# Non-triviality condition

Suzie Brown

April 24, 2020

#### Multinomial resampling: neutral case

**Lemma 1.** For all  $N \geq 2$ , for all t,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[c_N(t)\middle|\mathbf{w}=\left(\frac{1}{N},\ldots,\frac{1}{N}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{N}.$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[c_N(t)|\mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)\right] = \frac{1}{(N)_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2 \mid \mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{(N)_2} \sum_{i=1}^N (N)_2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N^2} = \frac{1}{N}$$

**Lemma 2.** For all  $N \ge 4$ , for all t,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(c_N(t))^2\middle|\mathbf{w}=\left(\frac{1}{N},\ldots,\frac{1}{N}\right)\right]=\frac{N+2}{N^3}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}\left[(c_N(t))^2\big|\mathbf{w}=(1/N,\ldots,1/N)\right] = \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2(\nu_t^{(j)})_2\big|\mathbf{w}=(1/N,\ldots,1/N)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j\neq i}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2(\nu_t^{(j)})_2\big|\mathbf{w}=(1/N,\ldots,1/N)\right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2^2\big|\mathbf{w}=(1/N,\ldots,1/N)\right]\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j\neq i}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2(\nu_t^{(j)})_2\big|\mathbf{w}=(1/N,\ldots,1/N)\right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_4 + 4(\nu_t^{(i)})_3 + 2(\nu_t^{(i)})_2\big|\mathbf{w}=(1/N,\ldots,1/N)\right]\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j\neq i}^N (N)_4 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left((N)_4 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^4 + 4(N)_3 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^3 + 2(N)_2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^2\right)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{N(N-1)(N)_4 \frac{1}{N^4} + N(N)_4 \frac{1}{N^4} + 4N(N)_3 \frac{1}{N^3} + 2N(N)_2 \frac{1}{N^2}\right\} \\ &= \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{N^4} + \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{N^4(N-1)} + \frac{4(N-2)}{N^3(N-1)} + \frac{2}{N^2(N-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^4(N-1)} \left[(N-2)(N-3)(N-1+1) + 4N(N-2) + 2N^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N^3(N-1)} \left[N^2 - 5N + 6 + 4N - 8 + 2N\right] = \frac{N^2 + N - 2}{N^3(N-1)} = \frac{(N+2)(N-1)}{N^3(N-1)} = \frac{N+2}{N^3}. \end{split}$$

Suzie Brown

**Lemma 3.** For all  $N \ge 4$ , for all t,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \middle| \mathbf{w} = \left(\frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}\right) \right] \ge \left(1 - \frac{2}{N}\right)^2 \frac{N}{N+2}.$$

*Proof.* We apply the Paley-Zygmund inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \theta \,\mathbb{E}[c_N(t)|\mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)]|\mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)\right] \ge (1-\theta)^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}[c_N(t)|\mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)]^2}{\mathbb{E}[(c_N(t))^2|\mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)]}.$$

Setting  $\theta = 2/N$  and using Lemmata 1–2,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \middle| \mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)\right] \ge \left(1 - \frac{2}{N}\right)^2 \frac{(1/N)^2}{(N+2)/N^3} = \left(1 - \frac{2}{N}\right)^2 \frac{N}{N+2}.$$

**Theorem 1.** In the neutral case (i.e. when all weights are equal at every time step) with multinomial resampling, there exists  $N_0$  such that for all  $N > N_0$ , for all finite t,  $\mathbb{P}[\tau_N(t) = \infty] = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us rewrite the event of interest in a different way.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\tau_N(t) = \infty] &= 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}[\tau_N(t) < \infty] = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left[\min\left\{s > 1 : \sum_{r=1}^s c_N(r) < t\right\} < \infty\right] = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left[\exists s < \infty : \sum_{r=1}^s c_N(r) < t\right] = 1 \end{split}$$

It is sufficient to show that, for all  $N > N_0$ ,  $c_N(r)$  is bounded away from zero infinitely often in r. We consider the sequence of events  $E_r := \{c_N(r) > 2/N^2\}$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the neutral case, the resampled family sizes at each generation are independent, hence the events  $E_r$  are independent. By the second Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $E_r$  occurs infinitely often if  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_r) = \infty$ . A lower bound on  $\mathbb{P}(E_r)$  is given in Lemma 3. For any fixed  $N \geq 4$ , the bound is strictly positive and constant in r, so the Borel-Cantelli condition is satisfied, thus we conclude that  $E_r$  occurs infinitely often. Hence, taking  $N_0 = 3$ , we have that  $\mathbb{P}[\tau_N(t) = \infty] = 0$  for all  $N > N_0$  and all finite t, as required.

## Multinomial resampling: non-neutral case

**Lemma 4.** For all  $N \geq 2$ , for all t, for any weight vector  $(w_1, \ldots, w_N)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \middle| \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)\right] \ge \mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \middle| \mathbf{w} = \left(\frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}\right)\right].$$

That is, the probability of having at least one merger is minimised by the vector of equal weights.

*Proof.* Fix arbitrary t and N > 2. Firstly notice that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \mid \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)\right] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[c_N(t) = 0 \mid \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)]$$
$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}[\nu_t^{(1:N)} = (1, \dots, 1) \mid \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)].$$

Since, conditional on the weights,  $\nu_t^{(1:N)} \sim \text{Multinomial}(N, (w_1, \dots, w_N))$ , the probability of interest is

$$\mathbb{P}[\nu_t^{(1:N)} = (1,\dots,1) \mid \mathbf{w} = (w_1,\dots,w_N)] = N! \prod_{i=1}^N w_i.$$
(1)

We will show that the global maximum of this function on the simplex  $S_{N-1}$  is attained at  $\mathbf{w} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)$ . This weight vector will therefore minimise the probability of the complementary event, implying the desired result.

Suzie Brown 2

First, since we are working on the simplex, we encode the constraint  $\sum w_i = 1$  by rewriting the function to optimise as

$$f(\mathbf{w}) := \prod_{i=1}^{N} w_i = \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} w_j\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} w_i$$

where we have also dropped the constant positive factor N!. Now, for every  $k \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$ , we solve

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_k} = \left(1 - w_k - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} w_j\right) \prod_{i \neq k}^{N-1} w_i = 0.$$

The product over  $i \neq k$  is constant for each k, so this reduces to solving

$$w_k = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} w_j = w_N$$

for all k. The unique solution is  $w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_N = 1/N$ .

To verify that this critical point is a maximum, we calculate the Hessian H:

$$H_{kl}(\mathbf{w}) = \begin{cases} -2 \prod_{i \neq k}^{N-1} w_i & k = l \\ \left(1 - w_k - w_l - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} w_j\right) \prod_{i \neq k, l}^{N-1} w_i & k \neq l \end{cases}$$

$$H_{kl}((1/N, \dots, 1/N)) = \begin{cases} -2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-2} & k = l \\ -\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-2} & k \neq l \end{cases}$$

and show that H is negative semi-definite: for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}^{T}H\mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[ -2\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-2} x_{k}^{2} - \sum_{l \neq k}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-2} x_{k} x_{l} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-2} \left[ -\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 2x_{k}^{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{l \neq k}^{N-1} x_{k} x_{l} \right]$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-2} \left[ -\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} x_{k}^{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} x_{k} x_{l} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-2} \left[ -\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} x_{k}^{2} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} x_{k}\right)^{2} \right] \leq 0.$$

**Lemma 5.** With multinomial resampling, conditional on any sequence of weight vectors  $\mathbf{w}_r^{(1:N)} \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}; r \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $N_0$  such that for all  $N > N_0$ , for all finite t,  $\mathbb{P}[\tau_N(t) = \infty] = 0$ .

## Conditional SMC with multinomial resampling: optimal weights

Define  $\mathbf{w}^* := \frac{1}{N-1} [(1, \dots, 1) - \mathbf{e}_{i^*}]$ , where  $i^*$  is the immortal index at generation t, and  $\mathbf{e}_i$  denotes a 1-hot vector.

**Lemma 6.** For all  $N \geq 2$ , for all t,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[c_N(t) \mid \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] = \frac{N-2}{N(N-1)}.$$

*Proof.* Since the immortal particle has weight zero, the remaining offspring counts are distributed as Multinomial  $(N-1,(1/(N-1),\ldots,1/(N-1)))$ . We can apply the usual formula for factorial moments of the Multinomial distribution:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[c_N(t) \mid \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] = \frac{1}{(N)_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2 \mid \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] = \frac{1}{(N)_2} \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N (N-1)_2 \left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)^2 = \frac{N-2}{N(N-1)}.$$

Suzie Brown 3

**Lemma 7.** For all  $N \ge 4$ , for all t,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(c_N(t))^2\big|\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^*\right] = \frac{(N-2)(N^3-2N^2+2)}{N^2(N-1)^4}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}\left[(c_N(t))^2 \mid \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] = \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2(\nu_t^{(j)})_2 \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N \sum_{j \neq i^*}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2(\nu_t^{(j)})_2 \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{ \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N \sum_{j \neq i, i^*}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2(\nu_t^{(j)})_2 \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] + \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2^2 \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{ \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N \sum_{j \neq i, i^*}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_2(\nu_t^{(j)})_2 \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] + \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N \mathbb{E}\left[(\nu_t^{(i)})_4 + 4(\nu_t^{(i)})_3 + 2(\nu_t^{(i)})_2 \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{ \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N \sum_{j \neq i, i^*}^N (N - 1)_4 \left(\frac{1}{N - 1}\right)^4 + \sum_{i \neq i^*}^N \left((N - 1)_4 \left(\frac{1}{N - 1}\right)^4 + 4(N - 1)_3 \left(\frac{1}{N - 1}\right)^3 + 2(N - 1)_2 \left(\frac{1}{N - 1}\right)^2\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(N)_2^2} \left\{ \frac{(N - 1)_2(N - 1)_4}{(N - 1)^4} + \frac{(N - 1)(N - 1)_4}{(N - 1)^4} + \frac{4(N - 1)(N - 1)_3}{(N - 1)^3} + \frac{2(N - 1)(N - 1)_2}{(N - 1)^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{(N - 2)(N^3 - 2N^2 + 2)}{N^2(N - 1)^4}. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 8.** For all  $N \geq 4$ , for all t,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right] \ge \left(1 - \frac{2(N-1)}{N(N-2)}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2N^2 - 5N + 4}{N^3 - 2N^2 + 2}\right).$$

*Proof.* We apply the Paley-Zygmund inequality, with  $\theta = \frac{2(N-1)}{N(N-2)}$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_{N}(t) > \theta \,\mathbb{E}[c_{N}(t)|\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{*}]|\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{*}] \geq (1 - \theta)^{2} \frac{\mathbb{E}[c_{N}(t)|\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{*}]^{2}}{\mathbb{E}[(c_{N}(t))^{2}|\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{*}]} \\
= \left(1 - \frac{2(N - 1)}{N(N - 2)}\right)^{2} \frac{(N - 2)^{2}}{N^{2}(N - 1)^{2}} \frac{N^{2}(N - 1)^{4}}{(N - 2)(N^{3} - 2N^{2} + 2)} \\
= \left(1 - \frac{2(N - 1)}{N(N - 2)}\right)^{2} \frac{(N - 1)^{2}(N - 2)}{N^{3} - 2N^{2} + 2} = \left(1 - \frac{2(N - 1)}{N(N - 2)}\right)^{2} \left(1 - \frac{2N^{2} - 5N + 4}{N^{3} - 2N^{2} + 2}\right).$$

**Theorem 2.** In conditional SMC with multinomial resampling, in the optimal case where the weight vector is equal to  $\mathbf{w}^*$  at every time step, there exists  $N_0$  such that for all  $N > N_0$ , for all finite t,  $\mathbb{P}[\tau_N(t) = \infty] = 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is exactly the same as for Theorem 1; Lemma 8 provides the bound on  $P(E_r)$  which is strictly positive and constant in r.

## Conditional SMC with multinomial resampling: general weights

**Lemma 9.** For all  $N \geq 2$ , for all t, for any weight vector  $(w_1, \ldots, w_N)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \middle| \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)\right] \ge \mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \middle| \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^*\right].$$

Suzie Brown

Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}\left[c_N(t) > \frac{2}{N^2} \mid \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)\right] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[\nu_t^{(1:N)} = (1, \dots, 1) \mid \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_N)] = (N-1)! \prod_{i \neq i^*}^N w_i$$

since the immortal particle  $i^*$  is automatically assigned one offspring. This is equivalent to the expression we had in the standard case (1), except with N-1 particles rather than N. As we saw in Lemma 4, this function is maximised at the vector of equal weights, in this case  $\mathbf{w}_{-i^*} = \frac{1}{N-1}(1,\ldots,1)$ . This leaves zero weight for the immortal particle, so overall we have  $\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{N-1} \left\{ (1,\ldots,1) - \mathbf{e}_{i^*} \right\}$  as required.

Suzie Brown 5