Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Results in Applied Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/results-in-applied-mathematics



# Some new inequalities for the beta function and certain ratios of beta functions

Steven G. From a, Suthakaran Ratnasingam b,\*

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, NE, 68182, USA
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics California State University, San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA 92407, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 4 February 2022
Received in revised form 3 June 2022
Accepted 5 June 2022
Available online xxxx

Keywords: Beta functions Gamma functions Chebyshev's inequality Inequalities

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present various new inequalities and bounds for the beta function and some other related special functions. A variety of different approaches are used to derive these results, including various results from probability theory, in particular. The new upper and lower bounds for the beta function compare favorably to bounds discussed in various other works. Moreover, the methods of this paper can be used to obtain inequalities for other special functions not discussed in this work.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

The beta function is defined as:

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt, \quad x > 0, \ y > 0.$$

The beta function can be expressed as the identity

$$B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)},$$

where  $\Gamma(x)$  is the gamma function

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{x-1} e^{-u} du.$$

In this paper, we are interested in discussing new bounds and inequalities for the beta function. A number of different approaches are used to derive these bounds and inequalities. The beta function has many applications in such diverse areas as probability/statistics, physics (such as string theory), economics, graph theory, reliability theory and actuarial science. First, we present previously published bounds and inequalities for the beta function which are most relevant to this work.

**Theorem 1.1** (Dragomir et al. [1]). The beta function B(x, y) satisfies

$$0 \le \frac{1}{xy} - B(x,y) \le \frac{1}{4}.\tag{1}$$

E-mail address: suthakaran.ratnasingam@csusb.edu (S. Ratnasingam).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinam.2022.100302

2590-0374|© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

The lower bound of (1) is sharp, but the upper bound is not. Later, Alzer [2] improved on this upper bound and obtained Theorem 1.2. below, which provides the best possible constant upper bound.

**Theorem 1.2** (*Alzer* [2], p. 16). For  $x \ge 1$  and  $y \ge 1$ ,

$$0\leq \frac{1}{xy}-B(x,y)\leq \beta,$$

where  $\beta \approx 0.08731$  is sharp.

**Theorem 1.3** (Cerone [3], Corollary 1, p. 79). For x > 1 and y > 1,

$$\max\left\{\frac{1}{xy^x}, \frac{1}{yx^y}\right\} \le B(x, y) \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{y}\left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)^y\right], \frac{1}{x}\left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{y}\right)^x\right]\right\}.$$

**Theorem 1.4** (*Cerone* [3], *Theorem 7*, p. 80). For x > 1 and y > 1,

$$0 \le \frac{1}{xy} - B(x, y) \le \frac{x - 1}{x\sqrt{2x - 1}} \cdot \frac{y - 1}{y\sqrt{2y - 1}} \le 0.090169437\dots$$
 (2)

where the upper bound is attained at

$$x = y = \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 2.618033988\dots$$

In Remark 3 of Cerone [3], it is stated that the upper bound in (2) is better than the upper bound  $\beta$  of Alzer [2] for a good majority of x and y values.

**Theorem 1.5** (*Cerone* [3], *Theorem 6*, p. 80). For x > 1 and y > 1,

$$0 \le \frac{1}{xy} - B(x, y) \le 2 \min \left\{ A(x), A(y) \right\},\,$$

where

$$A(x) = \frac{x-1}{x^{\left(1+x/(x-1)\right)}}.$$

**Theorem 1.6** (*Cerone* [3], *Corollary 2*, p. 81). For x > 1 and y > 1,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{xy} - B(x, y) \leq 2 \min \left\{ C(x) \cdot C(y), b_A \right\},\,$$

where

$$C(x) = \frac{x-1}{x_0/2x-1}$$
, and

$$b_A = \max_{x \ge 1} \left( \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{\Gamma^2(x)}{\Gamma(2x)} \right) = 0.08731...$$

According to Grenié & Molteni [4] we have

$$B(x, y) \ge \frac{x^{x-1}y^{y-1}}{(x+y)^{x+y-1}} \cdot F(x, y).$$

It is proven that  $\log F(x, y)$  has a Taylor expansion in every point (x, y) with x, y > 0 whose coefficients can be explicitly computed in terms of values for the Hurwitz zeta function and that the Taylor expansion produces lower or upper bounds when truncated at even or odd order respectively, see, for example p.1430 of Grenié & Molteni [4]. Below Theorem 1.7 can be obtained when F(x, y) is bounded from below by 1, that is,  $F(x, y) \ge F(0^+, 0^+) = 1$  for every x, y > 0.

**Theorem 1.7** (Grenié & Molteni [4]). For x > 0 and y > 0,

$$B(x, y) \ge \frac{x^{x-1}y^{y-1}}{(x+y)^{x+y-1}}.$$

For other inequalities and bounds for the beta function and other special functions, see, Alzer [5], Fisher [6], Alzer [7], McD.Mercer [8], Karatsuba & Vuorinen [9], Mitrinović [10] and Mitrinović et al. [11].

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

#### 2. Preliminary results

To derive the new inequalities and bounds for the beta function, we shall need the following. The first few results below are from probability theory.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(\Omega, A, P)$  be a probability space and let A and B be independent, and continuous random variables. Let  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  be cumulative distribution functions (cdf) of A and B, respectively, with corresponding probability density functions (pdf) given by  $f_A = F'_A$  and  $f_B = F'_B$ . Then C := A + B has cdf  $F_C$  given by

$$F_C(c) = P(C \le c) = \int_0^c F_A(c - b) dF_B(b)$$
$$= \int_0^c F_A(c - b) f_B(b) db.$$

Equivalently, by symmetry:

$$F_C(c) = P(C \le c) = \int_0^c F_B(c - a) dF_A(a)$$
$$= \int_0^c F_B(c - a) f_A(a) da.$$

