PROBLEM SET 2: NUMBER SETS, PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

CS 198-087: Introduction to Mathematical Thinking UC Berkeley EECS Fall 2018

This homework is due on Monday, September 17th, at 6:30PM, on Gradescope. As usual, this homework is graded on participation, but it is in your best interest to put full effort into it. This is a good opportunity to learn how to use LaTeX.

1. Determine a bijection $f: A \to B$ between each pair of sets, and prove that f is a bijection (i.e. show that it is both an injection and surjection).

a.
$$A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ...\}, B = \{4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, ...\}$$

b.
$$A = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, ...\}, B = \{2, -2, 3, -3, 4, -4, ...\}$$

- 2. Write the equivalent of the following statements using propositional logic.
 - a. There exists an integer solution to the equation $x^2 + 2x + 1 = 0$.
 - b. There are no three positive integers a, b, c that satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ for any integer value of n greater than 2.
 - c. $\sqrt{2}$ is a rational number.
 - d. For $|r| < 1, r \in \mathbb{R}$, the sum of the series $1 + r + r^2 + r^3 + \cdots$ is equal to $\frac{1}{1 r}$.
- 3. Determine the contrapositive and converse of each of the following statements.
 - a. If it rains tomorrow, I will bring an umbrella.
 - b. If the clock is between 12PM and 2PM and I am hungry, then it is lunch time.
 - c. If your final grade in this course is at least 70%, you will pass.
 - d. If two sets A,B are disjoint, then the cardinality of their intersection is 0.
- 4. Using truth tables, prove that the following equivalences hold:

a.
$$\neg(P \land Q) \equiv (\neg P \lor \neg Q)$$

b.
$$\neg (P \lor Q) \equiv (\neg P \land \neg Q)$$

c.
$$P \implies Q \equiv \neg P \lor Q$$

5. Suppose that P(x) and Q(x,y) are propositional statements. Find the negation of the following statements:

1

- a. $\exists x P(x)$
- b. $\forall x P(x)$
- c. $(\forall x)(\exists y)Q(x,y)$
- d. $(\exists x)(\forall y)Q(x,y)$

Hint: replace P(x) with a real propositional statement, i.e. "x is even."

6. In general, you cannot reverse the order of different existential quantifiers. That is,

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)P(x,y) \not\equiv (\exists y)(\forall x)P(x,y)$$

Give two examples of propositions P(x, y) that illustrate why this does not hold.