Software Testing and Verification

Problem Set 5: Axiomatic Verification

- 1. Consider the assertion of *weak* correctness: $\{z<0\}$ s $\{y=z+1\}$. Which of the following observations/facts would allow one to deduce that the assertion is FALSE and which would not? Consider the observations individually and briefly justify your answer for each.
 - a. When the initial value of z is 3, the value of y is 4 when s terminates.
 - b. When the initial value of z is -1, the value of y is 17 when s terminates.
 - c. When the initial value of z is -3, the program does not terminate.
 - d. When the initial value of z is -17, the value of y is less than the value of z when s terminates.
 - e. When the initial value of z is -17, the value of y is less than the initial value of z when s terminates.
 - f. The program s is: y := z+1g. The program s is: if z <= 0 then y := -3; z := -4 end_if h. The program s is: z := 5; y := 6; prod := z*y
- 2. Consider the assertion: $\{x>y\}$ temp := x x := y y := temp if temp>z then y := z z := temp if x>y then temp := x x := y y := temp end_if end_if $\{x \le y \le z\}$

Prove the above using appropriate RULES OF INFERENCE. Show all steps.

3. Prove the following assertion using the While-Loop Rule of Inference. Show all steps.

```
Found := false
Index := N
while (Index>0 & (not Found)) do
    if Key=List[Index] then
        Found := true
    else
        Index := Index-1
        end_if_else
        end_while

{(Found ∧ Key=List[Index]) V
    (~Found ∧ ∀ 1≤ i ≤ N • Key ≠ List[i])}
```

{N≥1}

4. Prove the following assertion using a suitable Rule of Inference for the Repeat_Until-Loop. Clearly state the Rule of Inference and show all steps. (Hint: Do NOT include P =>I as an antecedent in your rule.)

```
\{N \ge 1 \land iorder\} \text{ (where iorder } = \forall 1 \le i < N \bullet List[i] \ge List[i+1])
              First := 1
              Last := N
              Found := false
              repeat
                Index := (First + Last) div 2
                if Key=List[Index] then
                  Found := true
                else
                   if Key<List[Index] then
                     First := Index+1
                  else
                     Last := Index-1
                  end-if-else
                end-if-else
              until (Found or First>Last)
```

{(Found \land Key=List[Index]) \lor (\sim Found $\land \forall 1 \le i \le N \bullet \text{key} \ne \text{List}[i]$)}

5. Consider the program below, where x and y are integer variables.

```
while (y<0) do

y := y+x

if (x\le0) then

x := x+1

end_if

end while
```

- a. For what initial values of x and y will the program terminate?
- b. Can the Method of Well Founded Sets, as stated in class, be used to prove the program will terminate? If so, use it to do so. If not, suggest a generalization to the method that would allow its use in such cases.
- 6. Consider the following HYPOTHESIZED rules of inference for the "while" construct:

$$P \implies (\sim b \land Q)$$
 a.
$$P \implies (\sim b \land Q)$$
 a.
$$\{P\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } s \ \{Q\}$$

For each of the above, clearly indicate whether or not the rule is **valid.** If valid, provide an assertion of the form {P} while b do S {Q} for which it *could* be used. If not valid, prove this by providing a counterexample.