SPOKEN LANGUAGE CLASSIFICATION

Abhishek Agarwal Raghav Sood Surabhi S. Nath IIIT DELHI



Motivation and Problem Statement
We aim to perform Language Identification in audio samples

and classify them into multiple languages spoken in India. Most of us are well versed with more than one language and our brain is able to identify and respond in the appropriate language in

conversations. Can this learning be transferred to a machine? Further, we will also attempt to detect multiple languages in the same audio sample using segmentation. Technology developed to date can successfully

convert speech to text only if the language is given. Our attempt for automatic language identification can ease this process and prevent the user from explicitly specifying the choice of language.



Audio compression **Fourier Transformation**

Data Filtering

Down Sampling

Preprocessing Techniques

RMS value normalization **Data Equalization**

MFCC

Strategy for Model Selection

Cross Validation Techniques: K Fold Cross Validation, LOOCV

Bootstrapping

Monte Carlo / Random Sampling

Tuning Hyperparameters: Grid Search CV

suitable to see the natural tendency of how the data gets grouped (true labels are ignored) (Euclidean Distance)

Advanced Techniques:

Gaussian in nature (Probabilistic)

speech recognition (Probabilistic)

discriminative training (Backpropogation)

Feature

classifiers hence a baseline for our problem.

Model Training, English vs Hindi

Advanced techniques. Multiclass

Validation

K fold

Cross Validation.

LOOCV

Bootstrapping.

Monte Carlo

Disjoint set.

Three-way

Cross Validation

Analysis,

Data Acquisition Effort

OpenLRS dataset for US Accented Spoken English language.

which contains 1000 samples (flac format) with an average

TopCoder Spoken Languages Dataset for Hindi Language,

which contains 150 samples (.mp3 format) with an average

We will use web scraping on Youtube and All India Radio for

other regional languages and split the samples into smaller

audio files of 10-15s each to keep the data consistent across

We will use publicly available datasets for our models:

length of 15s.

length of 10s.

both languages.

Accuracy, ROC

 $Precision = \frac{1}{TP + FP}$

Evaluation Metrics Confusion Matrix - True Positives. False

Positives, etc. will be used to calculate

precision, recall, accuracy, and F1 score,

Proposal Deadline, Data Collection, Pre- processing 25th September

Data

Data

Acquisition

Data

Acquisition

Data

Preprocessing

Abhishek

Raghay

Surabhi

Engineering 10th October

Feature

Extraction

Feature

Identification

Feature

Extraction

Feature

Selection

Classification 25th October

Individual Contribution

Model Training

Support Vector Machines

Gaussian Mixture Model.

Neural Networks

Hidden Markov Model.

Clusterina.

Neural Network

Hidden Markov Model.

Gaussian Mixture Model.

Neural Network

Learning Techniques

Baseline Technique: Support Vector Machine - One one the most diverse

I. Gaussian Mixture Model - Suitable since signals, including audio, are mostly

II. Hidden Markov Model - Suitable for time series data and is often used for

III. Neural Network - Suitable due to its feature learning capabilities and

IV. Clustering methods - Unsupervised learning techniques (eg. K Means) are

Timeline

Classification 10th November

Evaluation, Final Report 25th November

Testing and

Evaluation

Confusion Matrix.

Accuracy

ROC. AUC.

MCC

Precision, Recall.

F1 Score