

# Sol<sup>n</sup> of Linear Equations

→ The techniques and method for solving systems of linear algebraic eq<sup>n</sup> belongs to two fundamentally different approaches:

## ① Elimination Approach (Direct Method)

i) Gaussian Elimination

ii) Gaussian elimination with pivoting

iii) Gauss Jordan method

iv) LU decomposition

v) Matrix Inverse method

## ② Iterative Approach

It involves assumption of some initial values which are then refined repeatedly till they reach some accepted level of accuracy.

i) Gauss Seidal method

ii) Gauss Jacobi Iteration method

## o Existence of Sol<sup>n</sup>:

There are 4 possibilities

i) System has a unique sol<sup>n</sup>

ii) System has a no sol<sup>n</sup>

iii) System has a sol<sup>n</sup>. but not a unique one

(infinite sol<sup>n</sup>)

iv) System is ill conditioned

## (i) Unique Sol<sup>n</sup>:

Consider the system  $x + 2y = 9$ ,  $2x - 3y = 4$

System has a sol<sup>n</sup>:  $x=5$  &  $y=2$

∴ No other pair of values of  $x$  &  $y$  would satisfy  
the sol<sup>n</sup>. is set to be unique.

### (ii) No Sol<sup>n</sup>

The ~~eq<sup>n</sup>~~ system  $2x-y=5$ ,  $3x-\frac{3}{2}y=4$   
have no sol<sup>n</sup>.

Thus, two are parallel line, they will never meet.  
Such, system is called "inconsistent eq<sup>n</sup>"

### (iii) No unique Sol<sup>n</sup>!

The system of eq<sup>n</sup>.  $-2x+3y=6$ ,  $4x-6y=-12$   
has many different sol<sup>n</sup>.

Since, there are two different form of same eq<sup>n</sup>.  
 $\therefore$  they represent same line.

Such, eq<sup>n</sup>. are called "dependent eq<sup>n</sup>"

④ Note: The system represented in Fig. II & Fig III is  
said to singular system.

### (iv) Ill conditioned Sol<sup>n</sup>:

There maybe a situation when the system ~~other~~ has  
sol<sup>n</sup> but it is very close to singular. The system  
of eq<sup>n</sup>.  $x-2y=-2$ ,  $0.45x-0.9y=-1$  has a sol<sup>n</sup>  
but it is difficult to identify unknown values  
from such a system and those systems are called  
ill conditioned.

Ill conditioned systems are very sensitive to round off  
errors and may pose ~~pose~~ problems during  
computation of the sol<sup>n</sup>.

### o Basic Gauss Elimination Method:

→ Gauss elimination method proposed a systematic  
strategy for reducing the system of eq<sup>n</sup>. to  
the upper triangular form using the forward  
elimination approach & then for obtaining values  
of unknowns using the back substitution process.

→ Forward Elimination Phase:

This phase is concerned with the manipulation of eqn. in order to eliminate unknowns from system to produce upper triangular system.

→ Back substitution phase:

This phase is concerned with the actual sol. of the eqn. and uses the back substitution process on the reduced upper triangular system.

Q: Solve the system of linear eqn. using basic gain elimination.

$$3x_1 + 6x_2 + x_3 = 16$$

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 = 13$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 = 9$$

Ans:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 6 & 1 & 16 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 13 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 9 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - \frac{2}{3}R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - \frac{1}{3}R_1 \end{array} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 6 & 1 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & 7/3 & 7/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 5/3 & 11/3 \end{array} \right]$$

At this point  $a_{22}=0$  the elimination procedure breakdown.  
we need to reorder rows matrix.

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3 \\ \end{array} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 6 & 1 & 16 \\ 0 & 1 & 5/3 & 11/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 7/3 & 7/3 \end{array} \right]$$

Using backward substitution:

$$\frac{7}{3}x_3 = 7/3 \Rightarrow x_3 = 7/3$$

$$\therefore x_2 = 11/3 - 5/3 = \frac{6}{3} = 2$$

$$\therefore 3x_1 = 16 - 12 - 1 = 3$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 1$$

## → Algorithm

Step 1: Arrange eq's such that  $a_{11} \neq 0$

Step 2: Eliminate  $x[1]$  with all eq's except 1<sup>st</sup> one.

[This is done as:

a) Normalizing the first, eq<sup>n</sup> by dividing all  $x[i]$   
b) Subtract from the second  $a_{21}$  times the  
normalized the first eq<sup>n</sup>.]

Step 3: Eliminate  $x[2]$  from 3<sup>rd</sup> to last (assume  $a'_{22} \neq 0$ )

[Eliminate from the 3<sup>rd</sup> to eq<sup>n</sup>  $a'_{32}$  times to normalize]

[The process will continue till the last eq<sup>n</sup> contain only  
one unknown]

Step 4: Obtain sol<sup>n</sup> by backsubstitution

[this can be substituted back (n-1)<sup>th</sup> eq<sup>n</sup> for obtaining the sol<sup>n</sup>. x<sub>n-1</sub>. This backsubstitution will be continued till sol<sup>1</sup>. for x<sub>1</sub>.]

Step 5: Stop

### o Gauss Elimination with Pivoting:

→ In the basic gauss elimination method the element a<sub>ij</sub> when i=j is known as pivot element. Each row is normalized using by ~~defined~~ dividing the co-efficients element of that row with its pivot element.

$$\text{i.e. } a_{kj} = a_{kk} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

→ If a<sub>kk</sub>=0, k<sup>th</sup> row cannot be normalized (the procedure fails). One way to overcome this problem is to interchange with another row below it which doesn't have a zero element in that position.

→ In general, the reordering of eq<sup>n</sup> is done to ~~keep~~ improve the accuracy. Even if the pivot element is not zero.

→ Procedure of reordering:

(i) Search & locate the largest abs. value among the co-efficients in the first column.

(ii) Exchange the first row with the row containing that element.

(iii) Then eliminate the first variable with second eq<sup>n</sup>.

(iv) When the 2<sup>nd</sup> row becomes, search for the co-eff. for the 2<sup>nd</sup> col. from 2<sup>nd</sup> row to n<sup>th</sup> row & locate the largest element. Exchange the second row & with the row containing the large co-eff.

(v) Continue the procedure till (n-1) variables eliminated.

The above process is known as "partial pivoting".

There is an alternative scheme known as complete pivoting at each stage the largest element in the remaining row is pivot element.

complete pivoting takes a lot of overhead. that's why it is not generally used.

Q. Solve using partial pivoting technique.

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 6$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 4$$

$$x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

Ans: The original Augmented matrix:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & 1 & 6 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

modified original system:

$$\rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 4 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \text{(pivot)}$$

1<sup>st</sup> derived system:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= R_2 - \frac{1}{2}R_1 \\ R_3 &= R_3 - \frac{1}{4}R_1 \end{aligned} \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 4 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 4 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

modified 1<sup>st</sup> derived system:

$$\rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 4 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 4 \end{array} \right] \text{(pivot)}$$

Final derived:

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &= R_3 + \frac{2}{3}R_2 \\ \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 4 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{10}{3} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Solving using back-substitution

$$x_3 = 10, \quad x_2 = -1, \quad \rightarrow x_1 = 3$$

## → Algorithm:

- (S1) Input  $n, a_{ij}, b_i$
- (S2) Beginning from the first eq<sup>n</sup>:
  - a) Check for the pivot element
  - If it is the largest among the elements below it, obtain the derive system.
  - c) Else, identify the largest element and make it the pivot element.
  - d) Interchange the original pivot eq<sup>n</sup> with the one containing the largest element and so that the latter becomes the new pivot element.
  - e) obtain the derive system.
- (S3) Repeat step 2 till the system is reduced to triangular form.
- (S4) Compute  $x_j$  values by backsubstitution
- (S5) Print result
- (S6) Stop

## o Gauss Jordan Method:

- In gauss elimination method, a value is eliminated from the rows below the pivot element. but in gauss jordan method, it is eliminated from all other rows both below & above.
- This process thus eliminates the all offdiagonal elements producing a diagonal matrix rather than upper triangular.
- All rows are normalized by dividing them by their pivot elements.
- The values of unknown can be obtained directly from the const & co-eff. matrix without employing backsubstitution.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b'_1 \\ b'_2 \\ b'_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{result of gauss jordan})$$

Q  $2x_1 + 4x_2 - 6x_3 = -8$

$$-x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 = 10$$

$$2x_1 - 4x_2 - 2x_3 = -12$$

Am: Normalize the first eq<sup>n</sup> by dividing  $\frac{1}{2}$

$\therefore$  The result is:  $x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 = -4$

$\therefore$  The augmented matrix:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -3 & -4 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 10 \\ 2 & -4 & -2 & -12 \end{array} \right]$$

$$R_2 = R_2 - R_1$$

$$\overbrace{R_3 = R_3 - 2R_1}^{\rightarrow} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & -3 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 14 \\ 0 & -8 & 4 & -4 \end{array} \right]$$

Normalize the second eq<sup>n</sup>:

(It is already in normalized form)

$$R_1 = R_1 - 2R_2$$

$$\overbrace{R_2 = R_3 + 8R_1}^{\rightarrow} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -11 & -32 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 14 \\ 0 & 0 & 36 & 108 \end{array} \right]$$

Normalize the 3<sup>rd</sup> eq<sup>n</sup> by dividing with 36.

$\therefore$  The result:  $x_3 = 3$

$\therefore$  Augmented mat:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -11 & -32 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 14 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2 = R_2 - 4R_3 \\ R_1 = R_1 + 11R_3 \end{array}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$\therefore$  The values of unknown:

$$x_1 = 1$$

$$x_2 = 2$$

$$x_3 = 3$$

## → Algorithm:

- (S1) Normalizing the first eq<sup>n</sup>. by dividing it with its pivot.
- (S2) Eliminate  $x_1$  term from all the other eq<sup>n</sup>.
- (S3) Normalize the second eq<sup>n</sup> by dividing it with its pivot.
- (S4) Eliminate  $x_2$  term from all the eq<sup>n</sup> above & below of the normalized pivotal eq<sup>n</sup>.
- (S5) Repeat (S2), (S3), (S4) until  $x_n$  is eliminated from all but the last eq<sup>n</sup>.
- (S6) The resultant vector is the sol<sup>n</sup>. vector.
- (S7) Stop.

## ④ Note:

- ① The gauss jordan requires only the elimination process.
- ② It shows that gauss method required only  $\frac{2}{3}$ rd of the no. of multiplication or subtraction than gauss jordan method require.  
i.e. Gauss Jordan requires 33% more multiplication & subtraction.

## ⑤ Application:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ 3x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2 - x_3) = 10 \\ \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - x_3 - x_1) = 10 \\ \frac{1}{2}(x_3 - x_1 - x_2) = 10 \end{aligned}$$

## • Jacobi Iteration Method

It is one of the simple iterative method. For a system of eq<sup>n</sup>. It is a direct substitution method where the values of unknown improve by substituting directly the previous value.

Let, us consider a system of  $n$  eq<sup>n</sup>. in  $n$  unknown.

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

:

$$a_{nn}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$$

We rewrite the system of linear eq<sup>n</sup>:

$$x_1 = [b_1 - (a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n)] \frac{1}{a_{11}}$$

$$x_2 = [b_2 - (a_{21}x_1 + a_{23}x_3 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n)] \frac{1}{a_{22}}$$

:

$$x_n = [b_n - (a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{n,n-1}x_{n-1})] \frac{1}{a_{nn}}$$

Now we can compute  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  by using initial guesses by the values, these new values will again use to compute the next set of  $x$  value.

The process will continue till we obtain a desired level of accuracy in the  $x$  value.

Q. Use Jacobi Iteration Method to find the values:

$$2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 5$$

$$3x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 = 15$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 = 8$$

Ans: At first,  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$

~~to get~~

$$x_1 = (5 - x_2 - x_3) \frac{1}{2}$$

$$x_2 = (15 - 3x_1 - 2x_3) \frac{1}{5}$$

$$x_3 = (8 - 2x_1 - x_2) \frac{1}{4}$$

At initial stage,  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$

$$(x_1)' = (5 - 0 - 0) \frac{1}{2} = 2.5$$

$$(x_2)' = (15 - 3 \times 0 - 2 \times 0) \frac{1}{5} = 3$$

$$(x_3)' = (8 - 2 \times 0 - 0) \frac{1}{4} = 2$$

Iteration 2:

$$(x_1)^2 = (5 - 3 - 2) \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

$$(x_2)^2 = (15 - 3 \times 2.5 - 2 \times 2) \frac{1}{5} = 0.7$$

$$(x_3)^2 = (8 - 2 \times 2.5 - 3) \frac{1}{4} = 0$$

Iteration 3:

$$(x_1)^3 = (5 - 0.7 - 0) \frac{1}{2} = 2.15$$

$$(x_2)^3 = \frac{1}{5}(15 - 3 \times 0 - 2 \times 0) = 3$$

$$(x_3)^3 = (8 - 2 \times 0 - 0.7) \frac{1}{4} = 1.825$$

Iteration 4:

$$(x_1)^4 = (5 - 3 - 1.825) \frac{1}{2} = 0.0875$$

$$(x_2)^4 = (15 - 3 \times 2.15 - 2 \times 1.825) \frac{1}{5} = 0.98$$

$$(x_3)^4 = (8 - 2 \times \cancel{0.0875}^{2.15} - 3) \frac{1}{4} = 0.175$$

Iteration 5:

$$(x_1)^5 = (5 - 0.98 - 0.175) \frac{1}{2} = 1.9225$$

$$(x_2)^5 = (15 - 3 \times 0.0875 - 2 \times 0.175) \frac{1}{5} = 2.8775$$

$$(x_3)^5 = (8 - 2 \times 0.0875 - 0.98) \frac{1}{4} = 1.71125$$

Iteration 6:

$$(x_1)^6 = (5 - 2.8775 - 1.71125) \frac{1}{2} = 0.205625$$

$$(x_2)^6 = (15 - 3 \times 1.9225 - 2 \times 1.71125) \frac{1}{5} = 1.162$$

$$(x_3)^6 = (8 - 2 \times 1.9225 - 2.8775) \frac{1}{4} = 0.319375$$

→ Algorithm of Jacobi Iteration method:

(S1) Obtain  $n, a_{ij}, b_i$  values

(S2) set,  $x_{0,i} = b_i / a_{ii}$  (for  $i=1$  to  $n$ )

(S3) Set key = 0

(S4) for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$

a) set sum =  $b_i$

b) for  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$  ( $j \neq i$ )

    set sum -=  $a_{ij} x_j$

    repeat j

c) set  $x_i = \text{sum} / a_{ii}$

d) if key = 0 then

    if  $\left| \frac{x_i - x_{0,i}}{x_i} \right| > \text{error}$

        key = 1

(S5) If key = 0 then set  $x_{0,i} = x_i$

    go to (S3)

(S6) Write Result

(S7) Stop

\* A Note on Iterative Methods:-

convergence of iteration methods:  
A sufficient condition for convergence is that for each row the abs. values of the diagonal element should be greater than the sum of abs. values of the other eq's of the system

↳ It is called diagonal dominant system.

o Gauss Seidal Method

→ Gauss Seidal method is an improved of Jacobi iteration method.

→ In Jacobi method we begin with the initial values  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  & obtain next approx.  $x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n$

→ In computing  $x_2'$  we used  $x_1$  & not  $x_1^0$  which just not been computed. Since both  $x_1'$  &  $x_1^0$  are available we can use  $x_1'$  which is better appx. for computation for  $x_2'$ . — This idea can be extended to all subsequent computations & the approach is called Gauss Seidel Method.

→ The Gauss Seidal method uses the most current value of  $x_i$  as soon as available at any point of iteration process.

Q.

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= 5 \\ 3x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 &= 15 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 &= 8 \end{aligned}$$

Solve using Gauss Seidal Method.

Ans:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= [5 - x_2 - x_3]^{1/2} \\ x_2 &= [15 - 3x_1 - 2x_3]^{1/5} \\ x_3 &= [8 - 2x_1 - x_2]^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0$

[For all values rounded to 1 decimal point]

Iteration 1:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1' &= 5/2 = 2.5 \\ x_2' &= [15 - 3 \times 2.5 - 2 \times 0]^{1/5} = 1.5 \\ x_3' &= [8 - 2 \times 2.5 - 1.5]^{1/4} = 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

