NAME (from your UF ID):	(Please PRINT)	UF ID#:	
CEN 407	/2/6070 Software Testing & Ve	rification	
	Exam 1 Spring 2017		
	this exam. It is a "closed-book/cloot have time to work all 21 proble		ay attention
PRINT your name and UF ID# a appropriate, when you are finished	above NOW and sign the pledge ed.	at the bottom of	this page, if
	cursively – ANSWERS IN THE SPA LY USING A BALLPOINT PEN TO II		
On my honor, I have neither given r information regarding its contents to	nor received unauthorized aid on this of those who have not yet taken it.	exam and I pledge n	ot to divulge
		SIGNATURE	

1. (4 pts.) Two testing-related terms that directly relate to the discussion of alternative definitions of testing are "validation testing" and "defect testing". Describe what these terms refer to, making the relevant distinction between them clear.

- 2. (3 pts.) In arguing that exhaustive input testing is generally impossible, we illustrated the problem using an example program in class. Which of the following was the example? (Circle ONE only.)
 - a. a program module with 2 input parameters, each with a word size of 32 bits
 - b. a word processing system
 - c. a compiler
 - d. a program that would determine if an ordered pair of numeric inputs are in ascending order, descending order, or equal
 - e. an airline reservation system
 - f. (none of the above)
- 3. (16 pts.) In "The Psychology and Economics of Program Testing," Myers identifies a number of "vital testing principles" related to important considerations in software testing. Some of these are:
 - A. A necessary part of a test case is the definition of the expected output or result.
 - B. A programmer should avoid attempting to test his or her own program.
 - C. A programming organization should not test its own programs.
 - D. The results of each test case must be thoroughly inspected.
 - E. Test cases must be written for invalid and unexpected as well as valid and expected, input conditions.
 - F. Examining a program to see if it does not do what it is supposed to do is only half of the battle. The other half is seeing whether the program does what it is not supposed to do.
 - G. Avoid throw-away test cases unless the program is truly a throw-away program.
 - H. Do not plan a testing effort under the tacit assumption that no errors will be found.
 - I. Testing is the process of executing a program with the intent of finding errors.
 - J. A good test case is one that has a high probability of detecting an as yet undiscovered error.
 - K. The probability of the existence of more errors in a section of a program is proportional to the number of errors already found in that section.

3. (cont'd)

	ription below, identify the single principle from among those listed above that what Myers is describing. (Enter the letter of the principle being described to description.)
prog	problem is seen most often in the use of interactive systems to test rams. A common practice is to sit at a terminal, invent test cases on the fly, then send these test cases through the program
47%	phenomenon has been observed in IBM operating systems. In one of these, of the (errors found by users) were associated with only 4% of the modules in the system.
giver meas reliat to be appr	project manager is largely measured on the ability to produce a program by a manager and for a certain cost. One reason for this is that it is easy to sure time and cost objectives, but it is extremely difficult to quantify the bility of a program. Therefore it is difficult for a programming organization to objective in testing its own program, because the testing process, if oached with the proper definition, may be viewed as decreasing the ability of meeting the schedule and cost objectives.
the t prog	principle is related to the natural tendency that) has frequently appeared in resting of the triangle program in Chapter 1. Few people, for instance, feed the ram the numbers 1,2,5 to make sure that the program does not erroneously pret this as a scalene triangle.
facts whic that	ting the logician Copi: A problem may be characterized as a fact or group of for which we have no acceptable explanation, which seem unusual, or h fail to fit in with our expectations or preconceptions. It should be obvious some prior beliefs are required if anything is to appear problematic. If there no expectations, there can be no surprises.
over	is probably the most obvious principle, but again it is something that is often looked. In experiments performed by the author, many subjects failed to detect ain errors, even when symptoms of those errors were clearly observable
discu owne is alr insta may	principle follows from earlier discussion in the chapter, principally the ussion that implied that testing is a destructive process As many homeers know, removing wall-paper (a destructive process) is not easy, but it most unbearably depressing if you, rather than someone else, originally elled it In addition there is a second significant problem: The program contain errors due to the programmer's misunderstanding of the problem ement or specification
Time beca we a	one were instructed to solve the crossword puzzle in the Sunday New York es in 15 minutes, we would observe little, if any, progress after 10 minutes use the person would be resigned to the fact that the task seems impossible. If sked for a solution in four hours, however, we would probably observe more ress in the initial 10 minutes.

