



# EEB 603 – Reproducible Science





## INSTRUCTOR

- **Name:** Sven BUERKI
- **Office:** Science Building (114)
- **Email:** [svenbuerki@boisestate.edu](mailto:svenbuerki@boisestate.edu)
- **Office hours:** By appointment



# TEACHING & RESEARCH ETHOS

- Everyone here is smart; distinguish yourself by being kind.

*Kindness in Science* is an inclusive approach that fosters diversity, respect, wellbeing & openness leading to better science outcomes.



#KindnessInScience  
by @jennypannell

# Round of introductions

- Who am I?
- What program am I in?
- What do I want to take away from this course?



# CLASS MEETINGS


- **Weekdays and times:** Tuesday Thursday 9-10:15 AM.
- **Location:** In-person in [Science Bldg, Rm 149](#)
- Encouraged to bring your personal computer.



## WHY THIS CLASS?

Based on a survey published in *Nature* (2016), 90% of the respondents said that **there is a reproducibility crisis in Science!**

<https://doi.org/10.1038/533452a>



## Retraction Watch

Tracking retractions as a window  
into the scientific process

<https://retractionwatch.com/>

### PAGES

How you can support Retraction  
Watch

Meet the Retraction Watch staff

About Adam Marcus

About Ivan Oransky

Papers that cite Retraction  
Watch

Privacy policy

Retracted coronavirus (COVID-  
19) papers

Retraction Watch Database User  
Guide


Retraction Watch Database  
User Guide Appendix A: Fields

Retraction Watch Database  
User Guide Appendix B:  
Reasons

Last time I taught this class:

**The list of retracted COVID-19  
papers is up to 133**

Fall 2022: 254 papers retracted



To the list of COVID-19 papers that have been retracted so far, add this:

# REPRODUCING CODE IS ALSO AN ISSUE

Analysis | [Open Access](#) | Published: 21 February 2022

## A large-scale study on research code quality and execution

[Ana Trisovic](#) , [Matthew K. Lau](#), [Thomas Pasquier](#) & [Mercè Crosas](#)

[Scientific Data](#) 9, Article number: 60 (2022) | [Cite this article](#)


9542 Accesses | 5 Citations | 164 Altmetric | [Metrics](#)

### Abstract

---


This article presents a study on the quality and execution of research code from publicly-available replication datasets at the Harvard Dataverse repository. Research code is typically created by a group of scientists and published together with academic papers to facilitate research transparency and reproducibility. For this study, we define ten questions to address [aspects impacting research reproducibility and reuse](#). First, we retrieve and analyze more than 2000 replication datasets with over 9000 unique R files published from 2010 to 2020. Second, we execute the code in a clean runtime environment to assess its ease of reuse. Common coding errors were identified, and some of them were solved with automatic code cleaning to aid code execution. We find that [74% of R files failed to complete without error](#) in the initial execution, while 56% failed when code cleaning was applied, showing that many errors can be prevented with good coding practices. We also analyze the replication datasets from journals' collections and discuss the impact of the journal policy strictness on the code re-execution rate. Finally, based on our results, we propose a set of recommendations for code dissemination aimed at researchers, journals, and repositories.

# R IS AMONG TOP COMPUTING LANGUAGES USED AND IT IS OPEN SOURCE AND FREE




<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01143-6>

# WHY SUCH CRISIS? THE REPRODUCIBILITY SPECTRUM



# THE REPRODUCIBILITY SPECTRUM

**Research is often presented in the form of publications. These documents announce a project's findings, but they are not the research, they are the advertisement part of the research project!**




# THE REPRODUCIBILITY SPECTRUM

R Markdown


from  Studio

**When research (data, code) is separated from its advertisement (publication), it becomes challenging for others to reproduce findings. But this can be solved by...**



# AIM OF THE COURSE

To provide students with key theoretical and practical knowledge to gather, store, share, prepare and analyze data as well as communicate results to the scientific community



# STRUCTURE OF THE COURSE

The class is subdivided into three parts:

- **PART 1: *The Big Picture***

**Output:** key theoretical knowledge allowing students to successfully implement a reproducible approach.

- **PART 2: *Bioinformatics for Reproducible Science***

**Output:** learn and apply bioinformatic tools required to implement a reproducible workflow. This will be done by using the computing environment implemented in RStudio.

