NHL Stats KNN

Inspiration

In the NHL, there are superstars, elite players, middle of the pack fillers, and waiver-wire warriors. Much like in the MLB, teams dip deep into their farm system and sometimes replace players that are not performing. At the end of the year, the best players usually end up with the most points scored, while average players usually sit in the middle of the pack, while enforcers and developing players sit near the bottom. Most analysts and fans agree that ranking players by their point production is one of the best ways to determine how good the player is. Using machine learning, I'm going to test that hypothesis and see if it is possible to accurately predict a player's rank given solely their core stats.

The package we will be using is the caret package. Caret has over 100 machine learning algorithms, one of them being the K Nearest Neighbors algorithm.

```
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2

library(dplyr)

##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
## filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
```

Instead of eyeballing the data and determining tiers like that, the K Nearest Neighbors (KNN) algorithm looks at each point and determines it's class based on it's neighbors. By using this algorithm, we can see boundaries in the data and see which players are similar to other. From this, we will be able to see artificial tiers that are prevalent statistically. However, if the boundaries are too small or there are too many classes, then there more likely to be no tiers.

Data

The data used to train will be from the 2016-2017 season. All the data was taken from Hockey

Reference.

```
rawData = as.data.frame(read.csv("complete.csv", header = TRUE))
```

Our data is not in a nice form and contains some information that we don't need. So, let's reconfigure our dataset. Here's a list of fields we'll need to get:

- Names
- Goals
- Assists
- Plus/Minus
- Penalty Minutes
- Game Winning Goals
- Shots
- Blocks
- Hits
- Faceoff Win Percentage

We'll be looking at players that played at least 20 games. So, we need to get all the players that have played at least 20 games.

Now that we have the data, we can recreate the dataframe for the KNN model. I'll include the rank so that I can match the data to the name later on after we've trained the model. However, the rank will not go into the model.

```
trainData = totalData[totalData$gp > 19,]
trainData = subset(trainData, select = c(-1, -2))
```

Now with the training data, we can create our KNN model. For the model, we'll want the output to be rank, as the higher the rank, the better the player is. First we have to pre-process our data, then we can train 2 models: a control model and the KNN model.

```
trainRank = trainData[, names(trainData) != "rank"]
preprocess = preProcess(x = trainRank, method = c("center", "scale"))
```

Now that we've pre-processed our data, let's create the two models.

```
controlModel = trainControl(method = "repeatedcv", repeats = 5)
knnModel = train(rank ~ ., data = trainData, method = "knn", trControl =
controlModel, preProcess = c("center", "scale"), tuneLength = 25)
```

Now, let's see what the knnModel returns:

knnModel

```
## k-Nearest Neighbors
##
## 675 samples
##
    9 predictor
##
## Pre-processing: centered (9), scaled (9)
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 5 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 607, 607, 607, 607, 607, 609, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
        RMSE
                  Rsquared
                             MAE
##
     5 51.00981 0.9413463 39.23104
##
     7
        50.67044 0.9426570 39.24440
##
     9 51.70372 0.9413297 39.79791
##
    11 51.79845 0.9420744 39.96153
##
    13 52.34627 0.9413844
                            40.26115
    <u>15 52.94797</u> 0.9405629 40.76706
##
    17 53.86297 0.9391027 41.78728
##
##
    19 54.48101 0.9386773 42.37737
##
    21 55.55940 0.9369643 43.44543
##
    23 56.62866 0.9351037 44.46464
    25 57.39896 0.9338392 45.17971
##
        58.08002 0.9327417
##
    27
                            45.75639
    29 58.58471 0.9322308 46.25654
##
##
    31 59.22346 0.9313957 46.88243
##
    33 59.79890 0.9307187
                             47.38913
##
    35 60.39969 0.9298700 48.00933
##
    37 61.13679 0.9286065 48.76266
    39 61.76470 0.9276020 49.44057
##
##
    41 62.35067 0.9268367 49.98451
##
    43 63.03385 0.9256502 50.62675
##
    45 63.78928 0.9243577
                             51.35336
##
    47 64.41850 0.9233677
                             52.04771
##
    49 65.07894 0.9221734 52.65819
##
    51 65.69786 0.9209359 53.21863
##
        66.31903
                 0.9197767
                             53.71696
##
## RMSE was used to select the optimal model using the smallest value.
```

Testing Players from Last Year (2017-2018)

Using our KNN model, let's test a few players from last year. Here is the list of players I'm selecting:

- Sidney Crosby (rank 2)
- Alexander Ovechkin (rank 21)
- Eric Staal (rank 29)
- Anze Kopitar (rank 89)
- John Klingberg (rank 107)
- Brayden Point (rank 161)
- Tom Wilson (rank 356)
- Austin Watson (rank 390)
- Ryan Reaves (rank 449)

So let's make our testing data frame.

```
data17 = rawData[rawData$Season == 2017,]
testData = data.frame("name" = data17$Player,
                        "gp" = data17\$GP,
                       "rank" = data17$Rk,
                  "goals" = data17$G,
                  "assists" = data17$A,
                  "pm" = data17$plusminus,
                  "pim" = data17\$PIM,
                  "gwg" = data17$GW,
                  "shots" = data17$S,
                  "blocks" = data17$BLK,
                  "hits" = data17$HIT,
                  "fowp" = data17$F0 percent)
selectRanks = c(2, 21, 29, 89, 107, 161, 356, 390, 449)
testData = testData[testData$rank %in% selectRanks,]
testingData = subset(testData, select = c(-1, -2))
```

