A JOURNEY
OVER THE MEMORY MANAGEMENT STACK
FOR HPC LARGE APPLICATIONS
ON MODERN ACHITECTURES

Sébastien Valat

IXPUG - 25 sept 2019

Work coming from

www.cern.ch

Plan

INTRODUCTION

The “new” memory context

- **Memory** becomes a **critical resource**
- Growing impact on **performance**
- **Data movements :** speed gap CPU / RAM, **memory wall**.
- **Management** : now have to handle close to **TB** of memory
- *Decreasing **per compute** (cores) **power ?***



http://www.cea.fr/multimedia/Pages/galeries/defense/Tera-100.aspx



https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Datei:PS2_RAM_Module.jpg

Complex memory hierarchies

- Caches
- NUMA
- MCDRAM ?
- NVMe DIMMs ?





Thin nodes :
32 cores

Software memory management layer

- **Impact of memory management mechanisms ?**
- Involving **two components** :
  - User space ***memory allocator*** (malloc)
  - **Operating System** (OS)
- Focus on :
  - Impact on **allocation time**
  - Impact on **access efficiency** (placement)



OS virtual / physical address spaces

- Two address spaces : **physical** + **virtual**
- **Paging** was first used in **1962** on the **ATLAS computer**
- **Area** creation with syscalls : **mmap** / **munmap** / **mremap**
- **Malloc** has the responsibility to **hide the pages to developers**



http://www.computerhistory.org/collections/catalog/102698470



Huge pages

- **Huge pages : 2 MB**
- **First real support : FreeBSD (superpages, 2002)** [1]
- Support **Linux :** *old HugeTLBfs* then now **Transparent Huge Pages (THP), 2011**



[1] Practical, transparent operating system support for superpages, 2002

Lazy page allocation

- **mmap** creates **pure virtual** area
- First touch creates a **page fault** for each virtual page
- OS provides **physical pages** on **first touch**
- **First touch <u>implicitly</u>** determines **NUMA placement** of the page

```
ptr = mmap(...,SIZE,...);
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i = 0 ; i < SIZE ; i++)
        ptr[i] = 0;
```



ANALYSIS OF OS PAGING POLICY

Cache associativity

- Data can only be placed in one of the **N lines associated to the address**
- Can create **conflicts** depending on the OS
- Linux “**randomly” chooses** the pages





OS strategies comparison (2010)

- Each **system** has its default paging **strategy**:

OS	Strategy
Linux	4K random
OpenSolaris	Page coloring
FreeBSD	Huge pages

- Is **Linux** slower due to **random paging** ?
- Tested architecture : Intel **Nehalem bi-socket**
- Use a fixed compile chain : **GCC/Binutils/MPI/BLAS**
- **Focus a pathological case**

EulerMHD issue

- **EulerMHD (CEA) :**
  - **C++ /MPI**
  - Magnéto-hydrodynamic **stencil code**
- **FreeBSD :** slowdown of **1.5x,** up to **3x** in **parallel**
- Impacted function only do compute.
- Function with **9 arrays pre-allocated** at init. :

```
for (i = 0 ; i < SIZE ; i++)
        x1[i] = x2[i] + x3[i] ... +
x9[i]
```

- Change between OS's :
  - **User space memory allocator** (malloc).
  - **OS paging policy**
  - ~~*(Scheduler)*~~
- Effect can be controlled by **changing the allocator.**





Alignment effect on regular coloring

- Each **malloc** (OS) produces different **alignments**
- **FreeBSD** align **large segments** on **2 MB**
- **It interferes** with **regular patterns** generated by :
  - OpenSolaris coloration method (modulo)
  - Huge pages

```
for (i = 0 ; i < SIZE ; i++)
        a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
```



Solution

- Avoid segment **alignments** on **cache way size** (mmap / malloc)**.**
- The **Linux random** approach **prevents pathological cases**



New intel L3 cache slices

- Since **Sandy Bridge**
- L3 splits in **slices**
- **Slice** is selected by **hashing the address**
- **Each slice** has associativity with **16 ways**
- This **fix** the **coloring/alignment issue**



https://software.intel.com/en-us/articles/intel-xeon-processor-e5-26004600-product-family-technical-overview

On today CPUs

- **Not anymore** an issue **for Intel** L3 caches
  - **Change of topology : slices**
- **AMD Zen (Ryzen)**
  - Now also use **slices**
  - Should solve the issue
- **Still** an issue on **IBM power 8**
  - L3 cache has 8 ways for 8 MB
  - **Issue present**
  - **Power 9 ?** Also “regions” in LLC ?
- For **ARM** (v7/v8) ?
  - **L2** shared associative cache
  - Issue should be present
  - But I never tested
- Issue **for L2 of all processors** !
  - Think hyperthreading with 8 ways !



