

Nana @ Dnyaneshwar Vitthal Sable ... vs The State Of Maharashtra on 16 June, 2022

Author: S. G. Mehare

Bench: S. G. Mehare

1

905-ABA-729,30-22.odt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.729 OF 2022

AMOL ASHOK MORE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
...
WITH ABA/730/2022

NANA @ DNYANESHWAR VITTHAL SABLE (SALVE)
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Anup D. Mane h/f. Mr. Amol S. Sawant
APP for Respondent - State : Ms. V. S. Choudhari
...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 16-06-2022

PER COURT :-

Heard learned counsel for the applicant.

2. Issue notice to the respondent / State, returnable on 29.06.2022.

3. Learned A.P.P. waives service of notice for the respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the police has no jurisdiction to take action under the Food Safety and Standards Act. Applicant - Amol, who is registered owner of the 2

905-ABA-729,30-22.odt vehicle involved in the alleged crime. He has no concern with the goods transported in his vehicle. He has hired the vehicle.

5. He would further submit that the applicant - Amol in A.B.A.No. 729 of 2022 has no concern with the alleged offence.

However, he wanted to take an instruction about applicant Nana.

Otherwise also, there is no case to grant interim anticipatory bail.

(S. G. MEHARE) JUDGE rrd