# Code Optimization Overview and Examples

- Why
  - ☐ Reduce programmers' burden
    - Allow programmers to concentrate on high level concept
    - Without worrying about performance issues
- Target
  - ☐ Reduce execution time
  - ☐ Reduce space
  - ☐ Sometimes, these are tradeoffs
- Types
  - ☐ Intermediate code level
    - We are looking at this part now
  - ☐ Machine code level
    - Instruction selection, register allocation, scheduling, cache opts etc

- Scope
  - ☐ Peephole analysis
    - Within one or a few instructions
  - ☐ Local analysis
    - Within a basic block
  - ☐ Global analysis
    - Entire procedure or within a certain scope
  - ☐ Inter-procedural analysis
    - Beyond a procedure, consider the entire program

- \* Techniques
  - ☐ Constant propagation
  - ☐ Constant folding
  - ☐ Algebraic simplification, strength reduction
  - ☐ Copy propagation
  - ☐ Common subexpression elimination
  - ☐ Unreachable code elimination
  - ☐ Dead code elimination
  - ☐ Loop Optimization
  - ☐ Function related
    - Function inlining, function cloning

- Constant propagation
  - ☐ If the value of a variable is a constant, then replace the variable by the constant
    - It is not the constant definition, but a variable is assigned to a constant
    - The variable may not always be a constant
  - $\square$  E.g.

```
N := 10; C := 2;

for (i:=0; i<N; i++) { s := s + i*C; }

\Rightarrow for (i:=0; i<10; i++) { s := s + i*2; }

If (C) go to ... \Rightarrow go to ...
```

- The other branch, if any, can be eliminated by other optimizations
- ☐ Requirement:
  - After a constant assignment to the variable
  - Until next assignment of the variable
  - Perform data flow analysis to determine the propagation

- Constant folding
  - $\square$  In a statement x := y op z or x := op y
  - $\Box$  If y and z are constants
  - ☐ Then the value can be computed at compilation time
  - ☐ Example

#define M 10

$$x := 2 * M \Rightarrow x := 20$$

If  $(M \le 0)$  goto  $L \Rightarrow$  can be eliminated

$$y := 10 * 5 \Rightarrow y := 50$$

- ☐ Difference: constant propagation and folding
  - Propagation: only substitute a variable by its assigned constant
  - Folding: Consider variables whose values can be computed at compilation time and controls whose decision can be determined at compilation time

#### \* Algebraic simplification

- ☐ More general form of constant folding, e.g.,

$$x - 0 \Rightarrow x$$

•  $x * 1 \Rightarrow x$   $x / 1 \Rightarrow x$ 

$$x / 1 \Rightarrow x$$

- $\mathbf{x} * 0 \Rightarrow 0$
- ☐ Repeatedly apply the rules

$$(y * 1 + 0) / 1 \Rightarrow y$$

#### Strength reduction

- ☐ Replace expensive operations
  - E.g.,  $x := x * 8 \Rightarrow x := x << 3$

- Copy propagation
  - ☐ Extension of constant propagation
  - $\square$  After y is assigned to x, use y to replace x till x is assigned again
  - ☐ Example

$$x := y;$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $s := y * f(y)$   
 $s := x * f(x)$ 

- ☐ Reduce the copying
- ☐ If y is reassigned in between, then this action cannot be performed

- Common subexpression elimination
  - ☐ Example:

$$a := b + c$$
  $a := b + c$   $c := a$   $d := b + c$   $d := b + c$ 

- ☐ Example in array index calculations
  - c[i+1] := a[i+1] + b[i+1]
  - During address computation, i+1 should be reused
  - Not visible in high level code, but in intermediate code
- ☐ Applied using DAGs (Directed Acyclic Graph) as intermediate form

- Unreacheable code elimination
  - ☐ Construct the control flow graph
  - ☐ Unreachable code block will not have an incoming edge
  - ☐ After constant propagation/folding, unreachable branches can be eliminated
- ❖ Dead code elimination
  - ☐ Ineffective statements
    - x := y + 1 (immediately redefined, eliminate!)
    - y := 5  $\Rightarrow$  y := 5
    - x := 2 \* z x := 2 \* z
  - ☐ A variable is dead if it is never used after last definition
    - Eliminate assignments to dead variables
  - ☐ Need to do data flow analysis to find dead variables

