# Assignment 11

## Surbhi Agarwal

Abstract—This document illustrates linear transformation matrices with respect to a set of linearly independent eigenvectors.

#### 1 Problem

Let  $\mathbf{S}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be given by  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) = \alpha \mathbf{v}$ , for a fixed  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{T}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear transformation such that  $\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a set of linearly independent eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{T}$ . Then

- 1) The matrix of **T** with respect to **B** is diagonal
- 2) The matrix of (T S) with respect to **B** is diagonal
- 3) The matrix of **T** with respect to **B** is not necessarily diagonal, but is upper triangular
- 4) The matrix of T with respect to B is diagonal but the matrix of (T S) with respect to B is not diagonal.

#### 2 Solution

Given that  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear transformation and B represents a set of linearly independent eigenvectors of T given as follows

$$\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\} \tag{2.0.1}$$

So,

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \tag{2.0.2}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  represents the eigenvalue corresponding to  $\mathbf{v}_i$ . Hence, the matrix  $\mathbf{T}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{B}$  can be represented as

$$[\mathbf{T}]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.0.3)

And,

$$(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{S})\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_i) - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}_i)$$
 (2.0.4)

$$= \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i - \alpha \mathbf{v}_i \tag{2.0.5}$$

$$= (\lambda_i - \alpha) \mathbf{v}_i \tag{2.0.6}$$

Hence, matrix of  $\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{S}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{B}$  can be represented as

$$[\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{S}]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 - \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 - \alpha & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_n - \alpha \end{pmatrix} (2.0.7)$$

1. The matrix of <b>T</b> w.r.t to <b>B</b> is diagonal	True, as seen from (2.0.3)
2. The matrix of ( <b>T</b> – <b>S</b> ) w.r.t <b>B</b> is diagonal	True, as seen from (2.0.7)
3. The matrix of <b>T</b> with respect to <b>B</b> is not necessarily diagonal but is upper triangular	False, as already proved [T] <sub>B</sub> is diagonal
4. The matrix of <b>T</b> with respect to <b>B</b> is diagonal but the matrix of ( <b>T</b> – <b>S</b> ) with respect to <b>B</b> is not diagonal	False, as already proved $[\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{S}]_B$ is diagonal

TABLE 1: Verifying the given options

### 3 Example

Let 
$$T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$$
 where
$$\mathbf{T}(x) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.0.1)

Here, the eigenvalues of the above trasformation matrix are  $\lambda_1 = 3$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -2$ . And the corresponding eigenvectors are  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus,

$$\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\} \tag{3.0.2}$$

Now,

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1 \tag{3.0.3}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.4}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.5}$$

$$=3\begin{pmatrix}2\\1\end{pmatrix}\tag{3.0.6}$$

$$= \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \tag{3.0.7}$$

And,

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_2 \tag{3.0.8}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.9}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -2\\ -6 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.10}$$

$$= -2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.11}$$

$$= \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 \tag{3.0.12}$$

For any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2$ 

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.14}$$

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{T}(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2) \tag{3.0.15}$$

$$= c_1 \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1) + c_2 \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_2) \tag{3.0.16}$$

$$=c_1\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1+c_2\lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2\tag{3.0.17}$$

$$[\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v})]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 c_1 \\ \lambda_2 c_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.18}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.19}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} [\mathbf{v}]_B \tag{3.0.20}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} [\mathbf{v}]_B \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) = \alpha \mathbf{v}, \alpha \neq 0$$

(3.0.21)

$$=\alpha(c_1\mathbf{v}_1+c_2\mathbf{v}_2)\tag{3.0.22}$$

$$= \alpha c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 \tag{3.0.23}$$

(3.0.24)

$$[\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v})]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha c_1 \\ \alpha c_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.0.25}$$

$$[(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{S})(\mathbf{v})]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 c_1 - \alpha c_1 \\ \lambda_2 c_2 - \alpha c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.0.26)

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 - \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.0.27)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 - \alpha \end{pmatrix} [\mathbf{v}]_B \quad (3.0.28)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 3 - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -2 - \alpha \end{pmatrix} [\mathbf{v}]_B \quad (3.0.29)$$

Hence, shown from (3.0.21) and (3.0.29) that the matrix of **T** and of **T** – **S** w.r.t to **B** is diagonal.