

CSCE 314 [Section 500/501] Programming Languages – Spring  
2018

Anandi Dutta

Assignment 3

Assigned on Tuesday, February 13, 2018

Electronic submission to eCampus due at **23:59, Wednesday, February 28, 2018**

*By electronically submitting this assignment to eCampus by logging in to your account, you are signing electronically on the following Aggie Honor Code:*

“On my honor, as an Aggie, I have neither given nor received any unauthorized aid on any portion of the academic work included in this assignment.”

In this assignment, you will practice (i) list comprehension, (ii) functional programming using *higher-order functions*, (iii) programmer defined data types in Haskell, and (iv) representing programs as their *abstract syntax trees* and evaluating them.

Below, you will find problem descriptions with specific requirements (for example, “Using `foldr`, define ...” means that using the `foldr` function in the definition is required). Read the descriptions and requirements carefully! There may be significant penalties for not fulfilling the requirements. You will earn total 120 points.

Note 1: This homework set is *individual* homework, not a team-based effort. Discussion of the concept is encouraged, but actual write-up of the solutions must be done individually.

Note 2: Submit electronically exactly one file, namely, *yourLastName-yourFirstName-a3.hs*, and nothing else, on eCampus.tamu.edu.

Note 3: Please make sure that the Haskell script (the .hs file) you submit compiles without any error when compiled using the Glasgow Haskell Compiler (ghc). If your program does not compile, there is a chance that you receive zero points for this assignment.

Note 4: Remember to put the head comment in your file, including your name, UIN, and *acknowledgements of any help received* in doing this assignment. You will get points deducted if you do not put the head comment. Again, remember the honor code.

---

Keep the name and type of each function exactly as given.

**Part 1. List comprehensions/Higher Order Function**

**Problem 1.** (10 points) Write a function that will delete leading white space from a string.

cutWhitespace [” x”, ”y”, ” z”] ans: [”x”, ”y”, ”z”]

You are allowed to use any higher order function/List Comprehension(if you want to use) to solve this problem.

**Problem 2.** (10 points) Write a function that will take two list of lists, and multiply one with another. `multList [[1,1,1],[3,4,6],[1,2,3]] [[3,2,2],[3,4,5],[5,4,3]]`  
ans: `[[3,2,2],[9,16,30],[5,8,9]]`

You are allowed to use any higher order function/List Comprehension(if you want to use) to solve this problem.

You can use your own test case for Part 1(problem 1 and 2).

## **Part 2. Recursive functions, higher order functions**

### **Problem 3.**

(8 points) 3.1 Define a recursive function `merge` that merges two sorted lists so that the resulting list is also sorted.

```
merge :: Ord a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
```

For example: `>merge [2,5,6] [1,3,4]` ans: `[1,2,3,4,5,6]`

Note: your definition should not use other functions on sorted lists such as `insert` or `isort`, but should be defined using explicit recursion.

(8 points) 3.2 Using `merge`, define a function

```
msort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
```

that implements merge sort, in which the empty list and singleton lists are already sorted, and any other list is sorted by merging together the two lists that result from sorting the two halves of the list separately.

Hint: First define a function

```
halve :: [a] -> ([a],[a])
```

**Problem 4.** (5 points) Using `foldr`, define a function that multiplies all elements of a list. Multiplying the empty list should return 1.

```
multiply :: [Int] -> Int
```

**Problem 5.** (5points) Using `foldl`, define a function that concatenates all strings that are elements of a list.

```
concatenate :: [String] -> String
```

**Problem 6.** (10 points) Using `map`, `filter`, and `.` (function composition operator), define a function that examines a list of strings, keeping only those whose length is odd, converts them to upper case letters, and concatenates the results to produce a single string.

```
concatenateAndUppcaseOddLengthStrings :: [String] -> String
```

You need to `import Data.Char` in order to use the `toUpper` function (see the skeleton code).

## **Part 3: Data types, type classes**

Consider the following data type.

```
data Tree a b = Branch b (Tree a b) (Tree a b)
               | Leaf a
```

**Problem 7.** (10 points) Implement the two functions that traverse the tree in the given order collecting the values from the tree nodes into a list:

```
preorder :: (a -> c) -> (b -> c) -> Tree a b -> [c]
inorder  :: (a -> c) -> (b -> c) -> Tree a b -> [c]
```

Notice that the data type `Tree` can store different types of values in the leaves than on the branching nodes. Thus, each of these functions takes two functions as arguments: The first function maps the values stored in the leaves to some common type `c`, and the second function maps the values stored in the branching nodes to type `c`, thus, resulting in a list of type `[c]`.

#### Part 4: A tiny language

Let  $E$  (for *expression*) be a tiny programming language that supports the declaration of arithmetic expressions involving only addition and multiplication, and equality comparisons on integers. Here is an example program in  $E$ :

```
1 + 9 == 5 * ( 1 + 1 )
```

When evaluated, this program should evaluate to the truth value `true`.

In this exercise, we will not write  $E$  programs as strings, but as values of a Haskell data type `E`, that can represent  $E$  programs as their abstract syntax trees (ASTs). Given the following data type `E`,

```
data E = IntLit Int
       | BoolLit Bool
       | Plus E E    -- for addition
       | Mult E E    -- for multiplication
       | Equals E E
       deriving (Eq, Show)
```

The above example program is represented as its AST:

```
program = Equals
  (Plus (IntLit 1) (IntLit 9))
  (Mult
    (IntLit 5)
    (Plus (IntLit 1) (IntLit 1)))
```

**Problem 8.** (20 points) Define an evaluator for the language  $E$ . Its name and type should be

```
eval :: E -> E
```

The result of `eval` should not contain any operations or comparisons, just a value constructed either with `IntLit` or `BoolLit` constructors. The result of the example program above should be `BoolLit True`.

Note that  $E$  allows nonsensical programs, such as `Plus (BoolLit True) (IntLit 1)`. For such programs, the evaluator can abort.