



ICPSR 36370

The Effect of Prior Police Contact on Victimization Reporting: Results From the Police-Public Contact and National Crime Victimization Surveys, United States, 2002-2011

Lee Ann Slocum
University of Missouri-St.Louis

User Guide



National Institute of Justice
Data Resources Program

ICPSR

P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/web/ICPSR/studies/36370/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered for studies archived with ICPSR may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

Title: The Effect of Prior Police Contact on Victimization Reporting: Results from the Police-Public Contact and National Crime Victimization Surveys

National Institute of Justice Project #2014-R2-CX-0022

PI Name, Title, and Contact Information:

Lee Ann Slocum, Ph.D.
Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice
University of Missouri – St. Louis
324 Lucas Hall
One University Blvd.
St. Louis, Missouri 63121-4400
(314) 516-4072
slocuml@umsl.edu

Recipient Organization:

Curators of the University Missouri on behalf of UMSL
One University Blvd.
St. Louis, Missouri 63121-4400
(314) 516-5897
ora@umsl.edu

ABSTRACT

The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) is a valuable source of information on the extent and nature of crime committed against persons and households in the United States. Every three years, the Police-Public Contact Survey (PPCS) is conducted as a supplement to the NCVS. The PPCS is designed to document past-year face-to-face contacts between the public and the police. Detailed data are collected on the most recent encounter if multiple encounters occurred during the one-year reference period.

This file contains survey data from the 2002, 2008, and 2011 PPCS that have been linked to the corresponding records from the 2002 – 2014 NCVS person, household, and incident files. This person-level dataset provides information on the past-year face-to-face police encounters and subsequent victimization experiences of 105,273 people (ages 16 and older) residing in 66,902 households. The dataset contains information on the prevalence, frequency, and nature of respondents' encounters with the police in the prior year. It also contains information on respondents' personal and household victimization experiences that occurred subsequent to the administration of the PPCS, including whether the crime was reported to the police.

METHODOLOGY

The NCVS is a valuable source of information on the extent and nature of crime committed against persons and households in the United States. This longitudinal probability survey utilizes a rotating panel design. Interviews are conducted every six months with households rotating out of the study after three and a half years. As part of the NCVS, approximately 43,000 households, and 80,000 individuals over the age of 12 are surveyed. The survey asks detailed questions about respondent and household characteristics and victimization experiences that occurred within the past 6 months.

The PPCS is conducted every three years as a supplement to the NCVS and is designed to document past-year face-to-face contacts between the public and the police. Detailed data are collected on the most recent contact if multiple contacts occurred. Unlike the NCVS, respondents are required to be a minimum of 16 years of age and to speak English. In 2002, 76,910 individuals completed both the PPCS and NCVS. The number of respondents who took part in both interviews decreased to 57,978 individuals in 2008 and 49,246 in 2011. However, in 2011 the PPCS went through a major design change and a split sample design was used. As a result, only 15% of the respondents surveyed in that year were administered the 2008 survey and are included in the dataset.

This dataset was created by merging the NCVS and the PPCS to create a longitudinal file person-level file that contains information on the past-year face-to-face police encounters and subsequent victimization experiences reported by 105,273 people ages 16 and older living in the United States.

More information on the methodologies of these surveys and the original data can be found in the following files housed at ICPSR.

- Police-Public Contact Survey, 2002 (ICPSR 4273)
- Police-Public Contact Survey, 2008 (ICPSR 32022)
- Police-Public Contact Survey, 2011 (ICPSR 34276)
- National Crime Victimization Survey, Concatenated File, 1992-2014 (ICPSR 36143)
- National Crime Victimization Survey: Unbounded Data, 2002 (ICPSR 4447)

- National Crime Victimization Survey: Unbounded Data, 2003 (ICPSR 4448)
- National Crime Victimization Survey: Unbounded Data, 2004 (ICPSR 4449)
- National Crime Victimization Survey: Unbounded Data, 2005 [Record-Type Files] (ICPSR 22341)

The NCVS and PPCS do not contain common identifiers that would allow cases to be linked across datasets. To match the files, the variables that were common to both the NCVS and PPCS were concatenated to create unique identifiers that could be used to link the two datasets. Some variables used to create the identifiers could be used as is or required only minor recoding (e.g., age, sex). Other variables required more extensive data manipulation in order to match across datasets. This strategy produced a unique identifier for approximately 77 to 90 percent of the PPCS cases, depending on the year. Unique identifiers for other cases were generated by manipulating the sampling weights and using the person sequence number. After matching, the PPCS files were merged with the NCVS person, household, and incident files containing data that were collected at the time of the PPCS interview.