4.		2 pts.) For each of "The six essentials of software testing," described by Edward Kit, circle missing word(s) among the choices provided that he actually used. (Circle ONE only.)								
	a. Essential 1: The quality of the determines the effort.					s the success of	the test			
		i. testers iii. test tools		tools	v. tes	st proces	S			
		ii. test plan	iv. test	cases	vi. te	st enviro	nment			
	b.	Essential 2: Prevent defect migration by using techniques.								
		i. information hidin	g ii	i. regressio	n testing	v. enha	nced interrogation	1		
		ii. incremental inte- testing	gration	iv. effectiv	e change co	ntrol	vi. early life-cycle testing			
	c.	Essential 3: The ti	me for		_ is now.					
		i. reviews & inspec	tions	iii. formal	methods	v. soft	ware testing tools	:		
		ii. continuous proce improvement	ess	iv. tester t	raining	vi. civ	il discourse			
	d.	Essential 4: A real	person	must take	e responsib	ility for				
		i. test planning	iii. mair	ntaining test	cases	v. the C	(A function			
		ii. software quality	-	oroving the ocess	testing	vi. good	d snacks			
	e. Essential 5: Testing is a professional discipline requiring									
		i. trained, skilled po	eople ii	i. a good se	ense of humo	or v. ac	cess to drugs			
		ii. disciplined self-deprivation iv. good intuition vi. adequate compensation								
	f.	Essential 6: Cultiv	ate a po	sitive tear	n attitude o	of	·			
		i. working together	· iii. (disciplined i	nvincibility	v. cre	eative destruction			
		ii. mutual respect	iv.	quality impr	rovement	vi. cul	tural relativism			

5. (12 pts.) Match each description below to the SINGLE MOST APPROPRIATE TERM related to

testing object-oriented softw more than one description.)	are among the	following. (Note: terms may apply to none, one, or					
A. encapsulation B. inheritance C. unit level O-O tes D. object classes E. initial inter-object F. higher level O-O te	class testing	G. polymorphism H. observability interfaces K. state machine models L. methods M. UML sequence or collaboration diagrams N. inspection operations					
Use/include relations	allows the de	sign of an incremental strategy					
Object-oriented design tation to interpret the		at may necessitate the use of special instrument- ethods					
Often useful for desc	ribing object c	lass states and transitions					
Allows object classes classes	to be <i>specializ</i>	zed or extended from one or more other object					
Feature is problemat from the tester	ic when effects	s of operations are private and therefore "hidden"					
· ·	Sometimes required by design rules to circumvent the problem of object state not being directly accessible, but results in some overhead						
Allows variable types testers to be aware o		oindings to change dynamically, thus requiring that may occur					
Often focuses on objection is not alw		opposed to individual methods, as testing methods					
Facilitates the application on a state-by-state b	•	oning and combinatorial test case design techniques					
An issue which arises derived class need to		this feature involves deciding which methods in a					
Normally begins with functional entities (hi	_	on of object classes to form inter-object class er is NOT "E")					
Primary objective is t	to discover inte	erface and blatant higher-level design errors					
6. (2 pts.) As discussed in class based on an operational pro		liability may be measured using statistical testing					

7. (3 pts.) Recall the pseudocode program below discussed in class.

```
if (input is other than an ordered pair of numbers)
    then output("invalid input")
else
    if x<y then output("ascending order")
    else
        if x>y then output("ascending order")
        else
            output("equal")
```

Which one of the following statements best reflects the *primary* point made in connection with this program? (Circle ONE only.)

- a. Correctness of the program can only be determined using statistical testing.
- b. Correctness of the program cannot be determined in the absence of its requirements.
- c. It illustrates a design for which the underlying assumption of partition testing would hold for the equivalence classes identified in class.
- d. Correctness of the program can only be determined using BOTH black-box and white-box testing.
- e. Attempting to count the "number of bugs/errors" in the program is probably not meaningful.
- f. (none of the above)
- 8. (3 pts.) Recall the guestion posed in class regarding the "Fisherman's Dilemma:"

You have 3 days for fishing and 2 lakes to choose from. Day 1 at Lake X nets 8 fish. Day 2 at Lake Y nets 32 fish. Which lake do you return to for day 3?

