Saberi Noman Ahmed Sayyed Ahmed vs The State Of Maharashtra on 22 July, 2024

Author: Shivkumar Dige

Bench: Shivkumar Dige

2024:BHC-AUG:15341

aba903.24

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH AT AURANGABAD

75 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 903 OF 2024

Saberi Noman Ahmed Sayyed Ahmed VERSUS The State of Maharashtra

. .

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Bora Satyajit S. APP for Respondents: Mr. C.V. Bhadane

.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J. DATED : 22nd JULY, 2024.

PER COURT :-

- The applicant apprehends arrest in connection with crime No.
 of 2024 registered with Ahmedpur Police Station, district Latur
 for the offences punishable under sections 188, 272, 273, 328 r.w. 34
 of Indian Penal Code and Section 59 of the Food Safety and
 Standards Act, 2006.
- 2. It is the prosecution's case that on 17.4.2024 the police have conducted Nakabandi on account of Parliamentary elections. During the Nakabandi, the police found one vehicle bearing No. MH-28-BB-

3269. During the search of the said vehicle, the police found that the Gutkha was being carried out in the said vehicle. Accordingly, the police seized the Gutkha of around worth Rs.21,52,800/-. The police seized the Gutkha and arrested co-accused Rafik Abdul Shabir, Amin aba903.24

-2-

Ulla Shami Ulla, Alok Rajeshwar Reddy and Yogesh Nitin Yadav on the spot. It is alleged that the applicant had sent co-accused Alok Reddy and Yogesh Yadav to check whether the truck which was carrying out the Gutkha was seized by the police or not.

- 3. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. The allegations against the applicant are that he had sent co-accused to see that if the vehicle carrying out the Gutkha is seized by the police or not. No specific role is attributed to the applicant. Considering the allegations against the applicant, his custodial interrogation is not required and hence requested to allow the application.
- 4. It is the contention of the learned APP that the applicant is main preparator found in the said crime. He had sent co-accused to see if the vehicle carrying out the Gutkha is seized or not. The custodial interrogation of the applicant is required. Hence requested to reject the application.
- 5. I have heard both the learned counsel. Perused the F.I.R. and the police papers produced on record. The allegations against the

Saberi Noman Ahmed Sayyed Ahmed vs The State Of Maharashtra on 22 July, 2024 applicant are that he had sent co-accused to see if the vehicle carrying out the Gutkha is seized or not. Except this allegation, there aba903.24

-3-

are no allegations against the applicant. Considering the allegations against the applicant, the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required. Hence I pass the following order :-

ORDER

- (i) The application is allowed.
- (ii) The interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant vide order dated 04.06.2024 stands confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.) rlj/