Some cases could not be matched because they could not be uniquely identified using the variables common to both datasets. It was possible to link 93.2%, 93.5%, and 97.2% of the people in the PPCS to their corresponding responses in the PPCS for years 2002, 2008, and 2011, respectively. Matched and unmatched cases do not differ on the number of police encounters or the nature of these experiences. Depending on the survey year, they do vary by prevalence of police contact, sex, work status, race, living location, and driving frequency, although these differences are substantively small.

Each person in the matched file was then linked longitudinally to his/her corresponding subsequent records in the NCVS. Approximately a quarter of the cases could not be linked longitudinally and are not included in the dataset. The majority of these cases involved households rotating out of the study. Other cases could not be matched because of household non-response, individual non-response, and mismatched demographic characteristics across files (i.e., cases with the same NCVS identification number that changed sex, race, or age groups from one wave to the next), something that has been documented in prior studies linking NCVS files over time. Finally, household data and incident-level data on the first personal and household victimization occurring subsequent to the PPCS interview were merged into the person-level dataset. In a few cases, multiple incidents of the same type occurred in the same month and were the first incidents subsequent to the PPCS, making it impossible to determine which incident was most proximal to PPCS interview. When this occurred, the first incident was selected at random. This affected 39 (.6%) of the personal incidents and 443 (3.9%) of the respondents with household incidents.

The final product is a person-level dataset that contains information on the police contact and subsequent victimization experiences of 105,273 people residing in 66,902 households, 13,119 (12.5%) of whom lived in households that had been victimized by burglary, theft, or motor vehicle theft, and 1,240 (1.2 %) of whom were victims of a personal crime (simple or aggravated assault, robbery, sexual assault, or personal larceny). It is important to recognize that police contact and personal victimizations are measured at the person-level in the PPCS and NCVS; however, in the NCVS, households, not people, are considered the victims of household crime, and only one member of the household (the household respondent) provides information on household victimizations. To reconcile these different units of measurement, all persons living in a household that had been the victimized are counted as being victims of the household crime and the information regarding that victimization is attached to all respondents who reside in the household. Therefore a single household victimization may appear in the dataset multiple times because all members of the household are considered to be victims of the crime. For example, if a household with three members is burglarized, information on the burglary will appear

in the dataset three times, once for each household member. The 13,119 victims of household crimes represent 7,666 unique household victimizations.

The PPCS data are not standardized across years in terms of variable names or the information collected, which necessitated recoding the data before multiple years of data could be merged. Some variables from the NCVS were also recoded before combining years of data due to changes in response categories over time or for practical reasons. The variable description details the origins of each variable in the file.

The PPCS contains sampling weights that can be used to generate national population estimates of the police-related experiences of persons 16 and older. These weights are included here, but should be used with caution. Not all PPCS interviews could be matched and, therefore, the population estimates obtained with these weights will not necessarily be representative of the U.S. population. Second, because of the split sample design in 2011, only 15% of the 2011 are included here. While the dataset contains far fewer cases from 2011 than the other years, these cases are weighted more heavily because their weights were computed to produce a population estimate for the United States in 2011. Therefore, when combined with the other years of data, the few cases from 2011 can have a disproportionate influence on the results.

Variable Description

Variable naming conventions: Variables that contain information on personal incidents occurring subsequent to the PPCS interview typically end in inc_P23PER. Variables that contain information on household incidents occurring subsequent to the PPCS interview typically end in inc_P23HH.

