

# TFY4200 - Problem set 7 (6)

## A self study on non-linear optics

Svein Åmdal

20. March 2020

### Non-linearity of scalar fields

Previously, we have taken the dielectric response to an applied  $E$ -field (generally from a EM-wave) to be linear, meaning that  $D = \epsilon_0 \epsilon E$  holds. Now, we focus on the dielectric polarization  $P$  and assume it might be non-linear, i.e.

$$P(t) = \epsilon_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi^{(n)} E^n(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{(n)}(t). \quad (1)$$

$\{\chi^{(n>1)}\}$  is called the *higher order non-linear optical susceptibilities*.

### Second-order non-linear optical processes

For example, if a laser whose field is given by

$$E_{\text{inc}}(t) = E_1 e^{-i\omega_1 t} + E_2 e^{-i\omega_2 t} + E_1^* e^{i\omega_1 t} + E_2^* e^{i\omega_2 t} \quad (2)$$

is incident on a crystal with  $\chi^{(2)} \neq 0$ , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(2)}(t) &= \epsilon_0 \chi^{(2)} E^2(t) \\ &= \epsilon_0 \chi^{(2)} \left[ E_1^2 e^{-2i\omega_1 t} + E_2^2 e^{-2i\omega_2 t} + E_1^{*2} e^{2i\omega_1 t} + E_2^{*2} e^{2i\omega_2 t} \right. \\ &\quad + 2E_1 E_2 e^{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)t} + 2E_1^* E_2^* e^{i(\omega_1 + \omega_2)t} + 2E_1 E_2^* e^{-i(\omega_1 - \omega_2)t} \\ &\quad \left. + 2E_1^* E_2 e^{i(\omega_1 - \omega_2)t} + 2E_1 E_1^* + 2E_2 E_2^* \right] \\ &:= \sum_n P(\omega_n) e^{-i\omega_n t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We now identify

$$P(2\omega_1) = \epsilon_0 \chi^{(2)} E_1^2 \quad (4)$$

$$P(2\omega_2) = \epsilon_0 \chi^{(2)} E_2^2, \quad (5)$$

as *second-harmonic generation* (SHG) of polarization response. These contributions to the polarization response has twice the incoming frequency, and they stem from interaction between only a single frequency (either  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_2$ ). Thus, SHG is also apparent if the incoming wave consists of only a single frequency component.

Further, we identify

$$P(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = 2\epsilon_0\chi^{(2)}E_1E_2, \quad (6)$$

as *sum-frequency generation* (SFG) of polarization response, and

$$P(\omega_1 - \omega_2) = 2\epsilon_0\chi^{(2)}E_1E_2^*, \quad (7)$$

as *difference-frequency generation* (DFG) the polarization response. Note that eqs. (4) to (7) all have corresponding negative frequency components, which we omit.

Finally, we may identify

$$P(0) = 2\epsilon_0\chi^{(2)}\left[E_1E_1^* + E_2E_2^*\right], \quad (8)$$

as the DC components of  $P$ , often called *optical rectification*, a quantity that is also present with only a single incoming frequency.

If the crystal has few available states with the bandgap energies  $\hbar\omega_{\text{inc}}$ , almost all the power of the incident wave is immediately radiated with SHG frequency  $2\omega_{\text{inc}}$ , or with one of the sum- or difference-generated frequencies. One application of this is to produce tunable radiation. If the input frequencies are produced by one fixed- $\omega$  and one tunable- $\omega$  laser, the resulting radiation is tunable, and may be in a different frequency regime than the tunable laser.

### Third-order non-linear optical processes

For simplicity, let the incoming field be monochromatic, given by

$$E_{\text{inc}}(t) = E \cos(\omega t). \quad (9)$$

Using a mathematical cosine identity, we find

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(3)}(t) &= \epsilon_0\chi^{(3)}E_{\text{inc}}^3(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_0\chi^{(3)}E^3(t)\left[\cos(3\omega t) + 3\cos(\omega t)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From eq. (1), we have that the third-order polarization response is

$$P^{(3)}(t) = \epsilon_0\chi^{(3)}E^3(t). \quad (11)$$

Similarly to the second-harmonic generation of polarization, we now get a *third-harmonic generation* of polarization, namely the  $3\omega$ -term.

