

N.Anaskhan vs The Authorised Officer on 2 January, 2024

Author: G.R.Swaminathan

Bench: G.R.Swaminathan

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Reserved on : 22.12.2023

Pronounced on : 02.01.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

WP(MD)No.30638 of 2023

and

WMP(MD)Nos.26338, 26339 and 26340 of 2023

N.Anaskhan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Authorised Officer,
Food Safety Wing,
Tamil Nadu Food Safety and
Drug Administration Department,
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
S.S.Kottai Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

... Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents herein to remove the seal put on the petitioner shop at situated at Thuvakudi, Thanjavur Main Road, Trichy District forthwith on the basis of the petitioner representation dated 18.12.2023.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>

1/7

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Veerakumar
For Respondents : Mr.Veera Kathiravan,
Additional Advocate General assisted
Mr.K.Balasubramani,
Special Government Pleader for R1

ORDER

Heard both sides.

2.According to the respondents, the petitioner had kept banned Tobacco products in the shop for the purpose of sale. In this regard, Crime No.55 of 2023 was registered on the file of the second respondent under relevant provisions of the Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products Act, 2003. By the impugned order, the Designated Officer, Food Safety Wing, Sivagangai District had suspended the petitioner's food license and also sealed the petitioner's shop. Challenging the same, this writ petition came to be filed.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner reiterated all the contentions set out in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition and called upon this Court to set aside the impugned order and grant the relief as prayed for.

4.On the other hand, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the first respondent submitted that the impugned order has been rightly passed and that the first respondent is very much having the jurisdiction to pass the same. He pointed out that sale of gutka and such other products is having a serious bearing on public health. He submitted that such acts constitute a menace to the society. The statutory provisions set out in the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 and the rules framed thereunder empower the designated officer to lock and seal the premises where the contraband is kept. He relied on the order dated 13.12.2023 made in WP No.34737 of 2023 and 23.12.2020 made in WP(MD)No.14618 of 2020 etc., He called upon this Court to dismiss the writ petitions.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>

5.I carefully considered the rival contentions and went through the materials on record. Vide order dated 02.01.2024 in WP(MD)Nos.30871 and 30873 of 2023, I had held that sealing of the premises can be done only if the procedure set out in Section 38(1)(c) of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 could not be fulfilled. In the case on hand, the respondents have not pleaded anywhere that the food business operator was not available. I had also invoked the principle of proportionality in the said case. Sealing had been done as early as on 01.12.2023. The impugned order is rather drastic in nature. It is true that under Regulation 2.1.8(4) of Food Safety and Standards (Licensing and Registration of Food Business) Regulations, 2011, the authority can suspend the petitioner's license. But, in this case, the order of suspension has been passed as a final order. It is evident from the fact that the petitioner has been granted liberty to file an appeal before the Commissioner of Food

Safety. The Allahabad High Court in Harishchandra v. State of U.P (2020 AHC 8080) had held that before passing such an order, principles of natural justice will have to be complied with. I can understand if an interim suspension order is passed, notice is given to the licensee and thereafter final order is <https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis> passed. In this case, straightaway final order under the aforesaid regulation has been passed without notice. This is a clear violation of principles of natural justice. The Allahabad High Court had held that if the suspension order has been passed without notice, it has to be quashed.

6. Respectfully following the aforesaid decision, the order impugned in this writ petition is quashed. This writ petition is allowed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

02.01.2024 Index : Yes / No Internet : Yes / No Skm Issue order copy on 10.01.2024
<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis> To

1. The Authorised Officer, Food Safety Wing, Tamil Nadu Food Safety and Drug Administration Department, Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.

2. The Inspector of Police, S.S.Kottai Police Station, Sivagangai District.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis> G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

SKM and WMP(MD) Nos. 26338, 26339 and 26340 of 2023 02.01.2024
<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>