NHL Stats KNN

Sasank Vishnubhatla

Friday, December 21, 2018

Inspiration

In the NHL, there are superstars, elite players, middle of the pack fillers, and waiver-wire warriors. Much like in the MLB, teams dip deep into their farm system and sometimes replace players that are not performing. At the end of the year, the best players usually end up with the most points scored, while average players usually sit in the middle of the pack, while enforcers and developing players sit near the bottom. Most analysts and fans agree that ranking players by their point production is one of the best ways to determine how good the player is. Using machine learning, I'm going to test that hypothesis and see if it is possible to accurately predict a player's rank given solely their core stats.

The package we will be using is the caret package. Caret has over 100 machine learning algorithms, one of them being the K Nearest Neighbors algorithm.

```
library(caret)

## Loading required package: lattice

## Loading required package: ggplot2

library(dplyr)

##

## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':

##

## filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

##

## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

Instead of eyeballing the data and determining tiers like that, the K Nearest Neighbors (KNN) algorithm looks at each point and determines it's class based on it's neighbors. By using this algorithm, we can see boundaries in the data and see which players are similar to other. From this, we will be able to see artificial tiers that are prevalent statistically. However, if the boundaries are too small or there are too many classes, then there more likely to be no tiers.

Data

The data used to train will be from the 2016-2017 season. All the data was taken from Hockey Reference.

```
rawData = as.data.frame(read.csv("complete.csv", header = TRUE))
```

Our data is not in a nice form and contains some information that we don't need. So, let's reconfigure our dataset. Here's a list of fields we'll need to get:

- Names
- Goals
- Assists
- Plus/Minus

- Penalty Minutes
- Game Winning Goals
- Shots
- Blocks
- Hits
- Faceoff Win Percentage

We'll be looking at players that played at least 20 games. So, we need to get all the players that have played at least 20 games.

Now that we have the data, we can recreate the dataframe for the KNN model. I'll include the rank so that I can match the data to the name later on after we've trained the model. However, the rank will not go into the model.

```
trainData = totalData[totalData$gp > 19,]
trainData = subset(trainData, select = c(-1, -2))
```

Now with the training data, we can create our KNN model. For the model, we'll want the output to be rank, as the higher the rank, the better the player is. First we have to pre-process our data, then we can train 2 models: a control model and the KNN model.

```
trainRank = trainData[, names(trainData) != "rank"]
preprocess = preProcess(x = trainRank, method = c("center", "scale"))
```

Now that we've pre-processed our data, let's create the two models.

```
controlModel = trainControl(method = "repeatedcv", repeats = 5)
knnModel = train(rank ~ ., data = trainData, method = "knn", trControl = controlModel, preProcess = c("
```

Now, let's see what the knnModel returns:

knnModel

```
## k-Nearest Neighbors
##
## 675 samples
     9 predictor
##
##
## Pre-processing: centered (9), scaled (9)
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 5 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 607, 607, 607, 607, 607, 609, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
         RMSE
                   Rsquared
    k
                              MAE
```

```
##
      5
         51.00981
                    0.9413463
                                39.23104
##
      7
         50.67044
                    0.9426570
                                39.24440
##
      9
         51.70372
                    0.9413297
                                39.79791
##
         51.79845
                    0.9420744
     11
                                39.96153
##
     13
         52.34627
                    0.9413844
                                40.26115
##
     15
         52.94797
                    0.9405629
                                40.76706
##
     17
         53.86297
                    0.9391027
                                41.78728
##
     19
         54.48101
                    0.9386773
                                42.37737
##
     21
         55.55940
                    0.9369643
                                43.44543
##
     23
         56.62866
                    0.9351037
                                44.46464
##
     25
         57.39896
                    0.9338392
                                45.17971
##
     27
         58.08002
                    0.9327417
                                45.75639
##
     29
         58.58471
                    0.9322308
                                46.25654
                    0.9313957
##
     31
         59.22346
                                46.88243
##
                                47.38913
     33
         59.79890
                    0.9307187
##
     35
         60.39969
                    0.9298700
                                48.00933
##
     37
         61.13679
                    0.9286065
                                48.76266
##
     39
         61.76470
                    0.9276020
                                49.44057
##
         62.35067
     41
                    0.9268367
                                49.98451
##
     43
         63.03385
                    0.9256502
                                50.62675
##
     45
         63.78928
                    0.9243577
                                51.35336
##
     47
         64.41850
                    0.9233677
                                52.04771
##
     49
         65.07894
                    0.9221734
                                52.65819
##
     51
         65.69786
                    0.9209359
                                53.21863
##
     53
         66.31903
                    0.9197767
                                53.71696
##
## RMSE was used to select the optimal model using the smallest value.
## The final value used for the model was k = 7.
```

Testing Players from Last Year (2017-2018)

Using our KNN model, let's test a few players from last year. Here is the list of players I'm selecting:

- Sidney Crosby (rank 2)
- Alexander Ovechkin (rank 21)
- Eric Staal (rank 29)
- Anze Kopitar (rank 89)
- John Klingberg (rank 107)
- Brayden Point (rank 161)
- Tom Wilson (rank 356)
- Austin Watson (rank 390)
- Ryan Reaves (rank 449)

So let's make our testing data frame.

