# Negative copulas, animacy and demonstratives in Botlikh (with some notes on Miarso)

Fieldwork report April 2021

Samira Verhees

Linguistic Convergence Laboratory at NRU HSE Moscow

Version: August 7, 2021

# Contents

1	Intro	duction	3
2	Copu	llas	3
	2.1	Affirmative	4
	2,2	Negative	5
	2.3	Discussion	10
3	Anim	nacy in Miarso	13
4	Dem	onstratives	17
	4.1	Basic stems	19
	4.2	"You know the one"	20
	4.3	Restrictive	21
5	Data		23
	5.1	Survey 1 – Negative copulas	24
	5.2	Survey 2 – Animacy in the Miarso dialect	27
	5.3	Survey 3 – Assorted additional tasks	30
	5.4	Speaker sample 1	31
	5.5	Speaker sample 2	60
	5.6	Speaker sample 3	68
	5.7	Speaker sample 4	84
	5.8	Speaker sample 5	104
	5.9	Speaker sample 6	110
	5.10	Speaker sample 7	112
Ab	brevia	tions	121
Re	ferenc	es	122

## 1 Introduction

This report contains some preliminary findings based on elicitation with speakers of Botlikh during a short field trip (25–29 April 2021). Section 5 contains the surveys and the elicited material. Each example presented in the text contains a link to the corresponding speaker sample in Section 5. An overview table of the material can be found here. I had three main objectives for this trip:

- · Check the agreement patterns of the negative copulas, which are marked for animacy
- · Check whether agreement with animacy is present in the Miarso dialect
- · Get a general impression of how the demonstrative systems is organized

I also collected some examples of reported speech particles, which are discussed in a separate paper submitted to a future volume on reported speech. For a general introduction to Botlikh and its agreement system(s), see Naccarato & Verhees (2021).

Most of the examples referred to in this report come from elicitation in the field, but I will also refer to the occurrence of certain forms in other available material: the Botlikh-Russian dictionaries (Saidova & Abusov 2012, Alekseev & Azaev 2019) and the corpus. The Botlikh text corpus is a collection of texts published in earlier sources – Gudava (1962) and Azaev (1975). It consists mostly of folklore texts and amounts to  $\sim$  15,000 words. This includes a few fragments in the Miarso dialect. The corpus is currently in the process of being digitized and annotated and remains unpublished.

# 2 Copulas

Copular sentences in Botlikh can be formed with one of four copulas (one affirmative and three negative), or with a form of the existential verb b-uk'i 'be'. The copulas are defective: they can only refer to the present tense, and their inflectional paradigm is limited to a few

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ I use the infinitive [STEM-i] as citation form. If the verb has an agreement slot, it is in the neuter form (b-), and the agreement marker is separated with a hyphen (or triangular brackets in case of an infix).

non-finite forms. The verb *b-uk'i* has a full inflectional paradigm and is used in copular sentences with non-present tense reference. It will not be discussed further here. The copulas also head some periphrastic tenses, such as the perfect, which consists of the general converb and the copula.

#### 2.1 Affirmative

The affirmative copula in Botlikh is *ida*, which is common among Andic languages (Gudava 1959: 57–58). It does not express any type of agreement. Speakers do not typically drop it, and reject omitting it in an example like (1).<sup>2</sup>

(1) w-acri učitel<sup>j</sup>=ida.

M-sibling teacher=COP

'My brother is a teacher.' Sample 1 (69)

In the limited material from the Miarso dialect the copula was dropped in several instances, see (2) and other examples in Sample 7 (Section 5.10).

(2) j-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup>.

F-sibling teacher

'My sister is a teacher.' Sample 7 (425)

The affirmative copula is often cliticized and / or reduced as in (3), both in verbal and non-verbal predication. It could be diachronically related to the intensifier particle da, as suggested more generally for Avar-Andic languages by Forker (2015: 505).

As mentioned above, the copulas have a defective paradigm. In addition to its basic form, the affirmative copula only has an attributivized form ( $ida=\chi o-b$ ). Note that  $\chi o-b$  is one of a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The only exception so far seems to be the speaker of Sample 4 (Section 5.7).

set of productive clitics that can be attached to all sorts of constituents, i.e.  $ida=\chi o-b$  is not an inflectional form.

## 2.2 Negative

Botlikh has three suppletive negative copulas:  $\ell i\check{c}'i, \chi u\check{c}'i$ , and  $g u\check{c}'i$ . They consist of a verb stem (which can be tentatively reconstructed as different verbs meaning 'be', see Gudava (1962: 155), note 1), and a regular negation marker  $-\check{c}'i$ . The latter can be reconstructed for proto-Andic (Alekseev 1988: 118–119). Agreement with the subject is expressed by the choice of the verb stem:  $\ell i$ - for animates,  $\chi u$ - for inanimates. The form  $g u\check{c}'i$  is neutral. Cognates of the marked forms are found on a variety of other parts of speech, see Table 4 (Section 3), or Naccarato & Verhees (2021) for a detailed overview.

- (4) babu iš-qe gu-č'i.
  mom home-APUD COP-NEG
  'Mom is not at home.' Sample 3 (272)
- (5) uru χu-č'i hãnk'wa.
   there INAN.COP-NEG nail
   'There is no nail there.' Sample 1 (140)

In example (4), the copula agrees with the subject ila 'mother'. In (5) it agrees with  $h\tilde{a}nk$ '"a 'nail'. In both cases the neutral form  $gu\check{c}i$  would also be acceptable, while replacing  $li\check{c}i$  with  $\chi u\check{c}i$  and vice versa would be ungrammatical. When the copulas head a periphrastic tense, agreement is with the absolutive argument.

In example (6), the future tense *k:-i \(\frac{t}{i}\)-\(\cec{c}'i\) [give-INF AN.COP-NEG] 'will not give' is formed* 

with *lič'i* because the object of 'give' is a donkey and thus animate.

Unlike the affirmative copula, the negative forms are never reduced or cliticized. Neutral  $gu\check{c}i$  is attested in participial, progressive converb and masdar form. The speaker of Sample 1 (Section 5.4) allowed the same forms for  $\chi u\check{c}i$  but not  $\ell i\check{c}i$ . Only the neutral forms are attested in the dictionaries and texts.

	guč'i	łič'i	χuč'i
participle	guč'-a-b	-	?
progressive converb	guč'-a(ta)	-	?
masdar	guč'-ir	-	?