We say that  $F_C$  is the convolution of  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  (or vice-versa) and write  $F_C = F_A * F_B = F_B * F_A$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Brook [12], p. 171–173). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$  be a probability space and let T be a random variable. Suppose there is a real number, M > 0 with  $P(0 \le T \le M) = 1$ . Let  $\mu = E(T)$  be the mean or expected value of T. Then for all real values of S,  $M_T(S) = E(e^{ST})$ , the moment-generating function of T, is bounded above as:

$$M_T(s) \leq 1 - \frac{\mu}{M} + \frac{\mu}{M} e^{sM}.$$

**Lemma 2.3** (Chernoff [13], Chernoff's Inequality). Let T be a random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ . Then for all real numbers a > 0,

$$P(T \ge a) \le \inf_{s>0} e^{-sa} \cdot M_T(s),$$

where  $M_T(s)$  is the moment-generating function of T and the 'inf' is taken over all s > 0 with  $M_T(s) < \infty$ . (This is always the interval  $(-\infty, t_0)$  for some  $t_0$  with  $0 \le t_0 \le \infty$ , if  $P(T \ge 0) = 1$ ).

**Lemma 2.4** (Karlin & Studden [14]). Let T be a random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, A, P)$  with mean  $\mu = E(T)$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = V(T) < \infty$ .

(a) Then for all real  $z \ge 0$ , the one-sided Chebyshev's inequality holds:

$$P(T \ge \mu + z \cdot \sigma) \le \frac{1}{1 + z^2}.$$

Equivalently, if a is a real number with  $a > \mu$ , then

$$P(T \ge a) \le \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{a - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}.$$

(b) Markov's inequality:

$$P(T \ge a) \le \frac{\mu}{a}, \quad \text{if } a \ge \mu.$$

**Lemma 2.5** (From [15], Theorem 3.1). Let  $f:[a,b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be in  $C^2([a,b])$ . Let H(t) be a continuous non-decreasing function [a,b] with H(a)=0, H(b)=1 and 0 < H(t) < 1 on (a,b). Let

$$L(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_t^b (x-t)dH(x)}{1-H(t)} & \text{if } a \le t < b, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = b. \end{cases}$$

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

Let

$$q_1(t) = \inf\{f''(x) : t \le x \le t + L(t)\},\$$

$$q_2(t) = \sup\{f''(x) : t \le x \le t + L(t)\},\$$

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b q_1(t) (L(t))^2 dH(t),$$

and

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b q_2(t) (L(t))^2 dH(t).$$

Then

$$L_1 \leq \int_a^b f(t)dH(t) - f\left(\int_a^b tdH(t)\right) \leq L_2.$$

#### 3. New beta function inequalities

We are now in a position to prove some new inequalities and bounds for the beta function B(x, y).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let x > 0, y > 0 satisfy: xy < 1. (This includes the cases  $x \le 1$  and  $y \le 1$ , but also includes other choices for x and y.) Then:

$$B(x,y) \ge \frac{(x+y) \cdot (1-\sqrt{xy})^2}{xy(x+1)(y+1)}.$$
(3)

**Proof.** Let A be a random variable with cdf  $F_A$  and pdf  $f_A$  given by

$$F_A = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \le 0, \\ a^x & \text{if } 0 < a < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_A(a) = \begin{cases} xa^{x-1} & \text{if } 0 < x < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

Let B be a random variable with cdf  $F_B$  and pdf  $f_B$  given by

$$F_B = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b \le 0, \\ b^y & \text{if } 0 < b < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } y > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_B(b) = \begin{cases} yb^{y-1} & \text{if } 0 < y < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (5)

Let C = A + B. Applying Lemma 2.1, C has cdf

$$F_C(c) = P(C \le c) = \int_0^c F_A(1-t)f_B(t)dt.$$

Letting c = 1,

$$F_C(1) = \int_0^1 (1-t)^x \cdot yt^{y-1} dt = yB(y, x+1) = yB(x+1, y).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$P(A+B<1) = y \cdot B(x+1,y). \tag{6}$$

Also, by Lemma 2.3, with T = C = A + B, a = 1, we get

$$P(A + B \ge 1) \le \inf_{s>0} e^{-s} E(e^{s(A+B)})$$
  
=  $\inf_{s>0} e^{-s} \cdot E(e^{sA}) \cdot E(e^{sB}).$  (7)

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

By Lemma 2.2,

$$E(e^{sA}) \le \left(\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{x}{x+1}e^{s}\right), \text{ and}$$

$$E(e^{sB}) \le \left(\frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{y}{y+1}e^{s}\right).$$

From (7), upon multiplication of these two inequalities, we get

$$P(A+B \ge 1) \le \inf_{s>0} \left[ e^{-s} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{x}{x+1} e^{s} \right) \left( \frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{y}{y+1} e^{s} \right) \right]$$
 (8)

Simple differentiation of the expression in brackets of (8) with respect to s gives that the optimal choice of s > 0 minimizing the right hand side (RHS) of (8) is

$$s = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \ln(xy) \right) > 0,$$

since xy < 1, with corresponding minimized value of  $P(A + B \ge 1)$  of

$$P(A+B \ge 1) \le \frac{\left(\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{x}{x+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{xy}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{y}{y+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{xy}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{xy}}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} w(x, y).$$

From (6), we get  $P(A + B \le 1) = y \cdot B(x + 1, y) \ge 1 - w(x, y)$ . Since

$$B(x+1, y) = \frac{x}{x+y}B(x, y),$$

we obtain

$$B(x, y) \ge \frac{x + y}{xy} (1 - w(x, y))$$
$$= \frac{(x + y)(1 - \sqrt{xy})^{2}}{xy(x + 1)(y + 1)}$$

which is (3). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose x > 0, y > 0 with  $\frac{x}{x+1} + \frac{y}{y+1} < 1$ . Then

$$B(x,y) \ge \frac{x+y}{x} \cdot \frac{z^2}{(z^2+1)y},$$

where

$$z = \frac{1 - \frac{x}{x+1} - \frac{y}{y+1}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{(x+1)^2(x+2)} + \frac{y}{(y+1)^2(y+2)}}}.$$

