



PREFACE

The SRP National Interpretation Guideline (NIG) for the United States (U.S.) was developed by Winrock International with grant support from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and Entergy Foundation. The development process followed ISEAL best practices, was overseen by an 11-member Steering Committee formed in 2016 and included a public consultation, stakeholder outreach and piloting. The development process and benchmarking exercise is described in a separate document submitted to the SRP Secretariat. As part of the NIG development process, a comparison of the SRP Standard v.2.0 with U.S. federal and state law and agency oversight was conducted. The comparison showed extensive overlap in the priorities of U.S. environmental, agricultural and labor agencies and their respective regulations with the SRP.

A side by side comparison (log) of each question (text and points) in the SRP Standard v.2.0 and the SRP NIG for the U.S. was compiled. Where changes were made in the SRP NIG for the U.S., the log provides an explanation of need for the change, provides relevant context for the U.S. production system and any references. **PLEASE REFER TO THE COMPARISON LOG TOGETHER WITH THIS DOCUMENT.**

(file name: 4a SRP-NIG-US Deviations Summary 2020.01.31.pdf).

26 (of 41) SRP Standard v.2.0 questions are deemed adequately addressed by U.S. federal or state law, or regulatory agency oversight in the U.S and therefore do not appear in the questionnaire instrument of the SRP NIG for the U.S. These questions are automatically answered for all U.S. rice producers based on the law and are listed in the table below. As allowed by the Protocol for Developing National Interpretation Guidelines, several additional requirements are included for U.S. producers (farmers) ONLY and are clearly marked and tracked separately by U.S. participants and users. These are listed in the table below.

SRP questions automatically answered for all U.S. producers (farmers) because they are addressed by U.S. federal or state law, or regulatory agency oversight.	4, 6, 7, 9, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 28, 29, 20, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41
Remaining SRP questions answered by U.S. producers (farmers) in the questionnaire	1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 24, 25, 27
U.S. ONLY questions. Tracked separately and do not impact SRP score.	42, 43, 44, 45, 46

The presence of a law or agency policy on its own may not be enough to meet requirements in the SRP Standard v.2.0. In instances where U.S. federal or state law or agency oversight was deemed to adequately address the action or intent of the SRP Standard v.2.0 question, the following conditions also are met.

- Enforcement with legal or financial consequences is present via the governing agency;
- There is data indicating that occurrence of a violation or the contrary action by a producer (farmer) is rare or unlikely;
- The respondent (U.S. rice producer/farmer) legally attests to knowledge of and compliance with the law. All producers that complete the questionnaire must sign. (See part D of the questionnaire instrument for SRP NIG for the U.S.)





The above listed information is provided in the Comparison Log document (file name: 4a_SRP-NIG-US Deviations Summary_2020.01.31.pdf) for each question addressed by U.S. federal or state law or agency oversight. The specific law or regulation, its origins, enforcing agency and other documentation is provided.

Attestations are common legal instruments used for various purposes in the U.S. and false statements carry legal penalties. Any U.S. producer who completes the questionnaire will recognize this as a binding legal document once signed.

This document is divided into 4 parts that a U.S. producer (farmer) would complete:

- A- BASIC INFORMATION identifies the producer by name and provides information about the operation. Much of this information can be used as a cross-check to responses or as another check on compliance with the law to facilitate verification. For example: chemical applicator's license number, water source, SRP training date and USDA NRCS program number contracts.
- B- NRCS ENROLLED FIELDS specific ID numbers referring to the specific fields on his/her property where the responses in this questionnaire are valid. The ID numbers are assigned by the USDA-NRCS and applicable across the entire country. The department within the USDA that provides support to farmers in the areas of conservation and sustainability is called the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). The NRCS defines specific practices such as Alternate Wetting and Drying (449) or Land Leveling (462 or 464) and through payments to farmers incentivizes adoption¹. The NRCS provides technical support and requires ex post monitoring to ensure practices were completed to their standards each year. The NRCS has created an ID system for every agricultural field in the U.S., so even if a farmer does not participate in the incentive programs, his/her fields will have ID #s that are used for tax purposes and for the national agricultural census. Coordinates are attached to each field NRCS ID to facilitate access through Google Earth, Landsat or other remote imagery products.
- C- QUESTIONNAIRE responses by producers (farmers) to the SRP Standard v.2.0 questions not deemed to be adequately addressed by U.S. federal or state law or agency oversight. The corresponding NRCS incentive program number is listed for each question where present. Participation by rice farmers is high relative to other commodity crop producers in the U.S. Producers (farmers) will immediately recognize these numbers/actions and reporting required by NRCS can facilitate verification.
- D- ATTESTATION producer (farmer) legally attests to knowledge and compliance with various laws, licenses and requirements as well as to filing taxes for the previous year as an agricultural operator.
- E- WATER QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT

-

¹ Example for Arkansas: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_034097.pdf





More than 99% of rice produced in the U.S. is produced in six states: Arkansas, California, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri and Texas. Each state has their own laws in addition to federal law and often in the case of California, the state law has stronger requirements. The Comparison Log document (file name: 4a_Deviations Summary.pdf) references state law or requirements where applicable.

Each state makes publicly available a "State Rice Handbook" that defines best practice in every aspect of production for the state. The Handbooks are a compilation of the best available science, tools, and recommendations accounting for regulation, regional climate, rotations, pests and soils. Producers (farmers) are universally aware of and familiar with the content of the Handbook. In addition to the State Rice Handbooks, producer/farmers have available to them technical assistance from the USDA NRCS, certified crop consultants and the University Extension Offices (who produce the Handbooks). Much of the information or common practice referred to in this document and Comparison Log document (file name: 4a_SRP-NIG-US Deviations Summary_2020.01.31.pdf) can be found in the State Rice Handbooks or through the USDA NRCS website³. Comparison Log document (file name: 4a_Deviations Summary.pdf) includes references.

