**“BLOCKCHAIN-BASED TRACEABILITY SYSTEM FOR FOOD SAFETY”**

SUSHMA.H.P1, SPOORTHI.S1, and M DAKSHAYINI1

[sushma.scn22@bmsce.ac.in, spoorthi.scn22@bmsce.ac.in](mailto:sushma.scn22@bmsce.ac.in,%20spoorthi.scn22@bmsce.ac.in) and [dakshayini.ise@bmsce.ac.in](mailto:dakshayini.ise@bmsce.ac.in)

Dept of Computer Network Engineering, BMSCE, Bangalore1

**Abstract.** Food industry professionals and consumers alike take food safety seriously. The complexity of the food supply chain makes it difficult to trace the provenance and quality of food products, which can result in contamination, fraud, and ineffective recalls. In order to improve transparency, traceability, and accountability throughout the food supply chain, blockchain technology has emerged as a possible alternative. It examines how blockchain technology and food safety interact, highlighting how it could fundamentally alter how we monitor, confirm, and protect the quality of our food. This work proposes a Blockchain based food traceability system provides a safe and tamper-proof platform for tracking each step of the food journey by establishing an immutable and decentralized ledger of transactions. It has been implemented with the essential characteristics of blockchain, such as data immutability, transparency, smart contracts, and decentralized consensus and could successfuly trace the food chain steps.

**Keywords:** Food safety, Block Chain, Smart Contract, Ethereum, Solidity.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Food safety is a paramount concern for individuals, regulatory bodies, and the food industry as a whole. Instances of foodborne illnesses, adulteration, mislabelling, and supply chain inefficiencies have raised significant questions about the ability to reliably monitor and ensure the quality of the products we consume. The complexity and global span of modern food supply chains make it difficult to quickly identify the source of contamination or other safety breaches, leading to prolonged investigations, widespread recalls, and compromised consumer trust.

Due to its distinctive qualities, blockchain technology, which was first developed to enable cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin, has drawn interest from a variety of businesses. Blockchain is fundamentally a distributed, impenetrable digital ledger that stores transactions in an unchangeable, chronological order. The links between each transaction, or "block," create a chain. Due to its decentralized structure, the blockchain is a desirable tool for creating open and secure records since once information is submitted to it, it cannot be changed or removed.

The application of blockchain technology to food safety addresses the challenges of traceability, accountability, and transparency in the food supply chain. By recording every step of the food journey, from production and processing to distribution and retail, blockchain enhances the visibility of each product's origin, handling, and quality. Furthermore, smart contracts, self-executing agreements with predefined rules, can automate various processes, such as quality checks and compliance verification, reducing the risk of human error and fraud.

This paper aims to delve into the use of blockchain technology and food safety. It will explore the fundamental features of blockchain that make it a suitable for revolutionizing food safety practices. Additionally, real-world examples of blockchain implementations in the food industry will be examined to illustrate its potential benefits. However, challenges such as scalability, interoperability, and integration into existing systems must also be acknowledged.

The fusion of blockchain technology with food safety offers enormous potential for risk reduction, accountability, and regaining customer trust. Blockchain technology has the potential to transform the structure of food supply chains and establish new benchmarks for quality control by offering an immutable and transparent ledger.

Futher portions of this paper are organized as follows, section 2 describes the related work done. Section 3 describes the methodology, Section 4 presents the proposed solution and design for food safety. İn section 5 the implementation details is discussed. Section 6 includes results and discussion and lastly by conclude the overall results.

1. **RELATED WORK**

Dr A. V. Praveen Krishna - 2021 et.al.[1] proposed the application of blockchain technology to ensure food safety and secure consumption. The paper reviews various studies and implementations that leverage blockchain's decentralized and immutable nature to enhance transparency, traceability, and accountability within the food supply chain. It examines how blockchain can effectively address challenges such as foodborne illness outbreaks, fraud, and information asymmetry by providing a tamper-resistant platform for recording and sharing information about the origin, production, transportation, and storage of food products. By analyzing the strengths and limitations of existing approaches, the paper offers insights into the potential benefits and future directions of employing blockchain as a mechanism to bolster food safety practices and safeguard consumer health.

