# Karger's Randomized Global Minimum Cut - A Study

Surya Raghav B

June 2024

#### 1 The Problem

cut: For an undirected graph G=(V,E) is a partition of V into two non-empty sets A and B.

size of cut(A, B): Number of edges with one end in A and the other in B. Goal is to find smallest number of edges whose deletion disconnects the graph.

**Theorem 1.1** There is a polynomial-time algorithm to find a global min-cut in an undirected graph G.

**Proof.** Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), we transform it so that we replace every edge  $e = (u, v) \in E$  with two oppositely oriented directed edges, e' = (u, v) and e'' = (v, u), each with capacity 1. Let G' be the transformed graph.

Fix any  $s \in V$  as source and compute min-cut in G' for every other  $t \in V - \{s\}$ . The best among these n-1 directed min-cut computations will be a global min-cut of G.

Now we look at David Karger's Contraction Algorithm, a randomized method.

## 2 Designing the Contraction Algorithm

We have a multigraph G = (V, E). The algorithm begins by uniform randomly choosing an edge e = (u, v) of G and contracts it. In the new graph G' the nodes u, v are combined into a supernode  $\{u, v\}$ ; all the edges between u, v are deleted; and the ends of others edges are updated accordingly.

This contraction process is done recursively until the graph just contains two supernodes  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Each of these supernodes  $v_i$  has a subset  $S(v_i) \subseteq V$ . Then the cut  $(S(v_1), S(v_2))$  is given as the output.

#### Algorithm 1 Contraction Algorithm

We have a multigraph G = (V, E)Each node is represented by a set S(v)Initially  $S(v) = \{v\}$  for each vif G has only two nodes **then** 

return the cut  $(S(v_1), S(v_2))$ 

else

choose an edge e = (u, v) of G uniformly at random

Contract by removing all the edges between u, v and replace the nodes u and v with new node  $Z_{uv}$ 

Define  $S(z_{uv}) = S(u) \cup S(v)$ 

Let G' be the contracted graph of G

Apply the Contraction Algorithm recursively to G'

end if

### 3 Analyzing the Algorithm

**Theorem 3.1** The Contraction algorithm returns a global min-cut with probability at least  $\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ 

**Proof.** Assume that the global min-cut(A,B) of G has a size k and the set F of k edges that disconnect the graph into A and B. We look at the chances that an edge in F is contracted, which fails to return the cut (A,B).

We want upper bound on the probability that an edge in F is contracted. Note: If any node had degree less than k, then the cut  $(\{v\}, V - \{v\})$  would have size less than, contradicting our assumption.

$$\sum_{v \in V} deg(v) = 2|E|$$

$$\sum_{v \in V} deg(v) \geq kn$$

$$|E| \ge \frac{1}{2}kn$$

The Probability that an edge in F is contracted is atmost

$$\frac{k}{\frac{1}{2}kn} = \frac{2}{n}$$

After j iterations, j edges are contracted, so n-j supernodes are in the graph G'. Since every cut of G' is a cut of G and the min-cut is k, the degree of every supernode in G' should be atleast k and the number of edges should be atleast  $\frac{1}{2}k(n-j)$ . Then the probability that and edge of F is contracted in the next iteration j+1 is atmost

$$\frac{k}{\frac{1}{2}k(n-j)} = \frac{2}{n-j}$$

The cut (A,B) is found if not edge of F is contracted after n-2 iterations. Let  $\epsilon_j$  be the event that an edge of F is not contracted in iteration j. We have  $Pr[\epsilon_1 \geq 1-2/n]$  and  $Pr[\epsilon_{j+1}|\epsilon_1 \cap \epsilon_2 \cap \dots \epsilon_j] \geq 1-2/(n-j)$ . We need to find the lower bound of  $Pr[\epsilon_1 \cap \epsilon_2 \cap \dots \epsilon_{n-2}]$ , which we will unwind using conditional probability.

$$Pr[\epsilon_{1}].Pr[\epsilon_{2}|\epsilon_{1}]...Pr[\epsilon_{j+1}|\epsilon_{1}\cap\epsilon_{2}...\cap\epsilon_{j}]...Pr[\epsilon_{n-2}|\epsilon_{1}\cap\epsilon_{2}...\cap\epsilon_{n-3}]$$

$$\geq \left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{n-1}\right)...\left(1-\frac{2}{n-j}\right)...\left(1-\frac{2}{3}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)\left(\frac{n-3}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n-4}{n-2}\right)...\left(\frac{2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} = \binom{n}{2}^{-1}.$$

So the probability of the algorithm failing is at most  $(1 - 1/\binom{n}{2})$ . If we run the algorithm  $\binom{n}{2}$  times, then the probability of failing is at most

$$\left(1 - 1/\binom{n}{2}\right)^{\binom{n}{2}} \le \frac{1}{e}$$

### 4 Number of Global Minimum Cuts

For a directed flow network with nodes  $s, t, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ , with each  $v_i$  connected to both s and t, The set of s with any subset of  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  will be a min-cut. Thus for directed flow network the maximum number of min-cuts are  $2^n$ .

For a undirected graph n-node cycles have the maximum number of global min-cuts of  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Following this we show that cycles are an extreme case.

**Theorem 4.1** An undirected graph G = (V, E) on n nodes has at most  $\binom{n}{2}$  global min-cuts.

**Proof.** Let G be a graph, having r global min-cuts denoted by  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ . Let  $\epsilon_i$  be the event that  $C_i$  is returned by the Contraction Algorithm, and let  $\epsilon = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \epsilon_i$  denote the event that the algorithm returns any global min-cut.

From (3.1) we have  $Pr[\epsilon_i \geq 1/\binom{n}{2}]$ . Since each event pairs  $\epsilon_i$  and  $\epsilon_j$  are disjoint we have,

$$Pr[\epsilon] = Pr[\cup_{i=1}^r \epsilon_i] = \sum_{i=1}^r Pr[\epsilon_i] \ge r/\binom{n}{2}.$$

Since  $Pr[\epsilon] < 1$ , we must have  $r < \binom{n}{2}$ .