For the more general case of a tri-chromatic incoming wave, we get generation of all possible frequencies that are sums and/or differences between the different incoming frequencies.

The processes discussed so far are all *parametric*, meaning that they do not alter the quantum state of the crystal (in any meaningful way, at least). If the final state of the crystal has a substantial number of excited electrons compared to the initial state, the process is *non-parametric*, and must be described by a complex  $\chi$ . In a non-parametric process, the photon energy may not be conserved, as it may become absorbed in the medium. This is similar to the familiar case of a complex refractive index being a measure of wave dissipation in the medium.

## Non-linearity of vector fields (formal treatment)

We begin by writing out the electric field as a sum of frequency components (both positive and negative), i.e.

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n \mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n \mathbf{A}_n e^{i(\mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_n t)}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \mathbf{A}(\omega_n) = \mathbf{A}(-\omega_n) = |\mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{r})| = \frac{1}{2}E. \quad (13)$$

(The factor 1/2 comes from counting both positive and negative  $\omega$  in the sum.) Now, the second order susceptibility tensor  $\chi_{ijk}^{(2)}$  is defined in terms of the cartesian components  $i, j$  and  $k$  of  $\mathbf{E}$  by the equation

$$P_i(q_{mn}) = \epsilon_0 \sum_j \sum_k \sum_{m,n|q_{mn}=\text{const.}} \chi_{ijk}^{(2)}(q_{mn}; \omega_m, \omega_n) E_j(\omega_m) E_k(\omega_n), \quad (14)$$

where  $q_{mn}$  is shorthand for  $\omega_m + \omega_n$ , and it is assumed to be fixed in the sum over  $m$  and  $n$ .

By letting  $m, n \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $q = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ , one automatically receives sum-frequency generation of a response with frequency  $\omega_1 + \omega_2$  exactly like before.

By letting  $\omega_1$  be given, and letting  $q = 2\omega_1$ , one automatically receives second-harmonic generation of response polarization, also like before.

Precisely analogously, the third order susceptibility tensor  $\chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}$  is defined by

$$P_i(q_{mno}) = \epsilon_0 \sum_{j,k,l} \sum_{m,n,o|q_{mno}=\text{const.}} \chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}(q_{mno}; \omega_m, \omega_n, \omega_o) E_j(\omega_m) E_k(\omega_n) E_l(\omega_o), \quad (15)$$

where  $q_{mno} = \omega_m + \omega_n + \omega_o$ . In both cases we may perform the summation over  $m, n$  (and  $o$ ), and collect the result in a multiplicative *degeneracy factor*,  $D$ .

## Anharmonic oscillator

The classical harmonic oscillator for a position-like parameter  $x$  only has terms up to second order in  $x$ . The first order term may be removed by a coordinate transformation, and the constant term may be removed by carefully selecting the zero-level of the harmonic potential. Let's now introduce a  $x^3$ -anharmonic term. Thus the oscillator potential becomes

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2x^2 + \frac{1}{3}max^3, \quad (16)$$

for some choices of parameters  $m$ ,  $\omega_0$  and  $a$ . The anharmonic force from displacement in this potential becomes of second order in  $x$ , as

$$F = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}U(x) = -m\omega_0^2x - max^2. \quad (17)$$

Inserting this "external" force into the classical equation of motion for an electron (charge  $e$ ) with a damping factor  $\gamma$ , and an external, di-chromatic  $E$ -field given by eq. (2), we solve the modified equation of motion,

$$\ddot{x} + 2\gamma\dot{x} + \omega_0^2x + ax^2 = -\lambda\frac{eE(t)}{m}, \quad (18)$$

in terms of a perturbation expansion for small  $x$  in the now introduced expansion parameter  $\lambda$ , i.e.

