S.N.K.Starch Industries Rep By Its vs Commissioner Of Industries And ... on 19 July, 2021

Author: R. Mahadevan

Bench: R.Mahadevan

W.P.No.156

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.07.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.1567 of 2021 and WMP.No.1775 of 2021

- - -

S.N.K.Starch Industries rep by its Proprietor K.Dharmalingam, Sathasivapuram Post — 636 121 Attur Taluk, Salem District

...Petitioner

Versus

- Commissioner of Industries and Commerce/ Registrar of Industrial Co-operative Societies, SIDCO Building, Guindy Industrial Estate, Gunidy, Chennai — 600 032.
- Commissioner of Food Safety,
 No.359, Anna Salai, V Floor, DMS Office Building,
 DMS Campus, Teynampet, Chennai 600 006.
- Chairman,
 Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board,
 No.76, Mount Road, Guindy, Chennai 32.
- Deputy Director of Industrial Health and Safety, No.7, SIPCOT Housing Complex, OPP Lakshmi Automatic Loom Works, SIPCOT, Hosur – 635 126.
- Designated Officer,Food Safety and Drug Administration,

1/8

Salem District, Salem - 1

- District Environmental Engineer,
 Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Siva Towers,
 No.1/276, Meyyanur Main Road,
 Salem 1.
- District Collector, Salem District, Salem
- SAGO SERVE rep by its Managing Director, Jagirammapalayam, Omalur Main Road, Salem – 2.
- Attur Vattara Starch and Sago Urpathiyalargal Nala Sangam rep. By it's President S.Duraisamy, No.150, Kamarajar Road, Pudupet, Attur, Salem District.

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of I for issuance of a writ of Mandamus (i) directing the 1 st respondent instruct the respondents 8 and 9 herein not to impose any restriction to working days, quantum of production and Sale of Sago or any kind o control and surveillance in operating the Petitioner factory; (ii) fo respondents 1 to 9 herein, their Officers, subordinates or men and ag preventing the Sale of Sago by the Petitioner to traders in other Sta open market and extorting money for sale of sago through the 8th resp herein and taking penal action against the Sago manufacturing industr Petitioner herein merely based on the complaint of the 9th respondent Petitioner herein failed to adhere to the restrictions imposed by the respondents herein for operating Sago manufacturing Units and sale of

For Petitioner : Mr. K. Raja

For R1 to R7 : Mr. Stalin Abimanyu
Government Advocate

For R8 : Mr. L.P. Shanmuga Sundaram

For R9 : Mr.G.Murugendran

http://www.judis.nic.in

2/8

ORDER

According to the petitioner, the petitioner is carrying on business in manufacture of Starch and Sago from Tapioca tubers in the name of 'SNK Starch and Sago Industries'. During the course of their business, impracticable restrictions were arbitrarily imposed by the respondents 8 & 9. The petitioner has raised objection to such restrictions and made representations dated 29.11.2020 and 30.11.2020 to the respondents 1 to 3, 5 & 6 requesting to take necessary action to ensure uninterrupted operation of his factory without any unlawful, high handed obstructions and threat from the respondents 8 & 9. According to the petitioner, the first respondent is the competent authority to conduct a full fledged enquiry in regard to the mismanagement, pilferage of spilled sago, victimization of manufacturers raising genuine objections and other irregularities in issuing fabricated bills committed by the eight respondent in the interest and welfare of Starch and Sago industry operating in MSME Sector and the Agriculturist cultivating the tapioca roots for supply to the said Industries. However, the first respondent failed to take any action and therefore, the petitioner has no other option except to approach this Court with the present writ petition for the aforesaid relief. http://www.judis.nic.in

- 2. Upon notice, a detailed counter affidavit was filed by the ninth respondent, wherein, it is inter alia stated that the present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner only with an intention to sell the chemical adulterated sago in the open market and to other State. According to the ninth respondent, the restrictions imposed are only intended to ensure quality and unadulterated Sago being sold in the market. It is the contention of the ninth respondent that only the 'Sago lots' which passes all the tests conducted by Sagoserve alone are allowed for sale and those sago which do not pass the tests will be returned to the member-manufacturers. It is also stated that according to the provisions of the Food Safety and Standard Act, 2006, wet starch is not at all a raw material. It is only an intermediate product and not an end product, which cannot be directly consumed by the consumers. The ninth respondent also referred to the order dated 07.09.2015 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in WP No. 20124 of 2015 wherein certain guideliens have been issued with respect to process and sale of the sago. Therefore it is submitted that the petitioner has no right to restrain the respondents from carrying out inspection of the petitioner manufacturing unit to ascertain the quality of the products and the compliance of various manufacturing activities. The ninth respondent therefore prayed for dismissal of the writ petitions. http://www.judis.nic.in
- 3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the issue involved in this writ petition is covered by the order of a Division Bench of this Court dated 07.09.2015 in W.P.No.20124 of 2015 and hence, the case of the petitioner may be directed to be considered by the respondents in the light of the said decision.
- 4. On the other hand, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that the respondent authorities would consider the representation of the petitioner and pass orders, on merits and also in the light of the aforesaid order cited on the side of the petitioner, within a reasonable time to be fixed by this Court.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court directs respondents to consider the representations of the petitioner dated 29.11.2020 and 30.11.2020 and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner in the light of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.20124 of 2015 dated 07.09.2015, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

http://www.judis.nic.in

6. Accordingly, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : Yes/No

av/rsh

To

- 1. Commissioner of Industries and Commerce/Registrar of Industrial Co-operative Societies, SIDCO Building, Guindy Industrial Estate, Gunidy, Chennai 600 032.
- 2. Commissioner of Food Safety, No.359, Anna Salai, V Floor, DMS Office Building, DMS Campus, Teynampet, Chennai 600 006.
- 3. Chairman, Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, No.76, Mount Road, Guindy, Chennai 32.
- 4. Deputy Director of Industrial Health and Safety, No.7, SIPCOT Housing Complex, OPP Lakshmi Automatic Loom Works, SIPCOT, Hosur 635 126.
- 5. Designated Officer, Food Safety and Drug Administration, Salem District, Salem 1
- 6. District Environmental Engineer, Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Siva Towers, No.1/276, Meyyanur Main Road, Salem -1.

http://www.judis.nic.in

- 7. District Collector, Salem District, Salem
- 8. SAGO SERVE rep by its Managing Director, Jagirammapalayam, Omalur Main Road, Salem 2.

9. The President S.Duraisamy, Attur Vattara Starch and Sago Urpathiyalargal Nala Sangam No.150, Kamarajar Road, Pudupet, Attur, Salem District.

 $http://www.judis.nic.in\ R.\ MAHADEVAN,\ J\ av/rsh\ 19.07.2021\ (4/4)\ http://www.judis.nic.in$