boost::make_counting_iterator<size_t>(0), boost::make_counting_iterator<size_t>(n),
        [queue1] (int element) {
        queue1->push_back(element);
    });
}
```

do_for_each accepts either an Ivalue reference to a container or a pair of iterators. It implies that the responsibility to ensure that the container is alive during the whole loop execution belongs to the caller. If the container needs its lifetime prolonged, it can be easily achieved with do_with:

```
seastar::future<> do_something(int number);
seastar::future<> do_for_all(std::vector<int> numbers) {
    // Note that the "numbers" vector will be destroyed as soon as this function
    // returns, so we use do_with to guarantee it lives during the whole loop execution:
    return seastar::do_with(std::move(numbers), [] (std::vector<int>& numbers) {
        return seastar::do_for_each(numbers, [] (int number) {
            return do_something(number);
        });
    });
}
```

11.4 parallel_for_each

Parallel for each is a high concurrency variant of do_for_each. When using parallel_for_each, all iterations are queued simultaneously - which means that there's no guarantee in which order they finish their operations.

parallel_for_each is a powerful tool, as it allows spawning many tasks in parallel. It can be a great performance gain, but there are also caveats. First of all, too high concurrency may be troublesome - the details can be found in chapter **Limiting parallelism of loops**.

To restrict the concurrency of parallel_for_each by an integer number, use max_concurrent_for_each that is described below. More details about dealing with parallelism can be found in chapter **Limiting parallelism of loops**.

Secondly, take note that the order in which iterations will be executed within a parallel_for_each loop is arbitrary - if a strict ordering is needed, consider using do for each instead.

TODO: map_reduce, as a shortcut (?) for parallel_for_each which needs to produce some results (e.g., logical_or of boolean results), so we don't need to create a lw_shared_otr explicitly (or do_with).

TODO: See seastar commit "input_stream: Fix possible infinite recursion in consume()" for an example on why recursion is a possible, but bad, replacement for repeat(). See also my comment on https://groups.google.com/d/msg/seastar-dev/CUkLVBwva3Y/3DKGw-9aAQAJ on why Seastar's iteration primitives should be used over tail call optimization.

11.5 max concurrent for each

Max concurrent for each is a variant of parallel_for_each with restricted parallelism. It accepts an additional parameter - max_concurrent - with which, up to max_concurrent iterations are queued simultaneously, with no guarantee in which order they finish their operations.

```
seastar::future<> flush_all_files(seastar::lw_shared_ptr<std::vector<seastar::file>> files, size_t max_concurrent) {
   return seastar::max_concurrent_for_each(files, max_concurrent, [] (seastar::file f) {
      return f.flush();
   });
}
```

Determining the maximum concurrency limit is out of the scope of this document. It should typically be derived from the actual capabilities of the system the software is running on, like the number of parallel execution units or I/O channels, so to optimize utilization of resources without overwhelming the system.

12 when_all: Waiting for multiple futures

Above we've seen parallel_for_each(), which starts a number of asynchronous operations, and then waits for all to complete. Seastar has another idiom, when_all(), for waiting for several already-existing futures to complete.

The first variant of when_all() is variadic, i.e., the futures are given as separate parameters, the exact number of which is known at compile time. The individual futures may have different types. For example,

This starts three futures - one which sleeps for one second (and doesn't return anything), one which sleeps for two seconds and returns the integer 2, and one which returns the double 3.5 immediately - and then waits for them. The when_all() function returns a future which resolves as soon as all three futures resolves, i.e., after two seconds. This future also has a value, which we shall explain below, but in this example, we simply waited for the future to resolve and discarded its value.

Note that when_all() accept only rvalues, which can be temporaries (like the return value of an asynchronous function or make_ready_future) or an std::move()'ed variable holding a future.

The future returned by when_all() resolves to a tuple of futures which are already resolved, and contain the results of the three input futures. Continuing the above example,

The output of this program (which comes after two seconds) is 1, 2, 3.5: the first future in the tuple is available (but has no value), the second has the integer value 2, and the third a double value 3.5 - as expected.

One or more of the waited futures might resolve in an exception, but this does not change how when_all() works: It still waits for all the futures to resolve, each with either a value or an exception, and in the returned tuple some of the futures may contain an exception instead of a value. For example,

Both futures are available() (resolved), but the second has failed() (resulted in an exception instead of a value). Note how we called ignore_ready_future() on this failed future, because silently ignoring a failed future is considered a bug, and will result in an "Exceptional future ignored" error message. More typically, an application will log the failed future instead of ignoring it.

The above example demonstrate that when_all() is inconvenient and verbose to use properly. The results are wrapped in a tuple, leading to verbose tuple syntax, and uses ready futures which must all be inspected individually for an exception to avoid error messages.

So Seastar also provides an easier to use when_all_succeed() function. This function too returns a future which resolves when all the given futures have resolved. If all of them succeeded, it passes the resulting values to continuation, without wrapping them in futures or a tuple. If, however, one or more of the futures failed, when_all_succeed() resolves to a failed future, containing the exception from one of the failed futures. If more than one of the given future failed, one of those will be passed on (it is unspecified which one is chosen), and the rest will be silently ignored. For example,

Note how the integer and double values held by the futures are conveniently passed, individually (without a tuple) to the continuation. Since sleep() does not contain a value, it is waited for, but no third value is passed to the continuation. That also means that if we when_all_succeed() on several future<> (without a value), the result is also a future<>:

```
using namespace seastar;
future<> f() {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    return when_all_succeed(sleep(1s), sleep(2s), sleep(3s));
}
```

This example simply waits for 3 seconds (the maximum of 1, 2 and 3 seconds).

An example of when_all_succeed() with an exception:

In this example, one of the futures fails, so the result of when_all_succeed is a failed future, so the normal continuation is not run, and the handle_exception() continuation is done.

TODO: also explain when_all and when_all_succeed for vectors.

13 Semaphores

Seastar's semaphores are the standard computer-science semaphores, adapted for futures. A semaphore is a counter into which you can deposit units or take them away. Taking units from the counter may wait if not enough units are available.

13.1 Limiting parallelism with semaphores

The most common use for a semaphore in Seastar is for limiting parallelism, i.e., limiting the number of instances of some code which can run in parallel. This can be important when each of the parallel invocations uses a limited resource (e.g., memory) so letting an unlimited number of them run in parallel can exhaust this resource.

Consider a case where an external source of events (e.g., an incoming network request) causes an asynchronous function g() to be called. Imagine that we want to limit the number of concurrent g() operations to 100. I.e., If g() is started when 100 other invocations are still ongoing, we want it to delay its real work until one of the other invocations has completed. We can do this with a semaphore:

```
seastar::future<> g() {
    static thread_local seastar::semaphore limit(100);
```

```
return limit.wait(1).then([] {
    return slow(); // do the real work of g()
}).finally([] {
    limit.signal(1);
});
}
```

In this example, the semaphore starts with the counter at 100. The asynchronous operation slow() is only started when we can reduce the counter by one (wait(1)), and when slow() is done, either successfully or with exception, the counter is increased back by one (signal(1)). This way, when 100 operations have already started their work and have not yet finished, the 101st operation will wait, until one of the ongoing operations finishes and returns a unit to the semaphore. This ensures that at each time we have at most 100 concurrent slow() operations running in the above code.

Note how we used a static thread_local semaphore, so that all calls to g() from the same shard count towards the same limit; As usual, a Seastar application is sharded so this limit is separate per shard (CPU thread). This is usually fine, because sharded applications consider resources to be separate per shard.

Luckily, the above code happens to be exception safe: limit.wait(1) can throw an exception when it runs out of memory (keeping a list of waiters), and in that case the semaphore counter is not decreased but the continuations below are not run so it is not increased either. limit.wait(1) can also return an exceptional future when the semaphore is broken (we'll discuss this later) but in that case the extra signal() call is ignored. Finally, slow() may also throw, or return an exceptional future, but the finally() ensures the semaphore is still increased.

However, as the application code becomes more complex, it becomes harder to ensure that we never forget to call signal() after the operation is done, regardless of which code path or exceptions happen. As an example of what might go wrong, consider the following buggy code snippet, which differs subtly from the above one, and also appears, on first sight, to be correct:

```
seastar::future<> g() {
    static thread_local seastar::semaphore limit(100);
    return limit.wait(1).then([] {
        return slow().finally([] { limit.signal(1); });
    });
}
```

But this version is **not** exception safe: Consider what happens if slow() throws an exception before returning a future (this is different from slow() returning an exceptional future - we discussed this difference in the section about exception handling). In this case, we decreased the counter, but the finally() will never be reached, and the counter will never be increased back. There is a way to fix this code, by replacing the call to slow() with seastar::futurize_invoke(slow). But the point we're trying to make here is not how to fix buggy code, but rather that by using the separate semaphore::wait() and semaphore::signal() functions, you can very easily get things wrong.

For exception safety, in C++ it is generally not recommended to have separate resource acquisition and release functions. Instead, C++ offers safer mechanisms for acquiring a resource (in this case semaphore units) and later releasing it: lambda functions, and RAII ("resource acquisition is initialization"):

The lambda-based solution is a function seastar::with_semaphore() which is a shortcut for the code in the examples above:

```
seastar::future<> g() {
    static thread_local seastar::semaphore limit(100);
    return seastar::with_semaphore(limit, 1, [] {
        return slow(); // do the real work of g()
    });
}
```

with_semaphore(), like the earlier code snippets, waits for the given number of units from the semaphore, then runs the given lambda, and when the future returned by the lambda is resolved, with_semaphore() returns back the units to the semaphore. with_semaphore() returns a future which only resolves after all these steps are done.

The function seastar::get_units() is more general. It provides an exception-safe alternative to seastar::semaphore's separate wait() and signal() methods, based on C++'s RAII philosophy: The function returns an opaque units object, which while held, keeps the semaphore's counter decreased - and as soon as this object is destructed, the counter is increased back. With this interface you cannot forget to increase the counter, or increase it twice, or increase without decreasing: The counter will always be decreased once when the units object is created, and if that succeeded, increased when the object is destructed. When the units object is moved into a continuation, no matter how this continuation ends, when the continuation is destructed, the units object is destructed and the units are returned to the semaphore's counter. The above examples, written with get units(), looks like this:

```
seastar::future<> g() {
    static thread_local semaphore limit(100);
    return seastar::get_units(limit, 1).then([] (auto units) {
        return slow().finally([units = std::move(units)] {});
    });
}
```

Note the somewhat convoluted way that <code>get_units()</code> needs to be used: The continuations must be nested because we need the <code>units</code> object to be moved to the last continuation. If <code>slow()</code> returns a future (and does not throw immediately), the <code>finally()</code> continuation captures the <code>units</code> object until everything is done, but does not run any code.

Seastars programmers should generally avoid using the the semaphore::wait() and semaphore::signal() functions directly, and always prefer either with_semaphore() (when applicable) or get_units().

13.2 Limiting resource use

Because semaphores support waiting for any number of units, not just 1, we can use them for more than simple limiting of the *number* of parallel invocation. For example, consider we have an asynchronous function using_lots_of_memory(size_t bytes), which uses bytes bytes of memory, and we want to ensure that not more than 1 MB of memory is used by all parallel invocations of this function — and that additional calls are delayed until previous calls have finished. We can do this with a semaphore:

```
seastar::future<> using_lots_of_memory(size_t bytes) {
   static thread_local seastar::semaphore limit(1000000); // limit to 1MB
   return seastar::with_semaphore(limit, bytes, [bytes] {
        // do something allocating 'bytes' bytes of memory
   });
}
```

Watch out that in the above example, a call to using_lots_of_memory(2000000) will return a future that never resolves, because the semaphore will never contain enough units to satisfy the semaphore wait. using_lots_of_memory() should probably check whether bytes is above the limit, and throw an exception in that case. Seastar doesn't do this for you.

13.3 Limiting parallelism of loops

Above, we looked at a function g() which gets called by some external event, and wanted to control its parallelism. In this section, we look at parallelism of loops, which also can be controlled with semaphores.

Consider the following simple loop:

```
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
seastar::future<> slow() {
    std::cerr << ".";
    return seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(1));
}
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::repeat([] {
        return slow().then([] { return seastar::stop_iteration::no; });
    });
}
```

This loop runs the slow() function (taking one second to complete) without any parallelism — the next slow() call starts only when the previous one completed. But what if we do not need to serialize the calls to slow(), and want to allow multiple instances of it to be ongoing concurrently?

Naively, we could achieve more parallelism, by starting the next call to slow() right after the previous call — ignoring the future returned by the previous call to slow() and not waiting for it to resolve:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::repeat([] {
        slow();
        return seastar::stop_iteration::no;
    });
}
```

But in this loop, there is no limit to the amount of parallelism — millions of sleep() calls might be active in parallel, before the first one ever returned. Eventually, this loop may consume all available memory and crash.

Using a semaphore allows us to run many instances of slow() in parallel, but limit the number of these parallel instances to, in the following example, 100:

Note how this code differs from the code we saw above for limiting the number of parallel invocations of a function g():

- 1. Here we cannot use a single thread_local semaphore. Each call to f() has its loop with parallelism of 100, so needs its own semaphore "limit", kept alive during the loop with do_with().
- 2. Here we do not wait for slow() to complete before continuing the loop, i.e., we do not return the future chain starting at futurize_invoke(slow). The loop continues to the next iteration when a semaphore unit becomes available, while (in our example) 99 other operations might be ongoing in the background and we do not wait for them.

In the examples in this section, we cannot use the with_semaphore() shortcut. with_semaphore() returns a future which only resolves after the lambda's returned future resolves. But in the above example, the loop needs to know when just the semaphore units are available, to start the next iteration — and not wait for the previous iteration to complete. We could not achieve that with with_semaphore(). But the more general exception-safe idiom, seastar::get_units(), can be used in this case, and is recommended:

The above examples are not realistic, because they have a never-ending loop and the future returned by £() will never resolve. In more realistic cases, the loop has an end, and at the end of the loop we need to wait for all the background operations which the loop started. We can do this by wait()ing on the original count of the semaphore: When the full count is finally available, it means that all the operations have completed. For example, the following loop ends after 456 iterations:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
   return seastar::do_with(seastar::semaphore(100), [] (auto& limit) {
      return seastar::do_for_each(boost::counting_iterator<int>(0),
```