² Example for Arkansas: https://www.uaex.edu/publications/pdf/MP192/MP192.pdf

³ https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/site/national/home/





PART A: BASIC INFORMATION

Name									
County				9	State				
Date that Produc	er Recei	ved SRP T	rai	ning					
Name of Chemica	I								
Applicator (Comp	any)								
License Number							License St	ate	
Work with a Certi	fied Cro	p Consult	tan	t/Adviso	or?	□ Y	es		□ No
Consultant Name									
Date of SRP Train									
Consultant/Advis	or								
B					I				
Participate in USI									
Programs in 2019	? (Chec	eck all		EQIP	☐ CSP		SP	☐ Other	
that apply)	111	. 	n	D					
Please list NRCS	enrollea	i fielas in	Pai	τ Β					
2019 Acres in Rice							ontinuous	rico	
									ion
2019 Variety (ies)						☐ Rice in soy rotation ☐ Rice in other rotation			
2019 Average Yie	la					⊔ Kı	ice in otne	r rot	ation
Harvested rice is	stored o	n farm?		☐ Yes				No.	
Harvested rice is		□ Yes							
Tidi Vested Tice is	arica on	i idilii;		□ 1E3				10	
Water Source		□ Gro	un	d Only	□S	☐ Surface Only			□ Both
Water Deliveries	from Sta					•			
District or Other I	ntity		3			☐ Yes			□ No





PART B: NRCS Enrolled Fields

Complete the table below for all NRCS enrolled fields OR attach your own table/list with the information below.

	Farm Number	Track Number	Field Number	Field Size (ac)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				





PART C: QUESTIONNAIRE

			Record Keeping – Dates (Crop Calendar)
			A crop calendar is a written, digital or otherwise recorded plan of the expected dates of field activities AND shows records of actual dates of implementation of those activities. Activities include:
			 Dates of major operations (i.e., land preparation, planting, harvest). Dates of major fertilization (i.e., split plan) and water management activities (i.e., irrigation). Dates of major pest management activities (i.e., scouting, damage and treatment if needed). Dates for labor and/or contracted services (i.e., if not captured in 1-3). Expected dates of field activities are readily available to ALL U.S. producers via use of growth model
↓	↓ CHECK ONE		programs such as DD50, recommendations from the state agriculture office (State Rice Handbooks), University extension, a crop consultant or based on in field weather station temperature data in conjunction with a growth model. Please respond based on your EX-POST record keeping.
	Α	3	Records of the actual dates when the activity occurred are recorded for ALL listed topics.
	В	2	Records of the actual dates when the activity occurred are recorded for activity 1 and 2, only.
	С	1*	Records of the actual dates when the activity occurred are recorded for activity 1 only.
	D	0	None of the above.

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:





			Record Keeping			
	~		Records are kept annually (written or digital) on as many topics as possible in the list below. Record keeping at higher levels of sophistication (INTERMEDIATE LEVEL) is encouraged. Topics include:			
2			 Seed variety (name/vendor/quantity) Yield Pesticide use (product /quantity/application method ground or air) Fertilizer use (product/ /quantity/application method ground or air) Measured or calculated water use (quantity per acre, per bushel, per field or average for irrigation type and region) Machinery operations on farm (equipment type, purpose, fuel use) Results of IPM scouting A water quality risk assessment has been completed (see PART E) GHG emission measurements mg CO2e flux/ha/yr. Data Collection Techniques and Levels			
			<u>INTERMEDIATE</u>			
↓ 0	↓ CHECK ONE		 Data collection system is considered INTERMEDIATE if any of the following is true: Data is collected, analyzed and maintained by digital means (e.g. use of equipment software such as MyJohnDeere, use of spreadsheet or accounting software such as Excel or QuickBooks) Applicable metrics above are tracked on a per yield basis Applicable metrics above are tracked on a field or sub-field level BASIC Data collection system is considered BASIC if: Farmer keeps handwritten maps or notebooks (field or aggregated level) 			
	Α	3	Records are kept of at least 6 topics at the intermediate level.			
	В	2	Records are kept of at least 5 topics using a mix of basic and intermediate techniques.			
	C 1* Records are kept of at least 5 applicable topics using basic techniques					
	D	0	None of the above.			

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:





			Training
			Farmer training, information, and support needs are assessed for all topics in the SRP Standard.
3			Farmer receives needed training, information, and support. SRP-authorized training providers are the preferred external partners or professional sources for training on SRP. SRP also recognizes information exchange with other farmers or within farmer organizations.
			Farmer demonstrates that relevant content is applied.
↓	CHECK	ONE	
	A	3	In the last 5 years, producer training, information, and support needs have been assessed; the producer received needed training from an SRP-authorized training provider; and demonstrates that content is applied.
	В	2	In the last 5 years, producer training, information, and support needs have been assessed; the producer received needed training; and demonstrates that content is applied.
	С	1*	In the last 5 years, producer training, information, and support needs have been assessed; and producer received needed training.
□ D 0		0	In the last 5 years, producer training, information, and support needs have not been assessed.

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:





			Salinity
	5		Salinity problems are regularly scouted for and effectively and quickly managed at first detection according to expert advice (crop advisor, University Extension guidance, State Rice Handbooks). Examples of mitigation/adaptation measures for salinity include: Selection of salinity-tolerant varieties if needed.
↓ c	HECK	ONE	 Monitoring of salinity in well water. Scouting for early signs of damage in plants and scouting for signs of accumulation in fields Management of inflow/outflow in quantity and timing to minimize salinity (flushing and flood time). Tissue sampling
			Producer does/did one of the following:
	Α	3	o Tested the well (any time, any frequency) o At least annually tests irrigation water for salts o At least annually conducts a tissue analysis for salt in the plant
	В	2	Producer regularly scouts for damage and follows expert advice on salinity detection and management. IF/WHEN a problem is detected, producer follows expert advice for mitigation options.
	С	1*	Farmer completes risk assessment (Part E) and implements mitigation measures when needed (i.e. history of salinity problems on farm or in region).
	D	0	None of the above.