Now, let's use the predict function to predict for each of these players.

```
knnPrediction = predict.train(knnModel, newdata = testingData)
```

So, our predictions are:

```
knnPrediction
```

```
## [1] 13.42857 29.14286 30.28571 101.85714 123.00000 163.57143 382.14286
## [8] 373.00000 512.14286
```

So, let's match the prediction with the player now:

```
comparison = subset(testData, select = c(1, 3))
comparison$prediction = knnPrediction
comparison
```

```
##
                            name rank prediction
## 2
       Sidney Crosby\\crosbsi01
                                   2
                                        13.42857
       Alex Ovechkin\\ovechal01
## 21
                                   21
                                        29.14286
## 29
           Eric Staal\\staaler01
                                   29
                                        30.28571
## 89
        Anze Kopitar\\kopitan01
                                  89 101.85714
## 107 John Klingberg\\klingjo01
                                  107 123.00000
## 161
       Brayden Point\\pointbr01
                                  161
                                      163.57143
  356
           Tom Wilson\\wilsoto01
                                  356
                                      382.14286
## 390
       Austin Watson\\watsoau01
                                  390
                                      373.00000
## 449
          Ryan Reaves\\reavery01
                                  449
                                      512.14286
```

With just one year of data, we see that our model under predicts most players in their rank. So, let's re-train our model but with multiple years of data.

Re-training the Model With 5 Years Worth of Data

Let's use data from 2011-2012 to 2016-2017 instead of just one year of data.

```
years = c(2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016)
data1116 = rawData[rawData$Season %in% years,]
totalData = data.frame("name" = data1116$Player,
                        "gp" = data1116$GP,
                       "rank" = data1116$Rk,
                  "goals" = data1116\$G,
                  "assists" = data1116$A,
                  "pm" = datall16$plusminus,
                  "pim" = data1116$PIM,
                  "gwg" = data1116$GW,
                  "shots" = data1116$S,
                  "blocks" = data1116$BLK,
                  "hits" = data1116$HIT,
                  "fowp" = data1116$F0_percent)
trainData = totalData[totalData$gp > 19,]
trainData = subset(trainData, select = c(-1, -2))
controlModel = trainControl(method = "repeatedcv", repeats = 5)
knnModel = train(rank ~ ., data = trainData, method = "knn", trControl =
controlModel, preProcess = c("center", "scale"), tuneLength = 25)
```

So, our new model looks like this:

```
## k-Nearest Neighbors
##
## 3297 samples
##
      9 predictor
##
## Pre-processing: centered (9), scaled (9)
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 5 times)
  Summary of sample sizes: 2968, 2968, 2967, 2966, 2967, 2968, ...
  Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
     k
         RMSE
                   Rsquared
                              MAE
##
      5
        66.61172
                   0.8903800
                              49.66203
                              48.51905
        65.29050
                   0.8953526
##
       64.88180
                              48.07861
##
                   0.8972419
     9
##
        64.78164
                   0.8979291
                              47.80102
     11
##
     13
        64.57614
                   0.8989377
                              47.68960
##
     15
        64.44363
                  0.8997463 47.62924
##
     17
        64.63827
                  0.8994884
                              47.77185
        64.79318 0.8994257
                              47.90355
##
     19
##
     21
        65.10804
                  0.8987841 48.08787
##
     23
        65.30219
                  0.8984461
                              48.20189
     25
       65.68613 0.8974133 48.44599
##
##
     27
        65.97473 0.8966945 48.65017
##
     29
        66.36925
                   0.8956176
                             48.91066
##
        66.66951 0.8948468 49.15850
     31
        66.89451 0.8943612
                              49.34222
##
     33
##
     35
        67.13160
                  0.8938247
                              49.54323
##
     37
        67.33772
                   0.8933782
                              49.68456
##
     39 67.60309
                  0.8926944
                             49.89918
     41 67.93215
##
                   0.8917603
                             50.13167
##
     43
        68.15525
                   0.8911929
                              50.31148
     45
        68.39234 0.8905936 50.50002
##
##
     47
        68.62302 0.8900040 50.69770
##
     49
        68.89119
                   0.8893020
                              50.89284
##
     51
        69.16732
                   0.8885429
                              51.08548
     53 69.39341 0.8879752 <u>51.25251</u>
##
##
## RMSE was used to select the optimal model using the smallest value.
  The final value used for the model was k = 15.
```

We can now re-test it:

```
knnPrediction = predict.train(knnModel, newdata = testingData)
```

So, let's match the prediction with the player now:

```
newComparison = subset(testData, select = c(1, 3))
newComparison$prediction = knnPrediction
newComparison
```

```
##
                             name rank prediction
## 2
        Sidney Crosby\\crosbsi01
                                     2
                                          14.80000
## 21
        Alex Ovechkin\\ovechal01
                                     21
                                          36.66667
## 29
                                     29
                                          41.26667
           Eric Staal\\staaler01
## 89
         Anze Kopitar\\kopitan01
                                     89
                                          97.46667
##
  107 John Klingberg\\klingjo01
                                    107
                                         159.60000
        Brayden Point\\pointbr01
## 161
                                    161
                                         139.33333
           Tom Wilson\\wilsoto01
## 356
                                    356
                                         398.60000
   390
        Austin Watson\\watsoau01
##
                                   390
                                         373.13333
## 449
                                         471.13333
          Ryan Reaves\\reavery01
                                   449
```

So, we can see with the new data, our model still isn't able to pinpoint the ranks as well as we'd expect. This just shows how variable scoring is year to year, and how difficult it is to be consistent in the NHL.