Which one of the following statements represents the *primary* point made about *testing* in connection with this issue? (Circle ONE only.)

- a. Like fishing, testing is an extremely creative and challenging task.
- b. In general, we can't use testing to prove a program is error free for the same reason that we can't use fishing to prove that a lake has no fish. Thus, in testing as in fishing, we need to weigh COST and RISK.
- c. Both the cost of errors and the stench of dead fish tend to increase *exponentially* with time before discovery.
- d. A programmer who is unable to avoid fishing for his own program errors should become Mr. Hyde... i.e., adopt a "tester's mindset" that mitigates his ego-attachment to the program.
- e. Testers should execute programs with the *intent* of finding errors for the same reason that fishermen should go fishing with the *intent* of catching fish.
- f. (none of the above)

9.	Microsoft's Ken Johnston describes a new model of testing in his recorded Guest Lecture, "The Future of Testing is ${\sf EaaS}y.$ "
	a. (3 pts.) Briefly identify the model by name and describe the basic premise upon which it is based.
	b. (12 pts.) Briefly define and explain the relevance of each of the following terms/acronyms used by Ken Johnston to the model he describes.
	i. BUFT
	ii. LKGC
	iii. code churn
	iv. flighting

- 10. (3 pts.) In his paper, "Design and Code Inspections to reduce errors in program development," M.E. Fagan notes that in his experience with inspections, "evidently no manager has tried to 'kill the goose that lays the golden eggs'." Which one of the following describes the specific issue to which he was referring? (Circle ONE only.)
 - a. Zealously ensuring that all issues, problems, and concerns discovered in an inspection have been resolved by the owner.
 - b. The problem of including "bad eggs" (bad fixes or corrections) in a product due to the human tendency to consider a fix to be error-free itself.
 - c. Pursuing questions raised during an inspection only to the point at which an error is recognized (i.e., without "killing the goose").
 - d. Over-pressuring reviewers to seek high-occurrence, high cost error types (i.e., "golden eggs").
 - e. The strong inclination of managers to change the adage that, "design is not complete until testing is completed."
 - f. (none of the above)
- 11. Suppose a function that returns 2 Boolean values has 3 inputs, one of which is comprised of 4 disjoint attribute classes, while the others are comprised of 2 disjoint attribute classes each. There are no infeasible input combinations.
 - a. (6 pts.) What is the minimum number of test cases needed to achieve "Strong Equivalence Class Testing"? What is the minimum number needed to achieve "Weak Equivalence Class Testing"?

minimum	#	of cases	needed	for	strong	equivale	ence d	class	testing:	
minimum	#	of cases	needed	for	weak e	eguivaler	nce cl	ass t	esting:	

b. (3 pts.) Suppose a given implementation of this function has a total of 6 execution paths, 5 of which are feasible. If the function's input space is partitioned solely on the basis of *specified output*, what is the minimum number of test cases needed to ensure coverage of the partitions?

minimum #	ŧ of	cases	needed	to	cover	the	partitions:	

12. (3 pts.) What potential problem with using techniques such as "Error Seeding" to estimate the number of errors remaining in a program during testing was illustrated in class by way of a pseudocode Quick Sort program? How did the program illustrate the problem? Be specific.

- 13. A variation on "Test Case Selection Strategy #3" that we considered involves the use of particular "culling rules".
 - a. (4 pts.) Describe the mechanics and specific combinatorial effect of applying this variation when encountering a 3rd-degree AND-node that must evaluate to False in the process of identifying Cause combinations resulting in a given Effect.

b. (3 pts.) Briefly explain the *rationale* for this particular culling approach.

- 14. (6 pts.) After just 2 days of testing a new product release, Janice happily announced to her manager that her team had already found 20 errors. The manager, who was surprised to hear that so many errors had been discovered so quickly, asked Janice why she was feeling happy in light of this depressing news. She then said, "Well, 16 of those 20 'errors' weren't real 'bugs' they were, in fact, among 40 'errors' that I created and then 'seeded' into the system before testing started. So, I figure that there should only be just a few REAL bugs left to find now." Assuming Janice used the error seeding technique discussed in class, how many real bugs does she estimate are still left to find? (Show and explain your work.)
- 15. (3 pts.) During the discussion of testing tools, reference was made to the wonder and beauty of springtime in Paris...