**Proof.** Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, using

$$E(A+B) = \frac{x}{x+1} + \frac{y}{y+1},$$

and

$$Var(A+B) = \frac{x}{(x+1)^2(x+2)} + \frac{y}{(y+1)^2(y+2)},$$

and applying Lemma 2.4, part (a), with T = A + B, we obtain

$$P(A+B\geq 1)\leq \frac{1}{1+z^2}.$$

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

Again applying Lemmas 2.1–2.3 and replacing w(x, y) in Theorem 3.1 proof by  $\frac{1}{1+z^2}$  and proceeding as we did there, with

$$z = \frac{1 - \frac{x}{x+1} - \frac{y}{y+1}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{(x+1)^2(x+2)} + \frac{y}{(y+1)^2(y+2)}}}.$$

we obtain

$$B(x, y) \ge \frac{x + y}{xy} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + z^2} \right) = \frac{x + y}{x} \cdot \frac{z^2}{(z^2 + 1)y}.$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose x > 0, y > 0 with xy < 1. Then

$$B(x,y) \ge \frac{x+y}{x} \left[ 1 - \frac{x}{x+1} - \frac{y}{y+1} \right]. \tag{9}$$

**Proof.** Apply Lemma 2.4, part (b) with T = A + B. Then  $\mu = E(T) = \frac{x}{x+1} + \frac{y}{y+1} \le 1$ , a = 1. Proceeding as in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain (9).

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose x > 0, y > 0 with xy < 1. Then

$$B(x,y) \ge \frac{x+y}{xy} \left[ 1 - w(x,y) \right]. \tag{10}$$

where

$$w(x,y) = e^{-s} \cdot \left[ 1 + s \left( \frac{x}{x+1} \right) + (e^s - 1 - s) \left( \frac{x}{x+2} \right) \right] \cdot \left[ 1 + s \left( \frac{y}{y+1} \right) + (e^s - 1 - s) \left( \frac{y}{y+2} \right) \right],$$

and

$$s = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1}{xy} \right).$$

**Proof.** Proceed as in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, with some modifications. For s > 0 and  $0 < t \le 1$ , let

$$g(s,t) = \frac{e^{st} - 1}{st}.$$

For s > 0 and t = 0, let g(s, t) = 1. For each fixed s, g(s, t) is a convex function of t. Consider the line segment joining (0, g(s, 0)) and (1, g(s, 1)). By convexity of g in t for all s, we obtain

$$\frac{e^{st}-1}{st}\leq 1+\frac{(e^s-1-s)t}{s},$$

which gives

$$e^{st} < 1 + st + (e^s - 1 - s)t^2$$

Replacing t by the values of the random variables A and B given earlier in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$E(e^{sA}) \le 1 + s\left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right) + (e^s - 1 - s)\left(\frac{x}{x+2}\right), \text{ and}$$

$$E(e^{sB}) \le 1 + s\left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right) + (e^s - 1 - s)\left(\frac{y}{y+2}\right).$$

Thus.

$$P(A+B\geq 1)\leq \frac{\left[1+s\left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)+(e^s-1-s)\left(\frac{x}{x+2}\right)\right]\cdot\left[1+s\left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)+(e^s-1-s)\left(\frac{y}{y+2}\right)\right]}{e^s}.$$
 (11)

The value of s minimizing the RHS of (11) satisfies

$$\frac{x+\theta x}{x+1+\theta x} + \frac{y+\theta y}{y+1+\theta y} = 1,\tag{12}$$

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

where  $\theta = e^s - 1$ . Differentiation of (12) with respect to  $\theta$  gives  $\theta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{xy}} - 1$ , which gives  $s = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1}{xy} \right) > 0$ . Inserting this value of s into (11) and using

$$B(x, y) \ge \frac{x+y}{xy} \cdot P(A+B \le 1).$$

produces the lower bound given in (10). This completes the proof.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 presented lower bounds for B(x, y). Each of the proofs of these theorems can be modified to give upper bounds also. Next, we present one such example.

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose x > 0, y > 0 with  $\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{1}{y+1} \le 1$ . Then

$$B(x, y) \leq \frac{x+y}{xy} \cdot P(x, y),$$

where

$$P(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 + (k(x, y))^2}$$
, and

$$k(x,y) = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{1}{y+1}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{(x+1)^2(x+2)} + \frac{y}{(y+1)^2(y+2)}}}.$$

**Proof.** Proceed as in the proofs of Theorems 3.1–3.3 with some modifications. Let A and B be random variables with distributions defined by (4) and (5) in the proof of Theorem 3.1. By considering the random variables C = 1 - A and D = 1 - B, we get

$$P(A + B \ge 1) = 1 - P(C + D \ge 1).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 to T = C + D instead, we obtain, using  $E(C) = \frac{1}{x+1}$  and  $E(D) = \frac{1}{y+1}$ ;

$$P(C+D \ge 1) \le \frac{1}{1+(k(x,y))^2} = P(x,y). \tag{13}$$

Thus,

$$P(A+B \ge 1) = 1 - \frac{xy}{x+y}B(x,y). \tag{14}$$

From (13) and (14), we obtain

$$\frac{xy}{x+y}B(x,y) \le P(x,y) = \frac{1}{1 + (k(x,y))^2}.$$

Solving for B(x, y), we obtain the desired result.

Note that Theorem above can be used for  $x \ge 1$  and  $y \ge 1$ , in particular, but can be used also for some choices of x < 1 or y < 1. Next we use the convolution idea in a different way.

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose x > 0 and  $y > \frac{x+1}{x} > 1$ . Then

$$B(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{x(1+(k(x,y))^2)},$$

where

$$k(x,y) = \frac{\frac{1}{y} - \frac{x}{x+1}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{(x+1)^2(x+2)} + \frac{y}{(y+1)^2(y+2)}}}.$$

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

**Proof.** Let A and B be random variables with A and B having respective pdfs:

$$f_A(a) = \begin{cases} xa^{x-1} & \text{if } 0 < a < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_B(b) = \begin{cases} (y-1)(1-b)^{y-2} & \text{if } 0 < b < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^1 f_A(t) \cdot (1 - F_B(t)) dt, \tag{15}$$

where  $F_B$  is the cdf of B. The integral in (15) is the convolution form of

$$P(B > A) = P(B - A > 0).$$

Thus

$$B(x, y) = \frac{1}{x} P(B - A > 0).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 with T = B - A and using Z = k(x, y), we get the desired result.

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose x > 0 and y > 0, with x < y.

(a) If 0 < x < 1 and either  $x + y \le 1$  or  $x + y \ge 2$ , then

$$B(x, y) \ge (1 - x)^{x+y-1} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi x)}.$$

(b) If 0 < x < 1 and 1 < x + y < 2, then

$$B(x,y) \le (1-x)^{x+y-1} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi x)}.$$

**Proof.** From the reflection formula of Euler, we have the integration formula

$$B(x, 1-x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi x)}, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Then

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{x+y-1} \cdot \left[ t^{x-1} (1-t)^{-x} \right] dt$$

$$= \left( \int_0^1 (1-t)^{x+y-1} \cdot \left[ \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{-x} \right] dt \right) \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi x)}.$$
(16)

The expression in brackets of the integral in (16) is a probability measure on (0, 1). Also,  $(1-t)^{x+y-1}$  is a concave function of t, if 1 < x + y < 2 and is a convex function of t otherwise. Applying Jensen's inequality to (16) and using the formula

$$\int_0^1 t^x (1-t)^{-x} \cdot \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi} dt = x,$$

we obtain both (a) and (b) of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.8.** Suppose x > 1 and y > 1. Then

$$B(x,y) \le \left(\frac{x-1}{x+y-2}\right)^{x-1} \left(\frac{y-1}{x+y-2}\right)^{y-1}.$$
 (17)

**Proof.** Clearly,

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$
(18)

The supremum is attained at  $t = \left(\frac{x-1}{x+y-2}\right)$ , by easy differentiation of the integral in (18) with respect to t. Then (17) is immediate.

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

**Theorem 3.9.** Suppose  $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$ . Then

(a)

$$\frac{B(x_2, x_2)}{B(x_1, x_1)} \le \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{x_2 - x_1}, \text{ if } x_1 \le x_2$$

(b)

$$\frac{B(x_2, x_2)}{B(x_1, x_1)} \ge \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{x_2 - x_1}, \text{ if } x_1 > x_2$$

**Proof.** We shall prove only (a) since (b) follows immediately from (a). Let

$$g(w) = B(w, w) = \int_0^1 (t(1-t))^{w-1} dw.$$

Differentiation of g(w) gives

$$g'(w) = \int_0^1 (t(1-t))^{w-1} (\ln t + \ln(1-t)) dt$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^1 (\ln t + \ln(1-t)) \left( \frac{1}{B(w,w)} (t(1-t))^{w-1} \right) dt \cdot B(w,w).$$

Since  $(\ln t + \ln(1-t))$  is a concave function of t, Jensen's inequality gives, using

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(w,w)} t^w (1-t)^{w-1} dt = \frac{1}{2},$$

we get

$$\frac{g'(w)}{g(w)} \le \ln\left(\frac{1}{4}\right). \tag{19}$$

Integration of (19) from  $w = x_1$  to  $w = x_2$  gives, upon exponentiation, the inequality in (a).

**Remark 1.** A main use of Theorem 3.9 is to give both upper and lower bounds for B(x, x) when x is not an integer. When x = m is a positive integer m,

$$B(m, m) = \frac{\left[ (m-1)! \right]^2}{(2m-1)!}.$$

Letting  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = \lceil x \rceil$  or  $x_1 = \lfloor x \rfloor$  and  $x_2 = x$  gives good bounds for B(x, x) using  $m = \lfloor x \rfloor$  or  $m = \lceil x \rceil$ . We can also take  $x_1 = \frac{k}{2}$ , or  $x_2 = \frac{k}{2}$ , where k is an odd integer, since B(k/2) can be written in terms of  $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$ . The bounds of Theorem 3.9 have an easier formulation when x = y and are often easier to compute, not depending on possibly Hurwitz's zeta function. A similar statement applies at the end of Remark 3 below as well for the case  $x \neq y$  in relation to Corollary 1 below.

**Theorem 3.10.** Suppose  $x \ge 3$  and y > 0. Then

(a)

$$B(x,y) \le \frac{1}{y} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1+y} \right)^{x-1} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x-1) \cdot \left( (1+y)^{x-2} - 1 \right)}{(y+1)^{x-1} \cdot (y+2)} \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} u_1(x,y), \tag{20}$$

(b) If  $x \ge 3$  and  $y \ge 3$ , then

$$B(x,y) \leq \min \left\{ u_1(x,y), u_1(y,x) \right\}.$$

Proof. Since

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt,$$

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

we get

$$y \cdot B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} [y(1-t)^{y-1}] dt.$$
 (21)

Applying Lemma 2.5 with  $f(t) = t^{x-1}$  and

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \le 0, \\ 1 - (1 - t)^y & \text{if } 0 < t < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } t \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
 (22)

and using the fact that the expression in brackets in (21) is a probability measure on (0, 1) with corresponding pdf  $H'(t) = y(1-t)^{y-1}$ , 0 < t < 1, we find

$$L(t) = \frac{\int_0^1 (x - t)dH(t)}{1 - H(t)} = \frac{1 - t}{y + 1}, \quad 0 \le t \le 1$$

and

$$q_2(t) = (x-1)(x-2)(t+L(t))^{x-3}$$
$$= (x-1)(x-2)\left(\frac{1+ty}{y+1}\right)^{x-3}.$$

