Vijay Barku Kokani And Others vs The State Of Maharashtra on 7 September, 2018

Author: V.K. Jadhav

Bench: V.K. Jadhav

1 ABA 907.2018.odt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 907 OF 2018

VIJAY BARKU KOKANI AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

. . .

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Wani Ruchir S. APP for Respondents: Mr. B V Virdhe

. . .

CORAM : V.K. JADHAV, J. Dated: September 07, 2018

. . .

PER COURT :-

- 1. The applicants are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with crime No.103 of registered 2018 with station, District Upnagar police Nandurbar offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 494, 323, 504, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code. Their application bearing Cri Bail application No.153/2018 with similar rejected by the learned prayer came to be Additional Sessions Judge, Nandurbar.
- Learned counsel for the applicants submits that though, last incident as quoted in the complaint dated
 26.6.2017 alleged to have been occurred at Kalyan

aaa/-

2 ABA 907.2018.odt

Thane, the complaint came to be lodged belatedly after a of one vear without any explanation. Learned gap counsel submits that almost all the family members including the married sisters and husband of the said married sisters are also not spared and all of them are implicated in the crime as accused. Learned counsel informant submits that the has only filed the not present complaint at Nandurbar, but she also initiated proceedings under the Protection of From Domestic Violence Act, 2005 at Solapur by showing her counsel residence in Solapur at that time. Learned informant is determined submits that thus to harass the applicant and his family members and gone to the extent of making all sort of allegations. The applicant no.1 is a Government servant and presently posted at Kalyan District Thane, applicant nos. 2 and 3 are the Their aged persons. custodial interrogation not required. The applicants are ready abide the to conditions, if imposed by this Court.

3. Learned APP has strongly resisted the application

aaa/-

3 ABA 907.2018.odt

on the ground that, there are severe allegations made against all the applicants. The informant was subjected

to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of the demand of the huge amount of rupees five lacs. Furthermore, the applicant no.1, who happened to be the husband is staying with one another woman in his house at Kalyan. informant Applicant no.1 was harrasing the the on ground that he wanted perform marriage with the to said woman.

4. After through the allegations the going made in complaint minutely, it appears that, almost all the family members came to be implicated in the complaint though, last incident and, even as quoted in the complaint is of 26.6.2017, the complaint came be to lodged one vear thereafter without explaining inordinate delay caused in filing the complaint. Moreover, considering the allegations as it is, I do not think that, custodial interrogation of the applicants in this crime is required. Applicant no.1 is government servant residing at different place, applicant nos. 2 and

aaa/-

the aged persons residing at Dangshirwade Tq. Sakri District Dhule, there is no question of tampering with with the prosecution evidence. 0ther accused persons are released on anticipatory bail by the Court below. imposing certain Thus, by conditions the

ABA 907.2018.odt

Vijay Barku Kokani And Others vs The State Of Maharashtra on 7 September, 2018 applicants are entitled for pre-arrest bail since the matter pertains to matrimonial dispute. Hence,

ORDER

I. Application is hereby allowed.

following order :-

II. In the event of arrest of applicants 1] Vijay Barku Kokani, 2] Barku Sanpat Deore, 3] Maharibai Barku Deore, in connection with crime No.103 of 2018 registered with Upnagar police station, Nandurbar, District Nandurbar for the offences punishable under Sections 498-(A), 494, 323, 504, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, they be released on bail, on their furnishing personal bond of Rs.15,000/-(Rs. Fifteen Thousand) each, with one surety of the like amount by each of them on the following conditions:-

aaa/-

5 ABA 907.2018.odt

- a) The applicants shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner.
- b) The applicants shall attend the concerned police station as and when required by the investigating officer.
- III. Application is disposed of.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.) ...

Anuja Digitally signed

by Anuja Abhay

Abhay Ankush

Date: 2018.09.07

Ankush 17:44:16 +0530

aaa/-