Santosh Dutta vs The State Of Jharkhand on 6 October, 2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI B.A No. 6219 of 2017 Santosh Dutta Petitioner Versus The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAV K. GUPTA For the Petitioner: : Mr.A.K.Kashyap, Senior Advocate For the Respondent/Opp.Party : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Srivastava, APP Dated: 6th,October, 2017 The petitioner has been made an accused for the offence registered under section 414, 419, 420, 467,468,471, 120B I.P.C and section 66(B)/66(C)/66(D) of the Information Technology Act. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learend counsel for the State. Learned counsel has submitted that on confidential information, the police raided the house o f t h e petitioner's father a n d several ATMcards, bank pass books and cash of Rs.3,14,100/□were recovered from the house, co□ accused of Shivnath Dutta. Learned counsel has submitted that co \square

Mr.Sanjay Kumar Srivastava, learned A.P.P, has opposed the bail and submitted that this petitioner is a member of the gang involved in cyber crime.

accused Shivnath Kr. Dutta @ Shibnath Kumar Dutta @ Shibnath Dutta has been granted bail in B. A. No. 6378/2017.

Heard. Considering the fact that on similar allegation, co□ accused has been granted bail, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/□(Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub□Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jamtara, in Narayanpur P.S.Case No.91/2017, G.R Case No.506/2017.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall be present in the court below as and when directed by the learned trial court till the conclusion of the trial and the trial court is at liberty to impose any other terms and conditions, which it deems fit and proper.

(Amitav K. Gupta, J.) dey

1