$$x = \sum_n \lambda^n x^{(n)}. \quad (19)$$

Collecting the terms of equal order in  $\lambda$ , we find that the 1<sup>st</sup> order terms correspond to the unperturbed equation of motion. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)}(t) = & -\frac{e}{m} \frac{E_1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_1^2 - 2\gamma i\omega_1} \exp\{-i\omega_1 t\} \\ & -\frac{e}{m} \frac{E_2}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_2^2 - 2\gamma i\omega_2} \exp\{-i\omega_2 t\} \\ & -\frac{e}{m} \frac{E_1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_1^2 + 2\gamma i\omega_1} \exp\{i\omega_1 t\} \\ & -\frac{e}{m} \frac{E_2}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_2^2 + 2\gamma i\omega_2} \exp\{i\omega_2 t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The 2<sup>nd</sup> order terms collect to form the equation

$$\ddot{x}^{(2)} + 2\gamma\dot{x}^{(2)} + \omega_0^2x^{(2)} + a(x^{(1)})^2 = 0. \quad (21)$$

Inserting eq. (20) into eq. (21), we obtain an expression for  $x^{(2)}$ . If one defines

$$D(\omega_j) := \omega_0^2 - \omega_j^2 - 2\gamma i\omega_j, \quad (22)$$

one may write down the frequency components of  $x^{(2)}$  instead.  
Given the known linear polarization

$$P^{(1)}(\omega) = \epsilon_0 \chi^{(1)}(\omega) E(\omega) = -N e x^{(1)}(\omega), \quad (23)$$

we may calculate

$$\chi^{(1)}(\omega_j) = \frac{N e^2 / m}{\epsilon_0 D(\omega_j)} \quad (24)$$

based on eqs. (20) to (23). Defining non-linear polarization as

$$P^{(2)}(q_{12}) = 2\epsilon_0 \chi^{(2)}(q_{12}; \omega_1, \omega_2) E(\omega_1) E(\omega_2) = -N e x^{(2)}(q_{12}), \quad (25)$$

still using the shorthand  $q_{ij} = \omega_i + \omega_j$ , we analogously find

$$\chi^{(2)}(q_{12}; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{N a e^3 / m^2}{\epsilon_0 D(q_{12}) D(\omega_1) D(\omega_2)} \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon_0^2 m a}{N^2 e^3} \chi^{(1)}(q_{12}) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_1) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_2), \quad (27)$$

where we in the last equality have used eq. (24). This corresponds to sum-frequency generation. A special case of this is when  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega$ . Then

$$\chi^{(2)}(2\omega; \omega, \omega) = \frac{\epsilon_0^2 m a}{N^2 e^3} \chi^{(1)}(2\omega) [\chi^{(1)}(\omega)]^2, \quad (28)$$

and the polarization resulting from this is akin to second-harmonic generation.

We may also have  $\omega_2 \rightarrow -\omega_2$ . Then,

$$\chi^{(2)}(q_{1,-2}; \omega_1, -\omega_2) = \frac{\epsilon_0^2 m a}{N^2 e^3} \chi^{(1)}(q_{1,-2}) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_1) \chi^{(1)}(-\omega_2), \quad (29)$$

and we have arrived at difference-frequency generation of polarization.

A third special case is when  $\omega_2 = -\omega_1 := -\omega$ . Then

$$\chi^{(2)}(0, \omega, -\omega) = \frac{\epsilon_0^2 m a}{N^2 e^3} \chi^{(1)}(0) \chi^{(1)}(\omega) \chi^{(1)}(-\omega) \quad (30)$$

represents optical rectification.

A similar analysis is performed for the tensor form of  $\chi^{(2)}$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{ijkl}^{(2)}(q_{mno}, \omega_m, \omega_n, \omega_o) &= \frac{b m \epsilon_0^3}{3 N^3 e^4} \chi^{(1)}(q_{mno}) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_m) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_n) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_o) \\ &\times \left[ \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where  $\chi_{ijkl}$  means the susceptibility in direction  $i$  from  $\mathbf{E}$  with components in directions  $j$ ,  $k$  and  $l$ .