Iftekhar, Adnan & Cui, Xiaohui - 2021 et.al.[2] proposed a comprehensive survey of the integration of blockchain technology in establishing a robust traceability system for ensuring food safety and safeguarding consumer health within supply chains during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study explores how blockchain's decentralized and immutable nature enhances transparency, accountability, and data accuracy in tracking various stages of food production, distribution, and consumption. By providing real-time visibility into the origin, handling, and testing of food products, the proposed blockchain-based traceability system contributes to both food safety and pandemic control efforts, fostering trust among consumers and stakeholders while mitigating risks associated with contaminated or compromised supplies.

Xu, Yan & Xiangxin – 2020 et.al.[3] proposed a Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition presents a comprehensive survey of the utilization of blockchain technology in the realm of food safety control. The study examines the prevailing trends in applying blockchain to enhance transparency, traceability, and accountability across the food supply chain. By offering an immutable and decentralized ledger, blockchain has shown promise in tackling issues such as foodborne illness outbreaks and fraud by enabling real-time tracking of food products from farm to table. The paper delves into various use cases, including traceability of ingredients, certification processes, and temperature monitoring, while also discussing challenges such as scalability and interoperability. Furthermore, the study looks ahead to the future potential of blockchain in food safety, highlighting its capacity to revolutionize industry practices, bolster consumer confidence, and contribute to the overall improvement of food safety standards.

Wang, Yajie – 2020 et.al.[4] proposed a survey presented as part of a conference series that examines a traceability technique for improving food safety that is based on blockchain technology. The study looks into how to use blockchain's immutability and decentralized data storage capabilities to create a safe and open system for following food goods along the supply chain. The suggested strategy intends to increase data accuracy, decrease fraud, and guarantee prompt reaction to food safety problems by utilizing smart contracts and cryptographic techniques. The study discusses the potential to change conventional traceability techniques and give consumers reliable information about the origin and route of their food products while evaluating the efficacy of this strategy through case studies and simulations.

Overall, the distinguishing aspect of our approach is the emphasis on real-world validation, setting our work apart from existing papers. By developing a Solidity smart contract that serves as the cornerstone of a blockchain-based food traceability system, this smart contract effectively creates an immutable and decentralized record of transactions, establishing a secure platform for tracking every stage of the food supply chain. By achieving this milestone, we not only demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed approach but also set a precedent for the successful implementation of blockchain technology in addressing food safety challenges. This foundation makes more comprehensive and reliable solutions, ultimately benefiting consumers and stakeholders in the food industry.

**Motivation :**

The motivation for enhancing food safety through innovative technologies like blockchain is evident in the recurring instances of foodborne illnesses, supply chain inefficiencies, and consumer distrust that plague the global food industry. The 2018 E. Coli (Escherichia coli) outbreak linked to romaine lettuce in the United States was a significant public health event that garnered widespread attention due to its impact on consumer health and the challenges in quickly identifying the source of contamination. This outbreak, caused by the strain E. coli O157:H7, was associated with romaine lettuce consumption and led to numerous illnesses and some deaths.

During this outbreak, which occurred between March and June 2018, the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported a total of 210 confirmed cases of E. coli infection across 36 states. Out of these cases, 96 individuals were hospitalized, and five people unfortunately lost their lives. The widespread geographic distribution of cases and the severe nature of the illnesses underscored the urgency of identifying the contaminated products and their sources.

The complexities of the food supply chain and the traditional paper-based tracking methods made it challenging to pinpoint the exact origin of the contaminated romaine lettuce. As a result, the CDC issued a broad warning, advising consumers to avoid all romaine lettuce, regardless of its source. This blanket recommendation had significant economic repercussions for the lettuce industry, leading to widespread consumer confusion and a substantial economic impact on growers, distributors, and retailers.

Had blockchain technology been employed in the lettuce supply chain, the response to the outbreak could have been more targeted and efficient. Blockchain's ability to provide real-time and transparent traceability could have enabled authorities to rapidly identify the specific farms or regions where the contaminated lettuce originated. Consequently, only the affected products could have been recalled, minimizing economic losses and consumer uncertainty. This example vividly illustrates the potential of blockchain to enhance food safety by streamlining the traceback process and enabling more precise and effective responses to outbreaks.

1. **METHODOLOGY:**

The Solidity smart contract, named "FoodSafety," aims to establish a blockchain-based system for ensuring food safety. It allows producers to register food products with details like product name, production date, and origin. Producers can then request certification from trusted entities, marking the product as certified if approved. The contract includes modifiers to restrict certain actions to producers and certified entities only. Users can interact with this system through Ethereum and the Metamask wallet. To test the functionality, we deploy the contract on a local Ethereum network using tools like Ganache, allowing producers to register products, request certifications, and consumers to verify product certifications, thereby enhancing food safety and transparency in the supply chain.