NUMA ALLOCATOR FOR HPC APPLICATIONS

Allocator performance on HPC applications

- Main interest : **malloc time cost**
- Test case : **Hera (CEA)**
  - **Adaptive Mesh Refinement** (AMR)
  - **Massive C++/MPI code** (~1 million lines).
- **Large number** of **memory allocations**
  (~75 millions / 5 minutes on 12 cores)
- **Large number** of **alloc/realloc** around **~20 MB**
- **Available allocators :**
  - **Doug Lea** / **PTMalloc** : libc Linux
  - **Jemalloc** : FreeBSD / Firefox / Facebook
  - **TCMalloc** : Google
  - *Hoard*





Hera preliminary results

12 cores



128 cores



How to measure malloc time

- Measurement method :

```
T0 = clock_start();
ptr = malloc(SIZE);
T1 = clock_end();
```

- Ok for **small blocks**, but not for **large** one :

```
T0 = clock_start();
ptr = malloc(SIZE);
for ( i = 0 ; i < SIZE ; i += PAGE_SIZE)
                ptr[i] = 0;
T1 = clock_end();
```

- **Lazy page allocation**.
- **Page faults** on first access.

For 4GB	Malloc	First access
Time (M cycles)	0,008	1 217

Hera on Nehalem-EP (128 : 4*4*8 cores)





COST OF FIRST TOUCH HANDLER

Benchmarking page faults

- **Page faults** are an issue for **allocation performance**
- We **previously limit them** with **large segment recycling**
- Can we **improve fault performance** of **large allocations**?
- **Micro-benchmark** :

```
ptr = mmap(SIZE);
#pragma omp parallel for
for ( i = 0 ; i < SIZE ; i += PAGE_SIZE)
{
        TIME_DISTRIBUTION(ptr[i] = 0);
}
```

Page fault scalability

- **Are page faults scalable** ? Over threads or processes.
- Mesurement on **4*4 Nehalem-EP** (128 cores) and on **Xeon Phi** (60 cores)
- **Get scalability issue !**



Can huge pages solve this issue ?

- Standard pages: **4K**
- Huge pages (x86_64): **2M**
- **Divide number of faults by 512**
- Impact on performance ?
  - Sequential : **only 40%**
  - Parallel **: No**
- **Why ?**



What happens on first touch page fault ?

- Hardware generates an interruption to the OS
- Take **locks** on **page table**
- Check reason of the fault
- Is **first touch** from **lazy allocation**
- Request a free page to NUMA **free lists**
- **Clear the page content**
- Map the page, update the **page table**
- **Release locks**

Possible issue on large NUMA domains

~1400 / 3400 cycles
40%
99% for THP !

Locks, but hard to fix
(some work from
A.T. Clement ASPLOS12)

How to avoid page zeroing cost ?

- Microsoft approach **:**
  - **Windows** uses a **system thread** to clear the memory
  - So its done **out** of **critical path**
- But **zeroing**:
  - Implies **useless work**
  - Consumes CPU **cycles** so **energy**
  - Consumes **memory bandwidth**
- Why not **avoid them ?**
  - **Skip them (security ?)**
  - Use a **per process memory** in **kernel (published)**
  - Do in DIMM hardware



Performance impact on huge pages

- **Huge pages** (2 MB) faults become **47** times faster, **60** in parallel.
- **New interest** for huge pages.



