Mersenne Mayhem

Author: supasuge

Challenge inspired by: Improved Lattice-Based Attack on Mersenne Low Hamming Ratio Search Problem

Please see the bottom for a detailed step-by-step explaination of the intended solution.

1. Background & AJPS Overview

The AJPS cryptosystem (Aggarwal–Joux–Prakash–Santha, Crypto 2018) is based on a Mersenne prime

$$p=2^n-1$$
, n prime.

Secret keys are two sparse integers $f,g\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ each of Hamming weight

$$\operatorname{Ham}(f) = \operatorname{Ham}(g) = w \approx \sqrt{n},$$

and the public key is

$$h \equiv f/g \pmod{p}$$
.

Encryption in the bit-by-bit scheme uses extra sparse a, b (also weight~w):

$$c = (-1)^m (a h + b),$$

and decryption recovers m by comparing

$$d = \operatorname{Ham}(c\,g) \ \leq 2w^2 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \ m = 0.$$

In the KEM version, one publishes (r, t = f r + g), encodes with an error-correcting code, and similar Hamming-distance tests recover the message.

2. Challenge Simplification

In this CTF challenge, we omit the bit-by-bit and KEM machinery and instead directly generate:

1. A Mersenne prime

$$p=2^n-1$$
, $n=11213$.

2. Two sparse secrets

$$f,g \in \{0,\ldots,p-1\}, \quad {\rm Ham}(f) = {\rm Ham}(g) = w = 10$$

3. Public key

$$h \equiv f/g \pmod{p}$$
.

Finally the flag is encrypted under AES-CBC with key derived as

$$secret = f \cdot g \pmod{p}, \quad K = SHA3_256(secret).$$

3. Hard Problem: MLHRSP

The underlying hard problem is the Mersenne Low Hamming Ratio Search Problem:

Given $p=2^n-1$, w, and

$$h \equiv f/g \pmod{p}$$

with $\operatorname{Ham}(f) = \operatorname{Ham}(g) = w$, find (f, g).

In AJPS this is one subproblem; in the KEM one solves a related MLHCSP with (r, t = f r + g).

4. Lattice-Based Attack as Bivariate Small-Root

1. Polynomial formulation

$$f-h\,g\equiv 0\pmod p\implies F(x_1,x_2)=x_1-h\,x_2,\quad F(f,g)\equiv 0$$

2. Bounds

$$|f| \leq X_1 = p^{\xi_1}, \quad |g| \leq X_2 = p^{\xi_2}, \quad \xi_1 = 0.31, \; \xi_2 = 0.69, \; \xi_1 + \xi_2 < 1.$$

3. Shift polynomials for s=2:

$$g_i(x_1,x_2) = x_2^{\,s-i}\, F(x_1,x_2)^i\, p^{\,s-i}, \quad i=0,1,2.$$

4. Scaling

 $x_1 \leftarrow X_1 x_1, \ x_2 \leftarrow X_2 x_2$ so the true root is "small."

- 5. Lattice basis $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{3 \times 3}$ from coefficient vectors of $g_i(X_1x_1, X_2x_2)$.
- 6. LLL-reduce $B \to B'$. The shortest row yields an integer polynomial $H(x_1, x_2)$ vanishing at $(f/X_1, g/X_2)$.
- 7. Recover (f,g) by clearing denominators or solving $\tau=x_1/x_2$.
- 8. Compute secret $= f \cdot g \pmod{p}$, derive AES key, decrypt flag.

5. Differences from Real AJPS

Aspect	Real AJPS	CTF Challenge
Secret weight \boldsymbol{w}	Chosen $pprox \sqrt{n}$ with $n>4w^2$ or $n>10w^2$	Fixed small $w=10,n=11213$
Encryption scheme	Bit-by-bit and/or KEM with ECC encoding	Single AES-CBC using $f \cdot g \pmod p$ as key
Public key material	h=f/g (bit-by-bit) or (r,t) (KEM)	Only $h=f/g$
Security assumption	MLHRSP + MLHCSP	Only MLHRSP
Attack focus	Break indistinguishability or message recovery	Direct key recovery \rightarrow AES key \rightarrow flag recovery

6. Conclusion & Takeaways

- By casting $h g \equiv f \pmod{p}$ as a bivariate small-root problem with $\xi_1 + \xi_2 < 1$, a tiny 3×3 lattice (LLL) suffices.
- This covers unbalanced secrets ($f < p^{0.31}$, $g < p^{0.69}$) far beyond the \sqrt{p} case.
- Once (f,g) are found, the AES-CBC flag is trivially decrypted after calculating the "secret" integer hashed via SHA3-256
- In real AJPS, additional parameters and error-correcting layers raise the bar beyond direct MLHRSP.