Abbreviations: R = respondent; HH = household

PER_ID	Person ID
--------	-----------

source: Researcher generated

type: numeric

notes: A sequential person identification number that uniquely identifies each person.

unique values: 105,273

missing: 0/105,273

HH_ID	Household ID
-------	--------------

source: Researcher generated

type: numeric

notes: A sequential household identification number that uniquely identifies each household.

range: [2,82817]

unique values: 66,902

missing: 0/105,273

PSTRATA	PSEUDO-STRATUM CODE
---------	---------------------

source: PPCS

type: numeric

notes: A code to assign hit strings from self-representing primary sampling units into groups. Pseudo-stratum codes are needed for calculating variances.

range: [1,160]

unique values: 160

missing .: 0/105,273

WEIGHT	PPCS PERSON WEIGHT
--------	--------------------

source: PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Due to split sample design in 2011 PPCS, cases from this year have higher weights than in 2008 and 2002.

unique values: 66,972
missing .: 0/105,273

SECUCODE	STANDARD ERROR COMPUTATION UNIT CODE
----------	--------------------------------------

source: PPCS

type: numeric

notes: A code assigned to hit strings in self-representing pseudo strata. Standard error computation unit codes are needed for calculating variances.

range: [1,2]

unique values: 2

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Value
	52,439	1
	52,834	2

PPCS_year	Year in which PPCS data were collected
-----------	--

source: Researcher generated

type: numeric

notes: Due to split-sample design in 2011, only 15% of PPCS respondents were administered a version of the survey that is similar to the 2002 and 2008 surveys and are include here.

range: [2002,2011]

unique values: 3

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Value
	55,277	2002
	43,906	2008
	6,090	2011

inperson	Mode of interview
----------	-------------------

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric (float)

label: inperson

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	67,594	0 Telephone interview
	37,679	1 In person interview

num_fu_HHint	Number of follow-up NCVS HH interviews post-PPCS
--------------	--

source: Researcher generated
type: numeric

range: [1,5]
unique values: 5
missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Value
	22,741	1
	19,632	2
	17,922	3
	17,063	4
	27,915	5

num_fu_perint	Number of follow-up NCVS PERSON interviews post-PPCS
---------------	--

source: Researcher generated
type: numeric
notes: Some respondents have 0 follow-up interviews because their household was followed up even though they were not personally interviewed.

range: [0,5]
unique values: 6
missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Value
	10,259	0
	26,564	1
	20,124	2
	16,955	3
	14,867	4
	16,504	5

hhpov	HH is living in poverty
-------	-------------------------

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS (V2026) using income data and using household and year specific poverty thresholds as determined by the U.S. Census. Concurrent with PPCS.
type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Computed using household income data and household and year specific poverty thresholds as determined by the U.S. Census.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 25,472/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	70,426	0 No
	9,375	1 Yes
	25,472	.a Missing

hhpov_sub HH is living in poverty - missing carried back from later waves

source: Researcher generated from (V2026), wave concurrent with administration of PPCS and subsequent waves.

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: To minimize missing data, missing values are replaced with valid values from later waves when available.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 16,581/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	78,137	0 No
	10,555	1 Yes
	16,581	.a Missing

HH_size Number of people in HH

source: Researcher generated from NCVS (V2071 and V2072), wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Computed by summing the number of HH members 12 and older (V2071) with number of HH members 12 and younger (V2072).

range: [1,15]

unique values: 15

missing .: 0/105,273

mean: 2.79069

std. dev: 1.46746

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	1	2	2	4	5

MSA_status	1990 MSA STATUS
------------	-----------------

Source: NCVS (V2129), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: V2129

range: [1,3]

unique values: 3

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation: Freq.	Numeric	Label
31,162	1	City of (S)MSA
57,302	2	(S)MSA not city
16,809	3	Not (S)MSA

tenure	TENURE (ALLOCATED)
--------	--------------------

Source: NCVS (V2015), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: V2015

range: [1,3]

unique values: 3

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation: Freq.	Numeric	Label
78,812	1	Owned/being bght
25,241	2	Rented for cash
1,220	3	No cash rent

num_moves	Number of times R moved in last five years
-----------	--

Source: NCVS (V3033), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

range: [0,81]

unique values: 37

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 1,223/105,273

mean: .7323594
std. dev: 1.372485

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	0	0	0	1	2

pub_house	Housing unit is public housing
-----------	--------------------------------

Source: NCVS (V2120), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 1,983/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	101,552	0 No
	1,738	1 Yes
	1,983	.a Missing

pub_house_sub	Housing unit is public housing - missing carried back
---------------	---

source: Researcher generated from NCVS (V2120), wave concurrent with administration of PPCS and subsequent waves.

