CSCI 620 Project Phase 3

Group 33

Flight Delay

Shlok Gupta, Suraj Sureshkumar, Vidit Ketan Kothari



Table of Contents

```
Cleaning and Integration

SQL

Mongo

Itemset Mining

USING SQL

Generating Lattice 1

Itemset Mining for remaining Lattices

USING MONGO

Generating Lattice 1

Itemset mining for remaining lattices

What is better for itemset mining - Mongo or SQL
```

Cleaning and Integration

For cleaning the dataset, we used sql and mongo queries to remove duplicate values. On checking for missing values, we found that there are no missing values in our dataset.

SQL

SQL cleaning was a simple drop duplicates query.

Mongo

We concatenated the carrier name and the flight number to create a unique identifier for each flight.

```
db.delays.aggregate([
     $match:
       {
         $and: [
            { departure delay: { $gt: 30 } },
            { arrival delay: { $gt: 30 } },
            { OP_CARRIER: { $in: ['AA', 'DL', 'UA'] } }
  },
     $project: {
       OP CARRIER: 1,
```

```
flight_date: 1,
      origin: 1,
      destination: 1,
      OP_CARRIER_FL_NUM: { $substr: ["$OP_CARRIER_FL_NUM", 0, -1]
}
  },
    $project: {
      flight_number: {
         $concat: ["$OP_CARRIER", "$OP_CARRIER_FL_NUM"]
       },
      flight_date: 1,
      origin: 1,
      destination: 1
  },
  { $out: "major_airlines" }
])
```

```
db.major_airlines.aggregate([
  {
    $group: {
       _id: { destination: "$destination", flight_date: "$flight_date", origin:
"$origin", flight_number: "$flight_number" },
       uniqueIds: { $addToSet: "$_id" },
       doc: { $first: "$$ROOT" }
     }
  },
     $replaceRoot: {
       newRoot: "$doc"
], { allowDiskUse: true }).forEach(function (doc) {
  db.temp.insertOne(doc);
});
```

Output:

destination	flight_date	origin	flight_number
TATL	1 2009-06-10T00:	MCO	"_" DL1095
"_" MFE	11 2009-05-03T00:	"_" DFW	"_" AA1770
"_" ATL	11 2010-07-26T00:	"_" PNS	"_" DL302
"_" IAH	1 2010-07-02T00:	IAD	"_" UA965
"_" BOS	1 2009-06-07T00:	"_" DFW	"_" AA1654
"_" ATL	11 2011-02-13T00:	"_" ROC	U DL1270
"_" JFK	11 2010-10-20T00:	"_" MIA	"_" AA1428
"_" SFO	1 2009-02-15T00:	"_" BOS	"_" AA197
"_" LAS	1 2010-09-23T00:	"_" LAX	"_" UA353
"_" BUF	1 2009-11-05T00:	CRD ORD	"_" UA246
"_" BOS	1 2011-03-03T00:	"_" MIA	"_" AA696
"_" AUS	1 2010-06-25T00:	T DFW	AA1975
EBOS	1 2009-06-11T00:	"_" JFK	"_" DL133
"_" DFW	1 2009-01-05T00:	"_" LAX	 AA436
SFO SFO	1 2010-03-18T00:	"_" LAS	UA451
	MFE ATL ATL BOS ATL JFK LAS BUF BOS BUF BOS BUF BOS DFW	""" MFE 11 2009-05-03T00: """ ATL 11 2010-07-26T00: """ IAH 11 2010-07-02T00: """ BOS 11 2009-06-07T00: """ ATL 11 2011-02-13T00: """ JFK 11 2010-10-20T00: """ SFO 11 2009-02-15T00: """ LAS 11 2009-01-05T00: """ BUF 11 2009-11-05T00: """ BOS 11 2010-06-25T00: """ BOS 11 2009-06-11T00: """ DFW 11 2009-01-05T00:	MFE

Itemset Mining

In our dataset, we are using itemset mining to find the largest number of flights delayed on the same day.

USING SQL

Generating Lattice 1

Below is the query for generating the L1(level 1 lattice) and the output is as shown below where the minimum support is 10.