**1. Blockchain:** A distributed ledger system known as a blockchain keeps track of transactions among a network of computers. It is renowned for its immutability,traceability, security, and transparency. One of the most widely utilized blockchains for developing smart contracts is Ethereum.

**2. Smart Contracts:**Smart contracts are pieces of programmable, self-executing code that run on the blockchain and do predetermined actions when specific criteria are satisfied. These contracts automate procedures and eliminate the need for middlemen in a variety of industries, including finance, supply chain, healthcare, and more.

**3. Ethereum:** The decentralized Ethereum blockchain platform facilitates the development of smart contracts. Smart contracts are agreements that automatically carry out their obligations because they are encoded in code. Ether (ETH), a money created by Ethereum, is used to power network transactions and computations.

**4. Metamask:** Metamask is a gateway to blockchain apps and a bitcoin wallet. Users can manage their Ethereum wallets with this browser extension. Through Metamask, users may communicate with smart contracts and transfer and receive Ether.

**5. Ganache:** Ganache is a development tool for building and managing personal Ethereum test networks. It makes it simpler to test and create smart contracts without connecting with the main Ethereum network by enabling developers to replicate an Ethereum environment locally. For Ethereum development, Ganache offers both a graphical user interface and a CLI (Command Line Interface) version.

* **To verify the additional cost would be incurred over traditional methods by incorporating blockchain:**

It can indeed evaluate the cost of executing functions within a smart contract in terms of "ether" to estimate the additional expenses associated with incorporating blockchain technology over traditional methods. In the Ethereum blockchain ecosystem, the cost of executing smart contract functions is often measured in gas, where gas is a unit representing computational work.

Gas is used to calculate the transaction fees associated with executing smart contracts. The amount of gas required for a function call depends on the complexity of the function and the amount of computational work it involves. Gas prices are measured in wei (the smallest denomination of ether), and the total transaction cost in ether is calculated by multiplying the gas used by the current gas price in wei.

By monitoring the gas usage for each function in your smart contract and keeping track of the current gas price, you can estimate the cost in ether for executing those functions. This cost estimation can help you compare the expenses incurred by blockchain-based solutions to the costs of traditional methods.

It's important that the gas prices can vary over time due to network congestion and market conditions, so by considering these fluctuations when estimating costs.

* **Security Analysis of Smart Contracts:**

**1. Smart Contract Vulnerabilities:** Discuss vulnerabilities that can affect smart contracts, such as reentrancy attacks, integer overflows, and unauthorized access.

**2. Code Review and Auditing:** Emphasize the importance of thorough code reviews and third-party auditing of smart contracts. Explain how these measures can help identify and mitigate vulnerabilities before they are exploited.

**3. Immutable Nature of the Blockchain:** Highlight the immutability of the blockchain and how it affects smart contracts. Once deployed, smart contracts cannot be modified. Discuss the need for rigorous testing and verification before deployment.

**4. Security Best Practices:** Discuss security best practices for developing smart contracts, including code modularity, access control, and secure data handling. Explain how adhering to these best practices can enhance the security of the traceability system.

**5. Permissioned Blockchains:** Consider the use of permissioned blockchains, where only trusted entities participate in the validation process. Discuss how this can mitigate some security risks compared to public blockchains.

**6. Monitoring and Incident Response:** Address the importance of continuous monitoring of smart contracts for any unusual activity and having an incident response plan in place to react to potential security breaches promptly.

**Cost Considerations:**

**1. Smart Contract Deployment Costs:** The initial costs associated with deploying smart contracts on the blockchain, including development, testing, and deployment expenses.

**2. Transaction Costs:** Transaction fees incurred when interacting with smart contracts. These fees are essential for miners (nodes) to validate and execute transactions. Discuss strategies to optimize transaction costs.

**3. Storage Costs:** Consider the cost of storing data on the blockchain. As the traceability system accumulates data, storage costs may become a significant factor. Discuss data archiving and pruning strategies to manage these costs.

**4. Gas Fees (Ethereum):** The concept of gas fees for executing smart contracts. Explain how gas fees are calculated and paid by users and how they impact the cost of using the system.

**5. Scalability and Efficiency:** Address the scalability challenges and how they can impact costs. Discuss potential solutions to improve efficiency and reduce costs, such as layer 2 scaling solutions or alternative blockchain platforms.