- **PART 3: *Apply a Reproducible Approach to your Data***

**Output:** develop individual reproducible workflows tailored to thesis project or to data presented in a publication.

# CONTENT OF THE COURSE & TIMETABLE

## PART 1: The Big Picture

1. **Introduction: The reproducibility crisis & prospects to tackle it!**
  - Chapter 1: Introduction to R, RStudio, Markdown (incl. referencing) & User-defined functions.
  - Chapter 2: The reproducibility crisis.
  - Chapter 3: A road map to implement reproducible science in Ecology & Evolution.
  - Chapter 4: Open science and CARE principles.
2. **Getting started: Overview of data workflow & used software**
  - Chapter 5: Data management, Reproducible code.
  - Chapter 6: Getting published & Peer review.

## PART 2: Bioinformatics for Reproducible Science

3. **Prepare your data**
  - Chapter 7: Organize and import data with R.
  - Chapter 8: Prepare/tidy data for analyses in R.
4. **Explore & understand your data**
  - Chapter 9: Statistical modelling and *knitr*.
  - Chapter 10: Visualize results with Tables.
  - Chapter 11: Visualize results with Figures (incl. handling phylogenetic trees).
5. **Communicate/Disseminate your results**
  - Chapter 12: Git & GitHub: What are those and how can they help you with your code and communicating your research?

## PART 3: Apply a Reproducible Approach to your Data

6. **Students develop and present reproducible workflows applied to their project**
  - Produce individual reports showcasing reproducible workflows tailored to thesis projects.
  - Oral presentations of individual students' projects.


## Learning the fundamentals:

Split sessions between:

- Lectures & group discussions
- Students work on tutorials

## Bioinformatic labs:

Students design and teach tutorials



## Individual projects:

Students produce reproducible workflows tailored to their data

# COURSE MATERIAL & RESOURCES

← → ⌂ [svenbuerki.github.io/EEB603\\_Reproducible\\_Science/index.html](https://svenbuerki.github.io/EEB603_Reproducible_Science/index.html) ⌂

Reproducible Science Home Timetable Chapters Resources

**1 Instructor**

- 2 Our class ethos
- 3 Class details
- 4 Course goal & description
- 5 Structure of the course
- 6 Shared Google Drive
- 7 Publications & Textbooks
- 8 The computing tools of reproducible science
- 9 RStudio Cheat Sheets: A gold mine to design your bioinformatic tutorials
- 10 R tutorials
- 11 Assessments
- 12 Late work policy
- 13 Engagement expectations
- 14 What you can expect of me
- 15 This course was designed with you in mind
- 16 Academic integrity
- 17 Student well-being
- 18 References
- 19 Appendix 1

## EEB 603 – Reproducible Science

**Syllabus**  
Sven Buerki - Boise State University  
2022-08-16

**1 Instructor**

- Name: Sven Buerki
- Office: Science building, office 114 (ground floor).
- Email: [svenbuerki@boisestate.edu](mailto:svenbuerki@boisestate.edu)
- Office hours: By appointment.

## 2 Our class ethos

Everyone here is smart; distinguish yourself by being kind.

— Kindness in Science is an inclusive approach that fosters diversity, respect, wellbeing & openness leading to better science outcomes.

**BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY**

[Download pdf version](#)  
[Raw data on GitHub](#)

 [GitHub](https://github.com/svenbuerki/EEB603_Reproducible_Science)



[https://github.com/svenbuerki/EEB603\\_Reproducible\\_Science](https://github.com/svenbuerki/EEB603_Reproducible_Science)



See class website for full list

# PUBLICATIONS & TEXTBOOKS

<https://bookdown.org/>



# BIOINFORMATIC TOOLS

The main bioinformatic tools covered in this class are:

- **R** statistical language: **gather and analyze data.**
- **Markdown** markup language: **create documents** (slideshows, articles, books, webpages) **for presenting your findings.**
- ***knitr* and *rmarkdown*** R packages: **dynamically tiding, gathering, analyzing, and presenting your data into one document.** This is the core of the process allowing data reproducibility. Several additional R packages will be required for this course.
- **RStudio**: **framework implementing all tools in one place.**

# R MARKDOWN: THE GOLD STANDARD FOR REPRODUCIBLE SCIENCE

This workflow integrates 4 programming languages:

1. R (interpreted by *knitr*)
2. Markdown (*rmarkdown*)
3. YAML<sup>1</sup> (interpreted by Pandoc<sup>2</sup>)
4. LaTeX (only if output is pdf)



<sup>1</sup> YAML (YAML Ain't Markup Language) is a human friendly data serialization standard for all programming languages.