Table 1: Forms of the negative copulas

The neutral form is also the most frequent. The text corpus contains 19 tokens of  $gu\check{c}i$  (+ 26 tokens of its participial and converbal forms), 12 tokens of  $i\check{c}i$ , and 4 of  $\chi u\check{c}i$ . Two tokens of  $\chi u\check{c}i$  appeared in a text in the Miarso dialect. Neither of the Botlikh-Russian dictionaries contain examples of the marked forms, although the grammar sketch appended to Saidova & Abusov (2012) does mention their existence.

During elicitation speakers used  $gu\check{c}i$  by default. None of them spontaneously produced  $\chi u\check{c}i$  or  $\ell i\check{c}i$ , though they confirmed the existence of these forms. When I proposed to rephrase (7) as (8), with the animate copula, three out of four speakers commented that the latter was actually better. Two speakers started producing  $\ell i\check{c}i$  in consecutive questions. The form  $\hbar a$ -i in examples (7)-(8) can be interpreted either as a demonstrative or a third person pronoun. Either way it clearly refers to an animate referent due to the feminine noun class marker.

- (7) ha-j di-j j-ac:i gu-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.' Sample 5 (387)
- (8)  $^{\text{ok}}$ ha-j di-j j-ac:i  $^{\text{d}}$ i-č'i. DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling AN.COP-NEG 'This is not my sister.' Sample 5 (388)

Table 2 below shows how speakers judged the acceptability of the marked copulas for

different stimuli.<sup>3</sup> The plus sign (+) indicates that the copula was acceptable and a minus (-) indicates that it was unacceptable in this case. The number in each column denotes the number of speakers. The total number of speakers varies because one survey was not completed, and in some cases questions had to be skipped.

Nr	Stimulus	łič	č'i   χuč'i   gu		č'i		
		+	-	+	-	+	-
1.02	This is not my sister.	4	0	0	4	4	0
1,22	Mother is not at home.	3	0	0	2	3	О
1.24	The cow is not in the shed.	3	0	0	3	3	0
1.04	My brother is not a sheperd.	2	1	О	3	3	О
1.05	My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.	2	1	О	2	3	О
1.13	The teacher is not old.	2	1	О	3	3	0
1.07	I am not a stone.	2	1	О	3	3	О
1.06	That is not a person.	2	1	О	3	3	0
1.11	A person is not an animal.	2	1	О	3	3	О
1.08	I will not be a stone.	1	1	О	1	2	О
1.10	An animal is not a person.	1	2	1	2	3	О
1.17	The book is not good.	0	3	3	0	3	О
1.20	There is no light.	0	3	3	0	3	0
1.26	The book is not in the closet.	О	3	2	1	3	0
1.09	An animal is not a thing.	О	2	2	1	3	О
1.15	The cow is not good.	0	2	О	2	2	О

Table 2: Acceptability of negative copulas

Shaded grey are stimuli that rendered consistent results across speakers: 1.02, 1.22 and 1.24 for the animate copula, and 1.17 and 1.20 for the inanimate copula. Note that the acceptability of  $li\check{c}i$  and  $\chi u\check{c}i$  intersects only in the case of 1.10 ('An animal is not a person'). Stimulus 1.10 is similar to 1.09 ('An animal is not a thing'), for which speakers preferred  $\chi u\check{c}i$  or  $gu\check{c}i$ . Concerning 1.10, the speakers of Sample 1 (5.4) and Sample 3 (5.6) had opposite intuitions.<sup>4</sup>

(9) οκ hajwan adam χu-č'i.
animal person INAN.COP-NEG
'An animal is not a person.'

Sample 1 (97)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>An .xslx file with the same data and more information is available here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The speaker from Sample 4 (Section 5.7) used the collective noun *buc':i* 'cattle' instead of *ħajwan* (313). They rejected both  $\ell i \check{c}' i$  and  $\chi u \check{c}' i$  in this context.

(10) οκ ħajwan adam lɨ-č'i.animal person AN.COP-NEG'An animal is not a person.'

Sample 3 (241)

A possible explanation for this variation is the fact that  $\hbar$  ajwan 'animal' can be interpreted as referring to an animate, or it can represent an abstract concept. The speaker of Sample 3 (Section 5.6) showed some internal variation. While they allowed  $\ell$  ic' in (10), they considered it infelicitous in the case of (11). Note that  $\chi$  uc' i was considered equally inappropriate in this case, so that the unacceptability of  $\ell$  ic' i does not indicate that the copula does not agree with the subject here. The speaker additionally offered (12) as an example of a felicitous utterance.

(11) \*ħajwan igruška łi-č'i. animal toy AN.COP-NEG 'An animals is not a toy.'

Sample 3 (237)

(12) hamaxi łi-č'i. donkey AN.COP-NEG '[This] is not a donkey.'

Sample 3 (238)

The speaker of Sample 1 (5.4) initially argued that the inanimate form  $\chi u \check{c}i$  was a variant of  $gu\check{c}i$  used in certain families or clans. They changed their mind later in the session because they felt that in some cases  $\chi u \check{c}i$  actually was more or less appropriate with respect to  $gu\check{c}i$  (see examples 94, 97, 111, 115, 141), though they could not quite pinpoint why.

Another factor that could cause variation is the presence of two absolutive arguments that belong to different noun classes ('animal' is neuter, while 'person' is masculine or feminine, depending on the context). Note, however, that the reverse statement (1.11) prompted more straightforward responses. Perhaps when forced to make a decision during elicitation speakers prioritize the less semantically ambiguous argument (that is, within the context of Botlikh's agreement system): a person is most definitely animate, while animals are known to be variable. Overall, the agreement patterns of the copulas in case of such "mismatches" between the subject and the predicate require further investigation, preferably based on more natural speech material.

Table 2 shows that in the case of six stimuli, the animate form was deemed inappropriate by one speaker even though the subject was animate. This concerns different speakers in the case of individual stimuli, and they cited different motivations for the unacceptablity of  $\ell i \check{c}' i$ . The speaker of Sample 4 (5.7) considered (13) to be unacceptable because it would imply that the brother is a girl.

(13) \*di-w w-ac:i učitel' łi-č'i.

I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG

'My brother is not a teacher.' Sample 4 (297)

The same speaker commented on stimulus 1.20 ('There is no light') that  $\ell i \check{c}' i$  was ungrammatical in this case because it is a 'female' form (presumably corresponding to female humans as in the noun class system), but they nonetheless allowed the use of  $\ell i \check{c}' i$  in (14).