Iteration 2:

$$x_1'' = [5 - 1.5 - 0.4]^{1/2} = 1.6$$

$$x_2'' = 1.9$$

$$x_3'' = [8 - 2 \times 1.6 - 1.9]^{1/4} = 0.7$$

Iteration 3:

$$x_1''' = [5 - 1.9 - 0.7]^{1/2} = 1.4$$

$$x_2''' = [15 - 3 \times 1.4 - 2 \times 0.7]^{1/5} = 1.9$$

$$x_3''' = [8 - 2 \times 1.4 - 1.9]^{1/4} = 0.8$$

Iteration 4:

$$x_1^4 = [5 - 1.9 - 0.8] \frac{1}{2} = 1.1$$

$$x_2^4 = [15 - 3 \times 1.1 - 2 \times 0.8] \frac{1}{5} = 2.0$$

$$x_3^4 = [8 - 2 \times 1.1 - 2.0] \frac{1}{4} = 0.9$$

Iteration 5:

$$x_1^5 = [5 - 2.0 - 0.9] \frac{1}{2} = 1.0$$

$$x_2^5 = [15 - 3 \times 1.0 - 0.9 \times 2] \frac{1}{5} = 2.0$$

$$x_3^5 = [8 - 2 \times 1.0 - 2.0] \frac{1}{4} = 1.0$$

Iteration 6:

$$x_1^6 = 1.0$$

$$x_2^6 = 2.0$$

$$x_3^6 = 1.0$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 1.0 \quad x_2 = 2.0 \quad x_3 = 1.0$$

Solve using gauss seidel:

$$3x_1 + x_2 = 5$$

$$x_1 - 3x_2 = 5$$

$$\text{Ans: } x_1 = [5 - x_2] \frac{1}{3} \quad x_2 = [x_1 - 5] \frac{1}{3}$$

$$x_1^0 = x_2^0 = 0.0000$$

All values will be taken upto 4 decimal points.

$$x_1^1 = 5/3 = 1.6667$$

$$x_2^1 = -1.1111$$

$$x_1^3 = 1.9959$$

$$x_2^3 = -1.0014$$

$$x_1^5 = 1.9999$$

$$x_2^5 = -1.0000$$

$$x_1^7 = 2.0000$$

$$x_2^7 = -1.0000$$

$$x_1^2 = 2.0370$$

$$x_2^2 = -0.9877$$

$$x_1^4 = 2.0005$$

$$x_2^4 = -0.9998$$

$$x_1^6 = 2.0000$$

$$x_2^6 = -1.0000$$

$$\boxed{\therefore x_1 = 2 \quad x_2 = -1}$$

④ The process converges to the sol<sup>2</sup>. ( $x_1=2$   $x_2=-1$ ) in 6<sup>th</sup> iteration. The given system is diagonally dominant. Convergence of such system are guaranteed.

Q

$$x_1 - 3x_2 = 5$$

$$3x_1 + x_2 = 5$$

solve using gauss-seidal method.

Ans:

$$x_1 = [5 + 3x_2]$$

$$x_2 = [5 - 3x_1]$$

$$x_1^0 = 0 \quad 0 = x_2^0$$

$$x_1^1 = 5$$

$$x_1^2 = 5 - 30 = -25$$

$$x_2^1 = 5 - 15 = -10$$

$$x_2^2 = 5 + 240 = 245$$

$$x_1^3 = 5 + 240 = 245$$

⊗

$$x_2^3 = 5 - 235 = -730$$

### Note:

- ① The Gauss Seidel method, the prev. value is no longer <sup>needed</sup>, and therefore the prev. value can be replaced by new one — we need to store the current value of  $x$
- ② The system of eq<sup>n</sup>. that satisfies the diagonally dominant system convergence of such system is guaranteed.

→ Algorithm:

- ① Obtain  $n, a_{ij}, b_i$  values.
- ② Set  $a_{ii} = b_i/a_{ii}$  ( $i=1$  to  $n$ )
- ③ Set key=0
- ④ for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ 
  - a) set sum =  $b_i$
  - b) for  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$  ( $j \neq i$ )
    - set sum =  $a_{ij}x_j$
    - repeat  $j$
    - c) dummy = sum/ $a_{ii}$
    - d) if key ≥ 0 then
      - if  $\left| \frac{dummy - x_i}{dummy} \right| > \text{error}$
      - set key=1
      - e) set  $x_i = \text{dummy}$
      - repeat  $i$  loop
- ⑤ if key = 1  
go to ③
- ⑥ write result
- ⑦ stop