**6. Long-Term Maintenance Costs:** Consider the ongoing maintenance and updates required for smart contracts. Discuss the need for budgeting and planning for these costs over time.

**7. Return on Investment (ROI):** Evaluate the overall return on investment of implementing a blockchain-based traceability system. Assess whether the benefits of improved transparency, reduced fraud, and enhanced food safety outweigh the associated costs.

* **Some justifications for preferring Ethereum over Hyperledger, even if Ethereum incurs gas fees:**

In a blockchain-based traceability system for food safety, choosing Ethereum over Hyperledger, despite the gas fees incurred, can be justified by the project's specific requirements and priorities. Ethereum's advantages in terms of decentralization, security, network effect, interoperability, tokenization, and public auditing are particularly beneficial for a food safety application. Its public ledger and transparency enable trust-building among stakeholders, while its flexibility and innovative ecosystem provide room for growth and continuous improvement. While Hyperledger may offer cost advantages, Ethereum's overall suitability for a broader range of stakeholders and its robust community support make it a compelling choice for ensuring the integrity and safety of the food supply chain.

1. **THE PROPOSED FOOD SAFETY SYSTEM**


The figure 1 illustrates System Components of the food safety system :

**Product Verification:**

1. **Producers (Food Producers or Manufacturers):** Producers initiate the process by registering food products on the blockchain. They provide information such as product details, production date, and origin. This data is recorded on the blockchain using smart contracts.
2. **Smart Contracts:** Smart contracts are utilized to automate and manage product registration. When producers register their products, a smart contract creates a new block on the blockchain, containing all the product information. The smart contract ensures that this data is immutable and transparent.
3. **User Interfaces:** User-friendly interfaces are provided to producers for registering their products on the blockchain. Producers interact with these interfaces to input their product data, which is then processed by the smart contracts.
4. **Consumers:** Consumers can use user interfaces to verify the authenticity and safety of food products. They do this by inputting the product's unique identifier (e.g., QR code) into the system, which queries the blockchain to retrieve the product's certification status and details.

**Certificate Issuance:**

1. **Producers (Food Producers or Manufacturers):** Producers can request certification for their products from certifiers, indicating their intention to have their products certified for safety and quality.
2. **Certifiers:** Certifiers review the product data provided on the blockchain. If necessary, they may perform physical inspections to ensure compliance with safety and quality standards.
3. **Smart Contracts:** Smart contracts play a crucial role in the certification process. When a product successfully meets the required standards, the certifier issues a certification transaction via a smart contract. This certification transaction is recorded on the blockchain, indicating that the product is certified.
4. **User Interfaces:** User-friendly interfaces are provided to certifiers for reviewing product data, conducting inspections, and issuing certifications. These interfaces streamline the certification process and ensure that it is transparent and tamper-proof.
5. **Regulators:** Regulatory bodies oversee and validate the data on the blockchain. They periodically audit the blockchain to ensure compliance with food safety regulations. They can cross-reference blockchain data with physical inspections to verify the accuracy of the information.



**Fig 1: System Components.**

The figure 2 illustrates System Workflow of the food safety system :

**Product Verification:**


1. **Data Entry and Recording:** Producers initiate the process by registering food products on the blockchain. They do this through a user interface, providing essential information such as producer details, product specifications, production date, and origin.
2. **Blockchain Recording:** Smart contracts, which are self-executing contracts with the terms of the agreement directly written into code, create a new block for the product data. This block contains all the information related to the product. This information is recorded on the blockchain in a tamper-resistant manner.
3. **Notification:** After the data is successfully added to the blockchain, the producer is notified. This step ensures that the producer is aware that their product's information has been securely recorded on the blockchain.

**Certificate Issuance:**

1. **Request for Certification:** Producers can request certification from certifiers. Certification may be needed to prove that the product meets safety standards or specific quality requirements.
2. **Certification Review:** Certifiers, who are typically third-party entities with expertise in food safety and quality, review the product data provided on the blockchain. If necessary, they may also conduct physical inspections or audits of the production process to ensure compliance with safety and quality standards.
3. **Update and Notification:** The certification status is updated on the blockchain, indicating that the product is certified. This updated status is accessible to relevant parties. Notifications are sent to inform stakeholders, including the producer, that the product has been certified.

