

Para Vettakendil Murlidharan vs The State Of Madhya Pradesh on 8 June, 2021

Author: Vishal Mishra

Bench: Vishal Mishra

1
THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
M.Cr.C. No.22170/2021
(PARA VETTAKENDIL MURLIDHARAN Versus THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

Gwalior, Dated : 08.06.2021

Shri S.K. Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Naval Kishore Gupta, Public Prosecutor for the
respondent/State.

This petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. has been filed against the order dated 30.12.2020 (Annexure P/1) passed by the respondent No.2 whereby application filed by the petitioner under Section 66 of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 has been partly decided.

Counsel for the petitioners has restricted his prayer to relief No.2 which reads as under:-

"2.In the alternative to prayer clause 1, respondent No.2 may be directed to decide the application Annexure P-5, prior to recording of evidence or proceeding with the complaint and/or"

It is submitted that he has preferred an application Annexure P/4 which is still pending consideration before the authorities and not being decided till date and without deciding the application the authorities are proceeded in the matter. It is innocuously prayed that the authorities be directed to consider the application and decide the same within the stipulated time frame.

State counsel has no objection to the same and submits that the application will be considered and decided expeditiously.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, petition is disposed of with a direction to the authorities to consider and THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH (PARA VETTAKENDIL MURLIDHARAN Versus THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)) decide the application Annexure P/4 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly. This Court has not commented upon the merits of the case.

(Vishal Mishra) Judge van SMT VANDANA VERMA 2021.06.09 11:15:53

-07'00'