MALT - A MALLOC TRACKER

The question

- We want to point :
  - **Where** memory is allocated.
  - **Properties** of allocated chunks.
  - **Bad** allocation **patterns** for performance.

```
__thread Int gblVar[SIZE];
int * func(int size)
{
        child_func_with_allocs();
        void * ptr = new char[size];
        double* ret = new double[size*size*size];
        for (auto it : list)
        {
                double* buffer = new double[size];
                //short and quick do stuff
                delete [] buffer;
        }
        return ret;
}
```

Global variables and TLS

Indirect allocations

Leak

Might lead to swap for large size

“compiler added allocations”

Short life allocations

Source annotations



Call tree view

The question

- Issue with **reallocation** on init
- Detected with **allocation rate** & **cumulated allocatated mem.**




```
99        CALL assert(capacity==size(array),&
100             'array and capacity variable are not
101
102       IF (needed_size>capacity) THEN
103         IF (ALLOCATED ( temp) ) DEALLOCATE(temp)
104         ALLOCATE ( temp(capacity))
105
106         DO i=1,capacity
107           temp(i)=array(i)
108         END DO
109
110         DEALLOCATE ( array)
111         ALLOCATE ( array(new_cap))
112
113         DO i=1,capacity
114           array(i)=temp(i)
115         END DO
116
117         capacity=new_cap
118       END IF
119
```

57 GB

57 GB

Function

_start

Total :
Allocated memory : 56.8 GB
Max alive memory : 135.7 M
3.5 K alloc : [16.0 KB , 16.3 MB , 33.7 MB]
Lifetime : [107.8 K , 26.7 M , 476.7 M] (cycles)
Own :
Allocated memory : 56.8 GB
Max alive memory : 135.7 M
3.5 K alloc : [16.0 KB , 16.3 MB , 33.7 MB]
Lifetime : [107.8 K , 26.7 M , 476.7 M] (cycles)

NUMAOROF - A NUMA PROFILER

Typical NUMA example

- Make first **init outside of OpenMP** (in thread 1)
- So **each pages** will be first touched **on NUMA 1**

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0 ; i < SIZE ; i++)
        array[i] = 0;
```

- Then access

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0 ; i < SIZE ; i++)
        array[i]++;
```

- **Bad performance** due to remote accesses !

Wish list for a profiling tool…

- We want to know if we make **remote accesses**
- Ideally we need to know **where**…
- We can dream, we want to know **which allocation contain issues**
- We want to know **where** the **first touch** has been done
- On KNL we want to check **MCRAM accesses**

Global summary

Source & asm annotations



Non parallel allocations

/data/svalat/Projects/Hydro/HydroC/HydroCplusMPI/ThreadBuffers.cpp | ThreadBuffers::ThreadBuffers(int, in

```
22
23  ThreadBuffers::ThreadBuffers(int32_t xmin, int32_t xmax, int32_t
24  {
25      int32_t lgx, lgy, lgmax;
26      lgx = (xmax - xmin);
27      lgy = (ymax - ymin);
28      lgmax = lgx;
29      if (lgmax < lgy)
30          lgmax = lgy;
31
32      m_q = new Soa(NB_VAR, lgx, lgy);          232.2 K
33      m_qxm = new Soa(NB_VAR, lgx, lgy);        121.4 K
34      m_qxp = new Soa(NB_VAR, lgx, lgy);        121.4 K
35      m_dq = new Soa(NB_VAR, lgx, lgy);         230.7 K
36      m_qleft = new Soa(NB_VAR, lgx, lgy);      121.4 K
37      m_qright = new Soa(NB_VAR, lgx, lgy);     121.4 K
38      m_qgdnv = new Soa(NB_VAR, lgx, lgy);      121.4 K
39
40      m_c = new Matrix2 < real_t > (lgx, lgy);  11.5 M
41      m_e = new Matrix2 < real_t > (lgx, lgy);  7.0 M
42
```

40 minutes optimization on HydroC





CONCLUSION

Conclusion

- Memory is one of the **key for performance**
- **Old management** need to be carefully **looked again**
- Performance **gaps** can be **integer factors**
- Dedicated **tools** can **help a lot**
- It requires **flexible software** to reach **global optimization**
  - Unit tests ?

QUESTIONS ?

On access we need…

- **Intercept** the memory **accessse (Intel PIN)**
- **Track thread location**
- **Intercepts malloc**
- We can **skip** accesses to **local stack**
  - overhead 80x -> 40x
- **Overhead** on 256 KNL threads : **60x**

BACKUPS

Ideal view of HPC memory management stack