

## COMP9318 (17S1) ASSIGNMENT 1

DUE ON 23:59 28 MAY, 2017 (SUN)

Q1. (40 marks)

Consider the following base cuboid *Sales* with *four* tuples and the aggregate function SUM:

<i>Location</i>	<i>Time</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>Quantity</i>
Sydney	2005	PS2	1400
Sydney	2006	PS2	1500
Sydney	2006	Wii	500
Melbourne	2005	XBox 360	1700

*Location*, *Time*, and *Item* are dimensions and *Quantity* is the measure. Suppose the system has built-in support for the value **ALL**.

- (1) List the tuples in the complete data cube of *R* in a tabular form with 4 attributes, i.e., *Location*, *Time*, *Item*, SUM(*Quantity*)?
- (2) Write down an equivalent SQL statement that computes the same result (i.e., the cube). You can *only* use standard SQL constructs, i.e., no **CUBE BY** clause.
- (3) Consider the following *ice-berg cube* query:

```
SELECT Location, Time, Item, SUM(Quantity)
FROM Sales
CUBE BY Location, Time, Item
HAVING COUNT(*) > 1
```

Draw the result of the query in a tabular form.

- (4) Assume that we adopt a MOLAP architecture to store the full data cube of *R*, with the following mapping functions:

$$f_{Location}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = \text{'Sydney'}, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = \text{'Melbourne'}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \mathbf{ALL}. \end{cases}$$

$$f_{Time}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 2005, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2006, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \mathbf{ALL}. \end{cases}$$

$$f_{Item}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = \text{'PS2'}, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = \text{'XBox 360'}, \\ 3 & \text{if } x = \text{'Wii'}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \textbf{ALL}. \end{cases}$$

Draw the MOLAP cube (i.e., sparse multi-dimensional array) in a tabular form of  $(ArrayIndex, Value)$ . You also need to write down the function you chose to map a multi-dimensional point to a one-dimensional point.

Q2. (30 marks)

Consider binary classification where the class attribute  $y$  takes two values: 0 or 1. Let the feature vector for a test instance be a  $d$ -dimension **column** vector  $\mathbf{x}$ . A linear classifier with the model parameter  $\mathbf{w}$  (which is a  $d$ -dimension column vector) is the following function:

$$y = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} > 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We make additional simplifying assumptions:  $\mathbf{x}$  is a binary vector (i.e., each dimension of  $\mathbf{x}$  take only two values: 0 or 1).

- Prove that if the feature vectors are  $d$ -dimension, then a Naïve Bayes classifier is a linear classifier in a  $d + 1$ -dimension space. You need to explicitly write out the vector  $\mathbf{w}$  that the Naïve Bayes classifier learns.
- It is obvious that the Logistic Regression classifier learned on the same training dataset as the Naïve Bayes is also a linear classifier in the same  $d + 1$ -dimension space. Let the parameter  $\mathbf{w}$  learned by the two classifiers be  $\mathbf{w}_{LR}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{NB}$ , respectively. Briefly explain why learning  $\mathbf{w}_{NB}$  is much easier than learning  $\mathbf{w}_{LR}$ .

**Hint 1.**  $\log(\prod x_i) = \sum \log(x_i)$

Q2. (30 marks)

Consider the (slightly incomplete)  $k$ -means clustering algorithm as depicted in Algorithm 1.

- (1) Assume that the stopping criterion is till the algorithm converges to the final  $k$  clusters. Can you insert several lines of pseudo-code to the algorithm to implement this logic? You are **not** allowed to change the first 7 lines though.
- (2) The cost of  $k$  clusters is just the total cost of each group  $g_i$ , or formally

$$cost(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k cost(g_i)$$

**Algorithm 1:**  $k$ -means( $D, k$ )

**Data:**  $D$  is a dataset of  $n$   $d$ -dimensional points;  $k$  is the number of clusters.

```

1 Initialize  $k$  centers  $C = [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k]$ ;
2  $canStop \leftarrow \text{false}$ ;
3 while  $canStop = \text{false}$  do
4   Initialize  $k$  empty clusters  $G = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k]$ ;
5   for each data point  $p \in D$  do
6      $c_x \leftarrow \text{NearestCenter}(p, C)$ ;
7      $g_{c_x}.\text{append}(p)$ ;
8   for each group  $g \in G$  do
9      $c_i \leftarrow \text{ComputeCenter}(g)$ ;
10 return  $G$ ;
```

$cost(g_i)$  is the sum of squared distances of all its constituent points to the center  $c_i$ , or

$$cost(g_i) = \sum_{p \in g_i} dist^2(p, c_i)$$

$dist()$  is the Euclidean distance. Now show that the cost of  $k$  clusters as evaluated at the end of each iteration (i.e., after Line 9 in the current algorithm) never increases.

- (3) Prove that the cost of clusters obtained by  $k$ -means algorithm always converges to a local minima. You can make use of the previous conclusion even if you have not proved it.

**Hint 2.** Show that the two loops (Lines 5–7 and Lines 8–9) never increases the cost.

## SUBMISSION

Please write down your answers in a file named `ass1.pdf`. You **must write down your name and student ID on the first page**.

You can submit your file by

give cs9318 ass1 ass1.pdf

**Late Penalty.** -10% per day for the first two days, and -30% for each of the following days.