```
boost::counting_iterator<int>(456), [&limit] (int i) {
   return seastar::get_units(limit, 1).then([] (auto units) {
        slow().finally([units = std::move(units)] {});
    });
}).finally([&limit] {
   return limit.wait(100);
   });
});
}
```

The last finally is what ensures that we wait for the last operations to complete: After the repeat loop ends (whether successfully or prematurely because of an exception in one of the iterations), we do a wait(100) to wait for the semaphore to reach its original value 100, meaning that all operations that we started have completed. Without this finally, the future returned by f() will resolve before all the iterations of the loop actually completed (the last 100 may still be running).

In the idiom we saw in the above example, the same semaphore is used both for limiting the number of background operations, and later to wait for all of them to complete. Sometimes, we want several different loops to use the same semaphore to limit their *total* parallelism. In that case we must use a separate mechanism for waiting for the completion of the background operations started by the loop. The most convenient way to wait for ongoing operations is using a gate, which we will describe in detail later. A typical example of a loop whose parallelism is limited by an external semaphore:

In this code, we use the external semaphore limit to limit the number of concurrent operations, but additionally have a gate specific to this loop to help us wait for all ongoing operations to complete.

TODO: also allow get units() or something similar on a gate, and use that instead of the explicit gate.enter/gate.leave.

TODO: say something about semaphore fairness - if someone is waiting for a lot of units and later someone asks for 1 unit, will both wait or will the request for 1 unit be satisfied?

TODO: say something about broken semaphores? (or in later section especially about breaking/closing/shutting down/etc?)

TODO: Have a few paragraphs, or even a section, on additional uses of semaphores. One is for mutual exclusion using semaphore(1) - we need to explain why although why in Seastar we don't have multiple threads touching the same data, if code is composed of different continuations (i.e., a fiber) it can switch to a different fiber in the middle, so if data needs to be protected between two continuations, it needs a mutex. Another example is something akin to wait_all: we start with a semaphore(0), run a known number N of asynchronous functions with finally sem.signal(), and from all this return the future sem.wait(N). PERHAPS even have a separate section on mutual exclusion, where we begin with semaphore(1) but also mention shared_mutex

14 Pipes

Seastar's pipe<T> is a mechanism to transfer data between two fibers, one producing data, and the other consuming it. It has a fixed-size buffer to ensures a balanced execution of the two fibers, because the producer fiber blocks when it writes to a full pipe, until the consumer fiber gets to run and read from the pipe.

A pipe<T> resembles a Unix pipe, in that it has a read side, a write side, and a fixed-sized buffer between them, and supports either end to be closed independently (and EOF or broken pipe when using the other side). A pipe<T> object holds the reader and write sides of the pipe as two separate objects. These objects can be moved into two different fibers. Importantly, if one of the pipe ends is destroyed (i.e., the continuations capturing it end), the other end of the pipe will stop blocking, so the other fiber will not hang.

The pipe's read and write interfaces are future-based blocking. I.e., the write() and read() methods return a future which is fulfilled when the operation is complete. The pipe is single-reader single-writer, meaning that until the future returned by read() is fulfilled, read() must not be called again (and same for write). Note: The pipe reader and writer are movable, but *not* copyable. It is often convenient to wrap each end in a shared pointer, so it can be copied (e.g., used in an std::function which needs to be copyable) or easily captured into multiple continuations.

15 Shutting down a service with a gate

Consider an application which has some long operation <code>slow()</code>, and many such operations may be started at any time. A number of <code>slow()</code> operations may even even be active in parallel. Now, you want to shut down this service, but want to make sure that before that, all outstanding operations are completed. Moreover, you don't want to allow new <code>slow()</code> operations to start while the shut-down is in progress.

This is the purpose of a seastar::gate. A gate g maintains an internal counter of operations in progress. We call g.enter() when entering an operation (i.e., before running slow()), and call g.leave() when leaving the operation (when a call to slow() completed). The method g.close() closes the gate, which means it forbids any further calls to g.enter() (such attempts will generate an exception); Moreover g.close() returns a future which resolves when all the existing operations have completed. In other words, when g.close() resolves, we know that no more invocations of slow() can be in progress - because the ones that already started have completed, and new ones could not have started.

The construct

```
seastar::with_gate(g, [] { return slow(); })
```

can be used as a shortcut to the idiom

```
g.enter();
slow().finally([&g] { g.leave(); });
```

Here is a typical example of using a gate:

```
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
#include <seastar/core/gate.hh>
#include <boost/iterator/counting iterator.hpp>
seastar::future<> slow(int i) {
   std::cerr << "starting " << i << "\n";</pre>
    return seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(10)).then([i] {
        std::cerr << "done " << i << "\n";
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::do_with(seastar::gate(), [] (auto& g) {
        return seastar::do for each(boost::counting iterator<int>(1),
                boost::counting iterator<int>(6),
                [&q] (int i) {
            seastar::with gate(g, [i] { return slow(i); });
             // wait one second before starting the next iteration
            return seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(1));
        }).then([&g] {
            seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(1)).then([&g] {
                 // This will fail, because it will be after the close()
                 seastar::with_gate(g, [] { return slow(6); });
            }):
            return g.close();
        });
    });
}
```

In this example, we have a function future<> slow() taking 10 seconds to complete. We run it in a loop 5 times, waiting 1 second between calls, and surround each call with entering and leaving the gate (using with_gate). After the 5th call, while all calls are still ongoing (because each takes 10 seconds to complete), we close the gate and wait for it before exiting the program. We also test that new calls cannot begin after closing the gate, by trying to enter the gate again one second after closing it.

The output of this program looks like this:

```
starting 1
starting 2
starting 3
starting 4
starting 5
WARNING: exceptional future ignored of type 'seastar::gate_closed_exception': gate closed
done 1
done 2
done 3
done 4
done 5
```

Here, the invocations of slow() were started at 1 second intervals. After the "starting 5" message, we closed the gate and another attempt to use it resulted in a seastar::gate_closed_exception, which we ignored and hence this message. At this point the application waits for the future returned by g.close(). This will happen once all the slow() invocations have completed: Immediately after printing "done 5", the test program stops.

As explained so far, a gate can prevent new invocations of an operation, and wait for any in-progress operations to complete. However, these in-progress operations may take a very long time to complete. Often, a long operation would like to know that a shut-down has been requested, so it could stop its work prematurely. An operation can check whether its gate was closed by calling the gate's <code>check()</code> method: If the gate is already closed, the <code>check()</code> method throws an exception (the same <code>seastar::gate_closed_exception</code> that <code>enter()</code> would throw at that point). The intent is that the exception will cause the operation calling it to stop at this point.

In the previous example code, we had an un-interruptible operation slow() which slept for 10 seconds. Let's replace it by a loop of 10 one-second sleeps, calling g.check() each second:

Now, just one second after gate is closed (after the "starting 5" message is printed), all the slow() operations notice the gate was closed, and stop. As expected, the exception stops the $do_for_each()$ loop, and the finally() continuation is performed so we see the "done" messages for all five operations.

16 Introducing shared-nothing programming

TODO: Explain in more detail Seastar's shared-nothing approach where the entire memory is divided up-front to cores, malloc/free and pointers only work on one core.

TODO: Introduce our shared_ptr (and lw_shared_ptr) and sstring and say the standard ones use locked instructions which are unnecessary when we assume these objects (like all others) are for a single thread. Our futures and continuations do the same.

17 More about Seastar's event loop

TODO: Mention the event loop (scheduler). remind that continuations on the same thread do not run in parallel, so do not need locks, atomic variables, etc (different threads shouldn't access the same data - more on that below). continuations obviously must not use blocking operations, or they block the whole thread.

TODO: Talk about polling that we currently do, and how today even sleep() or waiting for incoming connections or whatever, takes 100% of all CPUs.

18 Introducing Seastar's network stack

TODO: Mention the two modes of operation: Posix and native (i.e., take a L2 (Ethernet) interface (vhost or dpdk) and on top of it we built (in Seastar itself) an L3 interface (TCP/IP)).

For optimal performance, Seastar's network stack is sharded just like Seastar applications are: each shard (thread) takes responsibility for a different subset of the connections. Each incoming connection is directed to one of the threads, and after a connection is established, it continues to be handled on the same thread

In the examples we saw earlier, main() ran our function f() only once, on the first thread. Unless the server is run with the "-c1" option (one thread only), this will mean that any connection arriving to a different thread will not be handled. So in all the examples below, we will need to run the same service loop on all cores. We can easily do this with the smp::submit to function:

Here we ask each of Seastar cores (from 0 to smp::count-1) to run the same function service_loop(). Each of these invocations returns a future, and f() will return when all of them have returned (in the examples below, they will never return - we will discuss shutting down services in later sections).

We begin with a simple example of a TCP network server written in Seastar. This server repeatedly accepts connections on TCP port 1234, and returns an empty response:

This code works as follows:

- 1. The listen() call creates a server_socket object, listener, which listens on TCP port 1234 (on any network interface).
- 2. We use do with() to ensure that the listener socket lives throughout the loop.
- 3. To handle one connection, we call listener's accept() method. This method returns a future<accept_result>, i.e., is eventually resolved with an incoming TCP connection from a client (accept_result.connection) and the client's IP address and port (accept_result.remote_address).
- 4. To repeatedly accept new connections, we use the keep_doing() loop idiom. keep_doing() runs its lambda parameter over and over, starting the next iteration as soon as the future returned by the previous iteration completes. The iterations only stop if an exception is encountered. The future returned by keep_doing() itself completes only when the iteration stops (i.e., only on exception).

Output from this server looks like the following example:

```
$ ./a.out
Accepted connection from 127.0.0.1:47578
Accepted connection from 127.0.0.1:47582
...
```

If you run the above example server immediately after killing the previous server, it often fails to start again, complaining that:

```
$ ./a.out
program failed with uncaught exception: bind: Address already in use
```

This happens because by default, Seastar refuses to reuse the local port if there are any vestiges of old connections using that port. In our silly server, because the server is the side which first closes the connection, each connection lingers for a while in the "TIME_WAIT" state after being closed, and these prevent listen() on the same port from succeeding. Luckily, we can give listen an option to work despite these remaining TIME_WAIT. This option is analogous to socket(7)'s SO_REUSEADDR option:

```
seastar::listen_options lo;
lo.reuse_address = true;
return seastar::do_with(seastar::listen(seastar::make_ipv4_address({1234}), lo),
```

Most servers will always turn on this reuse_address listen option. Stevens' book "Unix Network Programming" even says that "All TCP servers should specify this socket option to allow the server to be restarted". Therefore in the future Seastar should probably default to this option being on — even if for historic

reasons this is not the default in Linux's socket API.

Let's advance our example server by outputting some canned response to each connection, instead of closing each connection immediately with an empty reply.

