

lagalopex' crackme cm1 - solution

*"Get a working key/keygen.
Allowed are only GPLed-tools.
Patching/Hijacking prohibited ;)"*

I – Preview of the binary

II – Static binary analysis

III – Writing a keyfile generator

I – Preview of the binary

```
saudade ~ $ file ./cm1
```

```
./cm1: ELF 32-bit LSB executable, Intel 80386, version 1 (SYSV), for  
GNU/Linux 2.6.9, dynamically linked (uses shared libs), not stripped
```

First, we can see the executable is a non-stripped dynamically linked ELF binary (its author noticed he wrote it in C/C++). It seems not to be packed.

```
saudade ~ $ ./cm1
```

```
Hello saudade, lets see what you've done so far...
```

No keyboard stroke is needed.

However:

```
saudade ~ $ strace ./cm1
```

```
...
```

```
open("/home/saudade/.key_saudade", O_RDONLY) = -1 ENOENT (No such  
file or directory)
```

```
exit_group(1)                                = ?
```

It is quite clear that it needs a keyfile in the directory where it has been run, which name is clearly like : .key_\$USERNAME

We can guess for a validation routine based on this keyfile.

II – Static binary analysis

```
saudade ~ $ gdb -q ./cm1
```

```
(no debugging symbols found)
```

```
Using host libthread_db library "/lib/libthread_db.so.1".
```

```
(gdb) disass main
```

Let's slowly understand the main() function body

Dump of assembler code for function main:

```
0x080484d4 <main+0>:  lea    0x4(%esp),%ecx  
0x080484d8 <main+4>:  and    $0xfffffffff0,%esp  
0x080484db <main+7>:  pushl  0xfffffffffc(%ecx)  
0x080484de <main+10>:  push   %ebp  
0x080484df <main+11>:  mov    %esp,%ebp  
0x080484e1 <main+13>:  push   %edi  
0x080484e2 <main+14>:  push   %esi  
0x080484e3 <main+15>:  push   %ebx  
0x080484e4 <main+16>:  push   %ecx  
0x080484e5 <main+17>:  sub    $0x1028,%esp  
0x080484eb <main+23>:  call   0x80483e0 <getuid@plt>  
0x080484f0 <main+28>:  sub    $0xc,%esp  
0x080484f3 <main+31>:  push   %eax  
0x080484f4 <main+32>:  call   0x80483a0 <getpwuid@plt>  
0x080484f9 <main+37>:  mov    %eax,0xffffefd8(%ebp)
```

We get from these lines a pointer to the user's passwd structure.

```

struct passwd {
    char    *pw_name;        /* user name */
    char    *pw_passwd;     /* user password */
    uid_t   pw_uid;         /* user ID */
    gid_t    pw_gid;        /* group ID */
    char    *pw_gecos;      /* real name */
    char    *pw_dir;        /* home directory */
    char    *pw_shell;      /* shell program */
};

```

The first dword of this structure holds the pointer to the username string used by the program.

This structure pointer is saved in 0xffffefd8(%ebp) ie [EBP-1028h]

```

0x080484ff <main+43>: pop    %esi
0x08048500 <main+44>: pop    %edi
0x08048501 <main+45>: pushl  (%eax)
0x08048503 <main+47>: xor     %esi,%esi
0x08048505 <main+49>: push   $0x8048750
0x0804850a <main+54>: call   0x80483d0 <printf@plt> ; on affiche le message d'accueil
0x0804850f <main+59>: mov    0xffffefd8(%ebp),%eax
0x08048515 <main+65>: pop    %ebx
0x08048516 <main+66>: lea    0xfffffee(%ebp),%ebx
0x0804851c <main+72>: pushl  (%eax)
0x0804851e <main+74>: pushl  0x14(%eax)
0x08048521 <main+77>: push   $0x804877f
0x08048526 <main+82>: push   $0x1001
0x0804852b <main+87>: push   %ebx
0x0804852c <main+88>: call   0x80483f0 <snprintf@plt> ; on g n re le nom de fichier
0x08048531 <main+93>: add    $0x18,%esp
0x08048534 <main+96>: push   $0x0
0x08048536 <main+98>: push   %ebx ; ".key_saudade"
0x08048537 <main+99>: call   0x8048400 <open@plt>
0x0804853c <main+104>: add    $0x10,%esp
0x0804853f <main+107>: cmp    $0xffffffff,%eax ; no file?
0x08048542 <main+110>: mov    %eax,%edi
0x08048544 <main+112>: mov    $0x1,%edx
0x08048549 <main+117>: movb   $0x20,0xffffefd3(%ebp)
0x08048550 <main+124>: movl   $0x0,0xffffefd4(%ebp)
0x0804855a <main+134>: jne    0x804863c <main+360>
0x08048560 <main+140>: jmp    0x8048682 <main+430>