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

None





↓ (8 CHECK	ONE	Land is leveled and managed in a manner that minimizes erosion. Two scenarios are present in the U.S., Flat Land or Sloping (includes straight and contour levees).			
	Α	5	Land has been leveled to (zero grade) and is maintained at zero grade.			
	В	3	Land has been leveled to zero grade but is not maintained as such.			
	С	2*	2* Land has been leveled.			
	D	0	Land has not been leveled.			
SLOPI	SLOPING LAND (Straight-precision grade Levees and Contour Levees)					
	E	4	4 Land has been precision leveled (straight levees, single directional grade)			
	F	F 3 Some leveling has taken place and contour levees are used				
	☐ G 2* N		No leveling has taken place and contour levees are used or other erosion controls measures			
	Н	0	None of the above			

- ☐ 462 Precision Land Forming
- ☐ 464 Irrigation Land Leveling
- ☐ 460 Land Clearing





Water Management

10

All U.S. rice production meets the definition of the SRP irrigation system category for "Irrigated, Not Flood Prone". Measures are in place to enhance water-use efficiency in this system category.

** See scoring key for mapping of combinations to SRP points

		3 7 11 3					
Le	velin choo	g and Plastic Pipe se 1 in this column ↓	Cho	ose the	numb	Dry Downs er of dry downs within the leveling choice	
А	4	Rice fields are leveled (no levees); no plastic pipe		A B C	2 1* 0	Multiple dry down events One dry down event (*) None	
В	3	Rice fields have straight levees; and plastic pipe		A B C	2 1* 0	Multiple dry down events One dry down event (*) None	
С	2	Rice fields have straight levees and no plastic pipe		A B C	2 1* 0	Multiple dry down events One dry down event(*) None	
D	1*	Rice fields have contour levees; and plastic pipe (*)					
Е	0	Rice fields have contour levees and no plastic pipe					

	462 Precision Land Forming
	464 Irrigation Land Leveling
	449 Irrigation Water Management (AWD enhancement option)
	118 Irrigation Water Management Plan
П	430 Irrigation Water Conveyance Pineline





443 Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface (surge valves in row rice)
533 Pumping Plant
587 Structure for Water Control (flow meters)





			Irrigation System - Capacity and Maintenance
11 ↓ CHECK ONE		_	The farm irrigation system has sufficient pipes, canals, sluices and dikes to ensure proper irrigation and drainage for all fields. The farm irrigation system is regularly inspected and maintained by a private company, and NRCS project or the landowner.
	Α	3*	Producer receives water deliveries from the state, irrigation district or other entity; Producer is not in control of community irrigation infrastructure; the system provides adequate delivery and drainage of water to each field; Producer reports any malfunction or degraded service immediately.
	В	3*	Producer has control of his own well and/or associated irrigation system on farm; the system provides adequate delivery and drainage of water to each field; the system is inspected regularly, and conditions well maintained by a private company and/or NRCS project.
	С	3*	Producer has control of his own well and/or associated irrigation system on farm; the system provides adequate delivery and drainage of water to each field; producer (or land owner) is responsible for all maintenance and regular inspections.
	D	0	None of the above

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

320 Irrigation Canal or Lateral
326 Clearing and Snagging
410 Grade Stabilization
412 Grassed Waterways
430 Irrigation Pipeline
580 Streambank and Shoreline Protection
582 Open Channel

 $\hfill \square$ 584 Channel Bed Stabilization

☐ 587 Structure for Water Control

☐ 607 Surface Drain Field Ditch

☐ 608 Surface Drain Main or Lateral





12				Inbound Water Quality (Answer 12.1 or 12.2) Inbound water is obtained from clean sources that are free of metals, salts, biological and industrial contamination
		Α	3	Have tested (producer or hired third party) for salinity and heavy metals (within last 3 years, see Resources in PART E)
12.1		В	2	Federal, state or local requirements for inbound testing apply in my region or to my farm due to concerns for well water quality in my region (producer tests or government entity routinely tests)
Well		С	1*	Have completed a risk assessment within last 5 years (see Part E) and implemented control measures if risk is present
		D	0	None of the above

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

☐ State dependent





12				Inbound Water Quality (Answer 12.1 or 12.2) Inbound water is obtained from clean sources that are free of metals, salts, biological and industrial contamination
12.2 Surface	A		3	Have tested (producer or hired third party) for ALL of the following (within last 5 years): Heavy metals Pesticide or herbicide residues Phosphorous and nitrogen Turbidity
		В	2	Federal, state or local requirements for inbound testing apply in my region or to my farm due to concerns for surface water quality in my region (producer tests or government entity routinely tests)
Name:		С	1*	Have completed a risk assessment within last 5 years (see Part E) and implemented control measures if risk is present
		D	0	None of the above

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:





14 ↓ CHECK ONE			Drainage Agrochemical runoff can negatively impact biodiversity or surroundings waterways. Intentional surface (sideways) drainage after surface application of agrochemicals is sufficiently delayed via water holding to avoid contamination from agrochemical runoff.	
	Α	3	There is no use of agrochemicals	
	A	3	There is no use of agrocifemicals	
CALIFO	DRNIA			
	В	3	Farm complies with the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program (ILRP). Producer also follows all water holding requirements on agrochemical labels (if different than ILRP).	
AR, LA	AR, LA, MO, MS or TX			
	С	3	Water is managed on all rice fields to allow for AT LEAST 1-2 inches of free board in case of rain from initial flood until draining for harvest. Management ensures that water remains on the field.	
	D	2*	Freeboard is not always maintained but delayed drainage is ensured at least 4 days for fertilizers and 14 days for pesticides or according to agrochemical labels (if different).	
	E	1	Drainage is delayed after surface application of agrochemicals, but for fewer days than required or recommended due to unexpected conditions and need to protect crops (e.g. heavy rains).	
	F	0	None of the above	

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

☐ 554 Drainage Water Management





			Nutrient Management		
15			Efficient and site-specific nutrient management is applied and documented. Measures for efficient nutrient management include: Timing of fertilizer (inorganic and/or organic; N, P, and/or K) application is according to plant needs, and according to label or University, NRCS or County recommendations and using grid sampling and variable rate applications Manual of fertilizer (inorganic and/or organic; N, P, and/or K) applied is based on knowledge of soil fertility and expected yield, and according to University recommendations. Natural systems of soil fertility enhancement (e.g., crop rotation, intercropping, and/or non-invasive cover cropping) are used.		
	Α	6	Producer uses all three measures listed for efficient nutrient management.		
	В	4*	Producer uses any two measures listed for efficient nutrient management.		
	С	2	Producer uses any one measure listed for efficient nutrient management.		
	D	0	None of the above.		