(14) οκ zini beλ'u li-č'i.
 cow shed.Sup an.cop-neg
 'The cow is not in the shed.'
 Sample 4 (348)

She commented on (15) that this was unacceptable because *lič'i* relates to 'humans'.

(15) \*tumbočka-li quča li-č'i.
nightstand-GEN book AN.COP-NEG

'The book is not in the nightstand.' Sample 4 (356)

The speaker of Sample 3 (Section 5.6) deemed ( $_{16}$ ) unacceptable because the subject was not plural. They produced ( $_{17}$ ) as an example of an acceptable utterance.

- \*w-ac:i χarašu ¼i-č'i.
   M-sibling sheperd AN.COP-NEG
   'My brother is not a sheperd.'
   Sample 3 (218)
- (17) w-ac:i-l χarašu łi-č'i.
   M-sibling-PL sheperd AN.COP-NEG
   'My brothers are not sheperds.'
   Sample 3 (219)

This restriction only seemed to apply to this particular noun. The same speaker allowed the use of  $\ell i \check{c}' i$  with all other singular animate subjects ('sister', 'cow', 'animal', 'I', 'teacher [F]'). The speakers' intuitions that " $\ell i \check{c}' i$  is a feminine form" and " $\ell i \check{c}' i$  is a plural (animate) form" were confirmed independently by other speakers in passing, see Sample 6 (Section 5.9).

Stimulus 1.06 was based on example (18), which comes from a natural text.

(18) hu-b adam li-č'i, du-b anč:u=χ:a

DEM-N person AN.COP-NEG you.OBL.SG-N(GEN) shadow=ЕМРН

"That is not a person, it is your shadow!"

(Gudava 1962)

This example is interesting because the animate copula is used even though the subject is inanimate (the demonstrative *hu-b* refers to the shadow of the addressee). During elicitation all four speakers refused to employ the neuter form *hu-b* in combination with *adam* 'person'. The result is a sentence as in (19), which is essentially a paradox: the sentence states that X is not a person, yet the demonstrative shows agreement with the masculine noun class, which means that its referent must be a person. Where the copulas are concerned, *lič'i* was considered acceptable by two speakers (unacceptable by one), and *yuč'i* by none.

(19) e-b=xu-la hibd-u-r, hu-w adam gu-č'i.
what-n=inan-cq be\_startled-pst-msd dem-m person cop-neg

'What are you scared of, that is not a person.' Sample 1 (78)

One aspect that does not seem to influence the agreement pattern (though this requires verification) is the type of copular sentence. In Table 2 different types of copular sentences (identificational, locative, qualificational) are represented among the stimuli eliciting consistent responses, as well as among those that prompted variation.

### 2.3 Discussion

Speakers of Botlikh use the unmarked negative copula  $gu\check{c}i$  by default. It is also the most frequent in the available language material, while examples of the marked forms ( $ti\check{c}i$  for animates,  $\chi u\check{c}i$  for inanimates) are few. The acceptability of the marked forms in different con-

texts showed quite some variation across speakers, although the general pattern that emerges confirms that their functional distinction can be explained in terms of animacy (see Table 2).

According to one speaker the animate form  $ii\check{c}'i$  was more appropriate if the subject was a female human (i.e. belonged to the feminine noun class). Another considered  $\ell i \check{c}'i$  to be a plural (human) form. While both speakers produced additional examples and judgments that contradict the idea that either of these explanations are the whole story, both intuitions were confirmed independently by other speakers, and they could have some diachronic grounds.5 I am not sure how the prominence of female referents fits into the diachronic puzzle, but within the noun class system the animacy distinction is specific to the plural, and all other Andic languages divide the plural domain into humans and non-humans. It is therefore not surprising that plural and human referents would function as more prototypical examples of the animate category in the minds of some speakers. In a previous investigation of animacy agreement in other areas of Botlikh grammar, humans emerged as more prototypical examples of animates (i.e. more consistently agreeing across speakers) as compared to various animals (Naccarato & Verhees 2019). It appears that Botlikh preserves a latent tendency towards clustering humans together in a single category in contrast with other types of referents. What remains unclear is which opposing tendencies prevent Botlikh from simply developing the common human / non-human distinction, instead giving rise to an animate / inanimate distinction. Perhaps the answer to this question can also be found in patterns of synchronic variation.

To follow up on the preliminary results presented in this report, I intend to conduct a survey with speakers of Botlikh online. If a sufficient number of respondents completes the survey, their preferences for a certain negative copula could provide quantitative evidence for the tendencies observed during in-person elicitation.

Some questions that remain unresolved are the following:

1. Do the negative copulas always agree with the subject?

 $<sup>^5</sup>$ One speaker mentioned 'animacy' as a motivation for (un)acceptability, see example (389) in Sample 5 (Section 5.8).

- 2. Does a mismatch between the animacy or noun class of the subject and the predicate it is equated with cause any conflict in this regard?
- 3. What determines the choice for one of the marked forms as opposed to the neutral variant *guč'i*?
- 4. Is  $gu\check{c}i$  in the process of ousting the marked options?
- 5. How did this system with three negative copulas emerge?
- 1. The results of the survey presented in this report generally confirm that agreement of the negative copulas is governed by the animacy of the subject. However, when this is not the case, it is not always clear whether this is because the subject is ambiguous in terms of animacy, or because agreement is not with the subject (cf. the discussion of stimuli 1.09, 1.10, and 1.11 above);
- 2. For this reason, it is necessary to verify the agreement patterns of certain nouns in unambiguous contexts, and subsequently study the behavior of different nouns in case of mismatches where animates are equated with inanimate objects and vice versa;
- 3. Given that  $gu\check{c}i$  can be used in every possible context for a negative copula while the marked forms are much more restricted, the question rises what motivates speakers to use the marked forms. Possibly there are some pragmatic factors at play;
- 4. Another possibility is that  $gu\check{c}i$  is simply ousting two older, more restricted forms, based on the fact that speakers would use  $gu\check{c}i$  by default even in cases where a marked form was deemed not just appropriate, but actually "better" than the neutral copula. I did overhear the use of at least  $\mathit{li}\check{c}i$  in spontaneous conversation on a few occassions while I was in Botlikh. Possibly the absence of the marked forms in the available language data is due to its limited nature (elicited sentences and a small corpus of folklore narratives), rather than the actual rarity of these forms in natural language use;
- 5. The three negative copulas are structurally similar, but synchronically there is a clear functional asymmetry between the marked forms and the unmarked variant. How this system

emerged is not clear, cf. Naccarato & Verhees (2019) for comparison with the (negative) copula inventories of other Andic languages.

## 3 Animacy in Miarso

The data from Miarso on which this section is based are rather sparse. I visited the village only for one afternoon, during which I elicited sentences with a group of speakers consisting of a teacher and children of different ages, see Sample 7 (Section 5.10). I was able to ask another speaker a few additional questions via a messenger app.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} SG & M & F & N \\ \hline W & j & b \\ \hline PL & AN & INAN \\ \hline r/l & b \end{array}$$

Table 3: Noun class system of Miarso

The noun class system of Miarso does not differ from that of Botlikh. It distinguishes male humans, female humans, and everything else in singular, and animates versus inanimates in plural (Table 3). Examples (20)–(23) show that animals including insects pattern with humans ('children') rather than objects ('houses').

- (20) ha-l mak'i-l
  DEM-AN.PL child-PL
  'these children' Sample 7 (423)
- (21) ha-l k'at-e
  DEM-AN.PL horse-PL

  'these horses' Sample 7 (420)
- (22) ha-l sisik'wan-łi
  DEM-AN.PL butterfly-PL

  'these butterflies' Sample 7 (424)
- (23) ha-b hanq'w-a\forali

  DEM-INAN.PL house-PL

  'these houses' Sample 7 (418)

Only a subset of the dedicated animacy markers that are present in Botlikh were attested in Miarso. Table 4 summarizes the correspondences. It is possible that Miarso has additional markers for animacy agreement that are not shown here, since my survey was aimed at finding the equivalents of forms attested in Botlikh.

	Botlikh		Miarso	
	AN	INAN	AN	INAN
Negative copula	łi-č'i	χu-č'i	hi-č'i	hu-č'i
Interrogative particle				
Polar	łi-ma	χu-ma	łi-ba	χu-ba?
Content	ર્યા-la	χu-la	łi-la	χu-la
Attributive clitic	ła-b	χο-b	?	
Participle				
Present	ła-b	χa-b	go-b	
Future	ła-b	χο-b		?
Ordinal numeral	ła-b	χο-b	χ	o-b

Table 4: Animacy markers in Botlikh and Miarso

The present participle in Miarso always takes the suffix -go-b, as evidenced by examples (24)-(26) below. I am not sure why *adan* agrees with the neuter class in (26). Perhaps -b is petrified, or *adan* shows a different pattern than *adam* in Botlikh.

(24) b-ič-at(a)-go-b zini
N-sell-PROG-PTCP-N cow

'a cow that is being sold'

Sample 7 (444)

(25) b-ič-at(a)-go-b q'aj
N-sell-PROG-PTCP-N merchandise

'merchandise that is being sold'

Sample 7 (445)

(26) mašina ič-ata-go-b adan
car drive-PROG-PTCP-N person

'a person who drives a car'

Sample 7 (446)

The ordinal numeral always takes the suffix  $-\chi o$ -b, cf. examples (27)–(29). I have no data on attributive clitics in Miarso; in Botlikh there are several attributive clitics, including two clitics that are marked for animacy and are cognate with the participal and ordinal numeral suffixes. It remains to be verified whether any of the attributive clitics attested in Botlikh are available in Miarso.

- (27) k'ej-ҳo-w waša
  two-ORD-M son
  'the second son' Sample 7 (447)

  (28) k'ej-ҳo-b zini
  two-ORD-N cow
  'the second cow' Sample 7 (448)
- (29) k'ej-xo-b quča two-ord-n book 'the second book' Sample 7 (449)

In Miarso agreement with animacy is expressed on the interrogative particles and on the negative copulas. The content question markers are identical to those of Botlikh:  $\emph{tila}$  for animates,  $\emph{yula}$  for inanimates.

- (30) in=\fi-la iš-qe?
  who=AN-CQ home-APUD

  'Who is at home?' Sample 7 (431)

In Miarso the interrogative element can be dropped, leaving only the animacy marker, as in (32). In Botlikh only the reverse is possible (33).

(32) di-b q'abała inu-χu?

I.OBL-N[GEN] hat where-INAN

'Where is my hat?'

Sample 7 (441)

(33) ina=la b-it'-a-j kaʁat?
where.LAT=CQ N-send-CAUS-INF letter

'Where do I send this letter?' (Saidova & Abusov 2012: 202)

Polar question markers take the form ba (as opposed to ma in Botlikh). Only the animate and bare forms were attested.

(34) w-oč'uҳa ima ʁundu=lɨ-ba?
м-big father sleep=AN-PQ
'Is grandfather sleeping?'

Sample 7 (439)

Possibly the absence of the inanimate marker  $\chi u$  in (35) is a case of omission. In Botlikh the particle ma frequently occurs without the animacy markers.

(35) min-di c'ĩw partu ix-i=ba? you.sg-erg new coat take-AOR=PQ 'Did you buy a new coat?'

Sample 7 (438)

The negative copulas are  $hi\check{c}i$  for animates ((36)–(40)) and  $hu\check{c}i$  for inanimates ((41)–(42)).

(36) j-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup>nica hi-č'i.

F-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG
'My sister is not a teacher.'

Sample 7 (426)

(37) di-w w-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup> hi-č'i
I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG
'My brother is not a teacher.'

Online elicitation 2021

- (38) di-l ila-ima učitel<sup>j</sup>-zawałi hi-č'i
  I.OBL-AN.PL[GEN] mother-father teacher-PL AN.COP-NEG

  'My parents are not teachers.' Online elicitation 2021
- (39) ha-b zini di-b hi-č'i

  DEM-N cow I.OBL-N[GEN] AN.COP-NEG

  'That cow is not mine.'

Online elicitation 2021

- (40) ha-l zin-e di-l hi-č'i

  DEM-AN.PL cow-PL I.OBL-AN.PL[GEN] AN.COP-NEG

  'Those cows are not mine.' Online elicitation 2021
- (41) hanq'u b-eč'uxa-b hu-č'i.
  house N-big-N INAN.COP-NEG

  'The house is not big.' Sample 7 (428)
- (42) ha-b quči-wałi di-b hu-č'i

  DEM-N book-PL I.OBL-N[GEN] INAN.COP-NEG

  'Those books are not mine.' Online elicitation 2021

 $hi\check{c}'i$  is thus a cognate of  $ii\check{c}'i$ . The change i'>h is not uncommon (cf. Andi i' refo vs. Botlikh i' reha 'night'), and this particular correspondence could provide a missing link in reconstructing the copulas of Proto-Andic (cf. the overview of copular stems presented in Naccarato & Verhees (2019) in combination with the fact that Miarso hi corresponds to i' in Botlikh). The formal difference between the marked interrogative particles and the negative copulas in Miarso seems odd, because it results in a less coherent paradigm. At the same time, animacy marking in the negative copula domain appears more consistent and grammaticalized in Miarso, since it lacks a third neutral copula. Only i' and i' showed up during elicitation. Recall that the form i' was attested in a text in the Miarso dialect. When I asked my online consultant about this form, they commented that it belongs to the Botlikh dialect.

