

Arun Raosaheb Khot vs State Of Maharashtra on 31 January, 2022

Author: C.V. Bhadang

Bench: C.V. Bhadang

9 aba 226-22

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 226 OF 2022

SNEHA
NITIN
CHAVAN
Digitally signed

Arun Raosaheb Khot

..Applicant

V/s.

by SNEHA NITIN
CHAVAN
Date: 2022.01.31
17:22:25 +0530

The State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Ms. Sana Khan i/b Hulyalkar and Associates for the Applicant.
Mr. Y.Y. Dabke, APP for the Respondent/State.

CORAM : C.V. BHADANG, J.

DATE : 31 JANUARY 2022
(Through Video Conferencing)

P.C.

1. The Applicant apprehending arrest in connection with the investigation of Crime No. 34 of 2022 of Police Station Hadapsar, District Pune under Section 272, 273, 328 read with Section 34 of IPC and Section 26 (2) (i), 26(2)(iv) and 59 of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006, is seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel for the Applicant submitted that the only section which is non bailable is Section 328 of IPC. The learned counsel has placed reliance on the decision of the Supreme Court in Joseph Kurian & Anr. Vs. State of Kerala 1 and

1(1994) 6 Supreme Court Cases 535

Sneha Chavan

9 aba 226-22.doc

the order dated 30 September 2021, passed in a batch of Anticipatory Bail Applications No.944 of 2020 and others, at the Aurangabad Bench, in order to submit that in similar circumstances, it has been held that Section 328 of IPC may not be attracted as there was no attempt to administer any intoxicant or stupefying substance by the Applicant with an intention to commit an offence. The learned counsel further pointed out that the learned Single Judge of this Court at Mumbai has taken a contrary view by order dated 6 November 2020 in ABA (Stamp) No.2489/2020 which order is subject matter of challenge before the Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Cri.) No.6788/2021. It is pointed out that the Supreme Court by an order dated 21 September 2021 has granted interim protection to the Petitioner therein.

4. Learned APP seeks time to examine and go through the judgments on which the reliance is placed on behalf of the Applicant and then to make appropriate submissions to further assist the Court.

5. Prima facie, it appears that the learned Single Judge sitting at Aurangabad Bench, has taken a view that Section 328 of IPC may not apply in such a case. The contrary view taken by the Coordinate Bench at Mumbai is subject matter of challenge

Sneha Chavan

page 2 of 3
9 aba 226-22.doc

before the Supreme Court in which interim protection has been granted. Hence, the following order is passed.

ORDER

i) In the event of his arrest, in connection with the investigation of Crime No. 34 of 2022 of Police Station Hadapsar, District Pune, the applicant shall be released on bail on executing a PR Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount.

ii) The applicant shall report to the Investigating Officer on 7th and 8th February 2022 between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. and as and when required by the Investigating Officer.

iii) The applicant shall co-operate with the Investigating Agency and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence/witnesses.

iv) In the event of breach of any of the conditions, the interim protection is liable to be vacated.

v) This order shall remain in force till next date.

6. Stand over to 18.02.2022.

(C.V. BHADANG, J.)

Sneha Chavan

page 3 of 3