```
#include <seastar/core/seastar.hh>
#include <seastar/core/reactor.hh>
#include <seastar/core/future-util.hh>
#include <seastar/net/api.hh>
const char* canned response = "Seastar is the future!\n";
seastar::future<> service loop() {
    seastar::listen options lo:
    lo.reuse_address = true;
    return seastar::do_with(seastar::listen(seastar::make_ipv4_address({1234}), lo),
           [] (auto& listener) {
        return seastar::keep_doing([&listener] () {
            return listener.accept().then(
                   [] (seastar::accept_result res) {
                auto s = std::move(res.connection);
                auto out = s.output();
                return seastar::do_with(std::move(s), std::move(out),
                        [] (auto& s, auto& out) {
                    return out.write(canned response).then([&out] {
                        return out.close();
                   });
      });
               });
    });
}
```

The new part of this code begins by taking the <code>connected_socket</code>'s <code>output()</code>, which returns an <code>output_stream<char></code> object. On this output stream out we can write our response using the <code>write()</code> method. The simple-looking <code>write()</code> operation is in fact a complex asynchronous operation behind the scenes, possibly causing multiple packets to be sent, retransmitted, etc., as needed. <code>write()</code> returns a future saying when it is ok to <code>write()</code> again to this output stream; This does not necessarily guarantee that the remote peer received all the data we sent it, but it guarantees that the output stream has enough buffer space (or in the TCP case, there is enough room in the TCP congestion window) to allow another write to begin.

After write()ing the response to out, the example code calls out.close() and waits for the future it returns. This is necessary, because write() attempts to batch writes so might not have yet written anything to the TCP stack at this point, and only when close() concludes can we be sure that all the data we wrote to the output stream has actually reached the TCP stack — and only at this point we may finally dispose of the out and s objects.

Indeed, this server returns the expected response:

```
$ telnet localhost 1234
...
Seastar is the future!
Connection closed by foreign host.
```

In the above example we only saw writing to the socket. Real servers will also want to read from the socket. The <code>connected_socket</code>'s <code>input()</code> method returns an <code>input_stream<char></code> object which can be used to read from the socket. The simplest way to read from this stream is using the <code>read()</code> method which returns a future <code>temporary_buffer<char></code>, containing some more bytes read from the socket — or an empty buffer when the remote end shut down the connection.

temporary_buffer<char> is a convenient and safe way to pass around byte buffers that are only needed temporarily (e.g., while processing a request). As soon as this object goes out of scope (by normal return, or exception), the memory it holds gets automatically freed. Ownership of buffer can also be transferred by std::move() ing it. We'll discuss temporary_buffer in more details in a later section.

Let's look at a simple example server involving both reads an writes. This is a simple echo server, as described in RFC 862: The server listens for connections from the client, and once a connection is established, any data received is simply sent back - until the client closes the connection.

```
#include <seastar/core/seastar.hh>
#include <seastar/core/reactor.hh>
#include <seastar/core/future-util.hh>
#include <seastar/net/api.hh>
seastar::future<> handle connection(seastar::connected socket s,
                                    seastar::socket_address a) {
    auto out = s.output();
    auto in = s.input();
    return do with(std::move(s), std::move(out), std::move(in),
            [] (auto& s, auto& out, auto& in) {
        return seastar::repeat([&out, &in] {
            return in.read().then([&out] (auto buf) {
                if (buf) {
                    return out.write(std::move(buf)).then([&out] {
                        return out.flush();
                    }).then([] {
                        return seastar::stop iteration::no;
                    });
                } else {
                    return seastar::make ready future<seastar::stop iteration>(
                            seastar::stop_iteration::yes);
            });
        }).then([&out] {
            return out.close();
```

```
});
}
seastar::future<> service_loop_3() {
    seastar::listen options lo;
    lo.reuse_address = true;
    return seastar::do with(seastar::listen(seastar::make ipv4 address({1234}), lo),
            [] (auto& listener) {
        return seastar::keep doing([&listener] () {
            return listener.accept().then(
                    [] (seastar::accept_result res) {
                // Note we ignore, not return, the future returned by
                // handle_connection(), so we do not wait for one
                // connection to be handled before accepting the next one.
                (void)handle_connection(std::move(res.connection), std::move(res.remote_address)).handle_exception(
                        [] (std::exception ptr ep) {
                    fmt::print(stderr, "Could not handle connection: {}\n", ep);
               });
           });
       });
   });
}
```

The main function <code>service_loop()</code> loops accepting new connections, and for each connection calls <code>handle_connection()</code> to handle this connection. Our <code>handle_connection()</code> returns a future saying when handling this connection completed, but importantly, we do <code>not</code> wait for this future: Remember that <code>keep_doing</code> will only start the next iteration when the future returned by the previous iteration is resolved. Because we want to allow parallel ongoing connections, we don't want the next <code>accept()</code> to wait until the previously accepted connection was closed. So we call <code>handle_connection()</code> to start the handling of the connection, but return nothing from the continuation, which resolves that future immediately, so <code>keep_doing</code> will continue to the next <code>accept()</code>.

This demonstrates how easy it is to run parallel *fibers* (chains of continuations) in Seastar - When a continuation runs an asynchronous function but ignores the future it returns, the asynchronous operation continues in parallel, but never waited for.

It is often a mistake to silently ignore an exception, so if the future we're ignoring might resolve with an except, it is recommended to handle this case, e.g. using a handle_exception() continuation. In our case, a failed connection is fine (e.g., the client might close its connection will we're sending it output), so we did not bother to handle the exception.

The handle_connection() function itself is straightforward — it repeatedly calls read() read on the input stream, to receive a temporary_buffer with some data, and then moves this temporary buffer into a write() call on the output stream. The buffer will eventually be freed, automatically, when the write() is done with it. When read() eventually returns an empty buffer signifying the end of input, we stop repeat's iteration by returning a stop iteration::yes.

19 Sharded services

In the previous section we saw that a Seastar application usually needs to run its code on all available CPU cores. We saw that the seastar::smp::submit_to() function allows the main function, which initially runs only on the first core, to start the server's code on all seastar::smp::count cores.

However, usually one needs not just to run code on each core, but also to have an object that contains the state of this code. Additionally, one may like to interact with those different objects, and also have a mechanism to stop the service running on the different cores.

The seastar::sharded<T> template provides a structured way create such a sharded service. It creates a separate object of type T in each core, and provides mechanisms to interact with those copies, to start some code on each, and finally to cleanly stop the service.

To use seastar::sharded, first create a class for the object holding the state of the service on a single core. For example:

The only mandatory method in this object is stop(), which will be called in each core when we want to stop the sharded service and want to wait until it stops on all cores.

Now let's see how to use it:

```
#include <seastar/core/sharded.hh>
seastar::sharded<my_service> s;
seastar::future<> f() {
    return s.start(std::string("hello")).then([] {
        return s.invoke_on_all([] (my_service& local_service) {
            return local_service.run();
        });
    }).then([] {
```

