Birju Mahto @ Birju Kumar Mahto vs The State Of Bihar on 16 April, 2018

Author: Arun Kumar

Bench: Arun Kumar

Patna High Court Cr.M isc. No.22360 of 2018 (2) dt.16-04-2018

1

2 16-04-2018

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and the State.

ORAL ORDER

Petitioner, already in custody since 24.10.2017, seeks bail in Bhagwanpur PS Case No. 187 of 2017 registered under Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

The allegation in brief is that one country made loaded pistol, two live cartridges and a motorcycle were recovered from the possession of the petitioner while the police raided the place after receiving information of assembly of criminals.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that another co- accused Neeraj Kumar Mahto has been granted bail by this court and he is in custody since 24.10.2017.

Whereas learned Counsel for the State submits that from the possession of Neeraj Kuimar Mahto only three live cartridges were recovered but from the possession of the petitioner country made loaded pistol and two live cartridges have been recovered, besides this he has five criminal antecedents of robbery, Arms Act and murder.

Having considered the said facts and circumstances, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial.