DSA Assignment 5

Suraj S Yadwad M
Tech AI $\mathbf{1}^{st}$ year

December 5, 2019

SPHeap Simulation

Objective

To compare memory allocation performance of SPHeap Weighted Buddy System for uniformly and exponentially distributed sequence of requests.

Setup

All memory that needs to be deallocated at current time is freed.

Memory requests are generated using either Uniform distribution between 10000 and 200000 bytes or Exponential distribution with mean 100000 bytes, minimum 10000 bytes and truncated at 200000 bytes.

Time(T) is generated from Uniform distribution between 0 and 10000. A time-stamp is given to each memory that is allocated as (Current Time + T)

The process is repeated for 100000 iterations.

Performance

```
time = 0
nDAll
                         Nsplits Recomb
        IntFrag
         15.895145
   0
time = 1000
nDAll
        IntFrag
                         Nsplits Recomb
         19.099515
                          1448
                                   24
request cannot be fulfilled
requested = 140114 bytes; External fragmentation = 16.787720
time = 1976
nDAll
                         Nsplits Recomb
        IntFrag
 170
         19.153918
                          2765
```

Figure 1: Requests from Uniform distribution

```
time = 0
nDAll
                         Nsplits Recomb
        IntFrag
   0
         1.671881
                           б
                                   0
time = 1000
nDAll
                         Nsplits Recomb
        IntFrag
  46
         23.085856
                           1253
                                   17
time = 2000
nDAll
                         Nsplits Recomb
        IntFrag
                           2498
                                   69
 207
         23.206902
request cannot be fulfilled
requested = 200000 bytes; External fragmentation = 9.030151
time = 2379
                         Nsplits Recomb
nDAll
        IntFrag
 296
         23.301532
                           2940
                                   97
```

Figure 2: Requests from Exponential distribution

time =	9000	
nDAll	IntFrag	Nsplits Recomb
8494	19.019730	9496 8458
time =	10000	
nDAll	IntFrag 19.009068	Nsplits Recomb
9494	19.009068	10481 9491

Figure 3: Requests from Uniform distribution, reduced max time stamp to 1000

time =	9000		
nDAll	IntFrag	Nsplits	Recomb
8497	23.664443	8381	7435
time =	10000		
nDAll	IntFrag	Nsplits	Recomb
9495	23.695103	9281	8313

Figure 4: Requests from Exponential distribution, reduced max time stamp to 1000

Comments and notes

Dist	Int Frag	Ext Frag
Uniform	19%	23%
Exponential	23%	9%

• The number of splits and recombinations is higher for uniform distribution

Testing on Polynomial Arithmetic

Objective

To use spHeap, oneBin and malloc on the Polynomial division program from Assignment 2 and comparing the execution times of the programs.

Input

Dividend: $x^{1000} - 1$ Divisor: x - 1

Performance

```
Command being timed: "./spHeapPoly.out"
User time (seconds): 5.45
System time (seconds): 0.00
Percent of CPU this job got: 15%
Elapsed (wall clock) time (h:mm:ss or m:ss): 0:34.19
Average shared text size (kbytes): 0
Average unshared data size (kbytes): 0
Average stack size (kbytes): 0
Average total size (kbytes): 0
Maximum resident set size (kbytes): 4380
Average resident set size (kbytes): 0
Major (requiring I/O) page faults: 0
Minor (reclaiming a frame) page faults: 751
Voluntary context switches: 5
Involuntary context switches: 33
Swaps: 0
File system inputs: 0
File system outputs: 0
Socket messages sent: 0
Socket messages received: 0
Signals delivered: 0
Page size (bytes): 4096
Exit status: 0
```

Figure 5: Using SPHeap

```
Command being timed: "./oneBinPoly.out"
User time (seconds): 0.71
System time (seconds): 0.00
Percent of CPU this job got: 2%
Elapsed (wall clock) time (h:mm:ss or m:ss): 0:27.42
Average shared text size (kbytes): 0
Average unshared data size (kbytes): 0
Average stack size (kbytes): 0
Average total size (kbytes): 0
Maximum resident set size (kbytes): 3056
Average resident set size (kbytes): 0
Major (requiring I/O) page faults: 0
Minor (reclaiming a frame) page faults: 413
Voluntary context switches: 5
Involuntary context switches: 1
Swaps: 0
File system inputs: 0
File system outputs: 0
Socket messages sent: 0
Socket messages received: 0
Signals delivered: 0
Page size (bytes): 4096
Exit status: 0
```

Figure 6: Using oneBin

```
User time (seconds): 0.21
System time (seconds): 0.00
Percent of CPU this job got: 1% Elapsed (wall clock) time (h:mm:ss or m:ss): 0:18.46
Average shared text size (kbytes): 0
Average unshared data size (kbytes): 0
Average stack size (kbytes): 0
Average total size (kbytes): 0
Maximum resident set size (kbytes): 1964
Average resident set size (kbytes): 0
Major (requiring I/O) page faults: 0
Minor (reclaiming a frame) page faults: 139
Voluntary context switches: 4
Involuntary context switches: 1
Swaps: 0
File system inputs: 0
File system outputs: 0
Socket messages sent: 0
Socket messages received: 0
Signals delivered: 0
Page size (bytes): 4096
Exit status: 0
```

Figure 7: Using malloc

Comments and notes

- one Bin is significantly faster than SPHeap.
- Since one Bin is specialized for Polynomial arithmetic and there is no overhead of matching the buddy and coalescing compared to SPHeap, the execution time is low for one Bin.