```
return s.stop();
});
```

The s.start() starts the service by creating a my_service object on each of the cores. The arguments to s.start(), if any (in this example, std::string("hello")), are passed to my service's constructor.

But s.start() did not start running any code yet (besides the object's constructor). For that, we have the s.invoke_on_all() which runs the given lambda on all the cores - giving each lambda the local my_service object on that core. In this example, we have a run() method on each object, so we run that.

Finally, at the end of the run we want to give the service on all cores a chance to shut down cleanly, so we call s.stop(). This will call the stop() method on each core's object, and wait for all of them to finish. Calling s.stop() before destroying s is mandatory - Seastar will warn you if you forget to do it.

In addition to invoke_on_all() which runs the same code on all shards, another feature a sharded service often needs is for one shard to invoke code another specific shard. This is done by calling the sharded service's invoke_on() method. For example:

This runs the lambda function on shard 0, with a reference to the local my_service object on that shard.

20 Shutting down cleanly

TODO: Handling interrupt, shutting down services, etc.

Move the seastar::gate section here.

21 Command line options

21.1 Standard Seastar command-line options

All Seastar applications accept a standard set of command-line arguments, such as those we've already seen above: The -c option for controlling the number of threads used, or -m for determining the amount of memory given to the application.

TODO: list and explain more of these options.

Every Seastar application also accepts the -h (or --help) option, which lists and explains all the available options — the standard Seastar ones, and the user-defined ones as explained below.

21.2 User-defined command-line options

Seastar parses the command line options (argv[]) when it is passed to app_template::run(), looking for its own standard options. Therefore, it is not recommended that the application tries to parse argv[] on its own because the application might not understand some of the standard Seastar options and not be able to correctly skip them.

Rather, applications which want to have command-line options of their own should tell Seastar's command line parser of these additional application-specific options, and ask Seastar's command line parser to recognize them too. Seastar's command line parser is actually the Boost library's boost::program_options. An application adds its own option by using the add_options() and add_positional_options() methods on the app_template to define options, and later calling configuration() to retrieve the setting of these options. For example,

```
#include <iostream>
#include <seastar/core/app-template.hh>
#include <seastar/core/reactor.hh>
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    seastar::app_template app;
    namespace bpo = boost::program_options;
    app.add options()
        ("flag", "some optional flag")
        ("size,s", bpo::value<int>()->default_value(100), "size")
    app.add positional options({
       { "filename", bpo::value<std::vector<seastar::sstring>>()->default_value({}),
          "sstable files to verify", -1}
    app.run(argc, argv, [&app] {
        auto& args = app.configuration();
        if (args.count("flag")) {
            std::cout << "Flag is on\n";</pre>
        std::cout << "Size is " << args["size"].as<int>() << "\n";
        auto& filenames = args["filename"].as<std::vector<seastar::sstring>>();
        for (auto&& fn : filenames) {
            std::cout << fn << "\n";
        return seastar::make_ready_future<>();
    });
    return 0:
```

In this example, we add via add_options() two application-specific options: --flag is an optional parameter which doesn't take any additional arguments, and --size (or -s) takes an integer value, which defaults (if this option is missing) to 100. Additionally, we ask via add_positional_options() that an

unlimited number of arguments that do not begin with a "-" — the so-called *positional* arguments — be collected to a vector of strings under the "filename" option. Some example outputs from this program:

```
$ ./a.out
Size is 100
$ ./a.out --flag
Flag is on
Size is 100
$ ./a.out --flag -s 3
Flag is on
Size is 3
$ ./a.out --size 3 hello hi
Size is 3
hello
hi
$ ./a.out --filename hello --size 3 hi
Size is 3
hello
hi
```

boost::program_options has more powerful features, such as required options, option checking and combining, various option types, and more. Please refer to Boost's documentation for more information.

22 Debugging a Seastar program

22.1 Debugging ignored exceptions

If a future resolves with an exception, and the application neglects to handle that exception or to explicitly ignore it, the application may have missed an important problem. This is likely to be an application bug.

Therefore, Seastar prints a warning message to the log if a future is destroyed when it stores an exception that hasn't been handled.

For example, consider this code:

```
#include <seastar/core/future.hh>
#include <seastar/core/app-template.hh>
#include <seastar/core/app-template.hh>

class myexception {};

seastar::future<> g() {
    return seastar::make_exception_future<>(myexception());
}

seastar::future<> f() {
    g();
    return seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(1));
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    seastar::app_template app;
    app.run(argc, argv, f);
}
```

Here, the main function £() calls g(), but doesn't do anything with the future it returns. But this future resolves with an exception, and this exception is silently ignored. So Seastar prints this warning message about the ignored exception:

```
2020-03-31 11:08:09,208 [shard 0] seastar - Exceptional future ignored: myexception, backtrace:
/lib64/libasan.so.5+0x6ce7f
  0x1a64193
  0x1a6265f
  0xf326cc
  0xeaf1a0
  0xeaffe4
  0xead7be
  0xeb5917
  0xee2477
  0xec312e
  0xec8fcf
  0xeec765
  0xee1b29
  0xed9fab
  0xec27c8
  0xec867f
  0xf00acc
  0xef179d
  0xef1824
  0xef18b5
  0xee4827
  0xee470f
  0xf00f81
  0xebac29
  0xeb9095
  0xeb9174
  0xeb925a
  0xeb9964
  0xebef89
  0x10f74c3
  0x10fb439
  0x11005fd
  0xec4f08
```

```
0xec2f43
0xec3461
0xeadabe
/lib64/libc.so.6+0x271a2
0xead52d
```

This message says that an exceptional future was ignored, and that the type of the exception was "myexception". The type of the exception is usually not enough to pinpoint where the problem happened, so the warning message also includes the backtrace - the call chain - leading to where the exceptional future was destroyed. The backtrace is given as a list of addresses, where code in other shared libraries is written as a shared library plus offset (when ASLR is enabled, the shared libraries are mapped in a different address each time).

Seastar includes a utility, seastar-addr2line, for translating these addresses into readable backtraces including exact method names, source files and line numbers. This utility needs the *unstripped* executable. Typically, a stripped executable is used for production, but an unstripped copy is kept separately to be used in debugging - including seastar-addr2line.

To decode the backtrace, we run

```
seastar-addr2line -e a.out
```

And then paste the list of addresses in the warning message, and conclude with a control-D (it's also possible, if you want, to put the list of addresses in the seastar-addr2line command line). The result looks like this:

```
seastar::backtrace<seastar::current_backtrace()::{lambda(seastar::frame)#1}>
(seastar::current_backtrace()::{lambda(seastar::frame)#1}&&) at include/seastar/util/backtrace.hh:56
seastar::current_backtrace() at src/util/backtrace.cc:84
seastar::report_failed_future(std::_exception_ptr::exception_ptr const&) at src/core/future.cc:116 seastar::future_state_base::~future_state_base() at include/seastar/core/future.hh:335
seastar::future_state<>::~future_state() at include/seastar/core/future.hh:414
 (inlined by) seastar::future<>::~future() at include/seastar/core/future.hh:990
f() at test.cc:12
std::_Function_handler<seastar::future<> (), seastar::future<> (*)()>::_M_invoke(std::_Any_data const&) at
/usr/include/c++/9/bits/std_function.h:286
std::function<seastar::future<> ()>::operator()() const at /usr/include/c++/9/bits/std_function.h:690
seastar::app_template::run(int, char
const at src/core/app-template.cc:131
                                                 std::function<seastar::future<> ()>&&)::{lambda()#1}::operator()()
                                      char**,
std::_Function_handler<seastar::future<int>
                                                                         seastar::app_template::run(int,
                                                            (),
std::function<seastar::future<>
                                             ()>&&)::{lambda()#1}>:: M invoke(std:: Any data
                                                                                                            const&)
                                                                                                                             at.
/usr/include/c++/9/bits/std function.h:286
std::function<seastar::future<int> ()>::operator()() const at /usr/include/c++/9/bits/std_function.h:690
seastar::future<int> seastar::futurize<seastar::future<int> >::invoke<std::function<seastar::future<int> ()>&>
(std::function<seastar::future<int> ()>&) at include/seastar/core/future.hh:1670 auto seastar::futurize_invoke<std::function<seastar::future<int> ()>&>(std::function<seastar::future<int> ()>&) at include/seastar/core/future.hh:1754
seastar::app template::run(int, char**,
                                               std::function<seastar::future<int> ()>&&)::{lambda()#1}::operator()()
at src/core/app-template.cc:120 (discriminator 4)
std::Function handler<void (), seastar::app template::run(int, char**, std::function<seastar::f()>&&)::{lambda()#1}>::_M_invoke(std::_Any_data const&) at /usr/include/c++/9/bits/std_function.h:300
                                                                                         std::function<seastar::future<int>
std::function<void ()>::operator()() const at /usr/include/c++/9/bits/std_function.h:690
seastar::apply helper<std::function<void ()>&, std::tuple<>&&, std::integer
>::apply(std::function<void ()>&, std::tuple<>&&) at include/seastar/core/apply.hh:36
auto seastar::apply<std::function<void ()>&>(std::function<void ()>&,
                                                                                  std::integer_sequence<unsigned
                                                                                                                           long>
                                                       ()>&>(std::function<void
                                                                                                      std::tuple<>&&)
                                                                                                                              at
include/seastar/core/apply.hh:44
seastar::future<>
                         seastar::futurize<void>::apply<std::function<void</pre>
                                                                                        ()>&>(std::function<void
                                                                                                                           ()>&.
std::tuple<>&&) at include/seastar/core/future.hh:1634
         seastar::futurize_apply<std::function<void
                                                             ()>&>(std::function<void
                                                                                              ()>\&,
include/seastar/core/future.hh:1766
                                                                seastar::future<>
seastar::future<>::then<std::function<void
                                                                                          >(std::function<void
                                                      ()>,
                                                                                                                       ()>&&)::
{lambda()#1)::operator()() at include/seastar/core/future.hh:1191 seastar::noncopyable_function<seastar::future<>
()>::direct vtable for<seastar::future<>::then<std::function<void ()>, seastar::future<> >(std::function<void
()>&&)::{lambda()#1}>::call(seastar::noncopyable_function<seastar::future<>
                                                                                               ()>
                                                                                                            const*)
                                                                                                                             at
include/seastar/util/noncopyable_function.hh:101
seastar::noncopyable function<seastar::future<>
                                                                     ()>::operator()()
                                                                                                         const
                                                                                                                              at
include/seastar/util/noncopyable_function.hh:184
seastar::apply helper<seastar::noncopyable function<seastar::future<>
                                                                                                               std::tuple<>&&,
                                                                                             ()>,
                                                  >::apply(seastar::noncopyable_function<seastar::future<>
                                                                                                                         ()>&&,
std::integer sequence<unsigned</pre>
                                       long>
std::tuple<>&&) at include/seastar/core/apply.hh:36
                            seastar::apply<seastar::noncopyable function<seastar::future<>
auto
                                                                                                                            ()>>
(seastar::noncopyable_function<seastar::future<> ()>&&, std::tuple<>&&) at include/seastar/core/apply.hh:44
seastar::future<> seastar::future<> >::apply<seastar::noncopyable_function<seastar::future<>>
()>>(seastar::noncopyable function<seastar::future<>
                                                                                              std::tuple<>&&)
                                                                          ()>&&,
include/seastar/core/future.hh:1660
{\tt seastar::future <>::then\_impl\_nrvo < seastar::noncopyable\_function < seastar::future <>}
                                                                                               ()>,
                                                                                                        seastar::future<>
                                                                   ()>&&)::{lambda()#1}::operator()()
(seastar::noncopyable function<seastar::future<>
                                                                                                                        const::
{lambda(seastar::internal::promise_base_with_type<>&,
                                                                                seastar::future_state<>&&)#1}::operator()
(seastar::internal::promise_base_with_type<>, seastar::future_state<>) at include/seastar/core/future.hh:1213
seastar::continuation<seastar::internal::promise_base_with_type<>,
seastar::future<>::then_impl_nrvo<seastar::noncopyable_function<seastar::future<>
                                                                                               ()>,
(seastar::noncopyable_function<seastar::future<>
                                                                   ()>&&)::{lambda()#1}::operator()()
                                                                                                                        const::
{lambda(seastar::internal::promise_base_with_type<>&,
                                                                 seastar::future_state<>&&)#1}>::run_and_dispose()
                                                                                                                             at
include/seastar/core/future.hh:509
seastar::reactor::run_tasks(seastar::reactor::task_queue&) at src/core/reactor.cc:2124
seastar::reactor::run_some_tasks() at src/core/reactor.cc:2539 (discriminator 2) seastar::reactor::run() at src/core/reactor.cc:2694
seastar::app_template::run_deprecated(int, char**, std::function<void ()>&&) at src/core/app-template.cc:199
(discriminator 1)
seastar::app_template::run(int,
                                                    std::function<seastar::future<int>
                                       char**,
                                                                                                                 src/core/app-
template.cc:115 (discriminator 2)
seastar::app_template::run(int, char**, std::function<seastar::future<> ()>&&) at src/core/app-template.cc:130
(discriminator 2)
main at test.cc:19 (discriminator 1)
  libc_start_main at /usr/src/debug/glibc-2.30-34-g994e529a37/csu/../csu/libc-start.c:308
start at ??:?
```

Most of the lines at the bottom of this backtrace are not interesting, and just showing the internal details of how Seastar ended up running the main function £(). The only interesting part is the *first* few lines:

```
seastar::report_failed_future(std::__exception_ptr::exception_ptr const&) at src/core/future.cc:116
seastar::future_state_base::~future_state_base() at include/seastar/core/future.hh:335
seastar::future_state<>::~future_state() at include/seastar/core/future.hh:414
  (inlined by) seastar::future<>::~future() at include/seastar/core/future.hh:990
f() at test.cc:12
```

Here we see that the warning message was printed by the seastar::report_failed_future() function which was called when destroying a future (future<>::~future) that had not been handled. The future's destructor was called in line 11 of our test code (26.cc), which is indeed the line where we called g() and ignored its result.

This backtrace gives us an accurate understanding of where our code destroyed an exceptional future without handling it first, which is usually helpful in solving these kinds of bugs. Note that this technique does not tell us where the exception was first created, nor what code passed around the exceptional future before it was destroyed - we just learn where the future was destroyed. To learn where the exception was originally thrown, see the next section:

22.2 Finding where an exception was thrown

Sometimes an application logs an exception, and we want to know where in the code the exception was originally thrown. Unlike languages like Java, C++ does not have a builtin method of attaching a backtrace to every exception. So Seastar provides functions which allow adding to an exception the backtrace recorded when throwing it.

For example, in the following code we throw and catch an std::runtime error normally:

```
#include <seastar/core/future.hh>
#include <seastar/util/log.hh>
#include <exception>
#include <iostream>
seastar::future<> g() {
    return seastar::make_exception_future<>(std::runtime_error("hello"));
}
seastar::future<> f() {
    return g().handle_exception([](std::exception_ptr e) {
        std::cerr << "Exception: " << e << "\n";
    });
}</pre>
```

The output is

```
Exception: std::runtime_error (hello)
```

From this output, we have no way of knowing that the exception was thrown in g(). We can solve this if we use make exception future with backtrace instead of make exception future:

```
#include <util/backtrace.hh>
seastar::future<> g() {
   return seastar::make_exception_future_with_backtrace<>(std::runtime_error("hello"));
}
```

Now the output looks like

Which, as above, can be converted to a human-readable backtrace by using the seastar-addr2line script.

In addition to seastar::make_exception_future_with_backtrace(), Seastar also provides a function throw_with_backtrace(), to throw an exception instead of returning an exceptional future. For example:

```
seastar::throw_with_backtrace<std::runtime_error>("hello");
```

In the current implementation, both make_exception_future_with_backtrace and throw_with_backtrace require that the original exception type (in the above example, std::runtime_error) is a subclass of the std::exception class. The original exception provides a what() string, and the wrapped exception adds the backtrace to this string, as demonstrated above. Moreover, the wrapped exception type is a *subclass* of the original exception type, which allows catch(...) code to continue filtering by the exception original type - despite the addition of the backtrace.

22.3 Debugging with gdb

