

# Paramveer Singh vs State Of Rajasthan (2023:Rj-Jd:35151) on 16 October, 2023

**Author: Manoj Kumar Garg**

**Bench: Manoj Kumar Garg**

[2023:RJ-JD:35151]

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 9520/2023

Paramveer Singh S/o Sh. Nakhat Singh, Aged About 38 Years,  
B/c Rajput, R/o Naganarai Nagar, Barmer (Raj.) (Presently  
Lodged In District Jail, Barmer)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pradeep Shah Ms. Geeta Panpaliya
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. NS Bhati, PP Mr. SP Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR GARG

Order 16/10/2023 The present second bail application has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. The petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No.147/2020 Mahila Police Station, District Barmer for the offence punishable under Sections 498A, 304-B of IPC.

The first bail application was dismissed as not pressed by this Court vide order dated 19.10.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that so far twelve (12) prosecution witnesses have been examined before the trial Court and some of them have been declared hostile, except the complainant Surendra Singh (PW-4) and Durjan Singh (PW9). Counsel further submitted that only omnibus allegation has been levelled against three accused persons, out of which, two accused persons have already been exonerated by the Police and challan [2023:RJ-JD:35151] (2 of 2) [CRLMB-9520/2023] has only been filed against the present petitioner. Counsel further argued that there are major contradictions in the statement of complainant Surendra Singh (PW-4). The petitioner is in the judicial custody for about three years and three months and the trial of the case will take sufficiently long time. Therefore, the benefit of bail should be granted to the accused-petitioner.

Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

I have considered the arguments advanced before me and carefully gone through the record.

According to the statement of complainant Surendra Singh (PW-4), specific allegations of demanding dowry and harrasing the deceased have been levelled against the present petitioner along with other co-accused. The deceased died within seven years of marriage. Thus, the presumption under law is also against the present petitioner. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

In the above circumstances, the second bail application filed by the petitioner is hereby rejected at this stage.

(MANOJ KUMAR GARG),J 25-raksha/-

Powered by TCPDF ([www.tcpdf.org](http://www.tcpdf.org))