Thus,

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (x-1)(x-2) \left(\frac{1+ty}{y+1}\right)^{x-3} \left(\frac{1-t}{y+1}\right)^2 \cdot y(1-t)^{y-1} dt,$$

and

$$y \cdot B(x,y) = \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dH(t) + L_{2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+y}\right)^{x-1} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x-1)(x-2)}{(y+1)^{x-1}} \int_{0}^{1} (1+yt)^{x-3} \cdot y(1-t)^{y+1} dt.$$
(23)

Now  $(1+yt)^{x-3}$  is increasing in t, since  $x \ge 3$ . Also,  $y(1-t)^{y+1}$  is decreasing in t. Applying the Chebyshev–Grüss inequality to the integral in (23), we obtain

$$y \cdot B(x, y) \le \frac{1}{(1+y)^{x-1}} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x-1)(x-2)}{(y+1)^{x-1}} \left( \int_0^1 (1+yt)^{x-3} dt \right) \cdot \left( \int_0^1 y(1-t)^{y+1} dt \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(1+y)^{x-1}} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x-1)}{(y+1)^{x-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{(y+2)} \cdot \left( (1+y)^{x-2} - 1 \right).$$

which is the same as (20). This completes the proof of (a). Part (b) follows immediately from Part (a).

Remark 2. Using the relation

$$B(x,y) = \left(\frac{(x+y+2)(x+y+1)(x+y)}{(x+2)(x+1)(x)}\right) \cdot B(x+3,y),$$

we can modify the bound in (20) to obtain an upper bound on B(x, y) for x > 0 and y > 0, by first using the theorem to get an upper bound on B(x + 3, y).

**Theorem 3.11.** *For*  $x \ge 3$  *and* y > 0,

(a)

$$B(x,y) \ge \frac{1}{y} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1+y} \right)^{x-1} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x-1)y}{(y+1)^{x-1}(y+2)} \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} R_1(x,y).$$

(b) For x > 3 and y > 3,

$$B(x, y) \ge \max\{R_1(x, y), R_1(y, x)\}.$$

**Proof.** The steps in the proof Theorem upper bound (20) are the same as the proof of Theorem above, except we use  $L_1$  of Lemma 2.5 instead of  $L_2$  and also we use  $q_1(t) = (x-1)(x-2)t^{x-3}$  instead of  $q_2(t)$ . We omit details.

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

Next, we present some inequalities for certain ratios of beta function values.

**Theorem 3.12.** Suppose y > 0 and  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \infty$ . Then

$$\frac{B(x_2, y)}{B(x_1, y)} \le x_2^{x_2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{1}{x_2 + y}\right)^{x_2 + y} (x_1 + y)^{x_1 + y}. \tag{24}$$

**Proof.** Since  $B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$ , taking a partial derivative, we get

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = \int_0^1 (\ln t) \cdot t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

$$= \left( \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{\Gamma(x+y)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} \right] \cdot \ln t \, dt \right) \cdot B(x,y). \tag{25}$$

Since  $\ln t$  is a concave function of t for 0 < t < 1, Jensen's inequality applied to the integral in (25) gives

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \le \left( \ln \left( \frac{x}{x+y} \right) \right) \cdot B(x,y).$$

Dividing by B(x, y) and then integrating from  $x = x_1$  to  $x = x_2$  we obtain

$$\ln\left(\frac{B(x_2,y)}{B(x_1,y)}\right) \le x_2 \ln x_2 - x_1 \ln x_1 - (x_2+y) \ln(x_2+y) + (x_1+y) \ln(x_1+y). \tag{26}$$

Exponentiation of both sides of (26) gives the upper bound in (24).

**Corollary 1.** Suppose  $0 < x_1 \le x_2 < \infty$  and  $0 < y_1 \le y_2 < \infty$ . Let

$$g(a,b,c) = b^b \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^a \left(\frac{1}{b+c}\right)^{b+c} (a+c)^{a+c}, \quad \text{for } a > 0, b > 0, \text{ and } c > 0.$$
 (27)

Then:

$$\frac{B(x_2, y_2)}{B(x_1, y_1)} \le \left[ g(x_1, x_2, y_2) \cdot g(y_1, y_2, x_1) \right]. \tag{28}$$

**Proof.** Apply Theorem 3.12. Then

$$B(x_2, y_2) \le g(x_1, y_1, y_2)B(x_1, y_2)$$
  
 
$$\le g(x_1, y_1, y_2)[g(y_1, y_2, x_1) \cdot B(x_1, y_1)].$$

which is equivalent to (28).

**Remark 3.** The real value of Corollary 1 is to obtain bounds for B(x, y) when x and y are not positive integers. By choosing either  $x_1 = \lfloor x \rfloor$  and  $x_2 = x$  or  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = \lceil x \rceil$  and similarly for  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , we can obtain both upper and lower bounds for B(x, y) using

$$B(m, n) = \frac{(m-1)!(n-1)!}{(m+n-1)!},$$

if m and n are integers. In this way, excellent bounds for B(x, y) are obtainable.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose  $x \ge 1$ , y > 1 with x < y. Let

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{y} x^{x} \left(\frac{1}{x+y}\right)^{x+y} (y+1)^{y+1}.$$

Then

$$B(x,y) \le u(x,y). \tag{29}$$

**Proof.** The first half of (29) follows from B(x, y) = B(y, x) and Theorem 3.12. The second half holds iff  $u(x, y) \le u(y, x)$ , which is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)^{x+1} < \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^{y+1},$$

which follows from the fact that  $(t+1) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{t}{t+1} \right) \right]$  is increasing in  $t, \ t \geq 0$ .

