Hiralal vs The State Of Madhya Pradesh on 22 February, 2021

Author: Mohammed Fahim Anwar

Bench: Mohammed Fahim Anwar

The High Court Of Madhya Pradesh
MCRC-8370-2021
(HIRALAL Vs THE STATE OF MAD

Jabalpur, Dated : 22-02-2021 Shri Sandeep Mahawar, learned counsel for t

Shri Atul Dwivedi, learned Panel Lawyer for

1

Heard. Case diary perused.

This is the first application filed under Section 439 of the Co de of Criminal Procedure for grant of bail to the applicant, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.77/2021, registered at Police Station City Kotwali, Khandwa, District Khandwa for commission of offence punishable under Sections 420, 269, 272 and 273 of the IPC and Sections 51, 54, 56, 57 and 59 (1) of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006.

The case of the prosecution is that, an information was received by the Police that at Tadi Khana, under the jurisdiction of Police Station City Kotwali, in a tinny factory artificial/substandard spices are being made by means of adulteration. On the basis of the said information, the said premises was raided on 29.01.2021; wherein, applicant was found to be involved in mixing rice and chemical colour in the packet of turmeric and other the spices which are harmful for the human consumption. He was not in possession of any valid and effective licence to run the said factory. He was taken into custody on 29.01.2021. On interrogation he has revealed the name of other co-accused under whom he was working as a labour after getting Rs.250/- per day. On that basis, above mentioned crime against the applicant and other co-accused persons has been registered.

Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is innocent, has not committed any offence and has falsely been implicated in the crime. It is further submitted that the applicant is said to be a Labourer in the factory where spices were adulterated. It is also submitted that the applicant is a permanent resident of the address shown in the application. He is ready and willing to furnish adequate surety and shall abide by all terms and 2 MCRC-8370-2021 conditions imposed up on her. There is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the prosecution witnesses. He is in judicial custody since 29.01.2021. In view of aforesaid, it is prayed that applicant be released on bail.

Learned Panel Lawyer for the respondent/State on the other hand has vehemently opposed the application.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case in their entirety, particularly the facts as pointed out by the learned counsel for the applicant, period of his detention (since 29.01.2021) and also the exigency of 'COVID19' disease, this application is allowed.

It is directed that the applicant shall be released on b ail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand only) with one solvent surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The applicant shall abide by the conditions as enumerated under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C.

In view of the spread of 'Corona Virus disease (COVID-19)' the concerned jail authorities are directed to follow the directions/guidelines issued by the Government of India with regard to COVID-19 before releasing the applicant.

This application stands allowed and disposed of. C.C., as per rules.

(MOHD. FAHIM ANWAR) JUDGE taj