Introduction to Functional Programming

What to expect

- an overview of Functional Programming and basic concepts
- basic Haskell syntax (yes, we'll use Haskell!)
- being able to build a program!

Why Haskell?

- It's purely functional, so it's easier to identify the concepts being explained.
- Paradigm concepts are easily translated to other languages, such as Scala
- Because it's awesome
- It does not allow you to shoot yourself in the foot
- Because it's elegant and clean, it becomes easy to read once you know the minimal syntax

Functional programming

Simply put, it is programming with functions.

A function is:

- a description of a computation (a mapping from its input values to an output value);
- a value that can be passed over (or be returned from) to another function.

```
myFunction :: Arg1Type -> Arg2Type -> ... -> ReturnType
myFunction arg1 arg2 ... = body
```

We can call the function like this:

```
myFunction arg1 arg2
```

Functions can also be *pure* and *total*.

Pure functions

A function where the return value can only be affected by its input parameters, and it does not produce any observable side-effect is called a *pure* function - the only effect of the function is the produced return value.

- this property is called *referential transparency*
- it allows equational reasoning:

```
y = f x
g y == g (f x)
```

Or, if we know that $f \times is$ 42, we could simply replace $g \times y$ with $g \times 42$ and be sure that the program still works exactly the same.

Total functions

A function is called *total* when it has a return value for every combination of its possible input values.

For example, a total function could look like this:

```
f :: Integer -> Integer
f x = 2*x
```

Another example, where the function is only defined for just some input values, is **not** a total function:

```
f :: Integer -> Integer
f x y = x `div` y
```

Let's try:

```
> f 4 2
2
> f 4 0
*** Exception: divide by zero
```

Defining data types

```
data MyType = MyIntType Int | MyEmptyType | MyStringType String
```

Defines a new type MyType and provides three alternative data constructors:

- MyIntType has one Integer parameter
- MyEmptyType has no parameters
- MyStringType has one String parameter

```
> let x = MyIntType 1
> :type x
x :: MyType
```

List

A data structure that keeps a list of elements of the same type.

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
```

A list can either be empty list Nil, or Cons, that prepends an element of type a to a list of the same type.

A list of type a in Haskell is denoted as [a]. Nil is represented as [] and Cons -:.

```
> [1, 2, 3]
[1,2,3]
> 1 : 2: 3 : []
[1,2,3]
```

Some common functions:

- head [1, 2, 3] = 1
- tail [1, 2, 3] = [2, 3]
- take 2[1, 2, 3] = [1, 2]

Ranges

A simple way to create lists of number ranges, is to use the . . operator. For example:

```
> [1..5]
[1,2,3,4,5]
```

We can specify the increment amount for a range by telling the compiler what the second elment of the sequence will be:

```
> [1,3..11]
[1,3,5,7,9,11]
```

Ranges can be used to create lists of characters:

```
> ['a'..'f']
"abcdef"
> ['a','c'..'z']
"acegikmoqsuwy"
```

Ranges

We can also create infinite lists of numbers by not specifying the end limit value for the range, as so:

```
[1..]
```

Because Haskell is lazy, you can create such lists and the compiler will be able to handle them.

Going further

Let's look as some more advanced features provided by Haskell:

- Pattern matching
- Strong type system
- Curried functions
- Lambda functions
- Composing two functions
- Higher order functions
- Lazy evaluation

Pattern matching

- powerful alternative for many if statements
- functions are written in declarative manner
- destructuring matches

```
maybeDiv :: Int -> Int -> Maybe Int
maybeDiv _ 0 = Nothing
maybeDiv a b = Just (a `div` b)
```

If the second parameter is 0, the function will match the first case - maybeDiv _ 0 and return Nothing as the result - parameters marked with _ match everything and are ignored. For all other cases, the second line will match as the default case: maybeDiv a b and capture the argument values as a and b.

```
maybeDiv 10 2 == Just 5 -- True
maybeDiv 10 0 == Nothing -- True
```

Pattern matching

Let's create a Fibonacci number generator!

```
fib :: Integer -> Integer
fib 0 = 1
fib 1 = 1
fib n = fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)
```

- First the input argument is matched against the literal 0 if it matches the function returns 1 (fib 0 = 1).
- Otherwise, it is matched against the literal number 1 if it matches the function returns 1 (fib 1 = 1).
- If the above checks don't match, the input argument is matched against a variable n, which will match *any value* that was passed to the function, and place it in the variable n. At this point, the variable n can be used in the expression on the right side to make recursive calls to fib (fib n = fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)).

Destructuring matching

```
maybePlus :: Int -> Maybe Int -> Maybe Int
maybePlus a (Just b) = Just (b + a)
maybePlus _ Nothing = Nothing
```

If the second parameter is a value of <code>Just t-a possible value of Maybe Int type</code>, it matches the first case and labels the integer contained in the value as t. Alternatively, the second case matching <code>maybePlus _ Nothing will capture all inputs where the second argument is Nothing</code>.

```
maybePlus 10 (Just 5) == Just 15 -- True
maybePlus 10 Nothing == Nothing -- True
```

or, combined with the function defined earlier:

```
maybePlus 10 (maybeDiv 10 2) == Just 15 -- True
maybePlus 10 (maybeDiv 10 0) == Nothing -- True
```

Strong typing

- "If it type-checks, it's most likely good"
- types not only help to ensure that a program works correctly, but also provide a headline of what a function is doing
- for convenience, most compilers implement type inference

Strong typing

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

Given the above function signature, we can already say what the function is doing:

- given a function (a -> b)
- and a list [a]
- it will map over the list, applying the given function and return a list of its return values

Note: the example here uses variable types, and Haskell will infer the actual types based on the usage of the function - as long as the types marked with the same letter (a or b) are the same, the function will work correctly.

Curried functions

All functions in Haskell either return the end result or a function to get it:

```
f :: a -> b -> c

f 3 has type (b -> c), which is a function itself. An example of this can be a sum:

addNumbers x y = x + y
addFive = addNumbers 5
addFive 2 -- will return 7
```

addNumbers 5 returns a function that takes one parameter y and results in 5 + y. In Haskell, all functions are curried.

Lambda functions

It is frequently convenient to express simple functions anonymously - without assigning a specific label to them, but rather just "embedding" them in the function call directly - as seen in the example below, where 5 is being added to every element of the list.

The syntax to declare a lambda function is: \parameters -> body.

```
map (\x -> x+5) [1..]
```

Why "\"? Because (\ resembles a lambda symbol (if you squint hard enough).

Composing two functions

In Haskell, it is possible to compose two functions to one using the . operator:

```
foo :: Int -> String
foo = show

bar :: String -> [String]
bar x = [x]

foobar = bar . foo

foobar 5 -- ["5"]

> :info foobar
foobar :: Int -> [String]
```

bar composed with foo will call the function foo first, and pass its results to the argument of bar returning the final results.

Composing two functions

The . operator is not a specific language construct - like many others, it is a simple function, defined in the *Prelude*:

```
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> (a -> c)
(.) f g = \x -> f (g x)
```

It takes two functions $b \to c(f)$ and $a \to b(g)$, and returns a function of type $a \to c$, hiding the intermediate type b by passing it directly to the function f. As it does not capture the argument of type a in the argument list, this implementation uses a *lambda function* to capture it as x.

Alternatively, this could be written as:

```
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> (a -> c)
(.) f g x = f (g x)
```

Note: Prelude is a standard library enabled by default for all Haskell programs.

Higher order functions

Wikipedia:

"In mathematics and computer science, a higher-order function is a function that does at least one of the following:"

For example, *map* and *fold* (reduce) are very common higher order functions in functional paradigm.

```
> :type map
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
> :type foldl
foldl :: Foldable t => (b -> a -> b) -> b -> t a -> b
```

Lazy evaluation

- most programming languages use eager evaluation
- but some start to implement lazy generators
- Haskell is lazy: it will only compute a value when it is actually used
- memory requirements are less explicit and more difficult to reason about
- however, it is very convenient allows "infinite" computation definitions (e.g. an infite list is expressed as [1..]):

```
> take 5 [1..]
[1,2,3,4,5]
```

... or even


```
fibs :: [Integer]
fibs = 1 : 1 : zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs)
```

This defines a Fibonacci sequence, as an infinite recursive function.

When calculating, e.g. take 10 fibs, it will return [1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34,55], where:

- · it starts with [1, 1]
- 2 was generated as 1+1 (sum of first and the second values of fibs)
- once we have 2, it generates the next element this time 1
 + 2
- · and so on, until we get 55 which is the 10th element.

As we only requested 10 elements, Haskell will stop after calculating the 10th element and will not be stuck calculating the sequence numbers forever, even though there is no exit condition defined in the function fibs.



A practical example

Let's build an application!

We'll start with a simple one - an application that prints sorted numerical arguments.

Defining main

```
main :: IO ()
main = return ()
```

A minimal program that does nothing at all. It defines an empty main function that Haskell uses as the entry point.

You'll notice that it hast type of IO a - a context that is allowed to execute I/O functions.

Unit ()

In Haskell () is called Unit. Unit is used to denote a type that carries no information. It is often used when causing side-effects which will have no useful information to give back. For example:

```
hello :: IO ()
hello = putStrLn "Hello World"
```

In this case printing a string has no relevant value to return, so it returns (). In this example, () is wrapped in the context of IO because that's the only context where side-effects are allowed in Haskell.

The return function

```
main :: IO ()
main = return ()
```

In Haskell, the return value of a function is the result of evaluation the expression inside of that function. As so, there's is no motive to have a return keyword as you find in other languages. There is though, a return function in Haskell, but it does something completely different from what you may be used to.

In Haskell, return is a function that will give you back a value wrapped inside the context of the expression it is at. It sounds more complicated than what it really is. In the example above, it returns () in the context of IO, so IO ()

10 type

Because Haskell is a pure functional programming language, it does not allow printing, or doing any other side-effects in pure logic functions.

But how do we implement a useful program then?!

The answer is - IO monad.

Monad?

A simple description is - it allows to define chained actions in a specific context. A convenient way to express such chained actions is using the *do notation*:

```
worldType :: IO String
worldType = return "Haskell"

main :: IO()
main = do
    whatWorld <- worldType
    let fullText = "hello " ++ whatWorld ++ " world"
    putStrLn fullText</pre>
```

Monad

```
worldType :: IO String
worldType = return "Haskell"

main :: IO()
main = do
    whatWorld <- worldType
    let fullText = "hello " ++ whatWorld ++ " world"
    putStrLn fullText</pre>
```

The "Do" notation allows you to extract a value which is inside of a context (the right side of the <- expression), out of that context. This allows you to use it in a chain of such expressions. The last expression in the do notation, will be returned as the result, and it needs to be in the same context (in the above example IO).

App arguments

```
import System.Environment (getArgs)

main :: IO()
main = do
    args <- getArgs
    return ()</pre>
```

To access the program arguments, we will import a standard Haskell function <code>getArgs</code> which, as it needs to interact with the environment, is also defined in the <code>IO</code> context and returns the arguments as a list of strings:

```
> :info System.Environment.getArgs
System.Environment.getArgs :: IO [String]
```

Sort!

Let's implement our sorting algorithm:

```
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (p:xs) = (quicksort lesser) ++ [p] ++ (quicksort greater)
    where
    lesser = filter (< p) xs
    greater = filter (>= p) xs
```

While not the most efficient, however a quite simple implementation of a popular sorting algorithm.

Notice, that it does not need to interact with I/O and is considered a *pure* function.

Also, it does not have a function signature! This lets the Haskell compiler to infer the actual types based on both the usage and implementation of the function as we'll see in the further examples.

...sort

But how can we use it?

main :: IO()

```
main = do
    args <- getArgs
    putStrLn (quicksort args)

An error!</pre>
```

putStrLn expects a singe string, instead of a list of strings!

Print result

putStrLn expects a singe string, instead of a list of strings! To fix this, we'll use intercalate function imported from the Data.List module.

```
import Data.List (intercalate)

main :: IO()
main = do
    args <- getArgs
    putStrLn (intercalate " " (quicksort args))

It's working!

# runghc test.hs 10 100 20
10 100 20</pre>
```

But what's this? 20 should be before 100!

Sorting as integers

20 should be before 100! The reason, as you might have guessed, is that Haskell sorted the arguments as strings. Let's convert the arguemnts to integers:

```
import Data.Maybe (catMaybes)

main :: IO()
main = do
    args <- getArgs
    let integerArgs = (catMaybes (map readMaybe args))::[Int]
    putStrLn (intercalate " " (map show (quicksort integerArgs)))</pre>
```

That's better:

```
runghc test.hs 10 100 20 asd 10 20 100
```

Note: to convert the integers back to strings, we have used the show function.

Combine functions

As we have a working application, let's make it a bit nicer. We'll create small, descriptive functions to be able to *read* the program easily:

```
extractIntegers :: [String] -> [Int]
extractIntegers = catMaybes . map readMaybe

formatString = intercalate " " . map show

sortStringsAsIntegers = formatString . quicksort . extractIntegers

main :: IO()
main = do
    args <- getArgs
    putStrLn (sortStringsAsIntegers args)</pre>
```

Final result

```
import System.Environment (getArgs)
import Data.List (intercalate)
import Text.Read (readMaybe)
import Data.Maybe (catMaybes)
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (p:xs) = (quicksort lesser) ++ [p] ++ (quicksort greater)
    where
        lesser = filter (< p) xs</pre>
        greater = filter (>= p) xs
extractIntegers :: [String] -> [Int]
extractIntegers = catMaybes . map readMaybe
formatString = intercalate " " . map show
sortStringsAsIntegers = formatString . quicksort . extractIntegers
main :: IO()
main = do
    args <- getArgs
    putStrLn (sortStringsAsIntegers args)
```



Thanks!