

# Tej Pratap Singh vs State Of U.P. And 2 Others on 9 August, 2023

**Author: Mahesh Chandra Tripathi**

**Bench: Mahesh Chandra Tripathi**

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

?

Neutral Citation No. - 2023:AHC:160725-DB

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 23660 of 2023

Petitioner :- Tej Pratap Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ram Kishor Gupta, Shiv Shankar Gupta

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar, J.

1. Heard Sri Ram Kishor Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri S.C. Upadhyay, learned standing counsel for the State-respondents.

2. By means of present writ petition the petitioner has challenged the impugned order dated 22.06.2023 by which the respondent no.2, Food Safety Officer, Deoria has suspended the general manufacturing license of the petitioner.

3. The facts of the case are that the petitioner is a manufacturer of sweet and the Assistant Commissioner (Food)-III, Deoria issued a notice to the petitioner on 31.05.2023, under Section 32 of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 for improvement of sanitary and hygienic standards and worker's hygiene. Subsequently, the respondent no.2 vide impugned order dated 22.06.2023 suspended the license of the petitioner on the ground that there is no information about the improvement. In such a situation, the petitioner has approached this Court.

4. When the matter has been taken up today, Sri S.C. Upadhya has placed before us the detailed instructions, which indicate that the impugned suspension order has already been withdrawn and the license of the petitioner has been restored by the competent authority.

5. In view of the fact that the relief claimed by the petitioner has been fulfilled, the writ petition has become infructuous.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

Order Date :- 9.8.2023 S.P.