Which ONE of the following testing tool types was this reference used to clandestinely introduce? (Circle ONE only.)

- a. Boundary value, equivalence class, and special value generators
- b. Statistical test generators (for reliability, availability, and performance testing)
- c. Test Harness Generators

- d. Data / Output Comparators
- e. Keystroke Recorder and Playback Tools
- f. Code Instrumentation tools

g. (none of the above)

- 16. (10 pts.) The following statements relate to Grady and Van Slack, et al., "Key Lessons in Achieving Widespread Inspection Use." Indicate whether each is true or false. To compensate for random guessing, your score in points will be 2 times the number of [correct minus incorrect] answers, or 0 whichever is greater. Therefore, if you are not more than 50% sure of your answer, consider skipping the item.
 - a. The inspection process step that varies the most at HP is the true false "cause/prevention" step.
 - b. It was found that a particularly strong predictor of successful true false technology adoption at HP is the number of people trained in that technology.
 - c. While HP's culture leads to less formality than in many other true false companies, the inspection process emphasizes that this must NOT extend to the recording and tracking of meeting results.
 - d. HP created a measure called the Extent-Of-Adoption Metric to true false gauge company-wide progress in process maturity, depth of use, and breadth of use.
 - e. A major accomplishment that occurred during HP's "Widespread (Inspection) Belief and Adoption" stage (1989-1993) was the establishment of a single "standard" HP inspection process.
- 17. (4 pts.) In their paper, *Key Lessons in Achieving Widespread Inspection Use,* Grady and Van Slack motivate their story about the software inspection adoption process at Hewlett Packard with an anecdote that illustrates the enormous potential return on investment (ROI) that can be achieved with some types of inspections. Which one of the following was the subject of the anecdote given? (Circle ONE only.)
 - a. Before bringing a new hydroelectric power plant on-line for the first time, a \$200 inspection of a generator bearing resulted in finding a previously undetected crack that would have resulted in the likely destruction of a \$200,000 turbine.
 - b. Before buying a recently refurbished vintage sports car, one of the authors decided to invest in a \$100 inspection of the car's crankshaft, despite assurances that it had recently been replaced. It turns out that the wrong part had been used during refurbishment, and that the engine would have likely been destroyed after just a few hours of use.
 - c. The authors describe the experience of one organization within HP that reported an average cost of \$91 per defect found during "inspections" versus \$25,000 per defect found after product delivery.
 - d. Before buying a used house, one of the authors and his wife decided to pay \$25 for an inspection of the house's hot water based heating system. It turned out that the water pipes were leaking and the system had to be replaced at great expense by the sellers.
 - e. The authors refer to an industry survey from 1985 that showed it is 100 times more expensive to correct errors discovered during "installation" than when discovered using inspections during "analysis."
 - f. (none of the above)

- 18. (10 pts.) The following statements relate to Sauer, et al., "The Effectiveness of Software Development Technical Reviews (SDTRs): A Behaviorally Motivated Program of Research." Indicate whether each is true or false. To compensate for random guessing, your score in points will be 2 times the number of [correct minus incorrect] answers, or 0 whichever is greater. Therefore, if you are not more than 50% sure of your answer, consider skipping the item.
 - a. The behavioral theory predicts that, where there is no true false plurality by which to decide whether an issue is a true defect, a group's ability to make a correct discrimination is positively influence by the quality of its group processes.
 - b. The authors note that rather than ask whether or not SDTRs true false find more defects than no review at all, their concern is to ask how much more effective they are than this most basic requirement.
 - c. It was found that interacting groups generate a significant true false volume of new, creative problem solutions beyond those already generated in the individual phase of the task.
 - d. The most salient finding of the empirical research on which true false the theory is based is that group performance is dominated by the available task expertise.
 - e. The behavioral theory of group performance upon which the true false authors' results are based stems from studies in which subjects are required to imagine themselves stranded in the desert with a limited number of implements available to them.
- 19. (4 pts.) In their paper, *The Effectiveness of Software Development Technical Reviews: A Behaviorally Motivated Program of Research,* Sauer, et al. apply the behavioral theory of group performance to explain the outcomes of software reviews. Which one of the following, according to the authors, is the "most salient (i.e., important) finding" of the empirical research in which the theory is based? (Circle ONE only.)
 - a. That decision schemes (plurality effects) dominate interacting group performance.
 - b. That the performance advantage of an interacting group over a nominal group is a function of the level of false positives discovered by individuals.
 - c. That synergy is the primary reason why groups perform better than individuals.
 - d. That group performance is a positive function of task training.
 - e. That group performance is dominated by the available task expertise.
 - f. (none of the above)