```

We can bet that <main+430> leads to the crackme termination, ie that the validation has failed and that's confirmed:

```

0x08048682 <main+430>: lea    0xffffffff0(%ebp),%esp
0x08048685 <main+433>: mov    %edx,%eax
0x08048687 <main+435>: pop    %ecx
0x08048688 <main+436>: pop    %ebx
0x08048689 <main+437>: pop    %esi
0x0804868a <main+438>: pop    %edi
0x0804868b <main+439>: leave
0x0804868c <main+440>: lea    0xffffffc(%ecx),%esp
0x0804868f <main+443>: ret

```

There are few initialisations :

- 0xffffefd3(%ebp) := 0x20 (" ")
- 0xffffefd4(%ebp) := 0x0
- %esi := 0x0

Let's continue analysis to <main+360> :

```
0x0804863c <main+360>: push    %eax
0x0804863d <main+361>: lea     0xfffffffff(%ebp),%eax
0x08048640 <main+364>: push    $0x1
0x08048642 <main+366>: push    %eax
0x08048643 <main+367>: push    %edi
0x08048644 <main+368>: call    0x8048410 <read@plt>
; reading one byte from the file, loading it in 0xfffffffff(%ebp)
0x08048649 <main+373>: add     $0x10,%esp
0x0804864c <main+376>: dec     %eax
0x0804864d <main+377>: je      0x8048565 <main+145>
; if no byte is read (end of file), we go ahead
0x08048653 <main+383>: mov     0xffffefd8(%ebp),%edx
0x08048659 <main+389>: mov     0xffffefd4(%ebp),%ecx
0x0804865f <main+395>: mov     (%edx),%eax
; %eax = (struct passwd*)->pw_name;
0x08048661 <main+397>: xor     %edx,%edx
0x08048663 <main+399>: cmpb    $0x0, (%eax,%ecx,1)
; if byte ptr [eax+ecx] = 0 we won
0x08048667 <main+403>: jne     0x8048682 <main+430>
0x08048669 <main+405>: sub     $0xc,%esp
0x0804866c <main+408>: push    $0x804878a
0x08048671 <main+413>: call    0x80483b0 <puts@plt>
```

(gdb) x/s 0x804878a

0x804878a: "Seems you've got it ;)"

We now understand the byte pointed by %eax+%ecx has to be null.

But %eax is pointing to the username, so %ecx has just to be equal to the username length to fall on the null terminal char.

Initially that's not the case, because 0xffffefd4(%ebp) has been initialized before to 0x0, so %ecx := 0x0, and as the username is never empty, we must continue the analysis, in the case where end of file was not already reached, in <main+145>

The aim will be to come back later to those lines with %ecx := strlen(\$USERNAME)

We get to the beginning of the validation algorithm :

```
0x08048565 <main+145>:  mov    0xfffffffff(%ebp),%dl
0x08048568 <main+148>:  mov    %edx,%eax
0x0804856a <main+150>:  mov    %dl,0xffffefdf(%ebp)
0x08048570 <main+156>:  sub    $0x2a,%eax
0x08048573 <main+159>:  cmp    $0x5,%al
0x08048575 <main+161>:  ja     0x804867d <main+425>
0x0804857b <main+167>:  movzbl %al,%eax
0x0804857e <main+170>:  jmp    *0x80487a4(,%eax,4)
```

Literally:

%dl := the byte read in the keyfile

This one is saved in 0xffffefdf(%ebp)

%eax := byte read

%eax := %eax - 0x2A

If (%al > 5) goto exit;

else goto [0x80487a4 + %eax * 4]

From here, we instantly know that :

- %eax, hence the byte read can only take 6 values which are : 0x2A, 0x2B, 0x2C, 0x2D, 0x2E, 0x2F
- 0x80487a4 holds an array of 6 memory addresses

Actually :

(gdb) x/6x 0x80487a4

0x80487a4:	0x0804858f	0x08048585	0x080485bc
0x0804859b			
0x80487b4:	0x0804867d	0x080485a5	

Thus, if the byte read is :

- 0x2A ('*') we jump to 0x804858f, ie <main+187>
- 0x2B ('+') we jump to 0x8048585, ie <main+177>
- 0x2C (',') we jump to 0x80485bc, ie <main+232>
- 0x2D ('-') we jump to 0x804859b, ie <main+199>
- 0x2E ('.') we jump to 0x804867d, ie <main+425> (program end)

- 0x2F ('/') we jump to 0x80485a5, ie <main+209>

We do not care if the byte is ' . ', which would lead to the validation failure, to concentrate on the 5 other possibilities.