<sup>2</sup> If you need to convert files from one markup format into another, Pandoc is your swiss-army knife.

```
1 ---  
2 title: "Untitled"  
3 output: pdf_document  
4 ---  
5  
6 ## R Markdown Publication core text Markdown  
7  
8 This is an R Markdown document. Markdown is a simple formatting syntax for authoring HTML, PDF, and MS Word documents. For more details on using R Markdown see <http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com>.  
9  
10 When you click the **Knit** button a document will be generated that includes both content as well as the output of any embedded R code chunks within the document. You can embed an R code chunk like this:  
11  
12 ```{r cars}  
13 summary(cars)  
14 ``````  
15  
16 ## Including Plots  
17  
18 You can also embed plots, for example:  
19  
20 ```{r pressure, echo=FALSE}  
21 plot(pressure)  
22 ``````  
23  
24 6:1 # R Markdown R Markdown
```

**YAML metadata section** Pandoc

**Publication core text** **Markdown**

This is an R Markdown document. Markdown is a simple formatting syntax for authoring HTML, PDF, and MS Word documents. For more details on using R Markdown see <<http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com>>.


When you click the \*\*Knit\*\* button a document will be generated that includes both content as well as the output of any embedded R code chunks within the document. You can embed an R code chunk like this:

**Code chunk: Importing data** knitr


**Code chunk: Analyze data and output figures and tables** knitr

**LATEX**


# R MARKDOWN WORKFLOW



# THE SCIENTIFIC PROCESS



# The data life-cycle



# Data dissemination & Open Science



<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41597-021-00892-0>

# Data dissemination & Open Science

**Ecology and Evolution**

Open Access

**Editors-in-Chief:** Allen Moore, University of Georgia, USA; Andrew Beckerman, University of Sheffield, UK; Marcus Lashley, University of Florida, USA; Chris Foote, John Wiley & Sons, UK; Gareth Jenkins, John Wiley & Sons, UK, and Zhaoxue Ma (Zoe Ma), John Wiley & Sons, Shanghai

Online ISSN: 2045-7758


© John Wiley & Sons Ltd

All articles accepted from 14 August 2012 are published under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#). All articles accepted before this date, were published under a [Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License](#).

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

# Changing our perspective on research impact

## Metrics beyond impact factor



The San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA) website features a dark background with white text. At the top right are links for "About", "Meetings", "Community Engagement Grants", and "Contact". A "Sign DORA" button and a search icon are also present. The main title "San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment" is prominently displayed. Below the title is a paragraph about the declaration's purpose and history. On the right side, there is a vertical sidebar with language options: العربية, Bahasa Indonesia, 中文, Català, Čeština, Српски, Deutsch, Estonian, and English (which is highlighted).

**DORA**

The Declaration Signers Project TARA News and Resources

Sign DORA

Q

## San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment

There is a pressing need to improve the ways in which the output of scientific research is evaluated by funding agencies, academic institutions, and other parties. To address this issue, a group of editors and publishers of scholarly journals met during the Annual Meeting of The American Society for Cell Biology (ASCB) in San Francisco, CA, on December 16, 2012. The group developed a set of recommendations, referred to as the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment. We invite interested parties across all scientific disciplines to indicate their support by adding their names to this Declaration.

The outputs from scientific research are many and varied, including: research articles reporting new knowledge, data, reagents, and software; intellectual property; and highly trained young scientists. Funding agencies, institutions that employ scientists, and scientists themselves, all have a desire, and need, to assess the quality and impact of scientific outputs. It is thus imperative that scientific output is measured accurately and evaluated wisely.

العربية  
Bahasa Indonesia  
中文  
Català  
Čeština  
Српски  
Deutsch  
Eesti keel  
English

# ASSESSMENTS

- Students will be graded based on the following four tasks:
  1. **Produce a bioinformatics tutorial** focusing on a chapter from PART 2 (150 points).
  2. **Teach a bioinformatics lab** (spread across 2 sessions) (100 points).
  3. **Produce an individual report** on thesis project/publication (200 points).
  4. **One oral presentation** on thesis project/publication (100 points).
- TOTAL: **550 points** (see Syllabus for grading scale).

