## Deepak Vegpro Pvt. Ltd. & Anr vs Union Of India & Anr on 9 June, 2021

## Bench: Rekha Palli, Amit Bansal

Via Video Conferencing

\$~3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(C) 4483/2021

DEEPAK VEGPRO PVT. LTD. & ANR. .... Petitioners Through: Mr. R.K. Sanghi, Sr. Advocate with

Mr. Ishan Sanghi and Mr. Satyendra

Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Mr. Chetan Sharma, ASG with Through:

Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, Sr. Panel

Counsel for UOI.

Mr. Aditya Singhla, Ms. Cheshta Jetly, Mr. Utsav Vasundeva and Mr. Achin Sondhi, Advocates for FSSAI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL **ORDER** 

% 09.06.2021 C.M. No. 18601/2021 (for early hearing)

- 1. Vide the present application, the petitioner, who has sought quashing of notification dated 8th March 2021, seeks early hearing of the petition, which is now listed on 19th July, 2021.
- 2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners vehemently submits that keeping in view the fact that the impugned notification came into effect from 8th June 2021, the matter was fixed for final disposal on 4th June, 2021 but could not be taken up on the said date as the Division Bench did not assemble. He, therefore, prays that the present petition be taken up for disposal today itself and contends that the impugned notification dated 8th March, 2021 is wholly without jurisdiction as the respondents had, admittedly, not placed before the Parliament, the amendments contained in the said notification - as mandatorily required in terms of Sections 92 and 93 of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as "FSS Act").
- 3. On the other hand, the learned ASG, who appears for the respondents submits that the impugned notification has been issued after due consideration in accordance with Section 18(2)(d) of the FSS Act. He further submits that a connected writ petition being W.P. (C) No.5584/2021 seeking similar reliefs, is listed on 27th July, 2021 and therefore, prays that the present petition be also listed on the

same date.

- 4. Keeping in view the nature of issues involved in the present petition, it would be appropriate that the petition is listed before the Roster Bench on the date already fixed.
- 5. The application for early hearing is, accordingly, rejected.
- 6. This is an application under Order I Rule 10 of the CPC preferred by the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India.
- 7. Issue notice. Mr. Ishan Sanghi accepts notice on behalf of the petitioners and fairly submits that he has no objection to the prayer for impleadment. He further submits that in any event, the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare has already been impleaded as a respondent in the connected writ petition.
- 8. In view of the aforesaid stand taken by the petitioner as also the averments made in the application, it is evident that the presence of the aforesaid Ministry would be essential for the determination of the issues raised in the petition.
- 9. The application is, accordingly, allowed by impleading the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India as Respondent No.3.
- 10. Let the amended memo of parties be filed within one week.
- 11. The newly impleaded respondent is granted three weeks' time to file its counter affidavit. Rejoinder thereto, be filed within two weeks thereafter. W.P.(C) 4483/2021
- 12. List the matter on the date already fixed i.e. 19th July, 2021.

(REKHA PALLI) VACATION JUDGE (AMIT BANSAL) VACATION JUDGE JUNE 9, 2021 A