Counterexample to multinomial dominating residual coalescence rate

Suzie Brown

April 4, 2019

We have conjectured that the expected coalescence rate should be higher for multinomial resampling than for residual resampling. This is definitely true asymptotically (equation 9 in the report), but here is a counter-example in the finite case.

Take the scenario with N=3 particles, and weights $w_t^{(1:3)}=(0.3,0.3,0.4)$. The possible outcomes of resampling are listed in the table below, along with their probabilities under multinomial and residual resampling.

Outcome	No. of cases	$\sum (v_t^{(i)})_2$	Probability (multinomial)	Probability (residual)
(3,0,0) or $(0,3,0)$	2	6	27/1000	0
(0, 0, 3)	1	6	64/1000	4/64
(2,1,0) or $(1,2,0)$	2	2	81/1000	0
(2,0,1) or $(0,2,1)$	2	2	108/1000	9/64
(1,0,2) or $(0,1,2)$	2	2	144/1000	12/64
(1, 1, 1)	1	0	216/1000	18/64

Actually not really, I just added it up wrong the first time.

 $\mathbb{E}[c_N^m(t)|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0.34$

 $\mathbb{E}[c_N^r(t)|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 18/64 \simeq 0.28$

Suzie Brown 1