## 4 Demonstratives

The demonstrative system of Botlikh is relatively small compared to other Andic languages: it has 5 basic stems and 2 productive extensions. Elevation is not distinguished. Table 5 presents the basic forms and their functions. Demonstratives are cited in the absolutive case with a neuter noun class marker. Oblique forms replace the class suffix with an attributive oblique marker: -š:u for male human referents, -4:i for all others.

	Form	Function	
1	ha-b	proximal medial distal distal distal	
2	hu-b	medial	
3	go-b	distal	
4	ga-b	distal	to the side
5	do-b	distal	distant in time

Table 5: Basic demonstratives

Extended forms attach the word ce-b 'one' to the stem. It can be followed by the restrictive clitic Ba-b, which indicates a specific referent from a group of similar ones. In this case I treat Ba-b as a suffix and part of the word form, rather than a clitic, because it is not cliticized to the Ce-b forms: it attaches in place of the class marker rather than on top of it. In its function as a restrictive clitic, by contrast, no part of the host is truncated, cf. example (43).

```
(43) bišti-b=ʁa-b k'atu m-iš-a
you.PL-N[GEN]=RESTR-N horse N-win-AOR
'YOUR horse (rather than somebody else's) won.' (Saidova & Abusov 2012: 97)
```

I discussed the extended demonstratives with two consultants,  $^6$  and in their speech some kind of vowel harmony occurs between ha-/ga- and  $\varkappa a$ -b, as shown in Table  $^6$  below.

	Exte	ension 1	L
1	ha	ce-b	
2	hu	ce-b	
3	go	ce-b	
4	ga	ce-b	
5	do	ce-b	
	E-4		_
	EXIC	ension :	2
1	ha	ca	ка-р <sub>5</sub>
1 2			
	ha	ca	ка-р
2	ha hu	ca ce	ка-р ка-р
2 3	ha hu go	ca ce ce	ка-р ка-р ка-р

Table 6: Extended demonstratives

 $<sup>^6</sup> See\ Sample\ {\mbox{\tiny 1}}\ (Section\ {\mbox{\tiny 5.4}})\ and\ Sample\ {\mbox{\tiny 3}}\ (Section\ {\mbox{\tiny 5.6}}).$ 

Both Botlikh-Russian dictionaries cite the form *go-ca-ва-b*, as opposed to my consultants' variant *go-ce-ва-b*. *-ce-ва-b* only occurs in Saidova & Abusov (2012) as an independent word form (*ce-ва-b* 'other') or as part of *e-ce-ва-b* [what-one-RESTR-N] 'which'.

Demonstrative stems also form the base of a number of adverbial forms. It remains to be verified how productive each derivation is with different stems. The speaker of Sample 4 (Section 5.7), for example, recognized the combination of the base stems with the additive particle (e.g. *hu-la* meaning 'like that'), with the exception of *ga-la*.

## 4.1 Basic stems

The proximal ha-b is used to introduce a referent for the first time, while the medial form hu-b refers back to a referent already mentioned. Below are examples of their demonstrative (44)–(45) functions and the discursive function of hu-b (46). The discursive function of ha-b often coincides with its demonstrative function.

- (44) čakar=ida=ma ha-b?sugar=COP=PQ DEM-N'Is this sugar?' (the speaker is holding a sugarcube)Sample 1 (120)
- hu-b ručka ik:-a di-χa.
   DEM-N pen give-IMP I.OBL-APUD.LAT
   'Give me that pen.' (the addressee is holding the pen)

  Sample 5 (396)
- (46) ha-w ida di-w w-ac:i, hu-w ida gwanzi mak'i.

  DEM-M COP I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling DEM-M COP good child

  'This is my brother, he is a good child.' (Alexeyev & Verhees Forth.)

ga-b indicates a referent that is not near the speaker or the addressee but located "to the side", see Saidova & Abusov (2012: 114) and Sample 2 (192). It can also be behind the speaker's back. The form is frequently used as a third person pronoun in texts.

(47) ga-b t'amsa
DEM-N carpet

'that carpet' (the carpet is to the side and out of sight of the speaker) Sample 2 (192)

Historically, demonstrative stems with gV- in Andic refer to something that is located below eye-level. The strangely specific meaning 'to the side' could be a relict of this function. In example (48) it simply functions as a third person pronoun. ga-b=la refers to a hammer that the protagonist found. The protagonist is not very smart and thinks he can coax the hammer to come after him, which fails. ga-w in the second clause refers to the protagonist.

(48) ga-b=la b-eχut'u ʁ-i-č'a ga-w iš-qa w-eλ-u ida.

DEM-N=ADD N-behind come-IS-NEG.CVB DEM-M home-APUD.LAT M-walk-CVB COP

'It did not come after [him], so he went home.' (Gudava 1962: 175)

*do-b* is associated with referents in the distant past that are equally known to both the speaker and the addressee, see Saidova & Abusov (2012: 183) and Sample 3 (285).

Two additional forms *hogo-b* and *hada-b* were described by M.E. Alexeyev in his unpublished grammar sketch of Botlikh (Alexeyev & Verhees Forth.). *hogo-b* is specific to Miarso; it seems to be an equivalent of Botlikh *go-b* (Saidova & Abusov 2012: 163). *hada-b* is unattested elsewhere. One speaker recognized a form *haga-b*, and commented that it refers to a specific referent that is out of sight at the time of speech (49). It is unattested elsewhere. When I asked another speaker about this form, they thought I meant the adjective *haga-b* 'stupid', see example (372) in Sample 3.

(49) haga-b hurð'a-li ruša.

DEM-N nut-GEN tree

'That walnut tree.'