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

☐ 590 Nutrient Management





			Organic Fertilizer Choice
	16		Organic material (e.g., animal manure, green manure, mulch, rice straw) is used as fertilizer where synthetic fertilizer would otherwise be used ONLY IF: 1. It can be applied in composted or de-composted state in non-flooded fields OR there is sufficient time for its decomposition prior to flooding; 2. It is available locally and in sufficient quantity; AND 3. It is a comparable or economical choice relative to other options
	↓ CHECK ONE		
	Α	3	Producer uses organic material as fertilizer and ALL three conditions are met.
	В	2*	Producer does not use organic material as fertilizer because one or more of the listed conditions cannot be met.
	С	0	None of the above.

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

☐ 590 Nutrient Management





			Integrated Pest M	anagement (IPM)		
18			Principles of IPM include: o Evaluating pest threat and damage levels regularly (scouting). O Evaluating all available pest control options deemed appropriate by the University extension. O Using action thresholds recommended by U.S. Land Grant University Cooperative extension experts. O Using the crop protection method recommended by the University extension. Recommended IPM methods in the United States are based on the latest research and relevant pests in the region; have been developed according to regulations that ensure human and food safety; are generally considered economically viable under current market conditions and minimize environmental impact and/or comply with environmental regulations. IPM combines non-chemical control methods and rational pesticide use. This includes biodiversity-based integrated pest management as part of crop protection activities. In the United States, IPM is generally understood to mean that chemicals are ONLY applied once a threshold has been reached and when applied, they are applied by a licensed professional that follows regulations in the amount of chemical applied and the frequency of application. Scientific research underscores the crop and state specific recommendations provided by the University extensions in each state or by the USDA NRCS for IPM contracts. Producers typically work with a licensed pest management specialist and chemical applicator who will rigidly follow the recommendations for the state.			
Recommended Non-Chemical Options:			WEEDS:	INSECTS: Synchronized planting Tolerant/Resistant varieties Promotion of natural predators (habitat diversity) Crop rotation or extended fallow No over-application of nitrogen Biological control agents (non-lethal chemicals)	DISEASE: Synchronized planting Tolerant/Resistant varieties Removal of host plants Moisture management Planting at appropriate density No over-application of nitrogen Biological control agents (non-lethal chemicals)	OTHER (Birds, Rats, Invertebrates): Synchronized planting Physical control (i.e. destruction of egg masses) Promotion of predators Crop rotation or extended fallow Trapping, hunting Coordinated community management plan Scare/deterrent devices Biological control agents (non-lethal chemicals)
	Α	18	Producer has a USDA NR	CS IPM contract		
	В	18	 Follows Univer Regularly scout Applies chemic reached 	onsultant) does ALL of the factoring sity extension recommend as for all relevant pests in reals ONLY after thresholds and chemical applicator	ations for pest manageme	-





		List any non-chemical pest control measures employed (optional):
С	11*	Producer (and/or crop consultant) does ALL of the following: Regularly scouts for all relevant pests in region Uses at least two non-chemical pest control strategies Uses a licensed chemical applicator List any non-chemical pest control measures employed (optional):
D	0	None of the above

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

☐ 595 Integrated Pest Management





			Rice Stubble
24			Rice stubble is not burned and is managed in a sustainable way to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, minimize environmental impacts, and retain or improve soil quality. 4,5
	↓ CHECK ONE		
	Α	3	Stubble is not burned, and not plowed under, with time (at least 3 weeks) to allow aerobic decomposition before wetting.
	В	2	Stubble is not burned, and is plowed under while the soil is dry, with time (at least 3 weeks) to allow aerobic decomposition before wetting.
	С	1*	Stubble is not burned, and is plowed under while the soil is flooded, without time (at least 3 weeks) to allow for aerobic decomposition.
	D	0	Stubble is burned

329 Residue Management, No-Till, Strip-Till (TILL)
646 Shallow Water Development and Management (HABITAT)
338 Prescribed Burning (BURN)
344 Residue Management, Seasonal (TILL) 345 Residue Management Mulch Till (TILL)

⁴ As stated in the SRP Standard v.2.0. research has identified the minimum tillage system with stubble left on the field after grazing by livestock as a sustainable practice of treating rice stubble. SRP National Interpretation Guidelines may identify methods that are at an equivalent level of sustainability even if grazing by livestock or minimum tillage is not practiced.

In the U.S. production system, livestock or crawfish grazing as a rotation is limited. No-tillage and minimum tillage are strongly incentivized by the USDA due to declining soil quality and are widely practiced. In areas where minimum tillage and no tillage are practiced together with winter flooding of rice fields for waterfowl (a practice also strongly incentivized by the USDA due to near total loss of waterfowl habitat in the U.S.), methane emissions will be significant in the absence of burning. In large (1000+ ha) mechanized operations, significant amounts of fuel are combusted to adequately plow stubble. There is a trade-off among the environmental benefits of air quality, GHG emissions, soil quality and habitat.





			Rice Straw
25			Rice straw managed in a sustainable way to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, minimize environmental impacts, and retain or improve soil quality. ⁶ , ⁷ Rice straw is: 1. Not burned. 2. Allowed sufficient time (at least 2 weeks) for aerobic decomposition if rice straw is left on the field or plowed under. 3. Collected, used a livestock feed or composted, and returned to the field.
	CHECK	I	
	Α	3	Producer meets criteria 1 and 3.
	В	2	Producer meets criteria 1 and 2 only
	С	1*	Producer meets criteria 1 only
	D	0	Producer burns rice straw

329 Residue Management, No-Till, Strip-Till (TILL)
646 Shallow Water Development and Management (HABITAT)
338 Prescribed Burning (BURN)
344 Residue Management, Seasonal (TILL)
345 Residue Management Mulch Till (TILL)

⁶ As stated in the SRP Standard v.2.0. research has identified the minimum tillage system with stubble left on the field after grazing by livestock as a sustainable practice of treating rice stubble. SRP National Interpretation Guidelines may identify methods that are at an equivalent level of sustainability even if grazing by livestock or minimum tillage is not practiced.

