Usability review

Score Comments Meetup Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the N/A = not applicable Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the overall user experience. or can't be assessed issues found, examples of good practice and the likely impact for users. **Features & functionality** Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives. Good Features and functionality support users desired workflows. Good Para acceder a las actividades debes estar registrado, en la pagina de inicio te Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from muestra algunos ejemplos pero no puedes ver concretamente algo que guieras the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available). Moderate buscar tu. Depende de los usuarios. 4 Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users). N/A 5 Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable. Good Homepage / starting page Ya registrado, en la app quizá sea visualmente mas claro y bonito, en la web The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of hay demasiada opciones que quizá no te interesen y hay mucho texto, poco the content, features and functionality available. **Poor** color.

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Good	Aparecen muchas actividades pero con una ubicación por defecto que puede no ser la tuya, pero las acciones que podemos realizar aparecen claras.
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Good	
Nav	rigation		
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Excellent	
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Good	Bueno pero en web podría mejorar.
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Excellent	
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Good	
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	N/A	Depende de los usuarios.
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Excellent	

15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Moderate	Hay muchas acciones a poder realizar, muchas de ellas te llevan a otra pagina, lo que puede confundir si te adentras demasiado.
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Good	
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Good	
Sea	rch		
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Poor	En la web no siempre esta disponible y tienes que volver al menu de inicio y la búsqueda por palabras es mala y es mejor utilizar directamente las categoriís que te da.
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi- parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Good	
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Poor	La búsqueda por palabras no es muy buena.
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Moderate	Lo anterior hace que esto no sea perfecto.
Cor	ntrol & feedback		
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Excellent	

23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Good		
24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Good		
Forms				
25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Excellent		
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).	Excellent		
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.	Moderate	En alginos casos como para dejar tu numero de telefono te dice que es opcional y luego es obligatorio	
28	Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.	Good		
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.	Good		
Err	ors			
30	Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).	Moderate	Lo normal aun que en alguno he tenido algún problema de no marcarme bien donde se comete el error.	

31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.	Moderate	No aportan mucho, quizá debe de ser por una previa ayuda buena.
32	Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented.	Good	
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Good	
Cor	ntent & text		
34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	N/A	Depende de los usuarios.
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Moderate	Algunos no tienen mucho que ver y no suelen estar muy a la vista o están en lugares que a mi parecer no deberían.
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	N/A	Depende de los usuarios.
37	Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	N/A	Depende de los usuarios.
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Good	

Help

39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand languagge and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Good		
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Excellent	•	
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Good	-	
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).	Good	•	
Per	formance			
43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).	Moderate		El rendimiento es bueno pero en algunos casos, al visitar cierta información o al crear eventos puede haber cierto retardo.
44	Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.	Good	•	
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs)		•	
70	are supported.	Excellent		
O	verall usability score (out of 100) *	77	-	Good

^{*} Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete a significant number of important tasks.

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete some important tasks.

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user experience could be significantly improved.

- * Good (between 69 and 89) Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vast majority of important tasks.
- * Excellent (more than 89) This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all important tasks on the site or system.