Sample 1 (175)

### 4.2 "You know the one"

A common and transparent extension of the basic demonstrative stems is the attachment of the word *ce-b* 'one'. These forms are used when the speaker assumes that the addressee will know what they are talking about. Example (50) was uttered by my consultant's grandson while we were discussing the meaning of different demonstratives. The boy used *go-ce-b* to refer to a specific bucket he had used before, assuming that his grandfather would know

which one he is talking about.

(50) go-ce-b wedro ida=ma?

DEM-one-N bucket COP=PQ

'Is that (one) bucket here?'

Sample 1 (Section 5.4)

An analogous construction exists in spoken Dutch.

(51) waar is die ene emmer?where is that one bucket'Where is that bucket (you know which one I am talking about)?'

Asking *waar is die emmer?* 'where is that bucket?' would require either some specification or a prior context where the bucket is mentioned. Otherwise it will probably be met with an annoyed response asking which one the speaker is talking about. By adding *ene* 'one', the speaker absolves themselves of the necessity to specify which bucket they mean. Instead, they prompt the addressee to search their common ground for what the speaker could be talking about. In Botlikh the addition of *ce-b* 'one' to the demonstrative stem shows some signs of morphologization, since it attaches directly to the stem. The extension is available for all demonstratives.

## 4.3 Restrictive

The marker -*Ba-b* can be attached to demonstrative forms extended with *ce-b*. It adds emphasis on a specific referent.

(52) ha-ca-ва-j ješi DEM-one-RESTR-F girl 'THAT girl' (one of two) (Saidova & Abusov 2012: 149)

As mentioned earlier, Ba-b also occurs as a restrictive clitic that can attach to a variety of constituents. In the demonstrative domain it is less autonomous and functions as a suffix that derives a special kind of demonstrative, because it always attaches to a particular form

(the 'one'-extension), and the word form as a whole displays signs of morphologization and phonological assimilation.

## 5 Data

This section contains the surveys I used and the elicited material in the form of speaker samples. Each speaker sample is numbered and contains some metadata. Speakers are identified by a code that consists of their initials, year of birth, and gender. One speaker sample equals one session; samples 1 and 2 were elicited from the same person on different days. A session can include multiple speakers. Since fieldwork in Daghestan is often conducted in people's own homes, it is not always possible to isolate speakers. Other speakers may chime in spontaneously or be asked to weigh in by the main speaker.

Examples are presented first in Avar Cyrillics (with the addition of  $\pi$ 1 for the lateral affricate  $/\tilde{\lambda}/$ , and double quotation marks (") for nasalization on the preceding vowel) and then in transcription. Unmarked examples are translations of the initial question / stimulus, a plus sign (+) indicates an additional example prompted by the researcher, two plus signs (++) indicate additional examples produced spontaneously by the speaker. Ungrammatical expressions are marked with an asterisk (\*). In some cases a Russian translation of the example is provided because the speaker produced a translation that was different from the original stimulus. Additional text in Russian are my notes of the speaker's comments. Notes in English are mine. Unfortunately, no audio recordings are available.

The speaker samples differ in size and content. I had prepared a survey on negative copulas for Botlikh (5.1), a survey on animacy for Miarso (5.2), and some assorted extra tasks (5.3). During the sessions I asked additional questions about topics that were technically outside the scope of my investigation, such as word order in copular sentences. I checked the availability and possible function of different demonstrative forms by way of unstructured elicitation and conversation. Survey questions are identified by Q and the number of the survey and the question (e.g. Q1.1 is the first question of the first survey on negative copulas, which is represented in most samples). Unprepared questions are identified by the number of the speaker sample, the letter F ('free question'), and consecutive numbering. Russian was used as the working language, so the surveys are presented in Russian with an English translation.

# 5.1 Survey 1 – Negative copulas

The survey elicits different types of copular sentences and their negative equivalents: 1–5. identificational copular sentences; 6–11. copular sentences with a mismatch in noun class or animacy between subject and predicate; 12–17. predicational copular sentences; 18–20. existential copular sentences; 21–26. locational copular sentences.

1.	Это моя сестра.
	This is my sister.
2.	Это не моя сестра
	This is not my sister.
3.	Брат учитель.
	My brother is a teacher.
4.	Брат не чабан.
	My brother is not a sheperd.
5.	Брат не учитель, а чабан.
	My brother is not a teacher, but a sheperd.
6.	Это не человек (это твоя тень).
	That is not a person (it's your shadow).
7.	Я не камень.
	I am not a stone.
8.	Я не буду камнем.
	I will not be a stone.
9.	Животное не вещь / предмет.
	An animal is not a thing.

	The teacher is not old.
14.	Корова хорошая. The cow is good.
15.	Корова не хорошая. The cow is not good.
16.	Книга хорошая. The book is good.
17.	Книга не хорошая. The book is not good.
18.	Свет есть? Is there light?
19.	Свет есть. There is light.
20.	Hет света. There is no light.
21.	Мама дома.  Mom is at home.

10. Животное не человек.

11. Человек не животное.

12. Учительница старая.

The teacher is old.

13. Учительница не старая.

An animal is not a person.

A person is not an animal.

22. Мама не дома. / Мамы нет дома.

Mom is not at home.

23. Корова в хлеву.

The cow is in the shed.

24. Корова не в хлеву. / Коровы нет в хлеву.

The cow is not in the shed.

25. Книга в шкафу.

The book is in the closet.

26. Книга не в шкафу. / Книги нет в шкафу.

The book is not in the closet.

#### Survey 2 – Animacy in the Miarso dialect **5.2**

This survey elicits the Miarso equivalents of existing examples in the Botlikh dialect where

forms marked for animacy are employed: 1–7. noun class agreement with plural no
phrases; 8–12. negative copulas; 13–20. interrogative sentences; 23–25. participles; 26–
ordinal numerals.
1. Эти книги These books
2. Эти дома These houses
3. Эти коровы These cows
4. Эти лошади These horses
5. Эти люди These people
6. Эти дети These children
7. Эти бабочки These butterflies
8. Сестра учительница. My sister is a teacher.
9. Сестра не учительница.

My sister is not a teacher.

10. Дом большой. The house is big. 11. Дом не большой. The house is not big. 12. Этого дома нет уже. That house is not there anymore. 13. Это ты? Is that you? 14. Кто дома? Who is at home? 15. Что это? What is this? 16. Ты кормил куриц? Did you feed the chickens? 17. Ты купил новое пальто? Did you buy a new coat? 18. Дедушка (не) спит? Is grandpa (not) sleeping? 19. Где дети? Where are the children? 20. Где моя шапка? Where is my hat? 21. Куда летит этот самолет? Where is this plane flying to?