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: To minimize missing data, missing values are replaced with valid values from later waves when available.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 573/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	102,921	0 No
	1,779	1 Yes
	573	.a Missing

region	Region
--------	--------

Source: NCVS (V2127), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: V2127

range: [1,4]

unique values: 4

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	19,665	1 Northeast
	24,825	2 Midwest
	37,610	3 South
	23,173	4 West

c4_race Four category race-ethnicity variable

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS, wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: c4_race

notes: Response categories changed during study period.

range: [1,4]

unique values: 4

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	77,502	1 White, Non-Hispanic
	11,021	2 Black, Non-Hispanic
	11,709	3 Hispanic
	5,041	4 Other or multiracial, Non-Hispanic

age AGE (ALLOCATED)

Source: NCVS (V3014), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

range: [16,90]

unique values: 75

missing .: 0/105,273

mean: 46.85002

std. dev: 17.99876

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	23	33	46	60	72

male Respondent is male

Source: NCVS (V3014), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	57,044	0 No
	48,229	1 Yes

mar_stat

Marital status

Source: NCVS (V3015), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: mar_stat

range: [1,5]

unique values: 5

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 500/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	60,527	1 Married
	7,359	2 Widowed
	10,738	3 Divorced
	2,134	4 Separated
	24,015	5 Never married
	500	.a Missing

work_lw

Respondent had a job or worked last week

Source: NCVS (V3071), from wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 742/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	40,670	0 No
	63,861	1 Yes

education	Years of education
-----------	--------------------

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS (V3014), wave concurrent with administration of PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Response categories changed during study period.

range: [0,18]

unique values: 19

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 1,372/105,273

mean: 13.32592

std. dev: 2.837262

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	4	12	13	16	17

education_sub	Years of education - missing education carried back from later waves
---------------	--

source: Researcher generated from NCVS (V3014), wave concurrent with administration of PPCS and subsequent waves.

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: To minimize missing data, missing values are replaced with valid values from later waves when available.

range: [0,18]

unique values: 19

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 525/105,273

mean: 13.31986

std. dev: 2.84478

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	4	12	13	16	17

freq_drv	Driving frequency of respondent
----------	---------------------------------

Source: PPCS

type: numeric

label: freq_drv

range: [0,4]

unique values: 5

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 259/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	11,262	0 Never
	879	1 A few days a year
	2,511	2 A few days a month
	12,228	3 A few days a week
	78,134	4 Everyday or almost everyday
	165	.a Missing
	16	.b Do not know
	78	.c Refused

contact R had face-to-face contact with police in past 12 months

Source: PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	85,617	0 No
	19,656	1 Yes

num_cont Number of face-to-face contacts with police in past 12 months

Source: PPCS

type: numeric

range: [0,365]

unique values: 38

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 19/105,273

mean: .3145154

std. dev: 2.200975

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	0	0	0	0	1

REASON

Reason for stop

Source: PPCS
type: numeric
label: REASON

range: [0,8]
unique values: 9
missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	85,617	0 Out of universe/missing
	2,562	1 Accident
	7,502	2 Traffic stop-driver
	504	3 Traffic stop-passenger
	5,019	4 Rsp reported crime
	1,412	5 Police assistance
	1,113	6 Police investigation
	459	7 Police suspected rsp
	1,085	8 Other reason

ts_vsrch

Did police search vehicle during traffic stop?

Source: PPCS
type: numeric
label: noyes

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2
missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A
missing .*: 97,838/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,190	0 No
	245	1 Yes
	123	.a Missing
	11	.b Do not know
	6	.c Refused
	97,698	.d NA

ts_frisk

Did police search or frisk you during traffic stop?

Source: PPCS
type: numeric
label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97,846/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,198	0 No
	229	1 Yes
	136	.a Missing
	6	.b Do not know
	6	.c Refused
	97,698	.d NA

oc_frisk

Did police search or frisk you (NOT during traffic stop)?