The most interesting case is when the byte is 0x2C (',')

```
0x080485bc <main+232>: push    %ecx
0x080485bd <main+233>: lea     0xfffffffff(%ebp),%ecx
0x080485c0 <main+236>: push    $0x1
0x080485c2 <main+238>: push    %ecx
0x080485c3 <main+239>: push    %edi
0x080485c4 <main+240>: call    0x8048410 <read@plt>
; we read a new byte in the file
0x080485c9 <main+245>: add     $0x10,%esp
0x080485cc <main+248>: dec     %eax
0x080485cd <main+249>: jne     0x804867d <main+425>
; if end of file is reached, then we failed
0x080485d3 <main+255>: movzbl 0xfffffffff(%ebp),%eax
0x080485d7 <main+259>: cmp     %esi,%eax
0x080485d9 <main+261>: jne     0x804867d <main+425>
; if the read byte is not equal to %esi then we failed
0x080485df <main+267>: mov     0xffffefd8(%ebp),%eax
0x080485e5 <main+273>: mov     0xffffefd4(%ebp),%ecx
0x080485eb <main+279>: mov     (%eax),%edx
0x080485ed <main+281>: movsbl (%edx,%ecx,1),%eax
0x080485f1 <main+285>: cmp     %eax,%esi
0x080485f3 <main+287>: jne     0x804867d <main+425>
; if the #ecx username letter is not equal to %esi then we failed :
; the byte read must be hence equal to this letter
0x080485f9 <main+293>: inc     %ecx ; otherwise %ecx is incremented
0x080485fa <main+294>: mov     %ecx,0xffffefd4(%ebp)
0x08048600 <main+300>: cmpb    $0x0,(%edx,%ecx,1)
0x08048604 <main+304>: jne     0x804861a <main+326>
0x08048606 <main+306>: push    %edx
0x08048607 <main+307>: lea     0xfffffffff(%ebp),%eax
0x0804860a <main+310>: push    $0x1
0x0804860c <main+312>: push    %eax
0x0804860d <main+313>: push    %edi
0x0804860e <main+314>: call    0x8048410 <read@plt>
0x08048613 <main+319>: add     $0x10,%esp
0x08048616 <main+322>: test    %eax,%eax
0x08048618 <main+324>: jne     0x804867d <main+425>
; if the #ecx username letter is null and if we reached end of file,
; we get back to our initial verification and we won
```

*; on the other hand if end of file is not reached at this instant
; then we failed*

```
0x0804861a <main+326>: mov     $0xa,%edx
0x0804861f <main+331>: mov     %esi,%eax
0x08048621 <main+333>: mov     %edx,%ecx
0x08048623 <main+335>: xor     %edx,%edx
0x08048625 <main+337>: div     %ecx
0x08048627 <main+339>: mov     %eax,%esi
0x08048629 <main+341>: jmp     0x8048630 <main+348>
```

On those last lines, executed only if %ecx is not equal to the username length, we have :

%esi := reminder of %esi / 0x0A

We see that to increment %ecx to our desired value, we must before do some operations on %esi to set it to the value of the byte read and to the value of the #ecx username letter .

```
0x08048630 <main+348>: mov     0xffffefd3(%ebp),%al
0x08048636 <main+354>: mov     %al,0xffffefd3(%ebp)
0x0804863c <main+360>: push    %eax
0x0804863d <main+361>: lea     0xfffffef3(%ebp),%eax
0x08048640 <main+364>: push    $0x1
0x08048642 <main+366>: push    %eax
0x08048643 <main+367>: push    %edi
0x08048644 <main+368>: call    0x8048410 <read@plt>
```

The value of the last read byte is saved in 0xffffefd3(%ebp)

We then get back to the code studied before, so we can say we will loop the same way on each byte of the keyfile.