22. Куда он едет?

Where is he going?

23. Корова, которую продают

A cow that is being sold

24. Товар, который продают

A product that is being sold

25. Человек, который водит машину

A person who is driving a car

26. Второй сын

The second son

27. Вторая корова

The second cow

28. Вторая книга

The second book

## 5.3 Survey 3 – Assorted additional tasks

This survey contains assorted small tasks: 1-5. sentences from the corpus for translation and discussion; 6-7 an attempt to reproduce biabsolutive examples from Alexeyev's unpublished grammar sketch.

- 1. Галълъа гьину бука гучи эштубкавала кванар.
- 2. Саруди гьикьуда адамихъи: берка хвасар и"гьи, гьулълъиди баркалла индактвала гьикьи хучти.
- 3. Малла руценди гьикьу ида (//гьикьуда): гьаціада туменади гьикьа кки лъичіи.
- 4. Гьена-лъабу мисариуди бакьаралъи машикаб-талу, гьикъу ида гадал ваццуди.
- Галълъиди гъикъуда мисидалъи къирекук

   ук

   ук

   ј

   ук

   нартав ваъа джав

   къиреку

   лъи

   нартав ваъа джав

   нартав нартав

   нартав ваъа джав

   нартав нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав

   нартав
- 6. Отец вспахал поле.

Father plowed the field.

7. Отец не вспахал поле.

Father did not plow the field.

## 5.4 Speaker sample 1

Recorded 26.04.2021 in Botlikh.

Speaker GMM1962M, researcher Samira Verhees.

No audio recording.

#### Q1.01 Это моя сестра.

Гьай дий йацци ида.

- (53) ha-j di-j j-ac:i ida.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP

  'She is my sister.'
  - ++ Гьайегье дий йацци.
- $(54) \qquad ha < j > e(he) \qquad di-j \qquad j-ac:i. \\ this\_here < F > I.OBL-F[GEN] \ F-sibling \\ \text{`This here is my sister.'}$ 
  - + Гой дий йацци йик1е.
- (55) go-j di-j j-ac:i j-ik'-e.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling F-be-HAB

  'This is my sister.'

**Researcher comment:** Asked to rephrase with a different demonstrative, to which the speaker also altered the verb, which I did not notice during the session.

- ++ Гьуй дий йацци йик1е.
- (56) hu-j di-j j-ac:i j-ik'-e.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling F-be-HAB

  'This is my sister.'

- ++ Гой дий йацци йикта.
- (57) go-j di-j j-ac:i j-ik'-a.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling F-be-AOR

  'This is my sister.'

**Speaker comment:** Копулу нельзя опускать. Выбор *гой* и *гьуй* происходит на подсознательном уровне. *гьай* – ближе (рядом), *гой* / *гьуй* – на стороне. *гьуй* можно заменить на *гай*, оно здесь тоже возможно. *гьуй* – не рядом, но конкретизировано, а *гай* абстрактно и в стороне, вне сферы собеседников. Если человек, о котором идет речь, не присутствует (например, я рассказываю, что у кого-то был в гостях, ответ:) *гьуй*.

- ++ Гьай ида иліиб миціціи бегъаталъай адам.
- (58) ha-j ida iʾi-b mic':i beʁ-ata=la-j adam.

  DEM-F COP we.INCL-N[GEN] language know-PROG=AN.ATR-F person

  'This is a person who knows our language.' / Это человек, изучающий/знающий

  наш язык.
  - + Гьай ида дий йацци.
- (59) ha-j ida di-j j-ac:i.

  DEM-F COP I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling

  'This is my sister.'

Speaker comment: Так тоже можно, это утверждение с восклицательным знаком.

- + Дий йацци ида гьай.
- (60) di-j j-acri ida ha-j.

  I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP DEM-F

  'This is my sister.' (contrary to the addressee's expectation)

**Speaker comment:** Тоже можно, но это более редко. Это, например, если не родная сестра, или это пояснение к рассказу, если люди не знают, что это твоя сестра.

## Q1.2 Это не моя сестра.

Гьай дий йацци гучии.

(61) ha-j di-j j-ac:i gu-č'i.

DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP-NEG

'This is not my sister.'

Она мне не сестра.

+ Гьай дий йацци лъичіи.

- (62) ha-j di-j j-ac:i li-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling AN.COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'
  - + Гьай дий йацци хучіи.
- (63) \*ha-j di-j j-ac:i χu-č'i. DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling INAN.COP-NEG 'This is not my sister.'

**Speaker comment:** *хучии* нельзя. *хучии* и *гучии* – эквиваленты, кто-то использует *гучии*, а кто-то *гучии*.

- ++ Гъани гуч1и.
- (64) ʁani gu-č'i.
  bread cop-neg
  'There is no bread.'
  - ++ Гъани лъичіи.

- (65) \*sani li-č'i. bread AN.COP-NEG 'There is no bread.'
  - ++ Геду гуч1и.
- (66) gedu gu-č'i.
  cat COP-NEG
  'There is no cat.'
  - ++ Геду лъичіи.
- (67) \*gedu łi-č'i. cat AN.COP-NEG 'There is no cat.'
  - + Зини лъич1и.
- (68) \*zini łi-č'i. cow an.cop-neg 'There is no cow.'

## Q1.3 Брат учитель.

Вацци учительида.

(69) w-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup>=ida. M-sibling teacher=COP 'My brother is a teacher.'

## Q1.4 Брат не чабан.

Вацци харашу гучіи.

(70) w-ac:i χarašu gu-č'i.M-sibling sheperd COP-NEG'My brother is not a sheperd.'

- + Вацци харашу лъичіи.
- (71) w-ac:i χarašu li-č'i.M-sibling sheperd AN.COP-NEG'My brother is not a sheperd.'
  - + Вацци харашу хучіи.
- (72) \*w-ac:i χarašu χu-č'i.M-sibling sheperd INAN.COP-NEG'My brother is not a sheperd.'

**Researcher comment:** A form *čuč'i* is described in Saidova & Abusov (2012: 556). According to the speaker it does not exist; possibly it is a typo in the source.

## Q1.5 Брат не учитель, а чабан.

Вацци учитель гучи, харашуда.