RINAM: 100302

### ARTICLE IN PRESS

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $0 < x_1 \le x_2 < \infty$ . Then for y > 0,

$$\frac{B(x_2, y)}{B(x_1, y)} \le \left(\frac{x_1 + y}{x_2 + y}\right)^y. \tag{30}$$

Proof. Since

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

Taking the partial derivative,

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} \ln t \ dt.$$

Now  $\ln t \le t - 1$ ,  $0 < t \le 1$ , so

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \le \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} (t-1) dt$$
$$= \left(\frac{-y}{x+y}\right) \cdot B(x,y).$$

which gives

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{-y}{x+y}\right). \tag{31}$$

Integrating (31) form  $x = x_1$  to  $x = x_2$  and then exponentiating gives upper bound (30).

**Remark 4.** Earlier, in Theorem 3.12, we found upper bound (24):

$$\frac{B(x_2, y)}{B(x_1, y)} \le x_2^{x_2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{1}{x_2 + y}\right)^{x_2 + y} (x_1 + y)^{x_1 + y}.$$

It can be shown that this bound is always better than the upper bound in Theorem 3.13 above, using the fact that  $\left(1+\frac{y}{x}\right)^x$  is increasing in x>0 for each value of y>0. We omit the details here. However, the upper bound in (30) is much quicker to compute.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let x > 0 and y > 0. then

$$B(x,y) \ge \left(\frac{x}{x+y}\right)^x \cdot \left(\frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x}}\right) \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

**Proof.** From 6.1.50 of Abramowitz & Stegun [16], p. 258, we have for x > 0:

$$\ln \Gamma(x) \ge \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x - x + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi),$$

which gives

$$\Gamma(x) \ge x^{x-1/2} e^{-x} \sqrt{2\pi}. \tag{32}$$

Also, from 6.2.1 of Abramowitz & Stegun [16], p. 258, we have

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{x-1}}{(1+t)^{x+y}} dt.$$

Since  $e^{-t} \leq \frac{1}{1+t}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$B(x,y) \ge \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t(x+y)} dt$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{x+y}\right)^x \Gamma(x).$$
(33)

From (32) and (33) we get the desired result.

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

**Theorem 3.15.** Suppose  $0 < x \le y < \infty$ . Then

$$B(x,y) \ge \frac{x^x y^y}{(x+y)^{x+y}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x}}.$$

**Proof.** From 6.1.50 of Abramowitz & Stegun [16], p. 258, we have for x > 0:

$$\ln \Gamma(x) \ge \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x - x + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi),$$

which gives

$$\Gamma(x) \ge x^{x-1/2} e^{-x} \sqrt{2\pi}. \tag{35}$$

Also, from 6.2.1 of Abramowitz & Stegun [16], p. 258, we have

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{x-1}}{(1+t)^{x+y}} dt. \tag{36}$$

Since ln(1 + t) is a concave function of  $t \ge 0$ , for  $c \ge 0$ ,

$$\ln(1+t) \le \ln(1+c) + \left(\frac{t-c}{1+c}\right).$$

which gives

$$\frac{1}{1+t} \ge \frac{1}{1+c} e^{\left(\frac{c-t}{1+c}\right)}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^{x+y}} \ge \frac{1}{(1+c)^{x+y}} e^{\left(\frac{c-t}{1+c}\right)^{(x+y)}}.$$
(37)

Inserting the RHS of (37) into the integral in (36) and integrating, we get

$$B(x,y) \ge \frac{1}{(1+c)^{x+y}} e^{\left(\frac{x+y}{1+c}\right)^c} \cdot \left(\frac{1+c}{x+y}\right)^x \cdot \Gamma(x). \tag{38}$$

Applying (35), we get

$$B(x,y) \ge \left[\frac{1}{(1+c)^{x+y}}e^{\left(\frac{x+y}{1+c}\right)c} \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{x+y}{1+c}\right)t}\right] \cdot \left(x^{x-1/2}e^{-x}\sqrt{2\pi}\right). \tag{39}$$

Simple differentiation of the RHS of (38) with respect to c maximizes the lower bound in (38). We get  $c = \frac{x}{y}$  as the best choice. Substitution of this value of c into (39) and simplifying the resulting expression gives the lower bound in (34).

**Theorem 3.16.** For  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \infty$ ,

$$\frac{B(x_2, y)}{B(x_1, y)} \ge \frac{x_1(x_2 + y)}{x_2(x_1 + y)} \cdot x_2^{x_2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{1}{x_2 + y}\right)^{x_2 + y} (x_1 + y)^{x_1 + y}. \tag{40}$$

Proof. Since

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

Taking the partial derivative,

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} \ln t \ dt.$$

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

If x > 1, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} &= \int_0^1 t^{x-2} (1-t)^{y-1} (t \ln t) \, dt \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{B(x-1,y)} t^{x-2} (1-t)^{y-1} \right] (t \ln t) \, dt \right\} \cdot B(x-1,y). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(t \ln t)$  is a convex function of t, Jensen's inequality and the integration formula

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(x-1,y)} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \frac{x-1}{x+y-1},$$

gives:

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x, y} \ge \ln \left( \frac{x - 1}{x + y - 1} \right). \tag{41}$$

Integration of (41) from  $x = x_1$  to  $x = x_2$  and simplifying, we get

$$\ln\left(\frac{B(x_2,y)}{B(x_1,y)}\right) \ge (x_2-1)\ln(x_2-1) - (x_1-1)\ln(x_1-1) - (x_2+y-1)\ln(x_2+y-1) + (x_1+y-1)\ln(x_1+y-1).$$
(42)

Exponentiation of (42) gives, for  $x_2 > x_1 > 1$ :

$$\frac{B(x_2,y)}{B(x_1,y)} \ge (x_2-1)^{x_2-1} \left(\frac{1}{x_1-1}\right)^{x_1-1} \left(\frac{1}{x_2+y-1}\right)^{x_2+y-1} (x_1+y-1)^{x_1+y-1}.$$

Using  $B(x + 1, y) = \frac{x}{x + y} B(x, y)$ , for  $x_2 > x_1 > 0$ , this is equivalent to

$$\frac{B(x_2+1,y)}{B(x_1+1,y)} \ge x_2^{x_2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{1}{x_2+y}\right)^{x_2+y} (x_1+y)^{x_1+y},$$

which gives,

$$\frac{B(x_2+1,y)}{B(x_1+1,y)} \ge \left(\frac{x_1}{x_1+y}\right) \left(\frac{x_2+y}{x_2}\right) x_2^{x_2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1}\right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{1}{x_2+y}\right)^{x_2+y} (x_1+y)^{x_1+y},$$

which is bound (40). This completes the proof.

