Due: Friday, November 6th

In this project, you will implement the Edit Distance algorithm for two strings to determine the number of edits required to convert one string into the other. You will be required to implement your solution in its respective location in the provided project4.py file.

1 Problem Statement

Your primary task in this project:

1. Implement the function ED in project4.py so that it correctly performs the Edit Distance algorithm using dynamic programming.

1.1 ED Function

The function ED will take in two strings, src and dest, and return two outputs:

- The number of edits required to convert **src** into **dest**, where edits can be insertions, deletions, or substitutions.
- The list of edits to perform. This list must have a very specific format, discussed below.

You are required to implement a dynamic programming approach to this problem, and then reconstruct an optimal set of edits using your DP table. You may set up the table in any acceptable fashion and fill the table in any acceptable order. While there may be more than one optimal set of edits, your function is only required to return one of those optimal solutions.

Hint: carefully watch the indices you use for the edits (see below for discussion of formatting).

1.2 Formatting the Edit Output

The format of the edits output by the ED must follow a few specific guidelines:

- The output should be a list of edits.
- The edits should be provided in order starting from the end of the string.
- Each edit in the list should be a 3-tuple, with components:
 - 0. One of the following strings: 'insert', 'delete', 'sub', 'match'.
 - 1. The char to be inserted, deleted, substituted, or matched (for substitution, note that the letter should be the new letter that replaces the old one).
 - 2. The index of this edit/match in the original string.

As an example, consider the case where src = spam and dest = pims. An optimal set of edits would be to insert the 's' at the end, match the 'm', replace the 'a' with the 'i', match the 'p', and delete the 's' at the beginning. This would be encoded as the list:

```
[ ('insert', 's', 4), ('match', 'm', 3), ('sub', 'i', 2), ('match', 'p', 1), ('delete', 's', 0) ]
```

Another example is src = libate and dest = flub. An optimal set of edits would be to delete the 'e', delete the 't', delete the 'a', match the 'b', replace the 'i' with 'u', match the 'l', and insert an 'f'. This would be encoded as the list:

```
[ ('delete', 'e', 5), ('delete', 't', 4), ('delete', 'a', 3), ('match', 'b', 2),
('sub', 'u', 1), ('match', 'l', 0), ('insert', 'f', 0) ]
```

2 Provided Tests

2.1 Test Cases

A number of simple tests have been provided in the edTests function in the p4tests.py file. The tests are:

- 'spam' to 'pims'
- 'libate' to 'flub'
- '' to 'abc'
- 'abc' to ''
- 'aaa' to 'bbb'

The first two tests are simple examples we saw in class. The last three tests are meant to provide special cases for your function to consider: all insertions, all deletions, and all substitutions. These should help identify specific bugs in your algorithm.

Note that the edTests function is called in the main function of project4.py. If you set its verbosity input to True, it will print more informative information beyond simply whether the tests passed or failed.

You should also note the makeEdits function in p4tests.py that takes in a source string and a list of properly formatted edits, and actually performs the edits. This is how your output will be tested.

2.2 Genomes and Strings

There are four other functions included in the p4tests.py file that involve a few interest-ing uses of the edit distance function. Two of these functions are simple helper functions: getRandGenomes will return two random strings made up of chars 'A', 'G', 'T', 'C'; getRandStrings will return two random strings made up of the 26 chars of the English alphabet.

The other two functions, compareGenomes and compareRandStrings perform some more interesting tasks. They each perform some number of trials (input into the functions), where they generate two random strings for each trial and calculate the edit distance. They track the average edit distance and report the results.

The main function of project4.py calls both of these functions to perform 30 trials with strings of length 300. For these tests, you should see that the genomes give an average error around 0.55, while the random strings give an average error around 0.9.

Now, as you might hope, this topic of average edit distance has been studied. Unfortu-nately, I have not been able to find a good resource examining our more standard edit distance problem. But, I have found a resource for a closely related problem, approximate string matching¹. Without going into too much detail, the approximation for the error is $1 - 1/\sqrt{\sigma}$, where σ is the number of characters in the alphabet. For our genome tests, this would be 1/2, and for the random strings of English characters, this would be about 0.8.

To see these results match up, we would have to alter our edit distance function very slightly. The only change is one of the base cases: the first row of the table should be filled with 0s. If you make this one change in your code, you will see average distances approaching those approximate values. Note: remember to return your code back to the regular edit distance problem once you have examined this.

¹http://www.eecs.ucf.edu/dcm/Teaching/COT4810-Spring2011/Literature/ApproximativeStringMatching.pdf