Source: PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 93,145/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	11,928	0 No
	200	1 Yes
	9	.a Missing
	10	.b Do not know
	7	.c Refused
	93,119	.d NA

arrested

During this contact R was arrested

Source: PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 85,690/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	19,104	0 No
	479	1 Yes

61	.a Missing
6	.b Do not know
6	.c Refused
85,617	.d NA

cuffed	During this contact R was handcuffed
--------	--------------------------------------

Source: PPCS
type: numeric
label: noyes

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2
missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A
missing .*: 85,700/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	19,068	0 No
	505	1 Yes
	66	.a Missing
	8	.b Do not know
	9	.c Refused
	85,617	.d NA

proper	Police behaved properly during contact
--------	--

Source: PPCS
type: numeric
label: proper

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2
missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A
missing .*: 85,952/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	1,853	0 Police behaved improperly
	17,468	1 Police behaved properly
	213	.a Missing
	122	.b Do not know
	85,617	.d NA

c_contct	Categorical type of contact measure
----------	-------------------------------------

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric
label: c_contct

range: [0,4]

unique values: 5

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 194/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	85,617	0 No contact
	6,432	1 Citizen-initiated contact (reporting crime or seeking assistance)
	7,045	2 Vehicle stop (no search, arrest, or cuffs)
	1,075	3 Suspect-related contact (searched, arrested, cuffed, or suspect in a crime)
	4,910	4 Other police contact
	194	.a Missing

num_citizen_HH Number of people in HH with citizen-initiated contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Number of people in household with contact was computed before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible that households have more contacts than people in the data if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,3]

unique values: 4

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 24/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	94,958	0
	8,796	1
	1,404	2
	91	3
	24	.a Missing

vicar_citizen Vicarious citizen-initiated contact - someone in HH aside from R had citizen-initiated contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Vicarious variables were created before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible people with no other HH members in the dataset have vicarious contact if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 24/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	100,088	0 No
	5,161	1 Yes
	24	.a Missing

num_pro_auto_HH	Number of people in HH with vehicle stop
-----------------	--

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Number of people in household with contact was computed before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible that households have more contacts than people in the data if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,4]

unique values: 5

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 64/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	92,766	0
	11,424	1
	968	2
	45	3
	6	4
	64	.a Missing

vicar_pro_auto	Vicarious vehicle stop - someone in HH aside from R had vehicle stop
----------------	--

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Vicarious variables were created before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible people with no other HH members in the dataset have vicarious contact if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 64/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	98,997	0 No
	6,212	1 Yes
	64	.a Missing

num_pro_pers_HH Number of people in HH with suspect-related police-initiated contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Number of people in household with contact was computed before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible that households have more contacts than people in the data if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,2]

unique values: 3

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 49/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	103,086	0
	2,020	1
	118	2
	49	.a Missing

vicar_pro_pers Vicarious suspect-related contact - someone in HH aside from R had suspect-related contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Vicarious variables were created before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible people with no other HH members in the dataset have vicarious contact if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 49/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	104,137	0 No
	1,087	1 Yes
	49	.a Missing

num_oth_cont_HH Number of people in HH with other type of contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Number of people in household with contact was computed before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible that households have more contacts than people in the data if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,3]

unique values: 4

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 81/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	96,583	0
	7,744	1
	829	2
	36	3
	81	.a Missing

vicar_oth_cont Vicarious other contact - someone in HH aside from R had other type of contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Vicarious variables were created before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible people with no other HH members in the dataset have vicarious contact if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 81/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	100,771	0 No
	4,421	1 Yes
	81	.a Missing

num_improper_HH Number of people in HH who had improper contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

notes: Number of people in household with contact was computed before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible that households have more contacts than people in the data if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,3]

unique values: 4

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 78/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	101,934	0
	2,983	1
	260	2
	18	3
	78	.a Missing

vicar_improper

Someone in HH besides R had improper contact

Source: Researcher generated from PPCS

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Vicarious variables were created before longitudinal linking of the data. It is possible people with no other HH members in the dataset have vicarious contact if another household member was not followed longitudinally or was dropped from the dataset for some other reason.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 78/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	103,565	0 No
	1,630	1 Yes
	78	.a Missing

n_HH_P1

Number of HH incidents in wave concurrent with PPCS

source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

notes: Household incidents include burglaries, motor vehicle theft, and larceny.