Next, we give another upper bound for  $\frac{B(x_2, y)}{B(x_1, y)}$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** *Suppose*  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \infty$ . *Let* 

$$c = 1 + \frac{y \ln\left(\frac{x_2 + y}{x_1 + y}\right)}{x_1 - x_2}, \quad a_1 = \ln c - 1, \quad \text{and } a_2 = \frac{1}{c}.$$

Then

$$\frac{B(x_2, y)}{B(x_1, y)} \le e^{(a_1 + a_2)(x_2 - x_1)} \cdot \left(\frac{x_1 + y}{x_2 + y}\right)^{a_2 y}.$$
(43)

**Proof.** Since

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

Taking the partial derivative,

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} \ln t \ dt.$$

Since  $\ln t$  is a concave function of t, for 0 < t < 1, c > 0,

$$\ln t \le (\ln c - 1) + \frac{t}{c},$$

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

Table 1
Comparison of lower (LB) and upper (UB) bounds.

	Theorems	B(0.01, 0.01) = 199.9676		B(0.05, 0.2) = 24.6535		B(0.1, 0.8) = 10.3646		B(0.25, 3) = 2.8444		B(1.5, 1.5) = 0.3927		B(4,8) = 0.0008	
		LB	UB	LB	UB	LB	UB	LB	UB	LB	UB	LB	UB
Existing bounds	1.1	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	0.1944	0.4444	-	0.0313
	1.2	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3571	0.4444	-	0.0313
	1.3	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	0.3629	0.5384	0.0001	0.1035
	1.4	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	0.3889	0.4444	-	0.0313
	1.5	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	0.2469	0.4444	-	0.0313
	1.6	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	0.3333	0.4444	-	0.0313
	1.7	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	0.1667	_	0.0002	_
	[4], 1st/2nd order	199.9675	199.9705	24.6415	24.7468	10.1063	10.8701	-	-	0.3899	0.4044	-	-
Proposed bounds	3.1	192.1576	-	16.0714	-	2.9222	-	0.0156	-	-	-	-	_
	3.2	197.9899	_	21.9665	-	7.0722	_	0.0975	-	_	-	-	_
	3.3	1.9604	-	3.9286	_	4.1818	-	0.6500	-	-	_	-	_
	3.4	195.4082	-	18.6585	_	4.0507	-	0.0259	-	-	_	-	_
	3.5	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	1.0323	-	0.0268
	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	0.0186
	3.7a	101.0064	-	20.8701	-	10.2741	-	2.3257	-	-	-	-	-
	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5000	-	0.0022
	3.10b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0022
	3.11a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0004	-
	3.11b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0004	-
	Cor - 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4483	-	0.0014
	3.14	24.6454	-	9.8388	-	5.7575	-	2.0562	-	0.1615	-	0.0003	-
	3.15	24.7212	-	9.8918	-	5.7909	-	2.0766	-	0.2558	-	0.0006	-

which gives

$$\ln t \le a_1 + a_2 t. \tag{44}$$

Thus, from (44), we get

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \atop B(x,y) \le \left( a_1 + a_2 \left( \frac{x}{x+y} \right) \right).$$

Integration from  $x = x_1$  to  $x = x_2$  and simplifying gives, for all c > 0:

$$\ln\left(\frac{B(x_2,y)}{B(x_1,y)}\right) \le (a_1 + a_2)(x_2 - x_1) - a_2y \ln\left(\frac{x_2 + y}{x_1 + y}\right). \tag{45}$$

The value of c > 0 minimizing the RHS of (45) is found by easy differentiation to get

$$c = 1 + \frac{y \ln\left(\frac{x_2 + y}{x_1 + y}\right)}{x_1 - x_2},$$

which is a number in (0, 1), since  $\ln(1 + w) \le w$  for w > 0. Inserting this value of c into (45) and then exponentiating and simplifying, we get upper bound (43).

**Remark 5.** If we proceed as in (27) we can get upper and lower bounds for  $R = \frac{B(x_2, y_2)}{B(x_1, y_1)}$  as done earlier, using any of the upper or lower bounds for R given earlier, when  $x_1 < x_2$ ,  $y_1 < y_2$ .

#### 4. Numerical study

In this section, we conduct a numerical study at various values of x and y values. Table 1 compares lower and upper bounds for the proposed theorems and some existing theorems. It can be clearly seen, in certain cases, our results provide excellent approximations for B(x, y) and they are quite competitive with the bounds presented Dragomir et al. [1], Alzer [2], Cerone [3], and Grenié & Molteni [4].

