

MLPM Tutorial 7

November 26, 2019

1. A mixture model over D -dimensional bit vectors can be defined by

$$p(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \left[p(z_i = k|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{j=1}^D \text{Bern}(x_{ij}|\mu_{kj}) \right]$$

We are to learn the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ using EM.

- (a) Derive the E-step.
- (b) Show that the M-step for ML estimation is given by

$$\mu_{kj} = \frac{\sum_i r_{ik} x_{ij}}{\sum_i r_{ik}}$$

- (c) Show that the M-step for MAP estimation with Beta(α, β) prior is given by

$$\mu_{kj} = \frac{\alpha - 1 + \sum_i r_{ik} x_{ij}}{\alpha + \beta - 2 + \sum_i r_{ik}}$$

2. Consider the Gaussian mixture model

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_k \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$

Define the log likelihood as

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

The posterior responsibility of mixture k for datapoint i is

$$r_{ik} = p(z_i = k|\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{k'} \pi_{k'} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k'}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k'})}$$

- (a) Show that the gradient of the log-likelihood wrt $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_k} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N r_{ik} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)$$

- (b) Derive the gradient of the log-likelihood wrt π_k . (For now ignore any constraints on π_k .)
- (c) One way to handle the constraints that $\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = 1$ is to reparameterise using the softmax function

$$\pi_k = \frac{e^{w_k}}{\sum_{k'=1}^K e^{w_{k'}}$$

where $w_k \in \mathbb{R}$ are unconstrained parameters. Show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -N\pi_k + \sum_{i=1}^N r_{ik}$$

Hint: Find the derivative $\frac{\partial \pi_j}{\partial w_k}$ and use the chain rule.

- (d) Derive the gradient of the log-likelihood wrt $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$. (For now ignore any constraints on π_k .)
- (e) One way to handle the constraint that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$ be a symmetric positive definite matrix is to reparameterise using a Cholesky decomposition $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k = \mathbf{R}_k^T \mathbf{R}_k$ where \mathbf{R}_k is an upper-triangular, but otherwise unconstrained matrix. Derive the gradient of the log-likelihood wrt \mathbf{R}_k .

3. Using the same mixture of K Gaussians as described above show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k$$

$$\text{cov}[\mathbf{x}] = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T) - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]^T$$

Hint: use the fact that $\text{cov}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]^T$.

4. Consider a simple two variable belief network $p(y, x) = p(y|x)p(x)$ where both $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and $y \in \{0, 1\}$ are binary variables. You have a set of training data $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ in which some x_i 's are missing. We are specifically interested in finding $p(x)$ from this data. A colleague suggests that one can set $p(x)$ by simply looking at datapoints where x is observed, and then setting $p(x = 1)$ to be the fraction of observed x that is in state 1. Explain how this procedure relates to maximum likelihood and EM.