range: [0,8]

unique values: 9

missing .: 0/105,273

mean: .0851975

std. dev: .3383675

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	0	0	0	0	0

n_pers_P1	Number of personal incidents in wave concurrent with PPCS
-----------	---

source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

notes: Personal incidents include rape and sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, simple assault, and personal larceny.

range: [0,6]

unique values: 7

missing .: 0/105,273

mean: .01119

std. dev: .1243884

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	0	0	0	0	0

d_HH_P23	Victim of HH crime (subsequent to PPCS)
----------	---

source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Household incidents include burglaries, motor vehicle theft, and larceny.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing .: 0/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	92,154	0 No
	13,119	1 Yes

time2vic_inc_P23HH	Number of months between PPCS interview and victimization (household crime)
--------------------	---

Source: Researcher generated

type: numeric

range: [0,35]

unique values: 35

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 92,154/105,273

mean: 9.33143

std. dev: 7.53105

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	1	3	8	14	22

complete_inc_P23HH

Incident was completed (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4529)

type: numeric

label: complete

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 92,154/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	962	0 attempted
	12,157	1 completed
	92,154	.d N/A

series_inc_P23HH

Incident frequency (household crime)

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

label: series

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 92,154/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	13,064	0 Not a series crime
	55	1 Series Crime
	92,154	.d N/A

present_inc_P23HH Respondent or other HH member present (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4045)

type: numeric

label: present

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 92,154/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	11,298	0 R or HH member not present
	1,821	1 R or HH member present
	92,154	.d N/A

newoff_inc_P23HH Offense type (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4529)

type: numeric

label: newoff

range: [6,8]

unique values: 3

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 92,154/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	2,212	6 Household Burglary
	763	7 Motor Vehicle Theft
	10,144	8 Theft
	92,154	.d N/A

val_loss2_inc_P23HH Total value of cash and property lost (household crime)

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric (float)

label: missing

notes: If only cash was taken, but cash value was 0 the variable is recoded to missing

range: [0,114996]

unique values: 459

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 93,271/105,273

mean: 887.7907

std. dev: 3677.52

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	0	20	100	400	1500

notify_inc_P23HH	Were the police notified (household crime)?
------------------	---

Source: NCVS (V4399)

type: numeric

label: notify

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 92,257/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,337	0 No, Police not notified
	5,679	1 Yes, Police notified
	15	.a Missing
	88	.b Do not know
	92,154	.d N/A

whorept_inc_P23HH	Who notified the police (household crime)?
-------------------	--

Source: NCVS (V4400)

type: numeric

label: whorept

range: [1,7]

unique values: 7

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 99,597/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	4,232	1 Victim
	633	2 Other HH member
	212	3 Someone official aside from police (guard, apt. manager, school official, etc.)
	383	4 Someone else
	86	5 Police at scene
	3	6 Offender was a police officer
	127	7 Some other way
	18	.a Missing
	99,579	.d N/A

whyno1_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Reported to different official (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4402)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97,936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	6,813	0 No
	524	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno2_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Personal matter (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4403)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	6,813	0 No
	524	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno3_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Minor crime, no loss (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4404)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	4,896	0 No
	2,441	1 Yes

97,936 .d NA

whyno4_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Child offender (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4405)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,181	0 No
	156	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno5_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Not clear a crime (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4406)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,145	0 No
	192	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno6_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: No insurance (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4407)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,138	0 No
	199	1 Yes

97,936 .d NA

whyno7_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Found out too late (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4408)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	6,765	0 No
	572	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno8_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Can't recover property (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4409)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	6,807	0 No
	530	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno9_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Lack of proof (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4410)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	6,433	0 No

904 1 Yes
97,936 .d NA

whyno10_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Not important to police (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4411)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	6,367	0 No
	970	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno11_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Police inefficient (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4412)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,094	0 No
	243	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno12_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Police biased (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4413)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,316	0 No

