Lite review

Joni, Sabrina ,Sven
Department
University
Location

Abstract—abstract
Index Terms—**VR, MR, Devices**

I. INTRODUCTION

First draft: only Bullet points
TODO: Topic & relevance
TODO: the problem this paper addresses

This paper aims to adapt the methodology from Veys et al. [1] to a study focused on generating ideas for a privacy centric application to exercise GDPR rights in regards to data downloads and collection from online services instead of an application that only visualizes said data.

TODO: outline of the remaining paper

II. RELATED WORK

TODO: give overview of some related work like [1]. For first draft only some bullet points

III. METHODOLOGY

TODO: Explain methodology, for the first draft only the differences to Veys et l.'s methodology

Example Figure:

IV. RESULTS

In this section, ...

A. Qualitative Analysis

TODO: Overview of the final code book, put full code book into appendix
TODO: Explain results of the coding

B. Quantitative Analysis

TODO: Explain the different quantitative results, like demographics etc.

REFERENCES

[1] Sophie Veys, Daniel Serrano, Madison Stamos, Margot Herman, Nathan Reitinger, Michelle L. Mazurek, and Blase Ur. Pursuing usable and useful data downloads under GDPR/CCPA access rights via Co-Design. In *Seventeenth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS 2021)*, pages 217–242. USENIX Association, August 2021.