

## Azad vs State on 18 August, 2022

**Author: Manoj Kumar Garg**

**Bench: Manoj Kumar Garg**

(1 of 2)

[CRLMB-3861/2022]

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR  
S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3861/2022

Azad S/o Ramniwas, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Bhairiakbarpura,  
P.s. Uklana Dist. Hisar, Harayana. (At Present Lodged In Sub Jail,  
Rajgarh).

----Petitioner

Versus

State, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Aidan Singh Choudhary  
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Mukesh Trivedi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR GARG

Order 18/08/2022 The petitioner has been arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.231/2019 of Police Station Sidhmukh, District Churu for the offence punishable under Sections 395, 397, 323, 342, 307, 455, 149 IPC and Sections 3, 4/25, 27 of Arms Act. He has preferred this bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no direct evidence against the petitioner and no recovery of arms as well as money was made from the possession of the present petitioner. Counsel submits that only eleven mobile phones were recovered from the petitioner which does not connect him with the alleged crime. The accused-petitioner is in judicial custody and the trial of the case will take sufficient long time to be concluded. Therefore, the benefit of bail should be granted to the accused-petitioner.

Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

(2 of 2) [CRLMB-3861/2022] Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

This is a case of bank robbery in which all the accused persons including the present petitioner came together and committed bank robbery. The bail applications of co-accused namely Sandeep @ Ravan, Hrishi @ Dharmendra have already been rejected by this Court. The case of the present petitioner is also similar to those of the co-accused. In such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant the benefit of bail to the petitioner.

Hence, the bail application is rejected. However, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(MANOJ KUMAR GARG),J 44-MS/-

Powered by TCPDF (www.tcpdf.org)