So we have to modify %esi to success. For that, we can now take a look at the 4 other possibilities for the value of the byte read (0x2A, 0x2B, 0x2D, 0x2F)

For 0x2A, %esi := %esi + 5 :

```
0x0804858f <main+187>: add     $0x5,%esi
0x08048592 <main+190>: cmpb    $0x2a,0xffffefd3(%ebp)
0x08048599 <main+197>: jmp     0x80485af <main+219>
```

For 0x2B, %esi := %esi + 1 :

```
0x08048585 <main+177>: inc    %esi
0x08048586 <main+178>: cmpb   $0x2b,0xffffefd3(%ebp)
0x0804858d <main+185>: jmp     0x80485af <main+219>
```

For 0x2D, %esi := %esi - 1 :

```
0x0804859b <main+199>: dec    %esi
0x0804859c <main+200>: cmpb   $0x2d,0xffffefd3(%ebp)
0x080485a3 <main+207>: jmp     0x80485af <main+219>
```

For 0x2F, %esi := %esi - 5 :

```
0x080485a5 <main+209>: sub     $0x5,%esi
0x080485a8 <main+212>: cmpb   $0x2f,0xffffefd3(%ebp)
```

We have then 4 arithmetic operations to modify %esi

However, each comparison between the byte read and 0xffffefd3(%ebp), which holds the previous byte read, implies another condition :

```
0x080485af <main+219>: jne     0x804862b <main+343>
0x080485b1 <main+221>: inc     %ebx
0x080485b2 <main+222>: cmp     $0x5,%ebx
0x080485b5 <main+225>: jbe     0x8048630 <main+348>
0x080485b7 <main+227>: jmp     0x804867d <main+425>
```

...

```
0x0804862b <main+343>: mov     $0x1,%ebx
0x08048630 <main+348>: mov     0xffffefd3(%ebp),%a1
0x08048636 <main+354>: mov     %a1,0xffffefd3(%ebp)
```

If we use more than 5 consecutive identical bytes in the keyfile, %ebx will be incremented and we will failed.

Now that we are aware of the situation, let's synthetise what to do we have to do to success.

We must force %ecx to be equal to the username length to validate the routine. But the only way to modify %ecx is to increment it when reading a 0x2C byte. However to aim at this, we must modify %esi before so that it will be equal to the next byte read and to the #ecx username letter.

We then have to modify %esi with linear combination of +5, -5, +1, -1, then to read a 0x2C byte followed by the #ecx letter of the username and to compute that for each letter of the username, knowing at every iteration %esi is divided by 0x0A.

Concretely, in the keyfile, for each char :

```
'*' => %esi := %esi + 5
 '/' => %esi := %esi - 5
 '+' => %esi := %esi + 1
 '-' => %esi := %esi - 1
 ',' => verification of %esi then %ecx := %ecx + 1
```

The condition of the 5 consecutive identical byte is not a real problem, we just have to add the bytes '+-' or '-+' or '/' or '*/' each time it could be required. This will not affect the computation of %esi (both operations will cancel each other).*

III – Writing a keyfile generator

Here comes the C++ source of this crackme keyfile generator.

```
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <pwd.h>

#include <iostream>
#include <fstream>
#include <string>

using namespace std;

int main(void)
{
    const string username = getpwuid(getuid())->pw_name;
    const string keyfilename = ".key_" + username;
```

```

cout << "Generating " << keyfilename << endl;

ofstream keyfile(keyfilename.c_str());
if ( !keyfile.good() )
{
    cerr << "error: cannot open " << keyfilename << "
for writing" << endl;
    return EXIT_FAILURE;
}

keyfile.seekp(0, ios_base::beg);

string key = "";
int n = 0;

for ( int i = 0 ; i < username.size() ; ++i )
{
    char c = username[i], d;
    int j,x,y,z;

    d = c - n;
    x = d/25; y = (d % 25)/5; z = (d % 25) % 5;

    for ( j = 0 ; j < x ; ++j ) key += "*****+-";
    for ( j = 0 ; j < y ; ++j ) key += '*';
    for ( j = 0 ; j < z ; ++j ) key += '+';

    key += ','; key += c;

    n = c/10;
}

keyfile << key.c_str();
keyfile.close();
cout << "Done." << endl;

return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}

```

```

saudade ~ $ ./cm1_keygen
Generating .key_saudade
Done.
saudade ~ $ ./cm1
Hello saudade, lets see what you've done so far...
Seems you've got it ;)

```

Conclusion

*This crackme is relatively easy because of its code compacity and its absence of protections that could slow the analysis. However it is a nice practice for those who begin into the *NIX Reverse Code Engineering.*

I apologize for any english language mistakes, have fun.

DarKPhoenix @ French Reverse Engineering Team

darkphoenix@binary-reverser.org