```
handle SIGUSR1 pass noprint
handle SIGALRM pass noprint
```

23 Promise objects

As we already defined above, An **asynchronous function**, also called a **promise**, is a function which returns a future and arranges for this future to be eventually resolved. As we already saw, an asynchronous function is usually written in terms of other asynchronous functions, for example we saw the function slow() which waits for the existing asynchronous function sleep() to complete, and then returns 3:

```
seastar::future<int> slow() {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    return seastar::sleep(100ms).then([] { return 3; });
}
```

The most basic building block for writing promises is the **promise object**, an object of type promise<T>. A promise<T> has a method future<T> get_future() to returns a future, and a method set_value(T), to resolve this future. An asynchronous function can create a promise object, return its future, and the set_value method to be eventually called - which will finally resolve the future it returned.

CONTINUE HERE. write an example, e.g., something which writes a message every second, and after 10 messages, completes the future.

24 Memory allocation in Seastar

24.1 Per-thread memory allocation

Seastar requires that applications be sharded, i.e., that code running on different threads operate on different objects in memory. We already saw in Seastar memory how Seastar takes over a given amount of memory (often, most of the machine's memory) and divides it equally between the different threads. Modern multi-socket machines have non-uniform memory access (NUMA), meaning that some parts of memory are closer to some of the cores, and Seastar takes this knowledge into account when dividing the memory between threads. Currently, the division of memory between threads is static, and equal - the threads are expected to experience roughly equal amount of load and require roughly equal amounts of memory.

To achieve this per-thread allocation, Seastar redefines the C library functions malloc(), free(), and their numerous relatives — calloc(), realloc(), posix_memalign(), memalign(), malloc_usable_size(), and malloc_trim(). It also redefines the C++ memory allocation functions, operator new, operator delete, and all their variants (including array versions, the C++14 delete taking a size, and the C++17 variants taking required alignment).

It is important to remember that Seastar's different threads *can* see memory allocated by other threads, but they are nonetheless strongly discouraged from actually doing this. Sharing data objects between threads on modern multi-core machines results in stiff performance penalties from locks, memory barriers, and cache-line bouncing. Rather, Seastar encourages applications to avoid sharing objects between threads when possible (by *sharding* — each thread owns a subset of the objects), and when threads do need to interact they do so with explicit message passing, with submit_to(), as we shall see later.

24.2 Foreign pointers

An object allocated on one thread will be owned by this thread, and eventually should be freed by the same thread. Freeing memory on the *wrong* thread is strongly discouraged, but is currently supported (albeit slowly) to support library code beyond Seastar's control. For example, std::exception_ptr allocates memory; So if we invoke an asynchronous operation on a remote thread and this operation returns an exception, when we free the returned std::exception_ptr this will happen on the "wrong" core. So Seastar allows it, but inefficiently.

In most cases objects should spend their entire life on a single thread and be used only by this thread. But in some cases we want to reassign ownership of an object which started its life on one thread, to a different thread. This can be done using a seastar::foreign_ptr<>. A pointer, or smart pointer, to an object is wrapped in a seastar::foreign_ptr<P>. This wrapper can then be moved into code running in a different thread (e.g., using submit_to()).

The most common use-case is a seastar::foreign_ptr<std::unique_ptr<T>>. The thread receiving this foreign_ptr will get exclusive use of the object, and when it destroys this wrapper, it will go back to the original thread to destroy the object. Note that the object is not only freed on the original shard - it is also destroyed (i.e., its destructor is run) there. This is often important when the object's destructor needs to access other state which belongs to the original shard - e.g., unlink itself from a container.

Although foreign_ptr ensures that the object's destructor automatically runs on the object's home thread, it does not absolve the user from worrying where to run the object's other methods. Some simple methods, e.g., methods which just read from the object's fields, can be run on the receiving thread. However, other methods may need to access other data owned by the object's home shard, or need to prevent concurrent operations. Even if we're sure that object is now used exclusively by the receiving thread, such methods must still be run, explicitly, on the home thread:

```
// fp is some foreign_ptr<>
return smp::submit_to(fp.get_owner_shard(), [p=fp.get()]
      { return p->some_method(); });
```

So seastar::foreign_ptr<> not only has functional benefits (namely, to run the destructor on the home shard), it also has documentational benefits - it warns the programmer to watch out every time the object is used, that this is a foreign pointer, and if we want to do anything non-trivial with the pointed object, we may need to do it on the home shard.

Above, we discussed the case of transferring ownership of an object to a another shard, via seastar::foreign_ptr<std::unique_ptr<T>>. However, sometimes the sender does not want to relinquish ownership of the object. Sometimes, it wants the remote thread to operate on its object and return with the object intact. Sometimes, it wants to send the same object to multiple shards. In such cases, seastar::foreign_ptr<seastar::lw_shared_ptr<T>> is useful. The user needs to watch out, of course, not to operate on the same object from multiple threads concurrently. If this cannot be ensured by program logic alone, some methods of serialization must be used - such as running the operations on the home shard withsubmit_to() as described above.

Normally, a seastar::foreign_ptr cannot not be copied - only moved. However, when it holds a smart pointer that can be copied (namely, a shared_ptr), one may want to make an additional copy of that pointer and create a second foreign_ptr. Doing this is inefficient and asynchronous (it requires communicating with the original owner of the object to create the copies), so a method future<foreign_ptr> copy() needs to be explicitly used instead of the normal copy constructor.

25 Seastar::thread

Seastar's programming model, using futures and continuations, is very powerful and efficient. However, as we've already seen in examples above, it is also relatively verbose: Every time that we need to wait before proceeding with a computation, we need to write another continuation. We also need to worry about passing the data between the different continuations (using techniques like those described in the Lifetime management section). Simple flow-control constructs such as loops also become more involved using continuations. For example, consider this simple classical synchronous code:

```
std::cout << "Hi.\n";
for (int i = 1; i < 4; i++) {
    sleep(1);
    std::cout << i << "\n";
}</pre>
```

In Seastar, using futures and continuations, we need to write something like this:

```
std::cout << "Hi.\n";
return seastar::do_for_each(boost::counting_iterator<int>(1),
```

```
boost::counting_iterator<int>(4), [] (int i) {
   return seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(1)).then([i] {
      std::cout << i << "\n";
   });
});</pre>
```

But Seastar also allows, via seastar::thread, to write code which looks more like synchronous code. A seastar::thread provides an execution environment where blocking is tolerated; You can issue an asynchronous function, and wait for it in the same function, rather then establishing a callback to be called with future<>::then():

```
seastar::thread th([] {
    std::cout << "Hi.\n";
    for (int i = 1; i < 4; i++) {
        seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(1)).get();
        std::cout << i << "\n";
    }
});</pre>
```

A seastar::thread is **not** a separate operating system thread. It still uses continuations, which are scheduled on Seastar's single thread (per core). It works as follows:

The seastar::thread allocates a 128KB stack, and runs the given function until the it blocks on the call to a future's get() method. Outside a seastar::thread context, get() may only be called on a future which is already available. But inside a thread, calling get() on a future which is not yet available stops running the thread function, and schedules a continuation for this future, which continues to run the thread's function (on the same saved stack) when the future becomes available.

Just like normal Seastar continuations, seastar::threads always run on the same core they were launched on. They are also cooperative: they are never preempted except when seastar::future::get() blocks or on explicit calls to seastar::thread::yield().

It is worth reiterating that a seastar::thread is not a POSIX thread, and it can only block on Seastar futures, not on blocking system calls. The above example used seastar::sleep(), not the sleep() system call. The seastar::thread's function can throw and catch exceptions normally. Remember that get() will throw an exception if the future resolves with an exception.

In addition to seastar::future::get(), we also have seastar::future::wait() to wait without fetching the future's result. This can sometimes be useful when you want to avoid throwing an exception when the future failed (as get() does). For example:

```
future<char> getchar();
int try_getchar() noexcept { // run this in seastar::thread context
    future fut = get_char();
    fut.wait();
    if (fut.failed()) {
        return -1;
    } else {
        // Here we already know that get() will return immediately,
        // and will not throw.
        return fut.get();
    }
}
```

25.1 Starting and ending a seastar::thread

After we created a seastar::thread object, we need wait until it ends, using its join() method. We also need to keep that object alive until join() completes. A complete example using seastar::thread will therefore look like this:

The seastar::async() function provides a convenient shortcut for creating a seastar::thread and returning a future which resolves when the thread completes:

```
#include <seastar/core/sleep.hh>
#include <seastar/core/thread.hh>
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::async([] {
        std::cout << "Hi.\n";
        for (int i = 1; i < 4; i++) {
            seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(1)).get();
            std::cout << i << "\n";
        }
    });
}</pre>
```

seastar::async()'s lambda may return a value, and seastar::async() returns it when it completes. For example:

While seastar::threads and seastar::async() make programming more convenient, they also add overhead beyond that of programming directly with continuations. Most notably, each seastar::thread requires additional memory for its stack. It is therefore not a good idea to use a seastar::thread to handle a highly concurrent operation. For example, if you need to handle 10,000 concurrent requests, do not use a seastar::thread to handle each — use futures and continuations. But if you are writing code where you know that only a few instances will ever run concurrently, e.g., a background cleanup operation in your application, seastar::thread is a good match. seastar::thread is also great for code which doesn't care about performance — such as test code.

26 Isolation of application components

Seastar makes multi-tasking very easy - as easy as running an asynchronous function. It is therefore easy for a server to do many unrelated things in parallel. For example, a server might be in the process of answering 100 users' requests, and at the same time also be making progress on some long background operation.

But in the above example, what percentage of the CPU and disk throughput will the background operation get? How long can one of the user's requests be delayed by the background operation? Without the mechanisms we describe in this section, these questions cannot be reliably answered:

- The background operation may be a very "considerate" single fiber, i.e., run a very short continuation and then schedule the next continuation to run later. At each point the scheduler sees 100 request-handling continuations and just one of the background continuations ready to run. The background task gets around 1% of the CPU time, and users' requests are hardly delayed.
- On the other hand, the background operation may spawn 1,000 fibers in parallel and have 1,000 ready-to-run continuations at each time. The background operation will get about 90% of the runtime, and the continuation handling a user's request may get stuck behind 1,000 of these background continuations, and experience huge latency.

Complex Seastar applications often have different components which run in parallel and have different performance objectives. In the above example we saw two components - user requests and the background operation. The first goal of the mechanisms we describe in this section is to *isolate* the performance of each component from the others; In other words, the throughput and latency of one component should not depend on decisions that another component makes -e.g., how many continuations it runs in parallel. The second goal is to allow the application to *control* this isolation, e.g., in the above example allow the application to explicitly control the amount of CPU the background operation receives, so that it completes at a desired pace.

In the above examples we used CPU time as the limited resource that the different components need to share effectively. As we show later, another important shared resource is disk I/O.

26.1 Scheduling groups (CPU scheduler)

Consider the following asynchronous function loop(), which loops until some shared variable stop becomes true. It keeps a counter of the number of iterations until stopping, and returns this counter when finally stopping.

The parallelism parameter determines the parallelism of the silly counting operation: parallelism=1 means we have just one loop incrementing the counter; parallelism=10 means we start 10 loops in parallel all incrementing the same counter.

What happens if we start two loop() calls in parallel and let them run for 10 seconds?

```
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::do_with(false, [] (bool& stop) {
        seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(10)).then([&stop] {
            stop = true;
        });
    return seastar::when_all_succeed(loop(1, stop), loop(1, stop)).then(
        [] (long n1, long n2) {
            std::cout << "Counters: " << n1 << ", " << n2 << "\n";
        });
    });
}</pre>
```

It turns out that if the two loop() calls had the same parallelism 1, we get roughly the same amount of work from both of them:

```
Counters: 3'559'635'758, 3'254'521'376
```

But if for example we ran a loop(1) in parallel with a loop(10), the result is that the loop(10) gets 10 times more work done:

```
Counters: 629'482'397, 6'320'167'297
```

Why does the amount of work that loop(1) can do in ten seconds depends on the parallelism chosen by its competitor, and how can we solve this?

The reason this happens is as follows: When a future resolves and a continuation was linked to it, this continuation becomes ready to run. By default, Seastar's scheduler keeps a single list of ready-to-run continuations (in each shard, of course), and runs the continuations at the same order they became ready to run. In the above example, loop(1) always has one ready-to-run continuation, but loop(10), which runs 10 loops in parallel, always has ten ready-to-run

continuations. So for every continuation of loop(1), Seastar's default scheduler will run 10 continuations of loop(10), which is why loop(10) gets 10 times more work done

To solve this, Seastar allows an application to define separate components known as **scheduling groups**, which each has a separate list of ready-to-run continuations. Each scheduling group gets to run its own continuations on a desired percentage of the CPU time, but the number of runnable continuations in one scheduling group does not affect the amount of CPU that another scheduling group gets. Let's look at how this is done:

A scheduling group is defined by a value of type scheduling_group. This value is opaque, but internally it is a small integer (similar to a process ID in Linux). We use the seastar::with_scheduling_group() function to run code in the desired scheduling group:

```
seastar::future<long>
loop_in_sg(int parallelism, bool& stop, seastar::scheduling_group sg) {
    return seastar::with_scheduling_group(sg, [parallelism, &stop] {
        return loop(parallelism, stop);
    });
}
```

TODO: explain what with scheduling group group really does, how the group is "inherited" to the continuations started inside it.

Now let's create two scheduling groups, and run loop(1) in the first scheduling group and loop(10) in the second scheduling group:

```
seastar::future<> f() {
    return seastar::when all succeed(
            seastar::create_scheduling_group("loop1", 100),
            seastar::create_scheduling_group("loop2", 100)).then(
        [] (seastar::scheduling group sql, seastar::scheduling group sq2) {
        return seastar::do_with(false, [sg1, sg2] (bool& stop) {
            seastar::sleep(std::chrono::seconds(10)).then([&stop] {
                stop = true;
            });
            return seastar::when_all_succeed(loop_in_sg(1, stop, sg1), loop_in_sg(10, stop, sg2)).then(
                [] (long n1, long n2) {
                    std::cout << "Counters: " << n1 << ", " << n2 << "\n";
                });
        });
    });
}
```

Here we created two scheduling groups, sg1 and sg2. Each scheduling group has an arbitrary name (which is used for diagnostic purposes only), and a number of *shares*, a number traditionally between 1 and 1000: If one scheduling group has twice the number of shares than a second scheduling group, it will get twice the amount of CPU time. In this example, we used the same number of shares (100) for both groups, so they should get equal CPU time.

Unlike most objects in Seastar which are separate per shard, Seastar wants the identities and numbering of the scheduling groups to be the same on all shards, because it is important when invoking tasks on remote shards. For this reason, the function to create a scheduling group, seastar::create_scheduling_group(), is an asynchronous function returning a future<scheduling_group>.

Running the above example, with both scheduling group set up with the same number of shares (100), indeed results in both scheduling groups getting the same amount of CPU time:

```
Counters: 3'353'900'256, 3'350'871'461
```

Note how now both loops got the same amount of work done - despite one loop having 10 times the parallelism of the second loop.

If we change the definition of the second scheduling group to have 200 shares, twice the number of shares of the first scheduling group, we'll see the second scheduling group getting twice the amount of CPU time:

```
Counters: 2'273'783'385, 4'549'995'716
```

26.2 Latency

TODO: Task quota, preempt, loops with built-in preemption check, etc.

26.3 Disk I/O scheduler

TODO

26.4 Network scheduler

TODO: Say that not yet available. Give example of potential problem - e.g., sharing a slow WAN link.

26.5 Controllers

TODO: Talk about how to dynamically change the number of shares, and why.

26.6 Multi-tenancy

TODO