# BIOINFORMATIC TUTORIAL (150 POINTS)

- Students will be working in groups (or alone) and assigned a chapter of PART 2 to produce a bioinformatic tutorial.
- Tutorials have to:
  - ✓ Be written in R Markdown as implemented in RStudio (Chapter 1).
  - ✓ Focus on developing a suite of exercises aiming at gaining key bioinformatic skills specific to each chapter.
  - ✓ Be submitted to the instructor 1 week in advance for correction and then uploaded onto the Google Drive (see Timetable).
- Instructor will provide an introduction to R Markdown (Chap. 1) and allocate time to get accustomed to markup language and RStudio.
- See Syllabus for list of relevant publications and textbooks to develop tutorials.

# BIOINFORMATIC TUTORIAL (150 POINTS)

- Tutorials should include:
  - ✓ A short introduction highlighting the theory and aims of the tutorial.
  - ✓ A section on R package requirements with instructions on how to install those and their dependencies (see Syllabus).
  - ✓ A section introducing the data (dataset) used to support these exercises (and how to download those).
  - ✓ A references section and links to manuals of R packages.
  - ✓ Commented R code necessary to guide users through the exercises as well as some knowledge on expected outputs (more details on this topic in Chapters 5 & 6).

## TEACHING BIOINFORMATIC TUTORIAL (100 POINTS)

- Students prepare 10 minutes presentations providing general guidelines to complete tutorials.
- Students should support their peers in completing tutorials (by e.g. answering questions).
- Instructor will also be circulating in class and answering questions, but students are leading the teaching of the bioinformatic labs.
- Students will be graded according to their abilities to teach their tutorials and answer questions. The instructor might also use student's feedback to grade this test.

# INDIVIDUAL REPORT (200 POINTS)


- Students work alongside instructor to develop reproducible workflows specific to their thesis projects.
- In cases where students don't yet have a clear idea on their thesis project, they will work with instructor to identify a publication that can serve as basis for their individual project.
- Reports are written in RStudio (same as tutorial) and should include a list of references. This latter aims at supporting methodological decisions taken in the report and increasing transparency.

# INDIVIDUAL REPORT (200 POINTS)

- Students investigate the following *prior* elements:
  - ✓ What kind of data are already published/available (and which of those are relevant to your topic)?
  - ✓ Where are those published data deposited?
  - ✓ Can you reproduce the analyses based on published data?
  - ✓ What types of data are or will be produced during your thesis project?
  - ✓ What are the specificity of your data (in term of storage, sharing, etc.)?
  - ✓ What are the publication standards in your field?

# INDIVIDUAL REPORT (200 POINTS)

- Students develop the following core processes:
  - ✓ A data management plan specific to your research covering the following stages of the data life cycle: Create, Process, Document, Preserve, Share and Reuse.
  - ✓ A reproducible code to perform the following tasks to your data:



# PRESENTATION: INDIVIDUAL REPORT (100 POINTS)

- Prepare 9-minute presentations.
- 5 minutes are devoted to questions and 1 minute for transitioning to the next speaker (see next slide for speaker order and dates).
- Students share their screen and classes will be recorded (URLs will be posted on Google Drive).
- Students are not required to upload their presentations on Google Drive (**BUT** students will have to upload their reports in Personal\_reports folder).
- Students can directly use output created by RMarkdown (e.g. the HTML page) for their oral presentation or prepare slides with Powerpoint or Google Slides.

## PRESENTATION: INDIVIDUAL REPORT (100 POINTS)

- Students have “carte blanche” to prepare the content of their presentations, but they should cover the following aspects:
  - ✓ What is the general context of the study.
  - ✓ What is the central scientific question.
  - ✓ What are your objectives and if possible working hypothesis.
- You are encouraged to talk about the challenges that you are facing to make your study reproducible and transparent.

## ORAL PRESENTATION (100 POINTS)

- Each student will have to present their report during final week.
- The presentation should follow the same structure as the report.
- Presentation should not exceed 15 minutes (+ 5 minutes for questions).