⁷ In the U.S. production system, livestock or crawfish grazing as a rotation is limited. No-tillage and minimum tillage are strongly incentivized by the USDA due to declining soil quality and are widely practiced. In areas where minimum tillage and no tillage are practiced together with winter flooding of rice fields for waterfowl (a practice also strongly incentivized by the USDA due to near total loss of waterfowl habitat in the U.S.), methane emissions will be significant in the absence of burning. In large (1000+ ha) mechanized operations, significant amounts of fuel are combusted to adequately plow stubble. There is a trade-off among the environmental benefits of air quality, GHG emissions, soil quality and habitat.





27			Tools and Equipment Tools and equipment for farm operations and postharvest processes are inspected and maintained and
↓ CHECK ONE			calibrated as per manufacturer recommendations.
	Α	2	Farm equipment is inspected every year prior to use and maintained and calibrated as required by the manufacturer.
	В*	1	Farm equipment is not inspected, calibrated and maintained as required by the manufacturer but is inspected (not annually) and maintained as issues arise.
	С	0	No calibration and maintenance within the past 2 years.

Applicable 4	e NRCS	Conservation	Programs:
--------------	--------	--------------	------------------





Producer maintains and protects the following	es (+1) 🗌 No		60
elements for habitat and/or biodiversity:		ersity: uge	бе

Applic	abl	le NRCS	Conservation	Programs :
--------	-----	---------	--------------	-------------------

☐ 327 Conservation Cover

26e	Annual Safety Instruction Training	☐ Yes (+1)	□ No
200	Producer provides <u>annual</u> safety training/instructions to workers including household members working in the rice operation (includes office related work)		

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:





32e	Re-entry time Signage	☐ Yes (+1)	□ No
326	Producer places warning signs in field or at field edge indicating the re-entry time following pesticide use (48 hours or product label)		

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:





US			Outbound Water Quality Outbound water quality is monitored.
US ONLY		Y.	Outbound water quality is monitored.
12e			
	A	4	 Have tested (producer or hired third party) for ALL of the following within last 3 years: Heavy metals Pesticide or herbicide residues Phosphorous and nitrogen Turbidity
	В	3	Have tested (producer or hired third party) for at least TWO of the following within last 3 years: Heavy metals Pesticide or herbicide residues Phosphorous and nitrogen Turbidity
	С	2	Have completed a risk assessment within last 3 years (see Part E):
	D	1	Federal, state or local requirements for outbound testing apply in my region or to my farm due to concerns for water quality in my region (producer tests or government entity routinely tests) AND/OR Federal, state or local requirements for reducing loads of area source pollutants apply in my region or to my farm due to concerns for water quality in my region.
	Е	0	I am not aware of requirements to monitor water leaving my farm OR to reduce loads of specified area source pollutants due to concerns for water quality in my region.

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

☐ 412 Grassed Waterways





			Wildlife Habitat
US ONLY 42			Producers have preserved, restored or enhanced Wildlife Habitat (i.e. Grassland, Wetland, Bottomland Hardwood Forest, or Upland Forest) on their property. This does NOT include flooding for waterfowl (see Q 24/25). This does NOT require removing land from production. These can be degraded lands or other areas on property that were never suitable for cultivation but can be conserved through easement, improved per NRCS or other programs or restored to better foster wildlife.
	Α	2	>5% of total planted acreage has been restored, preserved or enhanced.
	В	1	< 5% (but > 0%), of total planted acreage has been restored, preserved or enhanced
	С	0	No habitat restoration, preservation or enhancement

390 Riparian Herbaceous Cover
395 Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
575 Animal Trails and Walkways
644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
657 Wetland Restoration
659 Wetland Enhancement
646 Shallow Water Management





US	ON	ILY	Waterfowl
43			Rice fields are flooded for waterfowl and water birds during winter months (zero grade, precision level or contour levees). Water control structures are closed, and/or interior levees are pulled shut to capture rainfall.
	Α	2	> 50% of rice fields are managed to capture rainfall for winter waterfowl.
	В	1	1% - 49% of rice fields are managed to capture rainfall for winter waterfowl.
	С	0	None of the above.

- ☐ 646 Shallow Water Development and Management
- ☐ 554 Drainage Water Management





US ONLY			Buffer Zones and Filter Strips
	44		Producers have implemented conservation measures to enhance wildlife habitat, reduce soil erosion and increase water quality.
↓ CHECK ONE		NE	 Established or extended riparian buffer or filter strips including grass turn rows Established diverse native vegetation and controlling invasive species in stream side cover
	Α	1	Establish one of the listed elements
	В	0	Establish none of the listed elements

- ☐ 386 Field Border
- ☐ 332 Contour Buffer
- ☐ 601 Vegetative Barrier
- ☐ 327 Conservation Cover





			Other Water Efficiency Technologies	
US ONLY 45		JLY	Water Efficiency technologies (in addition to those addressed in questions 8 and 10, are used. These include: • Water recycling (tailwater recovery) • Water level indicator devices • Metering of pump at beginning and end of season • Continuous flow metering • Automated pump shutoff and water delivery • Plastic pipe on non-zero grade fields	
↓ (↓ CHECK ONE		 Soil moisture sensors Other: 	
	Α	4	Producer uses at least four of the water efficiency measures listed Please list:	
	В	3	Producer uses at least three water efficiency measure listed Please list:	
	С	2	Producer uses at least two water efficiency measures listed Please list:	
	D	1	Producer uses at least one of the water efficiency measures listed Please list:	
	E	0	None of the above	

449 Irrigation Water Management
436 Irrigation Reservoir
447 Irrigation Tailwater Recovery





			Pumping plant efficiency
US ONLY			Producer has implemented any of the following to conserve fuel or electricity:
46 ↓ CHECK ONE		NE	 Switch to electric from diesel pumps or equipment Switch to natural gas from diesel or equipment Fuel or equipment metering Fuel or equipment automation Other:
	А	2	Producer implemented at least 2 of the above fuel efficiency measures Please list:
	В	1	Producer implemented at least 1 of the above fuel efficiency measures Please list:
	С	0	None of the above

Applicable NRCS Conservation Programs:

☐ 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement





☐ Self-Assess	Report
I am completing this questionnaire for my own information. I do not wish to share my results, or any information captured on this questionnaire.	I would like to share my results with the following other parties: 1. SRP Secretariat and GLOBAL GAP 2 3 The parties listed above have disclosed to me how my questionnaire responses will be used and with whom they will be shared.





