

Nepal Rai vs The State Of Jharkhand on 26 May, 2017

Author: S.N. Pathak

Bench: S.N. Pathak

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 3526 of 2017

Nepal Rai

...Petitio

-V e r s u s-

The State of Jharkhand

...Opp. Pa

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner :- Mr. K.K. Mishra, Advocate

For the Opp. Party :- A.P.P.

04/26.05.2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is an accused in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 414/419/420/467/468/471/120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed any offence as alleged in the First Information Report. It has been alleged that the petitioner and other co-accused persons were committing cyber crime by using mobile phones. It is further submitted that except seizing the mobile phones of the petitioner, the police could not collect any admissible evidence in support of the allegation that they were committing cyber crime. Similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted bail vide orders dated 04.05.2017, 05.05.2017 and 28.04.2017 in B.A. Nos. 3392, 3478 and 3067 of 2017 respectively. Moreover, the petitioner is in judicial custody since 08.02.2017 and, therefore, he may be granted the privilege of regular bail.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the petitioner's prayer for regular bail. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Jamtara in connection with Narayanpur, P.S. Case no. 32 of 2017 corresponding to G.R. Case no. 163 of 2017.

Animesh/Gunjan

(Dr. S.N. Pat