

# Bharat Sukhdev Dhobale vs The State Of Maharashtra on 21 February, 2022

Author: C.V. Bhadang

Bench: C.V. Bhadang

12-aba

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.60 OF 2022

Bharat Sukhdev Dhobale

...Ap

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

... R

----

Mr.Prashant M. Patil for the Applicant.

Mr.Y.Y. Dabke, APP for the Respondent-State.

Digitally signed by

----

NILAM  
SANTOSH

NILAM SANTOSH

KAMBLE

Date: 2022.02.21

KAMBLE

17:06:43 +0530

CORAM : C.V. BHADANG, J.

DATE : 21 FEBRUARY

P.C.

. The Applicant apprehending arrest in connection with investigation of Crime No.66 of 2021 of Police Station Manchar, under Section 188, 272, 273, 328 of IPC and Section 26(2)(ii), 26(4) of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 Section 65(E) , 65(A), 68(B) of the Maharashtra Liquor Prohibition Act, 1949, is seeking anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, a raid was conducted at the shop of the Applicant in which Tobacco and Pan Masala products which are banned, have been recovered worth Rs.9,763/-.

N.S. Kamble

12-aba-60-2022

3. The learned counsel for the Applicant submitted that the only section which is non bailable is Section 328 of IPC. The learned counsel has placed reliance on the decision of the Supreme Court in Joseph Kurian & Anr. Vs. State of Kerala 1 and the order dated 30 September 2021, passed in a batch of Anticipatory Bail Applications No.944 of 2020 and others, at the Aurangabad Bench, in order to submit that in similar circumstances, it has been held that Section 328 of IPC may not be attracted as there was no attempt to administer any intoxicant or stupefying substance by the Applicant with an intention to commit an offence. The learned counsel further pointed out that the learned Single Judge of this Court at Mumbai has taken a contrary view by order dated 6 November 2020 in ABA (Stamp) No.2489/2020 which order is subject matter of challenge before the Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Cri.) No.6788/2021. It is pointed out that the Supreme Court by an order dated 21 September 2021 has granted interim protection to the Petitioner therein.

4. The learned APP seeks time to examine and go through the judgments on which the reliance is placed on behalf of the Applicant and then to make appropriate submissions to further assist the Court.

1(1994) 6 Supreme Court Cases 535

N.S. Kamble

page 2 of 3  
12-aba-60-2022

5. Prima facie, it appears that the learned Single Judge sitting at Aurangabad Bench, has taken a view that Section 328 of IPC may not apply in such a case. The contrary view taken by the Coordinate Bench at Mumbai is subject matter of challenge before the Supreme Court in which interim protection has been granted. Hence, the following order is passed.

ORDER

(i) In the event of his arrest in connection with investigation of Crime No.66 of 2021 of Police Station Manchar, the Applicant be released on bail on executing a P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount.

(ii) The Applicant shall report to Investigating Officer on 28 February 2022 and 1 March 2022 between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. and as and when directed by the Investigating Officer.

(iii) The Applicant shall cooperate with the Investigating Agency and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence / witnesses.

(iv) This order shall remain in force till next date.

(v) Stand over to 1 April 2022.

C.V. BHADANG, J.

N.S. Kamble

page 3 of 3