INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Index No.: 0029.00-00

Number: **199945016** CC:DOM:P&SI:7-PLR-108061-99

Release Date: 11/12/1999

August 11, 1999

LEGEND

Dear :

In a letter dated , , you requested rulings regarding your purchase of gas properties.

You represented that:

 \underline{B} owned certain interests in gas producing properties and contracts for the gathering, transportation, processing and sale of gas from the properties (the Assets). \underline{A} , a wholly owned entity disregarded for federal tax purposes, was formed to acquire the Assets of \underline{B} . \underline{C} is the sole member of \underline{A} . Production from the interests is gas produced from coal seams which is a qualified fuel under section 29(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. \underline{C} will claim the credit under § 29 only with respect to production from wells which have been determined to produce qualified fuel by the applicable regulatory authorities in accordance with the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978. \underline{A} paid cash equal to \underline{D} . \underline{A} will also be obligated to pay a Credit Payment Amount on a Contingent Promissory Note, and a production payment retained by \underline{B} .

The production payment retained by \underline{B} is payable solely out of the gross proceeds from the sale of hydrocarbons from the subject interests. The payments required essentially the gross proceeds from the subject interests less the operating expenses.

The production payment will terminate when the production from the subject interests equals \underline{F} percent of the current estimate (at the time of creation of the production payment) of the economically recoverable reserves in the subject interests but no later than \underline{E} . When the production payment was created the estimated present value of the production from the subject interests after the production payment terminates was greater than 5% of the present value of the entire production stream from all the subject interests.

Following termination of the production payment, \underline{A} will be entitled to 100% of the income from production attributable to the subject interests. As part of the agreement, \underline{B} has an interest (the Contingent Interest) in any reserves that might exist after production of 100% of the reserves currently estimated to exist.

The Credit Payment Amount is payable each calendar quarter and is \underline{G} % multiplied by the dollar amount of the estimated § 29 credits attributable to sales of qualified fuels from the subject interests. The payment is adjusted when the credit is actually known.

 \underline{B} was granted an option to re-acquire the subject interests for fair market value. The repurchase option is exercisable effective \underline{H} .

 \underline{A} has also entered into a Management Agreement with \underline{B} . The management agreement is typical of those within the industry.

The rulings requested are:

- 1. \underline{A} has acquired the entire economic interest in the properties transferred to it.
- 2. The production payment is properly characterized as a purchase money mortgage loan under § 636 of the Code.
- 3. The Contingent Promissory Note is not an economic interest in the properties.
- 4. Any § 29 credit attributable to production from the properties after their sale to \underline{A} is attributable to \underline{A} .

Section 1.611-1(b)(1) of the Income Tax Regulations provides that an economic interest is possessed in every case in which the taxpayer has acquired by investment any interest in mineral in place and secures, by any form of legal relationship, income

derived from the extraction of the mineral, to which the taxpayer must look for a return of the taxpayer's capital.

Section 1.614-1(a)(2) provides that the term "interest" means an economic interest in a mineral deposit within the meaning of § 1.611-1(b)(1). The term includes working or operating interests, royalties, overriding royalties, net profits interests, and, to the extent not treated as loans under § 636, production payments.

In <u>Anderson v. Helvering</u>, 310 U.S. 404, 409, the taxpayer received payments which could be satisfied out of any sale of the fee simple title of the land as well as production of the oil. Because the taxpayer was not looking solely to the oil production for a return on his investment, the Court found he did not have an economic interest.

Section 636(a) and § 1.636-1(a) provide that a production payment created and retained upon the transfer of the mineral property burdened by the production payment is treated as a purchase money mortgage loan on the burdened mineral property.

Section 1.636-3(a)(1) defines the term "production payment" to mean a right to a specified share of the production from mineral in place (if, as, and when produced), or the proceeds from the production. The right must be an economic interest in the mineral in place. It may burden more than one mineral property, and the burdened mineral property need not be an operating mineral interest. The right must have an expected economic life (at the time of its creation) of shorter duration than the economic life of one or more of the burdened mineral properties. A right to mineral in place that can be required to be satisfied by other than the production of mineral from the burdened mineral property is not an economic interest in mineral in place. A production payment must be limited by a dollar amount, a quantum of mineral, or a period of time. A right to mineral in place has an economic life of shorter duration than the economic life of a mineral property burdened thereby, if the right may not reasonably be expected to extend in substantial amounts over the entire productive life of the mineral property.

Section 1.636-1(a)(1)(ii) of the regulations states that the payor and payee shall determine their allowable deductions as if the production payment were a loan and refers to § 483 of the Code. Section 483, in turn, refers to § 1272 through § 1275 for the treatment of original issue discount instruments.

Section 29(a), provides a credit for qualified fuel (as defined in \S 29(c)) sold by the taxpayer to an unrelated person during the taxable year, the production of which is attributable to the taxpayer.

A transaction will be classified as a sale or exchange of a mineral interest in cases in which the owner of a continuing property interest assigns that interest and retains a non-continuing interest in production (economic interest), that is, an interest that is not coterminous with the productive life of the transferred property.

In this case, \underline{B} will retain a production payment that is limited to a specified number of units of mineral based on a stated percentage of the reserves currently known to exist at the time the transaction is consummated. If additional reserves are found to exist after all reserves currently known to exist have been produced, \underline{B} will acquire a contingent interest which is a specified percentage of any such additional reserves.

The production payment is payable solely from production from the burdened properties and has an economic life of shorter duration than the economic life of the burdened properties. Because the interest \underline{B} retained in any additional reserves does not come into being unless and until the additional reserves (not at present believed to exist) are determined to exist, it is not a continuing interest.

Accordingly, based on the information submitted and the representations made, the production payment meets the requirements of § 1.636-3(a)(1) and is treated as a purchase money mortgage loan.

The Credit Payment Amount is to be paid from sources other than the subject properties. Having an alternate source of income, the Note cannot be an economic interest following <u>Anderson</u>.

Based solely on the representations made and the information submitted, we conclude that:

- 1. \underline{A} has acquired the entire economic interest in the properties transferred to it until and unless \underline{B} repurchases the property or the contingent interest arises.
- 2. The production payment is properly characterized as a purchase money mortgage loan under § 636 of the Code.

- The Contingent Promissory Note is not an economic 3. interest in the properties.
- 4. Any § 29 credit attributable to production from the properties after their sale to \underline{A} is attributable to \underline{A} until and unless \underline{B} repurchases the property or the contingent interest arises.

No opinion is expressed or implied regarding the determination of the buyer's basis in the subject interests. No opinion is expressed or implied regarding the determination of amounts attributable to interest or principal pursuant to the Non-Recourse note or the production payment.

Except as ruled above, we express or imply no opinion as to the federal tax consequences of this transaction under any other provision of the Code. Specifically, we express or imply no opinion whether any fuel produced from the properties is qualified fuel within the meaning of § 29(c). However, we do point out that following True Oil Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, No. 97-9029 (10th Cir. March 23, 1999), production from any well which lacks a Determination by a jurisdictional agency or review of such Determination, FERC does not qualify for the credit under § 29.

This ruling is directed only to the taxpayer who requested Section 6110(k)(3) of the Code provides that it may not be used or cited as precedent. A copy of this ruling should be attached to your tax return filed for the year in which the transaction covered by this ruling was consummated. A copy is enclosed for this purpose.

> Sincerely yours, Assistant Chief Counsel (Passthroughs and Special Industries)

Ву Joseph H. Makurath Senior Technician Reviewer

Branch 7