

Question 1

What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Answer: The optimal value of alpha for Ridge is 2 and for Lasso it is 0.01.

Doubling alpha using **Lasso Regression** - doubling the value of alpha penalises the model, so more coefficients become 0, which increases the r-squared error.

Ridge Regression - doubling the value of alpha will cause the model to apply a penalty to the curve and allow it to become more generalised and simple, producing more errors in test and train data.

Ridge Regression Model

| Ridge Co-Efficient | | Ridge Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient | |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.169122 | Total_sqr_footage | 0.149028 |
| GarageArea | 0.101585 | GarageArea | 0.091803 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.067348 | TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.068283 |
| OverallCond | 0.047652 | OverallCond | 0.043303 |
| LotArea | 0.043941 | LotArea | 0.038824 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.032034 | Total_porch_sf | 0.033870 |
| LotFrontage | 0.031772 | CentralAir_Y | 0.031832 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.031639 | LotFrontage | 0.027526 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.029093 | Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.026581 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.024270 | OpenPorchSF | 0.022713 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.023148 | MSSubClass_70 | 0.022189 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.022995 | Alley_Pave | 0.021672 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.022586 | Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.020098 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.022410 | BsmtQual_Ex | 0.019949 |
| SaleType_Con | 0.022293 | KitchenQual_Ex | 0.019787 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.021873 | HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.018952 |
| PavedDrive_P | 0.020160 | MasVnrType_Stone | 0.018388 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.019378 | PavedDrive_P | 0.017973 |
| LandContour_HLS | 0.018595 | RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.017856 |
| SaleType_Oth | 0.018123 | PavedDrive_Y | 0.016840 |

Lasso Regression Model

| Lasso Co-Efficient | | Lasso Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient | |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.202244 | Total_sqr_footage | 0.204642 |
| GarageArea | 0.110863 | GarageArea | 0.103822 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.063161 | TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.064902 |
| OverallCond | 0.046686 | OverallCond | 0.042168 |
| LotArea | 0.044597 | CentralAir_Y | 0.033113 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.033294 | Total_porch_sf | 0.030659 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.028923 | LotArea | 0.025909 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.023370 | BsmtQual_Ex | 0.018128 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.020848 | Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.017152 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.020776 | Alley_Pave | 0.016628 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.018898 | OpenPorchSF | 0.016490 |
| LandContour_HLS | 0.017279 | KitchenQual_Ex | 0.016359 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.016795 | LandContour_HLS | 0.014793 |
| BsmtQual_Ex | 0.016710 | MSSubClass_70 | 0.014495 |
| Condition1_Norm | 0.015551 | MasVnrType_Stone | 0.013292 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.014707 | Condition1_Norm | 0.012674 |
| MasVnrType_Stone | 0.014389 | BsmtCond_TA | 0.011677 |
| PavedDrive_P | 0.013578 | SaleCondition_Partial | 0.011236 |
| LotFrontage | 0.013377 | LotConfig_CulDSac | 0.008776 |
| PavedDrive_Y | 0.012363 | PavedDrive_Y | 0.008685 |

Overall since the alpha values are small, we do not see a huge change in the model after doubling the alpha.

Question 2

You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

Answer:

- The optimum lambda value in case of Ridge and Lasso is as follows:-
 - Ridge – 2
 - Lasso – 0.001
- Lasso helps in feature reduction (as the coefficient value of some of the features become zero), Lasso has a better edge over Ridge and should be used as the final model.

Lasso Regression : Penalty is the absolute value of the magnitude of coefficients which is identified by cross-validation. As the lambda value increases Lasso shrinks the coefficients towards 0. Hence, Lasso also helps in the feature selections.

In Ridge Regression : It uses the hyper-parameter called lambda as a penalty multiplied by the square of the magnitude of the coefficients which is identified as the cross-validation. The penalty is lambda times the sum of squares of the coefficients.

Question 3

After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Answer: The five most important predictor variables in the current lasso model is:-

1. Total_sqr_footage
2. GarageArea
3. TotRmsAbvGrd
4. OverallCond
5. LotArea

The new Top 5 predictors are:-

| Lasso Co-Efficient | |
|----------------------|----------|
| LotFrontage | 0.146535 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.072445 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.062900 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Fin | 0.050487 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.042532 |

Question 4

How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

Answer:

As Per, Occam's Razor— given two models that show similar 'performance' in the finite training or test data, we should pick the one that makes fewer on the test data due to following reasons:-

- Simpler models are usually more 'generic' and are more widely applicable
- Simpler models require fewer training samples for effective training than the more complex ones and hence are easier to train.

Regularisation can be used to make the model simpler. Regularisation helps to strike the delicate balance between keeping the model simple and not making it too naïve to be of any use. For regression, regularisation involves adding a regularisation term to the cost that adds up the absolute values or the squares of the parameters of the model.

Bias quantifies how accurate is the model likely to be on test data. A complex model can do an accurate job prediction provided there is enough training data. Models that are too naïve, for e.g., one that gives same answer to all test inputs and makes no discrimination whatsoever has a very large bias as its expected error across all test inputs are very high.

Variance refers to the degree of changes in the model itself with respect to changes in the training data.

Thus accuracy of the model can be maintained by keeping the balance between **Bias** and **Variance** as it minimises the total error as shown in the below graph:

