Weak convergence proof v.2 (neater) (in progress)

Suzie Brown

January 19, 2021

Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} c_N(s_j) \geq t^l - \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\tau_N(t)} c_N(s)^2 \right) \binom{l}{2} (t+1)^{l-2}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. As pointed out in Koskela et al. (2018, Equation (8)),

$$\sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} c_N(s_j) \geq \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\tau_N(t)} c_N(s) \right)^l - \binom{l}{2} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\tau_N(t)} c_N(s)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\tau_N(t)} c_N(s) \right)^{l-2}. \tag{2}$$

By definition of τ_N,

$$t \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\tau_N(t)} c_N(s) \leq t+1. \tag{3}$$

Substituting these bounds into the RHS of (2) yields the result. □

Lemma 2.

$$\sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} c_N(s_j) \leq t^l + c_N(\tau_N(t))(t+1)^l. \tag{4}$$

Proof. It is a true fact that

$$\sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} c_N(s_j) \leq \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\tau_N(t)} c_N(s) \right)^l, \tag{5}$$

as can be seen by considering the multinomial expansion of the RHS. This is further bounded by

$$\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\tau_N(t)} c_N(s) \right)^l \leq \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\tau_N(t)-1} c_N(s) + c_N(\tau_N(t)) \right)^l \leq \left[t + c_N(\tau_N(t)) \right]^l, \tag{6}$$

again using the definition of τ_N. A binomial expansion yields

$$\left[t + c_N(\tau_N(t)) \right]^l = t^l + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{i} t^i c_N(\tau_N(t))^{l-i} = t^l + c_N(\tau_N(t)) \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{i} t^i c_N(\tau_N(t))^{l-1-i}, \tag{7}$$

then since $c_N(s) \leq 1$ for all s,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{i} t^i c_N(\tau_N(t))^{l-1-i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{i} t^i \leq (t+1)^l. \tag{8}$$

Putting this together yields the result. □

Lemma 3. *Let B be a positive constant which may depend on n.*

$$\sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} \left[c_N(s_j) + B D_N(s_j) \right] \leq \sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} c_N(s_j) + \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\tau_N(t)} D_N(s) \right) (t+1)^{l-1} (1+B)^l. \tag{9}$$

Lemma 4. *Let B be a positive constant which may depend on n.*

$$\sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} [c_N(s_j) - BD_N(s_j)] \geq \sum_{s_1 \neq \cdots \neq s_l}^{\tau_N(t)} \prod_{j=1}^{l} c_N(s_j) - \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\tau_N(t)} D_N(s) \right) (t+1)^{l-1}(1+B)^l. \tag{10}$$

References

Koskela, J., Jenkins, P. A., Johansen, A. M. and Spanò, D. (2018), Asymptotic genealogies of interacting particle systems with an application to sequential Monte Carlo, Mathematics e-print 1804.01811, ArXiv.