- Function inlining
  - ☐ Replace a function call with the body of the function
  - ☐ Save a lot of copying of the parameters, return address, etc.
- Function cloning
  - ☐ Create specialized code for a function for different calling parameters

## Function inlining example

```
template<typename A, typename B>
inline auto Add(A a, B b) noexcept
{
return a + b;
}
int main()
{
int y = Add(5, 6); → replaced by int y = 5 + 6; → y = 11;
}
```

## **Function cloning example**

```
static int foo(int a, int b)
 if (b > 0)
  return a + b;
 else
  return a * b;
int bar(int m, int n)
 return foo(m, 5) + foo(m, n);
```

```
static int foo(int a, int b)
 if (b > 0)
  return a + b;
 else
  return a * b;
static int foo clone(int a)
 return a + 5;
int bar(int m, int n)
 return foo clone(m) + foo(m, n);
```

- Loop optimization
  - ☐ Consumes 90% of the execution time
    - $\Rightarrow$  a larger payoff to optimize the code within a loop
- \* Techniques
  - ☐ Loop invariant detection and code motion
  - ☐ Induction variable elimination
  - ☐ Strength reduction in loops
  - ☐ Loop unrolling
  - ☐ Loop peeling
  - ☐ Loop fusion

- Loop invariant detection and code motion
  - ☐ If the result of a statement or expression does not change within a loop, and it has no external side-effect
  - ☐ Computation can be moved to outside of the loop
  - ☐ Example

```
for (i=0; i< n; i++) a[i] := a[i] + x/y;
```

Three address code

for (i=0; ic := x/y; 
$$a[i] := a[i] + c$$
; }  
 $\Rightarrow c := x/y$ ;  
for (i=0; ia[i] := a[i] + c;

- Strength reduction in loops
  - ☐ Example

```
s := 0; for (i=0; i<n; i++) { v := 4 * i; s := s + v; ) 
 \Rightarrow s := 0; for (i=0; i<n; i++) { v := v + 4; s := s + v; )
```

- ❖ Induction variable elimination
  - ☐ If there are multiple induction variables in a loop, can eliminate the ones which are used only in the test condition
  - ☐ Example

```
s := 0; for (i=0; i<n; i++) { s := 4 * i; ... } -- i is not referenced in loop \Rightarrow s := 0; e := 4*n; while (s < e) { s := s + 4; }
```

- Loop unrolling
  - ☐ Execute loop body multiple times at each iteration
  - ☐ Get rid of the conditional branches, if possible
  - ☐ Allow optimization to cross multiple iterations of the loop
    - Especially for parallel instruction execution
  - ☐ Space time tradeoff
    - Increase in code size, reduce some instructions
- Loop peeling
  - ☐ Like unrolling
  - ☐ But unroll the first and/or last few iterations

## Unrolling example

```
int countbit1(unsigned int n)
                                     int bits = 0;
  int bits = 0;
                                     while (n != 0)
  while (n != 0)
                                        if (n & 1) bits++;
     if (n & 1) bits++;
                                        if (n & 2) bits++;
     n >>= 1;
                                        if (n & 4) bits++;
                                        if (n & 8) bits++;
  return bits;
                                       n >>= 4;
                                     return bits;
```

int countbit2(unsigned int n)

#### Loop fusion

☐ Example

for 
$$i=1$$
 to  $N$  do
$$A[i] = B[i] + 1$$
endfor
for  $i=1$  to  $N$  do
$$C[i] = A[i] / 2$$
endfor
for  $i=1$  to  $N$  do
$$D[i] = 1 / C[i+1]$$
endfor

for i=1 to N do

A[i] = B[i] + 1

C[i] = A[i] / 2

D[i] = 1 / C[i+1]

endfor

Is this correct?

Cannot fuse

the third loop

Before Loop Fusion

- Optimization framework
  - ☐ Control flow graph
    - To facilitate data flow analysis for optimization
    - To facilitate loop identification
  - ☐ Data flow analysis
    - Reachability analysis, copy propagation, expression propagation
    - Constant folding
    - Liveliness analysis, dead code elimination
  - ☐ Loop optimization
  - ☐ Function optimization
  - ☐ Alias analysis (pointers)