#### 5. Discussion

In this paper, we have proposed various new inequalities and bounds for the beta function and some other related special functions. It should be mentioned that the bounds for the beta function are reported in the literature (see, for example, Dragomir et al. [1], Alzer [2], and Cerone [3]) can be used when x > 1 and y > 1. The lower bounds presented in Theorems 3.1–3.4, and 3.7(a) are quite competitive with the lower bounds given in [4], 1st/2nd order. More specifically, our results have an easier formulation when x = y and are often easier to compute, not depending on possibly Hurwitz's zeta function. As a result, our bounds are offered as a computationally simple alternative. In particular, the lower bound of Theorem 3.4 becomes quite good as x and y approach zero. Our upper bounds in Theorems 3.6, 3.8, and 3.10(b) are also quite competitive with the upper bounds of Dragomir et al. [1], Alzer [2], and Cerone [3]. When x = 4 and y = 8, for

RINAM: 100302

### ARTICLE IN PRESS

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

example, the lower bounds obtained in Theorems 3.11, 3.14, and 3.15 beat the lower bounds in Theorems 1.3 & 1.7. In most cases, our new lower and upper bounds are quite competitive with the existing bounds in the literature. In addition, we propose various inequalities for the ratio of beta functions. They are given in Theorems 3.9, 3.12, 3.13, 3.16, and 3.17. Our proposed new inequalities for the ratio of beta function can be used in linear preferential attachment models, a certain type of stochastic urn process. In particular, the fraction P(k) of urns having k balls in the limit of long time is  $B(k+a,\gamma)/B(k_0+a,\gamma-1)$ , for  $k \ge k_0$ . For more details, readers are referred to Medhi [17], Newman [18], and Dine [19]. Using our results, we can quickly find bounds on the P(k). We have also developed an R package, **IneqBetaFun**, which can be found at https://github.com/suthakaranr/IneqBetaFun in which our theorems are implemented to allow readers to calculate bounds.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank the Editor and the two referees for their comments which resulted in this improved version of the work.

#### **Appendix**

The proposed bounds are implemented as an R package called **IneqBetaFun**, freely available on GitHub. For instance, the bounds based on Theorems 3.1–3.17 and Corollaries 1 & 2 can be obtained as follows.

```
rm(list = ls())
library(devtools) # Make sure that the devtools library is loaded
install_github("suthakaranr/IneqBetaFun")
library (IneqBetaFun)
them_3_1(1/2, 1/2) # Theorem 3.1
them_{3}_{2}(1/2,1/2) # Theorem 3.2
them_3_3(1/2,1/2) # Theorem 3.3
them_3_4(1/2,1/2) # Theorem 3.4
them_{3_{5}(2,2)} # Theorem 3.5
them_{3_{6}(2,2)} # Theorem 3.6
them_{3_{7}}(0.25,0.5) # Theorem 3.7: Case 1
them_{3}_{7}(0.5,1) # Theorem 3.7: Case 2
them_{3}_{8}(2,2) # Theorem 3.8
them_3_{10}(4,2) # Theorem 3.10: Case 1
them_3_10(4,3.5) # Theorem 3.10: Case 2
them_3_11a(4,2) # Theorem 3.11: Case 1
them_3_11b(5,5) # Theorem 3.11: Case 2
corollary_2(1, 1.5) # Corollary 2
them_3_14(1,2) # Theorem 3.14
them_3_15(1,2) # Theorem 3.15
# Ratios of Beta Functions
them_3_9(0.5,0.75) # Theorem 3.9: Case 1: x1 \le x2
them_{3}9(1.5,1)
                  # Theorem 3.9: Case 2: x1 > x2
them_3_17(1, 1.5, 2) # Theorem 3.17
corollary_ratio_1(1, 1.5,2, 3) # Corollary 1
them_3_13(1, 1.5,2) # Theorem 3.13
them_3_{16}(1, 1.5, 2) # Theorem 3.16
them_3_17(1, 1.5,2) # Theorem 3.17
```

#### References

- [1] Dragomir SS, Agarwal RP, Barnett NS. Inequalities for beta and gamma functions via some classical and new integral inequalities. J Inequal Appl 2000;5:103–65.
- [2] Alzer H. Sharp inequalities for the beta function. Indag Math (NS) 2001;12(1):15–21.
- [3] Cerone P. Special functions: Approximations and bounds. Appl Anal Discrete Math 2007;1(1):72-91.
- [4] Grenié L, Molteni G. Inequalities for the Beta function. Math Inequal Appl 2015;18(4):1427-42.

RINAM: 100302

#### ARTICLE IN PRESS

S.G. From and S. Ratnasingam

Results in Applied Mathematics xxx (xxxx) xxx

- [5] Alzer H. Inequalities for the beta function of n variables. ANZIAM J 2003;44(4):609–23.
- [6] Fisher B. Results on the beta function. Sarajevo J Math 2013;9(21):101-8.
- [7] Alzer H. Inequalities for the beta function. Anal Math 2014;1:1-11.
- [8] McD. Mercer A. Some new inequalities for the gamma, beta and zeta functions. I Inequal Pure Appl Math 2006;7(1):29.
- [9] Karatsuba EA, Vuorinen M. On hypergeometric functions and generalizations of Legendre's relation. J Math Anal Appl 2001;260:623-40.
- [10] Mitrinović DS. Analytic inequalities. New York: Springer; 1970.
- [11] Mitrinović DS, Pečarić JE, Fink AM, Classical and new inequalities in analysis, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisher; 1993.
- [12] Brook D. Bounds for moment generating functions and for extinction probabilities. J Appl Probab 1966;3(1):171-8.
- [13] Chernoff H. A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations. Ann Math Stat 1952;23(4):493-507.
- [14] Karlin S, Studden W. Tchebycheff systems: With applications in analysis and statistics. John Wiley, Interscience Publishers; 1966.
- [15] From S. Some new generalizations of Jensen's inequality with related results and applications. Aust J Math Anal Appl 2016;13(1):1-29.
- [16] Abramowitz M, Stegun IA, editors. Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables. New York: Dover Publications Inc.; 1965.
- [17] Medhi J. Stochastic processes. India: John Wiley; 1994.
- [18] Newman MEJ. Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law. Contemp Phys 2005;46(5):323-51.
- [19] Dine M. Supersymmetry and string theory: Beyond the standard model. Cambridge University Press; 2007.