mash No Baseline

Sarah Urbut

$July\ 16,\ 2016$

Contents

1	Purpose	2
2	Defining the Old Model	2
3	Applications 3.1 Selecting The Covariance Matrices	3
4	Posteriors	4
5	Differences required over mash implementation	5

1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to propose a method for extending mash to estimate 'true' effects across conditions in a setting in which no obvious baseline exists. We assume that we observe noisy, uncentered averages \hat{C}_{jr} in each of R conditions, and seek to estimate the underlying true 'deviations' from average measurement across conditions and can be seen as the effects in mash.

Here, the use of bold-face notation indicates a vector, while matrix quantities are typeset in capital but unboldface letters.

2 Defining the Old Model

For a given gene-snp pair, \boldsymbol{b} represents the R vector of unknown standardized effect. We model the prior distribution from which \boldsymbol{b} is drawn as a mixture of multivariate *Normals*.

$$b|\pi, \mathbf{U} \sim \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}} \pi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}} N_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{0}, \omega_{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}})$$
 (1)

Furthermore, for a given gene-snp pair, the Likelihood on b:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}|\boldsymbol{b} \sim N_R(\boldsymbol{b}, \hat{V})$$
 (2)

Now, we observe for each gene j a vector of uncentered noisy average feature expression \hat{C} across R conditions:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}|\boldsymbol{C} \sim N_R(\boldsymbol{C}, \hat{V})$$
 (3)

where the 'true' uncentered averages C can be written as follows:

$$C|\mu, \mathbf{v} = \mu \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{v} \tag{4}$$

Where μ is a scalar that is the mean of the 'true' uncentered averages C.

 \boldsymbol{v} is a zero-centered mixture of multivariate normals:

$$v|\pi, \mathbf{U} \sim \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}} \pi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}} N_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{0}, \omega_{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}})$$
 (5)

Critically, our quantity of interest now, v represents the true 'deviations' from average gene expression across each condition and can be seen as the effects in mash.

3 Applications

We will again apply a two-step process to our selection of covariance matrices, where we select a set of denoised 'pattern' matrices U_k by using the EM algorithm on the max effects across conditions, and then expanding this list by a fixed grid of scalar weights ω_l such that we conclude with a list of P = KxL covariance matrices Σ . We can then:

- estimate the p prior weights π on this fixed P-list of covariance matrices from a training matrix of randomly selected feature expression measurements across conditions
- compute the posterior distribution $v|L\hat{C}, s_j$

Let

$$LC = L\mu \mathbf{1} + L\mathbf{v} \tag{6}$$

L is the RxR centering matrix $L_r = I_r - \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\top}$ which removes the mean of each R column vector.

Then:

$$LC = L\mu \mathbf{1} + L\mathbf{v}$$

$$LC = 0 + L\mathbf{v}$$

$$L\hat{C} = L\mathbf{v} + E$$
(7)

Where $E \sim \mathcal{N}(0, L\hat{V}L')$

3.1 Selecting The Covariance Matrices

We initiate our set of covariance matrices for the denoising step as before in mash, where now we compute the empirical covariance matrices and a variety of dimensional reductions on the feature-centered JxR matrix of maximum average, $L\hat{C}'$ instead of \hat{C} alone. In practice, we actually use the matrix of maximum uncentered Z statistics.

Now for each gene J at each component k, integrating over v,

$$LC \sim \mathcal{N}(0, LU_k L')$$

$$L\hat{C} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, LU_k L' + L\hat{V}L')$$
(8)

And thus we can use the Bovy et al algorithm invoked in both the Extreme Deconvolution package and in 'Sarah's MixEm' where:

$$T_{jp} = LU_k L' + L\hat{V}_j L' \tag{9}$$

And as mentioned, L is the RxR centering matrix for each gene, and $w_j = L\hat{C}_j$.

Recall that our previous approach was simplified by the fact that w_j was simply \hat{b}_j and the projection matrix was simply the I_r identity matrix. Our inference on b was analogous to their inference on j.

As before, we are interested in returning the prior covariance U_k matrices of the 'true' deviations \boldsymbol{v} , which we will then rescale by choosing a set of ω that are appropriate to $L\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}$ to comprise a set of P=KxL prior covariance matrices Σ .

and choose the set of π that maximizes compute the following likelihood at each of the P components:

$$L\hat{C}_{j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, L\Sigma_{p}L' + L\hat{V}_{j}L') \tag{10}$$

4 Posteriors

Now, as before we can compute a posterior distribution such that:

$$\mathbf{v}|L\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \pi, \Sigma, \mathbf{s} \sim N(\mu^1, U^1)$$
 (11)

Where at each of the P components for each gene J

$$\mu_{jp}^{1} = \Sigma_{p} L' T_{jp}^{-1} L \hat{\boldsymbol{C}}_{j}$$

$$U_{jp}^{1} = \Sigma_{p} - \Sigma_{p} L' T_{jp}^{1} L \Sigma_{p}$$
(12)

And

$$\mathbf{v} \sim \sum_{p} \tilde{\pi}_{p} \mathcal{N}(\mu_{p}^{1}, U_{p}^{1})$$
 (13)

Where

$$\tilde{\pi}_{jp} = \frac{\mathcal{N}(L\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}; 0, T_{jp})}{\sum_{p} \mathcal{N}(L\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}; 0, T_{jp})}$$
(14)

5 Differences required over mash implementation

- We will now work with a matrix of observed column-centered gene averages, $L\hat{C}'$ in order to:
 - 1. initialize our choice of U_k ;
 - 2. choose the maxes by which to denoise,
 - 3. choose our set of scales, ω_l
 - 4. compute our hierarchical weights, π_p as well as our posteriors.
- The new distribution we seek to estimate for each j is then $v|L\hat{C}, s_j|$

Some questions:

- How is using \mathbf{w}_j as $L\hat{\mathbf{C}}_j$ as the data from which we estimate our model different than initializing with the vectors of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ that have been computed on feature centered data?
- I think it is because we are now broadly incorporating the centering information into our prior on LC as well, such that at each component for each gene, $LC_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, L\Sigma_{jp}L')$.
- If this is the case, we will probably need to denoise all of the initiation matrices (not just the multirank Uk) since previously our projection matrix was the Identity
- Since our input will still be that matrix of uncentered noisy averages and their standard errors, our scaling parameter ω ought to be chosen consistent with $L\hat{C}$, and not \hat{C} , since this will tend to scale with the true deviations v_i .