PART D: Attestation

☐ YES	□ N/A	I am aware of and fully comply with federal and state labor laws that apply to agricultural operations:
		 Fair Labor Standards Act Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act Labor Provisions of the H-2A Visa Program Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 including Agriculture (29 CFR 1928), General Industry (29 CFR 1910), and the General Duty Clause. Field sanitation provisions of the OSHA Act of 1970 All laws enforced via the Equal Employment Opportunity Act (title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Workforce Investment) Compulsory School Attendance Laws (state) Wage (state)
YES	□ N/A	I hold legal right to surface or ground water used on my property
YES	□ N/A	I am aware of advice and information for best practices in rice production from: University Extension, USDA and State Agriculture agencies.
YES	□ N/A	I am a licensed chemical applicator and sometimes apply chemicals on my farm (either in lieu of a third-party licensed applicator or in addition to a third-party licensed applicator). I obey all legal requirements for application and requirements of my license when applying chemicals. I follow all label instructions.
YES	□ N/A	(CALIFORNIA ONLY) I am aware of and meet requirements of the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program (ILRP)
YES	□ N/A	I filed taxes and reported farming income to the U.S. government in 2019
YES	□ N/A	Responses to this questionnaire are true to the best of my knowledge for the year 2020
Name:		
Date:		
Signature	·	





PART E: WATER QUALITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The water and soil quality risk checklist shall be used in conjunction with the Standard and is referenced in questions 2, 5, 12 and 12e. This checklist assesses risks to water and soil quality when regular water quality (inbound surface, outbound surface and/or well) testing and/or soil or tissue sampling is not conducted. This checklist can be completed once every 5 years. Recommendations and resources for water and soil testing in each rice growing state are listed in the Resources section of Part E.

The Clean Water Act (CWA) establishes the basic structure for regulating discharges of pollutants into the waters of the United States and regulating quality standards for surface waters. Under the CWA, EPA has implemented pollution control programs such as setting wastewater standards for industry. EPA has also developed national water quality criteria recommendations for pollutants in surface waters. Nonpoint source pollution (NPS) is the leading remaining cause of water quality problems in the U.S. and agriculture is classified as an NPS. NPS pollution is managed at the state level and each rice growing state has an NPS Management Plan that details monitoring and mitigation strategies that impact individual farms. NPS Management Plans for each rice growing state are listed in the Resources section of Part E.

DIRECTIONS:

For each question, place a mark in either column A or B. For any question marked "B", also place a mark in either C or D. Count all marks in Column A, B and C.

The farm is considered LOW RISK if:

- All answers are marked A Or
- (SUM Column B) (SUM Column C) = zero

		Α	В		С	D
	SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
		NO	YES		YES	NO
1	To your knowledge, has any portion of the fields where rice is grown been used for the following within the last 50 years? - Sewage sludge application - Industrial waste disposal - Artisanal or industrial mining - Mine drainage - Battery recycling			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Learn about the history and type of waste applied - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request soil testing or guidance on soil testing, OR - Had the soil tested for cadmium, mercury, arsenic, lead and persistent organic pollutants?		





		А	В		С	D
	SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
		NO	YES		YES	NO
				 Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no contamination has been found. 		
2	Are any fields where rice is grown adjacent (within 100 ft) to a major highway or expressway?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request soil testing or guidance on soil testing, OR - Had the soil tested for cadmium, mercury, arsenic, lead and persistent organic pollutants? - Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no contamination has been found.		
3	Are any fields where rice is grown downwind of a coal fired power plant (within 3 miles)?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request soil testing or guidance on soil testing, OR - Had the soil tested for cadmium, mercury, arsenic, lead and persistent organic pollutants? - Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no contamination has been found.		
4	Are any fields where rice is grown located downstream from an active or former (to your knowledge) waste water treatment plant, livestock, poultry or fisheries operation (within 25 miles)?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request testing of irrigation water or guidance on irrigation water testing OR - Had the irrigation water tested for biological contaminants? - Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no		





		Α	В		С	D
	SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
		NO	YES		YES	NO
				contamination has been found.		
5	To your knowledge, have any of the following products been used on your land within the last 50 years? Cadmium containing fungicides (cadmium carbonate, cadmium chloride, cadmium succinate, cadmium sebacate, etc.) Mercury containing fungicides (e.g. phenyl mercuric acetate, calomel chloride, mercury chloride, etc.) Arsenic-containing pesticides (e.g. arsenic acid, arsenic trioxide, arsonate, arsenite, aresonic acid, etc.) Phosphate fertilizer from a high cadmium source			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Learn about the history and type of products applied - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request soil testing or guidance on soil testing, OR - Had the soil tested for cadmium, mercury, arsenic, lead and persistent organic pollutants? - Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no contamination has been found.		
6	Is your irrigation water obtained from a water body listed on the 303D list?			If YES, have you contacted your state office of environmental quality, crop advisor, conservation district or NRCS office to understand any testing being conducted by your state, testing requirements by property owner or other requirements or recommendations in accordance with the state non-point source pollution management plan.		
7	To your knowledge, have there been any reports in your watershed (or water system) of surface irrigation water testing positive for industrial, biological (including pathogens and bacteria) or metal contamination including high levels of nitrates or pesticides?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Learned about the time, location and nature of these reports - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request soil or irrigation water testing or guidance on soil and irrigation water testing, OR		





		Α	В		С	D
	SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
		NO	YES		YES	NO
				 Had the soil or irrigation water tested for industrial, biological or metal contamination? Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no contamination has been found. 		
8	To your knowledge, has your well or wells in your community ever tested positive for industrial, biological (including pathogens and bacteria) or metal contamination including high levels of nitrates or pesticides?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Learned about the time, location and nature of these reports - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request soil or irrigation water testing or guidance on soil and irrigation water testing, OR - Had the soil or irrigation water tested for industrial, biological or metal contamination? Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no contamination has been found.		
9	To your knowledge, has irrigation water on your property ever tested outside acceptable limits for any industrial, biological (including pathogens and bacteria) or metal contamination including high levels of nitrates or pesticides?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Learned about the time, location and nature of these results - Contacted your states office of environmental quality to request soil or irrigation water testing or guidance on soil and irrigation water testing, OR - Had the soil or irrigation water tested for industrial, biological or metal contamination? - Routinely tested (every 5 years) even after no contamination has been found.		