```
"shots" = data17$S,
    "blocks" = data17$BLK,
    "hits" = data17$HIT,
    "fowp" = data17$FO_percent)
selectRanks = c(2, 21, 29, 89, 107, 161, 356, 390, 449)
testData = testData[testData$rank %in% selectRanks,]
testingData = subset(testData, select = c(-1, -2))
```

Now, let's use the predict function to predict for each of these players.

```
knnPrediction = predict.train(knnModel, newdata = testingData)
```

So, our predictions are:

```
knnPrediction
```

```
## [1] 13.42857 29.14286 30.28571 101.85714 123.00000 163.57143 382.14286 ## [8] 373.00000 512.14286
```

So, let's match the prediction with the player now:

```
comparison = subset(testData, select = c(1, 3))
comparison$prediction = knnPrediction
comparison
```

```
##
                           name rank prediction
## 2
       Sidney Crosby\\crosbsi01
                                       13.42857
                                   2
## 21
       Alex Ovechkin\\ovechal01
                                  21
                                       29.14286
## 29
          Eric Staal\\staaler01 29
                                       30.28571
## 89
        Anze Kopitar\\kopitan01 89 101.85714
## 107 John Klingberg\\klingjo01 107
                                      123.00000
## 161
       Brayden Point\\pointbr01 161
                                      163.57143
## 356
          Tom Wilson\\wilsoto01 356
                                      382.14286
## 390
       Austin Watson\\watsoau01
                                      373.00000
## 449
         Ryan Reaves\\reavery01 449
                                      512.14286
```

With just one year of data, we see that our model under predicts most players in their rank. So, let's re-train our model but with multiple years of data.

Re-training the Model With 5 Years Worth of Data

Let's use data from 2011-2012 to 2016-2017 instead of just one year of data.

```
trainData = totalData[totalData$gp > 19,]
trainData = subset(trainData, select = c(-1, -2))
controlModel = trainControl(method = "repeatedcy", repeats = 5)
knnModel = train(rank ~ ., data = trainData, method = "knn", trControl = controlModel, preProcess = c("
So, our new model looks like this:
knnModel
## k-Nearest Neighbors
##
## 3297 samples
##
     9 predictor
##
## Pre-processing: centered (9), scaled (9)
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 5 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 2968, 2968, 2967, 2966, 2967, 2968, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
    k
        RMSE
                  Rsquared
                             MAE
##
     5 66.61172 0.8903800
                            49.66203
       65.29050 0.8953526 48.51905
##
     7
     9 64.88180 0.8972419 48.07861
##
##
    11 64.78164 0.8979291 47.80102
##
    13 64.57614 0.8989377
                             47.68960
##
    15 64.44363 0.8997463 47.62924
##
    17 64.63827 0.8994884 47.77185
##
    19 64.79318 0.8994257
                            47.90355
##
    21 65.10804 0.8987841
                            48.08787
##
    23 65.30219 0.8984461
                             48.20189
##
    25 65.68613 0.8974133 48.44599
    27 65.97473 0.8966945 48.65017
##
##
    29 66.36925 0.8956176 48.91066
##
    31 66.66951 0.8948468 49.15850
##
    33 66.89451 0.8943612 49.34222
##
    35 67.13160 0.8938247 49.54323
##
    37 67.33772 0.8933782 49.68456
##
    39 67.60309 0.8926944 49.89918
    41 67.93215 0.8917603 50.13167
##
##
    43 68.15525 0.8911929
                             50.31148
##
    45 68.39234 0.8905936
                             50.50002
##
    47 68.62302 0.8900040
                             50.69770
##
    49 68.89119 0.8893020
                             50.89284
##
    51 69.16732 0.8885429
                             51.08548
##
       69.39341 0.8879752 51.25251
##
## RMSE was used to select the optimal model using the smallest value.
## The final value used for the model was k = 15.
We can now re-test it:
```

So, let's match the prediction with the player now:

knnPrediction = predict.train(knnModel, newdata = testingData)

```
newComparison = subset(testData, select = c(1, 3))
newComparison$prediction = knnPrediction
newComparison
```

```
##
                            name rank prediction
## 2
        Sidney Crosby\\crosbsi01
                                    2
                                         14.80000
## 21
        Alex Ovechkin\\ovechal01
                                   21
                                         36.66667
## 29
           Eric Staal\\staaler01
                                   29
                                         41.26667
         Anze Kopitar\\kopitan01
## 89
                                   89
                                        97.46667
## 107 John Klingberg\\klingjo01
                                  107
                                        159.60000
        Brayden Point\\pointbr01
## 161
                                  161
                                        139.33333
## 356
           Tom Wilson\\wilsoto01
                                  356
                                        398.60000
## 390
        Austin Watson\\watsoau01
                                  390
                                        373.13333
## 449
          Ryan Reaves\\reavery01
                                        471.13333
```

So, we can see with the new data, our model still isn't able to pinpoint the ranks as well as we'd expect. This just shows how variable scoring is year to year, and how difficult it is to be consistent in the NHL.