

Rajat @ Munna vs State Of Maharashtra on 19 November, 2024

Bench: J.K. Maheshwari, Rajesh Bindal

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.7

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)

No(s). 12477/202

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-08-2024 in CRA(ABA) No. 516/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur]

RAJAT @ MUNNA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. IA No. 219986/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 19-11-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Akash Kakade, Adv.
Mr. Somanatha Padhan, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1 The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with FIR No. 595/2024 dated 25.06.2024 registered at Police Station Saoner, District Nagpur (Rural), Maharashtra for the offences Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 26(1), 26(2)(iv), 27(3)(e), 30(2)(a), 3(1)(zz)(iv) and 59 of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006. 2 While issuing notice on 14.10.2024, by way of interim relief, direction for not taking coercive steps subject to cooperation in the investigation, was issued.

3 After hearing learned counsel for the parties and in the facts of this case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we deem it appropriate to confirm the order of interim protection and deem it appropriate to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4 In view of the above, we direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing suitable bail bonds and sureties and on such other terms and conditions as may be deemed fit by the Station House Officer of the concerned police station.

5 We further direct that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when required and co-operate in the same abiding all the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

6 It is made clear here that violation, if any, by the petitioner, may give a cause to take recourse as permissible and the trial Court is at liberty to do the needful.

7 Accordingly and in view of the foregoing, this special leave petition stands allowed.

8 Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
AR-CUM-PS

(NAND KISHOR)
COURT MASTER