20. (20 pts.) In their chapter, "Making Meetings Work for Everyone," Gause and Weinberg offer several observations and recommendations related to making meetings more productive. For each of the following, circle "is" if the observation or recommendation is included in the chapter, and "is not" otherwise. To compensate for random guessing, your score in points will be 2 times the number of [correct minus incorrect] answers, or 0 – whichever is greater. Therefore, if you are not more than 50% sure of your answer, consider skipping the item.

•	incretore, if you are not more than 30 % sure or your answer, cor	isidei skippiii	g the ite
а	 Limiting meetings to no more than 3 agenda items keeps them small, short, and relevant to all in attendance. 	is	is not
b	. People who inappropriately come to meetings are likely to start participating to "prove" they were right to be there in the first place.	is	is not
С	. If a project seems to hold too many meetings, it may be a symptom of overstaffing.	is	is not
d	. If the same people are to attend different meetings one right after another in the same room, have the participants drink a strong cup of coffee before starting the second meeting.	is	is not
е	. Criticizing people for not attending meetings is likely to result in their becoming disruptive when they do attend in order to "prove" that it was a mistake to invite them in the first place.	is	is not
f.	Meeting participants should agree in advance that anyone can call one-minute or five-minute time-outs at any point without explaining why.	is	is not
g	One way to gauge participant satisfaction is to simply ask each person, "Are you completely satisfied with each and every decisions made at this meeting?"	is	is not
h	. Noticing what's wrong with your meetings will help diagnose what's wrong with the entire process, and indicate what to prescribe for the malady.	is	is not
i.	One way to see if there is unfinished business at the end of a meeting is to ask each person, "Has every one of your ideas been handled to your satisfaction?"	is	is not
j.	It is important to never allow agreed-upon rules to be changed during a meeting since this could be used as a political tool by one side against another.	is	is not

21.	(18 pts.) Match each description below to the the following. (Note: terms may apply to nor	e SINGLE MOST APPROPRIATE TERM among ne, one, or more than one description.)							
	A. Fault-based test B. System test acceptance C. Thread test D. Alpha test E. Performance test F. Stress test G. "Lights out" test H. Regression test I. Reliability test J. Unit test K. Benchmarking	L. "Soak" test M. Recovery test N. Usability test O. Serviceability test P. Beta test R. Integration testing S. "Smoke" test T. Exhaustive test U. Compatibility/conversion test W. Causal analysis X. Test-driven development							
	Focus is on typical requirements that abrupt performance degradation	systems exhibit "graceful" failures and non-							
	Integrating program elements associa	ated with a key program function							
	General practice of recording and con etc., to help identify "best practices"	General practice of recording and comparing indices of performance, quality, cost, etc., to help identify "best practices"							
	Specialized testing in which HCI expe analysis	erts conduct experiments and utilize protocol							
	Testing by end-users performed with	in the user environment prior to general release							
	(Limited) testing conducted to ensure for the system-level test phase	e that a system is "ready" (sufficiently stable)							
	Testing which takes place as sub-eler elements	ments are combined to form higher-level							
	Re-testing to detect problems caused	by the adverse effects of program change							
	Appropriate interpretations for "failur	e" and "time" are critical							
	Testing of the smallest programmer we planned and tracked	work assignments that can reasonably be							
	Automated, stand-alone testing not r	equiring human involvement							
	Testing a system version over a signi performance problems	ficant period of time to discover latent errors or							
	Introduced in support of agile method one or more tests for each increment	ds; code is developed incrementally, along with t							
	May be automated by combining a ke data/output comparator	eystroke recorder and playback tool with a							
	When all combinations of all possible	input and state variable values are covered							
	Process aimed at identifying the origin occurrences	n of errors and approaches to eliminate future							
	Focus is on capabilities such as detec standby systems, and maintaining at	ting exceptional conditions, switching over to							

___ Typical coverage includes post-delivery change procedures (adaptive, perfective, and corrective scenarios), supporting documentation, and system diagnostic tools