

Mehtab Alam vs The State Of Bihar on 21 June, 2024

Author: Sandeep Kumar

Bench: Sandeep Kumar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30460 of 2024
Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2022 Thana- KORANSARAI District

=====

MEHTAB ALAM SON OF MUJTABA ALAM @ MD. MUJTABA ANSARI
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - MAGRAON, POLICE STATION - RAJPUR,
DISTRICT - BUXAR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shubham Sourav
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar
Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 21-06-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of bail. Earlier his application was rejected vide order dated 23.05.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 27070 of 2023.

3. The following order was passed on 23.05.2023 in Cr. Misc. No. 27070 of 2023:-

"Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

This application has been filed for grant of regular bail arises out of Session Trial No. 295 of 2022, arising out of Koransarai P.S. Case No. 26/2022 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 392 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Considering the fact that petitioner is involved in road robbery and having seven similar antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Patna High Court CR. MISC. No.30460 of 2024(2) dt.21-06-2024 Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial of all the cases pending against the petitioner."

4. The petitioner is in jail since 06.04.2022. Therefore, the prayer for bail has been renewed on the ground of period of custody and some co-accused have been granted bail.

5. I am not inclined to grant bail to this petitioner as he is involved in a road robbery and has got similar antecedent. It is accordingly dismissed.

6. Again, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Sandeep Kumar, J) Saif/-

U T