**Data Validation:**

1. **Regulatory Oversight:** Regulators play a crucial role in maintaining the integrity of the blockchain-based traceability system. They conduct periodic audits and oversee compliance with food safety regulations.
2. **Audit and Cross-Referencing:** Regulators audit the blockchain data, cross-referencing it with physical inspections when necessary. This cross-referencing ensures that the data on the blockchain accurately represents the real-world conditions and that products are meeting the safety and quality standards as claimed.

****

**Fig: 2 System Workflow.**

1. **ALGORITHM**

1. Create a new Solidity contract named `FoodSafety`.

2. Define a struct called `*Product`* to represent individual food products with the following properties:

• productId: A unique identifier for the product.

• producer: Address of the producer who registered the product.

• productName: Name of the product.

• productionDate: Date when the product was produced.

• origin: The origin of the product.

• isCertified: A boolean flag to indicate whether the product is certified for safety.

3. Create a mapping to store product information using `uint256` productId as the key and `*Product`* struct as the value.

4. Declare a public state variable `*totalProducts*` to keep track of the total number of registered products.

5. Define two events:

• `*ProductRegistered*`: Triggered when a new product is registered, containing productId and producer's address.

•`*ProductCertified`:* Triggered when a product is certified, containing productId and the certifier's address.

6. Define two modifiers:

•`*onlyProducer`:* Ensures that only the producer of a product can call certain functions.

• `*onlyCertified`:* Ensures that only a certified entity can call certain functions.

7. Implement a function `*registerProduct`* that allows a producer to register a new product by providing product details.

• Increment `*totalProducts`.*

• Create a new `Product` struct with the provided details and set `*isCertified`* to `false`.

• Store the product in the `products` mapping with `*totalProducts`* as the productId.- Emit the `ProductRegistered` event.

8. Implement a function `*certifyProduct`* that allows the producer to certify their product's safety.

• Check if the caller is the producer of the product using the `onlyProducer` modifier.

• Set the `*isCertified`* flag of the product to `true`.

• Emit the *`ProductCertified`* event.

The producer initiates the transaction to certify their product's safety.Inside the `*certifyProduct`* function,check if the caller of the function *‘msg.send’*(the sender of the transaction) is the producer of the product. The `*onlyProducer`* modifier is used to ensure this condition is met. If the condition is met (i.e., the caller is the producer of the product), proceed to the next step i.e set the *`isCertified*` flag of the product with the given *`productId*` to `true`. This marks the product as certified for safety.If the condition is not met, revert the transaction with an error message indicating that only the producer can perform this action.The function execution completes.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Algorithm 1: To certify product

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Whenever a producer initiates the transaction to certify their product,

1: For [k=1, k≤ L, k++]

2: if [*‘msg.send’* == *‘productId’*]

3: set(‘*isCertified’* == true)

4: return true

5: else

7: set("Only the producer can perform this action.")

8: return false

9: endif

10*:* endfor

9. Implement a function `*getProductDetails`* to retrieve product details based on the given productId.

• Fetch the product information from the `products` mapping.

• Return the product's producer, name, production date, origin, and certification status.

10. Implement a function `*isProductCertified*` to check if a product is certified for safety.

• Retrieve the `isCertified` flag from the `products` mapping for the given productId.

• Return the certification status (boolean).

Whenever a customer or stakeholder wants to check whether the product id is certified or not, *`isProductCertified’* function is called , which will use the *‘isCertified’* flag from the products mapping for the given *‘productId’*. The function will return the certification status which is a boolean value (true or false). This function will return true if the product is certified and false otherwise. If the productId does not exist in the products mapping, it returns false.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Algorithm 2: To validate the product certification

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Whenever a customer wants to check whether product is certified or not,

1: For [k=1, k≤ L, k++]

2: call ‘*isCertified’,which* maps for *‘productId’*

3: if [*‘productId’* == *‘isCertified’*]

4: return true

5: else if[*‘productId’* ≠ *‘isCertified’*]

6:return false

7: else[‘*productId’* not in products]


