

Assam vs The State Of Assam And 5 Ors on 9 September, 2022

Author: Suman Shyam

Bench: Suman Shyam

Page No.# 1/3

GAHC010174172022

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5687/2022

M/S MB OMM
GUIJAN GHAT, P.O- RANGAGORAH, GUIJAN, DIST- TINSUKIA, ASSAM, PIN-
786147,
HAVING GST REGD NO.-18AVWPD8621M1ZU AND LICENSE NO.
10317017000176 ISSUED
UNDER FOOD SAFETY AND STANDARDS ACT,2006 BY THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM,
COMMISSIONERATE OF FOOD SAFETY, ASSAM, UNDER HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, ASSAM,
A HOUSE BOAT, WHICH IS PROPRIETORIAL CONCERN OF PRASSANNA
DAS,
AGE -62 YRS,
S/O- LATE PARSURAM DAS,
R/O- VILLAGE GUIJAN,
P.O- RANGAGORAH,
P.S AND DIST- TINSUKIA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM, TOURISM DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF ASSAM, SACHIVALAYA,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 781006, ASSAM.

2:THE ASSAM TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
ASOM PARYATAN BHAWAN (4TH FLOOR)
A.K. AZAD ROAD
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781008

REPRESENTED BY THE MANAGING DIRECTOR

Page No.# 2/3

ASSAM TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
ASOM PARYATAN BHAWAN (4TH FLOOR)
A.K. AZAD ROAD
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781008.

3:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR

ASSAM TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
ASOM PARYATAN BHAWAN (4TH FLOOR)
A.K. AZAD ROAD
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781008.

4:TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN PRABHASH CH. SARMA
ADDL. GEN. MANAGER (I)

ASSAM TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
ASOM PARYATAN BHAWAN (4TH FLOOR)
A.K. AZAD ROAD
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781008.

5:PADMINI RESORTS
REP. BY ONE OF ITS PARTNERS VIVEK AGARWAL

BAZALTOLI BANGALI GAON
P.O- RANGAGORAH
P.S AND DIST- TINSUKIA
ASSAM

6:PRISMATIC HOTELS PRIVATE LIMITED
A PRIVAATE LIMITED COMPANY REP. BY PRANJAL KUMAR MOHAN

DIRECTOR OF PRISMATIC HOTELS PRIVATE LIMITED

RANGAGORA ROAD
AROMA RESIDENCY
TINSUKIA ASSAM
PIN-78612

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S BANIK

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

Page No.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM

ORDER

Date : 09-09-2022 Heard Mr. S. Banik, learned counsel for the writ petitioner. Also heard Mr. K. Gogoi, learned Govt. Advocate, Assam who submits that instructions as called for vide order dated 02-09-2022 passed by this Court are still awaited.

Mr. A. Borpujari, learned counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4 by filing vakalatnama and prays for 02 weeks time to obtain instruction.

Prayer allowed.

Let this matter be listed again after 02 weeks. At this stage Mr. Banik submits that unless an interim order is passed the writ petition may become infructuous.

Taking note of the submission of the learned counsel for the parties as well as the facts and circumstance of the case, it is hereby provided that till the next date the tender in question shall not be finalized.

JUDGE GS Comparing Assistant