		Α	В		С	D
	SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
		NO	YES		YES	NO
10	Do you notice erosion or sediment on your property from: Irrigation Stormwater Pasture or Range			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted NRCS for recommended practices?		
	Do you notice streambank erosion or murky water in on-farm or adjacent ditches or streams?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted NRCS for recommended practices?		
11	Do you notice sides of streams or ditches are eroding? Water in ditches or streams or other water bodies is muddy or looks like chocolate milk? Water in ditches or streams may be clear, but silt has settled on the bottom?					
12	Is there a noticeable greenish color in your ditches/streams/ponds? Do you notice algae, plants or mosses in your waterways?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted NRCS for recommended practices?		
13	Have you noticed signs of high nitrates in irrigation water?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted NRCS for recommended practices?		
14	Do you notice fish kills or erratic behavior of aquatic species in nearby streams?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted NRCS for recommended practices?		
15	Have you noticed or heard of water temperature increases in streams in your watershed?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Contacted NRCS for recommended practices?		
16	Has your irrigation source ever had high salinity levels?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Seek expert advice from crop advisor, NRCS or University Extension on mitigation options - Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area		





		Α	В		С	D
	SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
		NO	YES		YES	NO
				 Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year Regularly scout for salt related damage 		
17	Have you ever noticed any damage from salt or indications of high salinity in irrigation water?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Seek expert advice from crop advisor, NRCS or University Extension on mitigation options - Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area - Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year - Regularly scout for salt related damage		
18	Is your land located within 3 km of a body of salt water?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area - Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year - Regularly scout for salt related damage		
19	Has your land received direct salt water intrusion within the past 5 years? (e.g., flood, hurricane waves, tsunami, etc.)			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Seek expert advice from crop advisor, NRCS or University Extension on mitigation options - Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area - Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year - Regularly scout for salt related damage		





		Α	В		С	D
	SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
		NO	YES		YES	NO
20	Does your land experience tide- related changes in water table?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Seek expert advice from crop advisor, NRCS or University Extension on mitigation options - Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area - Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year - Regularly scout for salt related damage		
21	Does your water table depth change by more than 10 cm between seasons?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Seek expert advice from crop advisor, NRCS or University Extension on mitigation options - Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area - Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year - Regularly scout for salt related damage		
22	Have there been any government or community warnings in your area about soil or water salinization?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions: - Seek expert advice from crop advisor, NRCS or University Extension on mitigation options - Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area - Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year - Regularly scout for salt related damage		
23	Does your irrigation source get depleted towards the end of the dry season?			IF YES, have you taken the following recommended actions:		





	Α	В		С	D
SCREENING QUESTION	If answer NO, proceed to next question	If answer YES, answer question at right	ACTION TAKEN IF RISK PRESENT		
	NO	YES		YES	NO
			 Seek expert advice from crop advisor, NRCS or University Extension on mitigation options Follow government mandates and recommendations for your area Regularly test soil and irrigation water, especially towards end of year Regularly scout for salt related damage 		

SUM Column A	
SUM Column B	
SUM Column C	
B - C	





Water and Soil Testing Resources

AR	GENERAL GUIDANCE	 https://arkansas-water-center.uark.edu/publications/factsheets/FS-2017-03- Irrigation-Analytical-Package-How-to-Collect-Sample-and-Interpret-Results-2.pdf
	LABORATORIES	 https://www.uaex.edu/farm-ranch/special-programs/aquaculture/diagnostic- services.aspx
CA	GENERAL GUIDANCE	• https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/ca/water/?cid=stelprdb12484 43
		• https://prod.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/PA NRCSConsumption/download?cid=stelprdb1 248580&ext=pdf
		 https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/agriculture/
	<u>LABORATORIES</u>	http://cecentralsierra.ucanr.org/files/115331.pdf
LA	GENERAL GUIDANCE	 https://www.lsu.edu/agriculture/plant/extension/hcpl-publications/1 Pub.3441- AgriculturalWater-BestPracticestoEnsureOn-FarmFoodSafety.pdf
	LABORATORIES	 https://www.lsuagcenter.com/portals/our_offices/departments/spess/servicelab s/soil_testing_lab
MS	GENERAL GUIDANCE	http://extension.msstate.edu/publications/soil-testing-for-the-farmer
	LABORATORIES	http://extension.msstate.edu/content/contact-soil-testing
MO	GENERAL	• http://soilplantlab.missouri.edu/soil/water.aspx
IVIO	<u>GUIDANCE</u>	http://soilplantlab.missouri.edu/soil/recommendations.aspx
	<u>LABORATORIES</u>	 http://soilplantlab.missouri.edu/soil/? ga=2.2642229.44928609.1556737122- 103188437.1556737122
TX	GENERAL GUIDANCE	•
	LABORATORIES	 http://soiltesting.tamu.edu/ https://www.noble.org/ag/services/testing/water-testing/





State NPS Management Plans

AR	https://static.ark.org/eeuploads/anrc/Pages_from_2018- 2023_NPS_Pollution_Management_Plan.compressed_(1).pdf
CA	https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/nps/docs/plans_policies/sip_2014to2020.pdf
LA	https://deq.louisiana.gov/assets/docs/Water/NPS_Management_Plan_1.pdf
MS	https://www.mdeq.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/FINAL_NPS_Management_Plan_Update_2014.pdf
МО	https://dnr.mo.gov/env/swcp/nps/mgmtplan/docs/missouri-nonpoint-source-management-plan- 042215-final.pdf
TX	https://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/waterquality/nps/mgmt-plan/2017_NPSManagementProgram.pdf

State Rice Production Handbooks

AR	https://www.uaex.edu/publications/pdf/MP192/MP192.pdf
CA	http://rice.ucanr.edu/Reports-Publications/Rice_Production_Workshop_Manual/
LA	https://www.lsuagcenter.com/portals/communications/publications/publications_catalog/crops_livestock_production-handbook1
MS	https://extension.msstate.edu/sites/default/files/publications/publications/p2255.pdf
МО	http://agebb.missouri.edu/murice/research/99/pg5.php
TX	https://beaumont.tamu.edu/eLibrary/Bulletins/2012_Rice_Production_Guidelines.pdf

Table 1: Comparison of Points and Critical Minimums for SRP 2.0 and NIG for the U.S.