SELECT flight_number as flight_number_1, COUNT(*) as delay_count

INTO flights.L1

FROM flights.major_airlines

GROUP BY flight number

HAVING COUNT(*) > 9;

Output:

▼ WI	HERE	F → ORDER BY
	I flight_number_1	I delay_count ≎
1	AA1434	76
2	DL2550	23
3	UA1153	65
4	UA941	137
5	DL1202	91
6	AA1284	239
7	DL2749	46
8	AA325	71
9	DL2225	116
10	DL2063	103
11	DL7346	25
12	UA805	13
13	AA109	31
14	UA1407	26
15	DL1485	210
16	AA1570	49
17	UA1103	71
18	DL7204	11
19	DL1823	104

Itemset Mining for remaining Lattices

We used pyscopg2 in python to perform the itemset generation for each level of lattice.

```
cursor.execute('select * from flights.11')
rows = cursor.fetchall()
lattice level = 2
while rows and lattice level < 11:
  query = "select "
  for i in range(1, lattice level):
     query += "p.flight number " + str(i) + " as flight number " + str(i) + ','
  query += "q.flight number " + str(lattice level - 1) + " as flight number " + str(
     lattice level) + ", count(*) into flights.l" + str(lattice level) + " "
  query += "from flights.l" + str(lattice_level - 1) + "p, flights.l" + str(lattice_level - 1) +
" q, "
  for i in range(1, lattice level + 1):
     query += "flights.major airlines ma" + str(i) + ","
  query = query[:-1] + "where "
  for i in range(1, lattice level - 1):
     query += "p.flight number " + str(i) + " = q.flight number " + str(i) + " and "
  query += "p.flight number_" + str(lattice level - 1) + "< q.flight number " +
str(lattice level - 1) + " and "
  for i in range(1, lattice level):
     query += 'p.flight number ' + str(i) + "= ma" + str(i) + ".flight number and "
  query += 'q.flight number ' + str(lattice level - 1) + ' = ma' + str(lattice level) +
'.flight number and '
  for i in range(1, lattice level + 1):
```

```
for j in range(i + 1, lattice level + 1):
     query += "ma" + str(i) + ".flight date = ma" + str(j) + ".flight date and "
for i in range(1, lattice level + 1):
  for j in range(i + 1, lattice level + 1):
     query += "ma" + str(i) + ".source = ma" + str(j) + ".source and "
for i in range(1, lattice level + 1):
  for j in range(i + 1, lattice level + 1):
     query += "ma" + str(i) + ".destination = ma" + str(j) + ".destination and "
query = query[:-4] + 'group by ('
for i in range(1, lattice level):
  query += 'p.flight number ' + str(i) + ','
query += 'q.flight number ' + str(lattice level - 1) + ") having count(*)>9"
print('query', query)
cursor.execute(query)
db.commit()
cursor.execute('select * from flights.l' + str(lattice level))
rows = cursor.fetchall()
print('Number of rows in the lattice', lattice level, ": ", len(rows))
lattice level += 1
```

Output:

These are the number of records in each lattice

```
Number of rows in the lattice
                                    7848
Number of rows in the lattice
                                   7393
                              3:
Number of rows in the lattice
                                   7599
Number of rows in the lattice
                                   3827
                               5:
Number of rows in the lattice
                                  879
                              6:
Number of rows in the lattice
                                   80
Number of rows in the lattice
                                   3
Number of rows in the lattice
                                   0
```

Here are the last two lattices generated

Lattice 7

	I flight_number_1 ÷	I⊞ flight_number_2 ÷	I≣ flight_number_3 ÷	I⊞ flight_number_4 ÷	I⊞ flight_number_5 ÷	I flight_number_6 ≎	I⊞ flight_number_7 ÷	III count ÷
1	AA1798					UA806	UA808	10
2	AA1798				UA806	UA808	UA857	10
3								10
4	AA2332							10
5								11
6								10
7	AA2344	AA2352	AA2356	AA2364	AA2368	AA2372	AA2374	11
8								12
9								10
10								11
11	AA2348							12
12	AA2348							10
13								12
14		AA348				UA690	UA694	10
15	AA346	AA348						10
16		AA348			UA684	UA686	UA688	10
17	AA346	AA348				UA686		10
18								10
19	AA346	AA348			UA686	UA688	UA836	10
20	AA348					UA688	UA690	14
21	AA348				UA686	UA688		11
22						UA690		10
23	AA348	AA350	AA352	AA360	AA366	UA686	UA690	10