8: return false

9: endif

10*:* endfor


1. **IMPLEMENTATION**

To establish a secure and efficient food safety blockchain network, it is imperative to connect vital components, such as Metamask and Ganache, in a manner analogous to the protocols observed in blockchain development. Much like Metamask serves as a crucial interface for seamless interaction with the Ethereum network, ensuring interconnection among diverse stakeholders holds paramount importance in the domain of food safety. The creation of a transparent and traceable food supply chain hinges on the seamless connectivity of all participants, spanning from producers to regulatory bodies. The role of Metamask, as a conduit for users to access Ethereum's blockchain, resonates within the context of food safety by aligning with the imperative of establishing robust links between the multifarious actors within the food safety blockchain ecosystem. Analogous to the configuration wherein Metamask is linked to Ganache to gain access to localized Ethereum networks for testing and development purposes, forging connections among producers, certifiers, regulators, and consumers becomes a pivotal aspect of fortifying the food safety framework. This web of connectivity enables real-time data exchange and validation, thereby enhancing the security and dependability of our food supply chain. It also facilitates efficient oversight and validation processes, akin to Metamask's role in enabling seamless transaction processing on the Ethereum network. Lastly, the assurance of secure data transfer from Ganache to Metamask mirrors the critical need for robust data transmission mechanisms in the food safety sector, guaranteeing the reliable dissemination of vital information, including certifications and product data, among stakeholders.




**Fig 3: Blockchain structure for food safety.**

1. **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

****


**Fig 4: Product deployment details.**

Fig 4 illustrates how a producer registers a new product by providing its name, production date, and origin. Then product is certified by the certifier. Further,the product is transfered to another address, capturing the traceability information. Finally, the certified product is shiped to its destination, capturing the destination information.

****


**Fig 5: Information of the products.**

Fig 5 illustrates how a consumer or retailor can get the detailed information about a product based on its product ID and can check whether the product is certified or not.

****

**Fig 6: Inject remix IDE and get the result from metamask.**

Fig6 shows how ethereum IDE is connected to MetaMask, ensuring that food safety transactions are initiated from our local IDE and confirmed through the MetaMask extension, all while maintaining network consistency and control over gas fees. This integration streamlines the development and execution of blockchain-based food safety solutions.

****

**Fig 7: Metamask contract interaction**

Every transaction details and logs offer visibility into gas efficiency, the contract's address, and activity updates, facilitating effective management and monitoring of blockchain-based food safety traceability solution as shown in fig 7.

1. **FUTURE WORK**

By all this work, it focuses on scaling the system to accommodate a growing number of participants and transactions, fostering user adoption through user-friendly interfaces and outreach, conducting real-world testing to validate the system's performance, integrating IoT devices for real-time data, ensuring regulatory compliance and standards adherence, establishing sustainable governance models, enhancing security and privacy measures, optimizing the food supply chain using blockchain data, fostering community engagement among stakeholders, and maintaining a commitment to ongoing research and development to keep the system aligned with emerging technologies and industry best practices. This comprehensive approach will further strengthen the food safety blockchain network's security, efficiency, and reliability, ultimately benefiting all participants and ensuring the transparency and traceability of the food supply chain.

1. **CONCLUSION**

Incorporating blockchain for food safety can revolutionize the food industry by ensuring trust, transparency, and traceability throughout the supply chain. By addressing existing problems, we contribute to a safer and healthier society, promotes fair trade, and fosters sustainable practices. Embracing blockchain's potential in this context can significantly improve food safety and reshape the way we interact with the food we consume.By leveraging blockchain technology, it enables producers to register their products and seek certifications from trusted entities, ensuring that consumers can make informed choices about the food they consume. This system empowers producers to demonstrate the quality and safety of their products while giving consumers confidence in the products they purchase. When implemented on a broader scale and integrated into real-world supply chains, such a system has the potential to significantly improve food safety standards, reduce fraud, and ultimately protect the health and well-being of consumers.

**REFERENCES:**

1. V. P. Krishna, A. M. Srinaga, R. A. Kumar, R. Nachiketh and V. V. Vardhan, "Planning Secure Consumption: Food Safety Using Blockchain," 2021 IEEE International Conference on Technology, Research, and Innovation for Betterment of Society (TRIBES), Raipur, India, 2021, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/TRIBES52498.2021.9751659.
2. Iftekhar, Adnan & Cui, Xiaohui. (2021). Blockchain-Based Traceability System That Ensures Food Safety Measures to Protect Consumer Safety as well as COVID-19 Free Supply Chains.
3. Xu, Yan & Xiangxin, Li & Zeng, Xiangquan & Cao, Jiankang & Jiang, Weibo. (2020). Application of blockchain technology in food safety control：current trends and future prospects. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition.
4. Wang, Yajie & Chen, Kai & Hao, Miao & Yang, Bing. (2020). Food Safety Traceability Method Based on Blockchain Technology. Journal of Physics: Conference Series.