21 1 Yes
97,936 .d NA

whyno13_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Offender police officer (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4414)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,337	0 No
	97,936	.d NA

whyno14_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Protect offender (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4415)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,229	0 No
	108	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno15_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Advised not to report (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4416)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,318	0 No
	19	1 Yes

97,936 .d NA

whyno16_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Fear of reprisal (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4417)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,300	0 No
	37	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno17_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Too inconvenient (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4418)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	6,925	0 No
	412	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

whyno18_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Other reason (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4419)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
-------------	-------	---------------

6,536	0 No
801	1 Yes
97,936	.d NA

whyno19_inc_P23HH Reason not reported: Not present/Don't know (household crime)

Source: NCVS (V4420)
type: numeric
label: noyes

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2
missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A
missing .*: 97, 936/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	7,256	0 No
	81	1 Yes
	97,936	.d NA

notrpt_inc_P23HH Primary reason incident was not reported to police (household)

Source: NCVS (V4422)
type: numeric
label: notrpt

range: [1,30]
unique values: 20
missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A
missing .*: 97,993 /105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	558	1 Reported to another official
	448	2 Private/personal matter
	2137	3 Minor/unsuccessful crime, small/no loss, recovered property
	104	4 Child offender, kid stuff
	139	5 Not clear a crime or harm was intended
	86	6 No insurance, loss less than deductible
	380	7 Police knew too late
	325	8 Could not recover or identify property
	585	9 Could not identify offender, lack of proof
	758	10 Police would not bother
	169	11 Police would be ineffective
	12	12 Police would be biased/cause victim trouble
	0	13 Offender was police officer
	87	14 Did not want to get offender in trouble w/law
	8	15 Advised not to report crime to police
	25	16 Afraid of reprisal by offender or others

303	17 Too inconvenient to take time
822	18 Other reasons for not reporting
81	19 Do not know why did not report crime
253	30 None more important
72	.a Missing
88	.b Do not know
97833	.d N/A

d_pers_P23	Victim of personal crime (subsequent to PPCS)
------------	---

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

label: noyes

notes: Personal incidents include rape and sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, simple assault, and personal larceny.

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 10,259/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	93,774	0 No
	1,240	1 Yes
	10,259	.a Missing

time2vic_inc_P23PER	Number of months between PPCS interview and victimization (personal crime)
---------------------	--

Source: Researcher generated

type: numeric

range: [0,29]

unique values: 30

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,033/105,273

mean: 9.77823

std. dev: 7.71339

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	1	4	8	15	22

injtype_inc_P23PER	Type of injury (personal crime)
--------------------	---------------------------------

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

label: injtype

range: [0,9]

unique values: 9

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,035/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	950	0 No injury
	3	1 Gun shot
	9	2 Knife wound
	9	3 Internal injuries
	11	4 Unconsciousness
	19	5 Broken bones
	194	7 Bruises and cuts
	37	8 Other minor injuries
	6	9 Rape w/o other injuries
	10,259	.a Missing
	2	.b Do not know
	93,774	.d N/A

complete_inc_P23PER

Incident was completed (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4529)

type: numeric

label: complete

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,033/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	118	0 attempted
	1,122	1 completed
	104,033	.d N/A

VO_rel_inc_P23PER

Victim-Offender relationship (personal crime)

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

label: VO_rel_inc_P23

range: [1,5]

unique values: 5

unique mv codes: 1

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,034/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	128	1 Offender was spouse, ex-spouse or boyfriend/girlfriend
	94	2 Offender was family member
	327	3 Offender was an unrelated acquaintance
	562	4 Offender was a stranger
	128	5 V-O relationship is unknown
	1	.a Missing
	104,033	.d NA

priv_loc_inc_P23PER Occurred in or near resident of victim or friend/relative (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4024)

type: numeric

label: priv_loc

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,033/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	710	0 public location
	530	1 private location
	104,033	.d N/A

series_inc_P23PER Incident frequency (personal crime)

Source: Researcher generated from NCVS

type: numeric

label: series

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,033/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	1,187	0 Not a series crime
	53	1 Series Crime
	104,033	.d N/A

mult_off_inc_P23PER More than one offender (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4234)

type: numeric

label: r_mult_off_inc_P23

range: [0,2]

unique values: 3

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,046/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	948	0 One offender
	221	1 Multiple offenders
	58	2 Do not know or did not see offender(s)
	13	.a Missing
	104,033	.d N/A

weapon_inc_P23PER Offender had a weapon (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4049)

type: numeric

label: weapon

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,157/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	845	0 no
	271	1 yes
	124	.b Do not know
	104,033	.d N/A

newoff_inc_P23PER Offense type (personal crime)

Source: NCVS(V4049)

type: numeric

label: newoff

range: [1,5]

unique values: 5

unique mv codes: 1

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,033/105,273

Source: NCVS (V4400)

type: numeric
label: whorept

range: [1,7]

unique values: 7

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,582/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	455	1 Victim
	54	2 Other HH member
	44	3 Someone official aside from police(guard, apt. manager, school official, etc.)
	78	4 Someone else
	41	5 Police at scene
	5	6 Offender was a police officer
	14	7 Some other way
	3	.a Missing
	104,579	.d N/A

whyno1_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Reported to different official (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4402)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	452	0 No
	82	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno2_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Personal matter (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4403)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
-------------	-------	---------------

421	0 No
113	1 Yes
104,739	.d NA

whyno3_inc_P23PER	Reason not reported: Minor crime, no loss (personal crime)
-------------------	--

Source: NCVS (V4404)
type: numeric
label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104, 739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	450	0 No
	84	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno4_inc_P23PER	Reason not reported: Child offender (personal crime)
-------------------	--

Source: NCVS (V4405)
type: numeric
label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	524	0 No
	10	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno5_inc_P23PER	Reason not reported: Not clear a crime (personal crime)
-------------------	---

Source: NCVS (V4406)
type: numeric
label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	519	0 No
	15	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno6_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: No insurance (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4407)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	533	0 No
	1	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno7_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Found out too late (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4408)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	524	0 No
	10	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno8_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Can't recover property (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4409)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	522	0 No
	12	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno9_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Lack of proof (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4410)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	496	0 No
	38	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno10_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Not important to police (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4411)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	497	0 No
	37	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno11_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Police inefficient (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4412)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	518	0 No
	16	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno12_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Police biased (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4413)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	525	0 No
	9	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno13_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Offender police officer (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4414)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	532	0 No
	2	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno14_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Protect offender (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4415)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	498	0 No
	36	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno15_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Advised not to report (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4416)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	533	0 No
	1	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno16_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Fear of reprisal (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4417)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	508	0 No
	26	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno17_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Too inconvenient (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4418)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	507	0 No
	27	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno18_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Other reason (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4419)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	427	0 No
	107	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

whyno19_inc_P23PER Reason not reported: Not present/Don't know (personal crime)

Source: NCVS (V4420)

type: numeric

label: noyes

range: [0,1]

unique values: 2

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,739/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	531	0 No
	3	1 Yes
	104,739	.d NA

notrpt_inc_P23PER Primary reason incident was not reported to police (personal)

Source: NCVS (V4422)

type: numeric

label: notrpt

range: [1,30]

unique values: 19

missing value codes: .a = Missing .b = Do not know .c = Refused .d = N/A

missing .*: 104,741/105,273

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric Label
	75	1 Reported to another official
	101	2 Private/personal matter
	73	3 Minor/unsuccessful crime, small/no loss, recovered property
	7	4 Child offender, kid stuff
	11	5 Not clear a crime or harm was intended
	8	7 Police knew too late
	4	8 Could not recover or identify property
	25	9 Could not identify offender, lack of proof
	28	10 Police would not bother
	6	11 Police would be ineffective
	6	12 Police would be biased/cause victim trouble
	2	13 Offender was police officer
	27	14 Did not want to get offender in trouble w/law
	1	15 Advised not to report crime to police
	18	16 Afraid of reprisal by offender or others
	18	17 Too inconvenient to take time
	99	18 Other reasons for not reporting
	3	19 Do not know why did not report crime
	20	30 None more important
	3	.a Missing
	12	.b Do not know
	104,726	.d N/A