Lattice 8

I⊞ flight_number_1 ≎	■ flight_number_2 ÷	■ flight_number_3 ÷	Ⅲ flight_number_4 ÷	I⊞ flight_number_5 ÷	⊞ fli ÷	Ⅲ flight_number_7 ÷	I⊞ flight_number_8 ÷	III count ≎
1 AA712								11
2 AA712								10
3 AA720								11

USING MONGO

Generating Lattice 1

Output:

_id	count	flight_number_1
id 6441cda5b6951	17.0	"_" AA561
id 6441cda5b6951	22.0	DL2682
id 6441cda5b6951	23.0	"_" DL774
id 6441cda5b6951	231.0	"_" UA461
id 6441cda5b6951	123 49.0	"_" UA1627
id 6441cda5b6951	78.0	UA1601
id 6441cda5b6951	123 56.0	"_" UA1605
id 6441cda5b6951	123 34.0	DL482
id 6441cda5b6951	307.0	"_" UA689
id 6441cda5b6951	186.0	"_" AA550
id 6441cda5b6951	21.0	"_" DL2638
id 6441cda5b6951	158.0	"_" AA1020
id 6441cda5b6951	111.0	"_" UA896
id 6441cda5b6951	96.0	DL1573
id 6441cda5b6951	123 66.0	"_" UA1245

Itemset mining for remaining lattices

Since writing mongo queries for each lattice was difficult, we used python to create each lattice and perform itemset mining.

```
import pandas as pd
from pymongo import MongoClient
client = MongoClient('localhost', 27017)
db = client['project']
ma = pd.DataFrame(list(db["major airlines"].find({})))
ma.drop(columns=[" id"], inplace=True)
ma.rename(columns={'flight number': 'flight number 1'}, inplace=True)
lattice count = 2
while True:
  temp = []
  11 = pd.DataFrame(list(db["11"].find({})))
  11.drop(columns=['count', ' id'], inplace=True)
  for i in range(0, lattice count):
     temp.append(pd.merge(11, ma, how='inner', on='flight number 1'))
  for i in range(1, lattice count):
    new = pd.merge(temp[i - 1], temp[i], how='inner',
              on=['flight date', 'origin', 'destination'])
    new.rename(columns={'flight number 1 x': 'flight number 1',
```

'flight number 1 y': f'flight number $\{i + 1\}$ '},

```
inplace=True)
  new = new[new[fflight number {i}'] < new[fflight number {i + 1}']]
  temp[i] = new
new lattice = temp[-1]
columns = [fflight number \{i\}' for i in range(1, lattice count + 1)]
final lattice = new lattice.groupby(columns)['flight date'].aggregate(
  'count').reset index()
final lattice.rename(columns={'flight date': 'count'}, inplace=True)
final lattice = final lattice[final lattice['count'] > 9]
if len(final lattice) == 0:
  break
db.create collection(fl{lattice count}')
db[f1{lattice count}'].insert many(final lattice.to dict('records'))
print(f'l{lattice count}', 'done', 'No of rows:', len(final lattice))
lattice count += 1
C:\Users\gupta\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python310\
12 done No of rows: 7848
13 done No of rows: 7393
14 done No of rows: 7599
15 done No of rows: 3827
16 done No of rows: 879
17 done No of rows: 80
18 done No of rows: 3
Process finished with exit code 0
```

What is better for itemset mining - Mongo or SQL

In our analysis, we found that SQL is better at performing itemset mining. This is because joining tables in a relational database is much easier and faster as compared to a document database. Secondly, the structure for the data in relational databases is more feasible to perform itemset mining as all data is organized in separate tables. However, in a document database, there can be sub-documents embedded in each entry, making it difficult to fetch the data and join the records based on those values.

This was experienced by us when writing the code for mongo and sql to perform itemset mining.