Question Number	Question Name	SRP 2.0 Max Points	SRP 2.0 Critical Min Points	SRP 2.0 Critical Min Selection	SRP NIG for U.S. Max Points	SRP NIG for U.S. Critical Min Points	SRP NIG for U.S. Critical Min Selection	Covered by US Regulation or Agency	Response for all U.S. Producers	Notes
1	Crop Calendar	3	1	С	3	1	С	N		
2	Record Keeping	3	1	С	3	1	С	N		
3	Training	3	1	С	3	1	С	N		
4	Heavy Metals	3	2	С	3	2	В	Υ	В	
5	Salinity	3	1	С	3	1	С	N		
6	Land Conversion and Biodiversity	3	1	С	3	1	С	Y	С	Respondents have option to answer B or A. See 6e.
7	Invasive Species	3	3	Α	3	3	Α	Υ	Α	
8	Leveling	3	2	B/D	3	2	C/G	N		
9	Pure Quality Seeds	3	2	С	3	2	С	Y	Α	
10	Water Management	3	1	С	3	1	С	N		See table Q10.
11	Irrigation System at Community Level	3	2	С	3	3	С	N		
12	Inbound Water Quality	3	1	D	3	1	С	N		
13	Groundwater Extraction	3	2	С	3	2	С	Υ	В	
14	Drainage	3	2	D	3	2	D	N		
15	Nutrient Management (Inorganic and/or Organic)	6	4	В	6	4	В	N		
16	Organic Fertilizer Choice	3	2	С	3	2	В	N		
17	Inorganic Fertilizer Choice	3	3	В	3	3	В	Y	В	
18	Pest Management	18	11	Various	18	11	С	N		
19	Timing of Harvest	3	2	В	3	2	В	Y	Α	
20	Harvest Equipment	3	3	В	3	3	В	Υ	В	
21	Drying Time	3	2	С	3	2	С	Υ	В	
22	Drying Technique	3	2	С	3	2	С	Y	В	
23	Rice Storage	3	1	D	3	1	D	Υ	В	

Question Number	Question Name	SRP 2.0 Max Points	SRP 2.0 Critical Min Points	SRP 2.0 Critical Min Selection	SRP NIG for U.S. Max Points	SRP NIG for U.S. Critical Min Points	SRP NIG for U.S. Critical Min Selection	Covered by US Regulation or Agency	Response for all U.S. Producers	Notes
24	Rice Stubble	3	1	С	3	1	С	N		
25	Rice Straw	3	1	С	3	1	С	N		
26	Safety Instructions and First Aid	2	1	В	2	1	В	Y	В	Respondents have option to answer A. See 26e.
27	Tools and Equipment	2	1	В	2	1	В	N		
28	Training of Pesticide Applicators	2	1	С	2	1	С	Y	В	
29	Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)	2	1	D	2	1	D	Υ	В	
30	Washing and Changing	2	1	С	2	1	С	Υ	В	
31	Applicator Restrictions	2	2	В	2	2	В	Υ	В	
32	Re-entry Time	2	1	С	2	1	С	Y	С	Respondents have option to answer B or A. See 32e.
33	Pesticide and Chemical Storage	2	1	С	2	1	С	Y	В	
34	Pesticide Disposal	2	1	С	2	1	С	Y	В	
35	Child Labor	3	3	В	3	3	В	Υ	В	
36	Hazardous Work	3	3	В	3	3	В	Υ	В	
37	Education	3	1	D	3	1	D	Υ	В	
38	Forced Labor	3	3	В	3	3	В	Υ	В	
39	Discrimination	3	3	В	3	3	В	Υ	В	
40	Freedom of Association	3	3	В	3	3	В	Y	В	
41	Wages	3	3	В	3	3	В	Υ	В	
	TOTAL	132			132					

1	All U.S. rice production meets the definition of the SRP irrigation system category for "Irrigation Prone". Measures are in place to enhance water-use efficiency, in this system category.					
Α	4	Rice fields are leveled (no levees); no plastic pipe	А	2	Multiple dry down events	
В	3	Rice fields have straight levees; and plastic pipe	В	1	One dry down event (*)	
С	2	Rice fields have straight levees and no plastic pipe	С	0	None	
D	2	Rice fields have contour levees; and plastic pipe (*)				
Е	0	Rice fields have contour levees and no plastic pipe				

		COMBINATIONS				MAP TO SRP 2.0					
	LEVEL	ING	DRY	ING	SRP- RESULT	US ONLY	NOTES				
A	4	4	Α	2	3	1	Precision or zero grade leveling + multiple dry down events; more water savings than option A in SRP 2.0				
A	4	4	В	1	3	0	Equivalent to Option A in SRP 2.0				
A	4	4	С	0	0	0	Equivalent to Option D in SRP 2.0 (no dry down events)				
E	3	3	Α	2	3	1	Precision delivery + multiple dry down events; more water savings than option A in SRP 2.0				
E	3	3	В	1	3	0	Equivalent to Option A in SRP 2.0				
E	3	3	С	0	0	0	Equivalent to Option D in SRP 2.0 (no dry down events)				
(2	2	Α	2	3	0	Equivalent to Option A in SRP 2.0				
(2	2	В	1	3	0	Equivalent to Option A in SRP 2.0				
(2	2	С	0	0	0	Equivalent to Option D in SRP 2.0 (no dry down events)				
)	1	Α	2	2	0	Equivalent to Option B in SRP 2.0				
[)	1	В	1	1*	0	Equivalent to Option C in SRP 2.0				
)	1	С	0	0	0	Equivalent to Option D in SRP 2.0 (no dry down events)				
E	Ī	0	Α	2	2	0	Equivalent to Option B in SRP 2.0				
E	Ξ	0	В	1	1	0	Equivalent to Option C in SRP 2.0				
E	Ξ	0	С	0	0	0	Equivalent to Option D in SRP 2.0 (no dry down events)				