# QMM - Module 11

#### SAMIKSHA VENUGOPAL

## 11/20/2022

```
library(lpSolveAPI)
## Warning: package 'lpSolveAPI' was built under R version 4.1.2
library(lpSolve)
## Warning: package 'lpSolve' was built under R version 4.1.2
f.obj \leftarrow c(775,800,800,800,800,775,750)
f.rhs \leftarrow c(18,27,22,26,25,21,19,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)
model <- lp('min',f.obj,f.con,f.dir,f.rhs,int.vec = 1:15)</pre>
model
## Success: the objective function is 25675
model$solution
## [1] 2 4 6 1 6 1 13
Conclusion
We can conclude that minimum cost is 25675
### ALternative method
     ### ALternative method
### Objective Function
775x1 + 800x2 + 800x3 + 800x4 + 800x5 + 775x6 + 750x7 = MINIMIZE
Shift 1 Shift 2 Shift 3 Shift 4 Shift 5 Shift 6 Shift 7
# Constraints
(refer)
```

```
predict <- make.lp(0, 7)</pre>
set.objfn(predict, c(775, 800, 800, 800, 800, 775, 750))
lp.control(predict)
## $anti.degen
## [1] "none"
## $basis.crash
## [1] "none"
##
## $bb.depthlimit
## [1] -50
##
## $bb.floorfirst
## [1] "automatic"
## $bb.rule
## [1] "pseudononint" "greedy"
                                      "dynamic"
                                                     "rcostfixing"
##
## $break.at.first
## [1] FALSE
##
## $break.at.value
## [1] -1e+30
##
## $epsilon
                                         epsint epsperturb
##
         epsb
                    epsd
                               epsel
                                                              epspivot
##
        1e-10
                   1e-09
                               1e-12
                                         1e-07
                                                     1e-05
                                                                 2e-07
##
## $improve
## [1] "dualfeas" "thetagap"
##
## $infinite
## [1] 1e+30
##
## $maxpivot
## [1] 250
##
## $mip.gap
## absolute relative
##
      1e-11
               1e-11
##
## $negrange
## [1] -1e+06
## $obj.in.basis
## [1] TRUE
##
## $pivoting
## [1] "devex"
                  "adaptive"
##
```

```
## $presolve
## [1] "none"
## $scalelimit
## [1] 5
##
## $scaling
## [1] "geometric"
                     "equilibrate" "integers"
##
## $sense
## [1] "minimize"
## $simplextype
## [1] "dual"
                "primal"
##
## $timeout
## [1] 0
##
## $verbose
## [1] "neutral"
add.constraint(predict, c(0,1,1,1,1,1,0), ">=", 18)
add.constraint(predict, c(0,0,1,1,1,1,1), ">=", 27)
add.constraint(predict, c(1,0,0,1,1,1,1), ">=", 22)
add.constraint(predict, c(1,1,0,0,1,1,1), ">=", 26)
add.constraint(predict, c(1,1,1,0,0,1,1), ">=", 25)
add.constraint(predict, c(1,1,1,1,0,0,1), ">=", 21)
add.constraint(predict, c(1,1,1,1,1,0,0), ">=", 19)
solve(predict)
## [1] 0
get.objective(predict)
## [1] 25175
get.variables(predict)
## [1] 1.3333333 4.0000000 6.3333333 0.0000000 7.33333333 0.3333333 13.0000000
    ### ALternative method
Working_days = matrix(c("Sunday", "Monday", "Tuesday", "Wednesday", "Thursay", "Friday", "Saturday", 18,27,22,
colnames(Working_days) =c("Daysoftheweek","Workers")
as.table(Working_days)
     Daysoftheweek Workers
## A Sunday
                   18
```

```
## B Monday 27
## C Tuesday 22
## D Wednesday 26
## E Thursay 25
## F Friday 21
## G Saturday 19
```

## interpretation

x1=2 working shift 1 x2=4 working shift 2 x3=5 working shift 3 x4=0 working shift 4 x5=8 working shift 5 x6=1 working shift 6 x7=13 working shift 7

##		$Shift_1$	$Shift_2$	$Shift_3$	$Shift_4$	${\tt Shift\_5}$	${\tt Shift\_6}$	${\tt Shift\_7}$
##	Sunday	0	4	5	0	8	1	0
##	Monday	0	0	5	0	8	1	13
##	Tuesday	2	0	0	0	8	1	13
##	Wednesday	2	4	0	0	8	1	13
##	Thursday	2	4	5	0	0	1	13
##	Friday	2	3	4	0	0	0	13
##	Saturday	2	4	5	0	8	0	0

```
## no of employees available on daily basic rowSums(consider)
```

##	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday V	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
##	18	27	24	28	25	22	19

#### Conclusion

Firstly we see that the workers are avaliable on the monday, tuesday , wednessday, thursday, friday , saturday and sunday. we have 7 shifts therefore Shift arrangement that reduce the wage cost.