SNLP 2016

Exercise 5

Submission date: 3.05.2016, 23:59

1) (3 points) Given the following statistics:

count, $N(w,h)$	count of Counts, $n_{N(w,h)}$
1	5000
2	1600
3	800
4	500
5	300

Table 1: These are all the bigrams with the history "beer"

count, $N(w, h)$	bigram
1	beer drinker
2	beer lover
3	beer glass

a) What are the discounted counts under GoodTuring discounting for the three given bigrams? Solution:

$$N(beer, drinker) = 2 * \frac{1600}{5000} = 0.64$$

 $N(beer, lover) = 3 * \frac{800}{1600} = 1.5$
 $N(beer, glass) = 4 * \frac{500}{800} = 2.5$

- b) The amounts from discounting counts are given to a back-off unigram model. Using such a backoff model, what are the probabilities for the following bigrams?
 - (i) p(drinker|beer)
 - (ii) p(glass|beer)
 - (iii) p(mug|beer)

Note: p(mug) = 0.01, p(drinker) = 0.01, p(glass) = 0.015. State any assumptions that you make.

Solution:
$$\frac{N(beer,drinker)}{N(beer)} = \frac{0.64}{6} = 0.11$$

$$\frac{N(beer,drinker)}{N(beer)} = \frac{1.5}{6} = 0.25$$

$$\frac{N(beer,glass)}{N(beer)} = \frac{2.5}{6} = 0.42$$

$$\sum_{w} \frac{N(w,h)}{N(h)} = 0.78$$

$$\alpha(h) = 1 - 0.78 = 0.22$$

$$P(drinker|beer) = 0.11 + 0.22 * 0.01 = 0.1122$$

$$P(glass|beer) = 0.42 + 0.22 * 0.015 = 0.4233$$

$$P(mug|beer) = 0.22 * 0.01 = 0.0022$$

- b) (7 points) In this exercise you will complete the code of a back-off language model. You can find the the starter code in the provided materials: ex5.py
 - a) (1 point) Fill in the missing lines to return for each history the R value as described on slides 21 and 22.
 - b) (3 points) Complete discounting_model._items_() in order to return the smoothed probability. Use the same discounting parameter d to smooth the bigram and unigram distributions.

1

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{N(w,h)-d}{N(h)} + \alpha(h)P(w) & \text{if } N(w,h) > 0\\ \alpha(h)P(w) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$P(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{N(w)-d}{N} + \alpha \frac{1}{V} & \text{if } N(w) > 0\\ \alpha \frac{1}{V} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

If the history h of the bigram (w, h) is not found in the training corpus return the estimate of the unigram language model P(w).

c) (3 points) In the lecture you learned about leaving-one out cross validation. This would be infeasible for such a big dataset. Istead of leaving only one word out for cross validation, you will implement a function where you always leave out a different fraction of the data for validation. This method is called K-fold cross validation, where K stands for the number of fractions.

Split the data into K parts. Use each of the K parts once for validation and merge the rest for training. Calculate perplexity on the validation set. Take the average of the K perplexity values and return it. You will use this value to optimize the discounting parameter d.

You will find the starter code in the function kfold_crossvalidation().

The code will automatically plot the cross-validation perplexity for different d values. have a look at the plot. What would be the optimal value for d?

1 Bonus

• 1) (2 points) Show that:

$$\frac{N(w,h) + \epsilon}{N(h) + \epsilon V} = \mu \frac{N(w,h)}{N(h)} + (1 - \mu) \frac{1}{V}, \qquad (3)$$

Solution: $\mu = \frac{N(h)}{N(h) + V\lambda}$

• 2) (1 point) Can you think of a better back-off distribution for the unigram model than the zero gram model?

Solution: any subword level LM

¹A language model is defined for a specific history. In case a history is unseen an arbitrary other language model can be used. This is called a fall back language model.