Code explained

1. Script initialization & Parameters

• Mersenne prime/parameter setup

```
m_prime = 11213 # mersenne prime
xi1, xi2 = 0.31, 0.69
w = 10
```

• These values correspond to $n = 11213, p = 2^n - 1, \xi_1 = 0.31, \xi_2 = 0.69, w = 10$

Randomness Source

```
rand = SystemRandom()
```

2. Challenge Parameter Generation (Simplification)

```
def get_number(n, h):
    # choose exactly h set-bits in n bits, always including the top bit
    low_position = rand.sample(range(n-1), h-1)
    positions = low_positions + [n-1]
    # assemble the integer with 1<<pos
    ...</pre>
```

• This picks a sparse integer of Hamming weight h, matching "two sparse secrets" $\operatorname{Ham}(f) = \operatorname{Ham}(g) = w$

```
def gen_params(n, w, xi1, xi2, af=1):
```

```
p = 2**n - 1

bf = int(n*xi1); bg = int(n*xi2*af)

f = get_number(bf, w)

g = get_number(bg, w) # repeat until gcd(f,g)=1

h = inverse(g, p)*f % p

return p, f, g, h
```

• This yields p, f, g, h as described previously

3. Flag Encryption

```
secret = (f*g) % p
K = sha3_256( secret.to_bytes(...) ).digest()
iv = get_random_bytes(16)
cipher = AES.new(K, AES.MODE_CBC, iv)
ciphertext = iv + cipher.encrypt(pad(flag,16))
```

- Here, the AES key is derived via $Key = SHA3_256(f \cdot g \mod p)$
- 4. Polynomial and Lattice Construction

```
def modular_bivariate_homogeneous(f, N, m, t, X, Y, ...):
    # f(x1,x2) = x1 - h x2
    # generate shifts g_i = x2^{m-i} · f^i · N^{m-i}
    shifts.append( y**(m-k) * f_**k * N**max(t-k,0) )
```

```
L, monomials = create_lattice(pr, shifts, [X,Y])
L = reduce_lattice(L)
polynomials = reconstruct_polynomials(L, f, N**t, monomials, [X,Y])
...
# solve g(t*y,1)=0 to recover (x1,x2)
```

- Step 1: Sets up $F(x_1, x_2) = x_1 hx_2$
- Step 3: Builds shifts $g_i(x_1,x_2)=x_2^{8-i}F(x_1,x_2)^ip^{8-i}, s=m=t=2$
- 4. Scaling $x_1 \leftarrow X_1 x_1, \ x_2 \leftarrow X_2 x_2$ so the true root is "small."
- Step 5: create_lattice collects their coefficient vectors into a single integer matrix B.
- Step 6: reduce_lattice (via LLL or flatter) shrinks B o B'

Note: Step 2 & 7 is described below

5. Solving and Root extraction

```
def attack(p, h, xi1, xi2, s=5):
    X = int(RR(p)**xi1); Y = int(RR(p)**xi2)
    for x0,y0 in modular_bivariate_homogeneous(..., X, Y):
        if (x0 - h*y0) % p == 0:
            return ZZ(x0), ZZ(y0)
    return None,None
```

- Step 2 (bounds): $|f| < X_1 = p^{0.31}, |g| < X_2 = p^{0.69}$
- Step 7: After LLL reduction, we obtain an integer polynomial H vanishing at the scaled root; solving for $\tau = x_1/x_2$ recovers the rational (f,g).
- attack() simply returns these and checks congruence to confirm.

6. Recovering flag from small-root polynomial

Once (f,g) are known, recompute the 'secret': $secret = f \cdot g, K = SHA3_256(secret)$, then very simply use this derived secret to decrypt the flag using AES-CBC.