

Padmakar Narhari Tandulkar vs The State Of Maharashtra on 21 January, 2022

Author: C.V. Bhadang

Bench: C.V. Bhadang

7-aba-156-2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 156 OF 2022

Padmakar Narhari Tandulkar	..Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	..Respondent

Mr. Tushar Sonawane i/b. Mr. Prashant S. Hagare, for the Applicant.
Mr. S. R. Agarkar, APP for the Respondent / State.
WPSI - A. S. Jadhav, Indapur Police Station, Pune.

CORAM : C.V. BHADANG, J.
DATE : 21 JANUARY 2022
(Through Video Conferencing)

P.C.

. The Applicant apprehending arrest in connection with investigation of Crime No.101/2021 of Police Station Indapur, Pune (Rural), under Section 188, 272, 273, 328 and 179 of IPC and Section 30(2)(a), 26(2)(i) and 26(2)(iv) of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006, is seeking anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, a raid was conducted at the shop of the Applicant in which Tobacco and Pan Masala products which are banned, have been recovered worth Rs.1,20,938/-.

Mamta Kale

page 1 of 3

::: Uploaded on - 21/01/2022

::: Downloaded on - 23/01/2022 02:27:01 :::
7-aba-156-2022

3. The learned counsel for the Applicant submitted that the only section which is non bailable is Section 328 of IPC. The learned counsel has placed reliance on the decision of the Supreme Court in Joseph Kurian & Anr. Vs. State of Kerala 1 and the order dated 30 September 2021, passed in a batch of Anticipatory Bail Applications No.944 of 2020 and others, at the Aurangabad Bench, in order to submit that in similar circumstances, it has been held that Section 328 of IPC may not be attracted as there was no attempt to administer any intoxicant or stupefying substance by the Applicant with an intention to commit an offence. The learned counsel further pointed out that the learned Single Judge of this Court at Mumbai has taken a contrary view by order dated 6 November 2020 in ABA (Stamp) No.2489/2020 which order is subject matter of challenge before the Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Cri.) No.6788/2021. It is pointed out that the Supreme Court by an order dated 21 September 2021 has granted interim protection to the Petitioner therein.

4. Learned APP seeks time to examine and go through the judgments on which the reliance is placed on behalf of the Applicant and then to make appropriate submissions to further assist the Court.

1(1994) 6 Supreme Court Cases 535

Mamta Kale

page 2 of 3

::: Uploaded on - 21/01/2022

::: Downloaded on - 23/01/2022 02:27:01 :::
7-aba-156

5. Prima facie, it appears that the learned Single Judge sitting at Aurangabad Bench, has taken a view that Section 328 of IPC may not apply in such a case. The contrary view taken by the Coordinate Bench at Mumbai is subject matter of challenge before the Supreme Court in which interim protection has been granted. Hence, the following order is passed.

ORDER

1. In the event of his arrest in connection with investigation of Crime No. 101/2021 of Police Station Indapur, Pune (Rural), the Applicant be released on bail on executing a P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount.

2. The Applicant shall report to Investigating Officer on 1/2/2022, 2/2/2022 and 3/2/2022 between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. and as and when directed by the Investigating Officer.
3. The Applicant shall cooperate with the Investigating Agency and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence / witnesses.
4. This order shall remain in force till next date. Stand over to 18 February 2022.

C.V. BHADANG, J.

Mamta Kale

page 3 of