- (73) w-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup> gu-č'i, χarašu=da. M-sibling teacher COP-NEG sheperd=COP 'My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.'
  - + Вацци учитель лъичіи, харашуда.
- (74) w-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup> li-č'i, χarašu=da. M-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG sheperd=COP 'My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.'
  - + Вацци учитель хуч1и, харашуда.
- (75) \*w-acii učitel<sup>j</sup> χu-č'i, χarašu=da. M-sibling teacher INAN.COP-NEG sheperd=COP 'My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.'
  - + Гьай дий йацци гучи, йешида.

- (76) ha-j di-j j-ac:i gu-č'i, ješi=da

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP-NEG daughter=COP

  'This is not my sister but my daughter.'
  - + Гьай дий йацци лъичи, йешида.
- (77) ha-j di-j j-ac:i li-č'i, ješi=da.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling AN.COP-NEG daughter=COP

  'This is not my sister but my daughter.'

#### Q1.6 Это не человек (это твоя тень).

Эбхула гьибдур, гьув адам гучии.

- e-b=χu-la hibd-u-r, hu-w adam gu-č'i. what-N=INAN-CQ be\_startled-PST-MSD DEM-M person COP-NEG 'What are you scared of, that is not a person.'

  Что боишься, это не человек.
  - + Эбхула гьибдур, гьуб адам гучии.
- (79) \*e-b=χu-la hibd-u-r, hu-b adam gu-č'i. what-n=inan-cq be\_startled-pst-msd dem-n person cop-neg 'What are you scared of, that is not a person.'
  - + Эбхула гьибдур, гьув адам лъичи.
- (80) e-b=χu-la hibd-u-r, hu-w adam li-č'i. what-n=inan-cq be\_startled-pst-msd dem-m person an.cop-neg 'What are you scared of, that is not a person.'
  - + Эбхула гьибдур, гьув адам хучи.
- (81) \*e-b=xu-la hibd-u-r, hu-w adam xu-č'i. what-n=inan-cq be\_startled-pst-msd dem-m person inan.cop-neg 'What are you scared of, that is not a person.'

#### Q1.7 Я не камень.

Ден анча гучіи.

- (82) den anča gu-č'i. I stone COP-NEG 'I am not a stone.'
  - + Ден анча лъичи.
- (83) den anča 4i-č'i.

  I stone AN.COP-NEG
  'I am not a stone.'

**Speaker comment:** Наверно можно, *гучіи* все-таки лучше звучит, хотя *лъичіи* тоже будет нормально.

- + Ден анча хуч1и.
- (84) \*den anča χu-č'i.

  I stone INAN.COP-NEG
  'I am not a stone.'

Speaker comment: Скорее всего это ашининский диалект.

#### Q1.8 Я не буду камнем.

Ден анчалъун вукти гучти.

- (85) den anča=łun w-uk'-i gu-č'i.
  I stone=func m-be-inf cop-neg
  'I will not be a stone.'
  - ++ Анчалъун ден вукти гучти.

- (86) anča=łun den w-uk'-i gu-č'i. stone=func I m-be-inf cop-neg 'I will not be a stone.'
  - ++ Ден вукіи гучіи анчалъун.
- (87) den w-uk'-i gu-č'i anča=łun.

  I m-be-inf cop-neg stone=func
  'I will not be a stone.'

Speaker comment: Это более пафосно, восклицательно.

- + Ден анчаталу вукіи гучіи.
- (88) den anča=talu w-uk'-i gu-č'i.

  I stone=QUOT M-be-INF COP-NEG
  'I will not be a stone.'

**Speaker comment:** Так тоже можно, но тогда значит, что я не буду настаивать на то, или утверждать, что это камень. Это пересказ того, что сказал другой человек.

- ++ Г1алиди кьатида гьуб банка инщуб=хулета
- (89) <sup>°</sup>ali-di λ'-at-ida hu-b banka in-š:u-b=χuleta
  A.-ERG say-PROG-COP DEM-N can REFL-M.OBL-N[GEN]=QUOT
  'Ali says that this can is his.'

Али говорит, что эта банка его.

- + Г1алиди кьатида гьуб банка инщуб(-ида)=талу
- (90) <sup>°</sup>ali-di  $\lambda$ '-at-ida hu-b banka in-š:u-b(-ida)=talu A.-erg say-prog-cop dem-n can refl-m.obl(-cop)=quot 'Ali says that this can is his.'

Али говорит, что эта банка его.

Researcher comment: In (89) the copula ida should not be used, while in (90) it is optional. This has to do with the fact that  $\chi uleta$  is a predicativizing particle, though I would expect ida to be mandatory in (90), since talu is not predicativizing and the copula usually can not be omitted.

#### Q1.9 Животное не вещь / предмет.

[Ребенок плохо относится к животному, как сказать ему: "Животное не вещь" в смысле, что это живое существо.]

Researcher comment: This question required some additional explanation.

Х1айван игрушка гуч1и.

(91) hajwan igruška gu-č'i. animal toy COP-NEG 'An animal is not a toy.'

Х1айван анча гуч1и.

(92) hajwan anča gu-č'i.
animal stone COP-NEG
'An animal is not a stone.'

**Speaker comment:** На самом деле вещь / предмет будет  $нa(\imath 5ap)$ , но в данном контексте не очень подходит.

- + Х1айван анча лъич1и.
- (93) <sup>??</sup>ħajwan anča li-č'i. animal stone AN.COP-NEG 'Animals are not stones.'

Researcher comment: The speaker first commented that this was fine, then they

#### changed their mind.

- + Х1айван анча хуч1и.
- (94) hajwan anča xu-č'i.
  animal stone INAN.COP-NEG
  'Animals are not stones.'

Speaker comment: хучии здесь можно, но гучии используется чаще.

#### Q1.10 Животное не человек.

Х1айван адам гуч1и.

- (95) hajwan adam gu-č'i. animal person COP-NEG 'An animal is not a person.'
  - + Х1айван адам лъич1и.
- (96) \*ħajwan adam lɨ-č'i. animal person AN.COP-NEG 'An animal is not a person.'
  - + Х1айван адам хуч1и.
- (97) ħajwan adam χu-č'i.animal person INAN.COP-NEG'An animal is not a person.'

Speaker comment: хучии здесь можно, но гучии используется чаще.

#### Q1.11 Человек не животное.

Адам хлайван гучли.

- (98) adam hajwan gu-č'i.person animal COP-NEG'A person is not an animal.'
  - + Адам хаайван лъичи.
- (99) adam hajwan li-č'i.person animal AN.COP-NEG'A person is not an animal.'
  - + Адам хаайван хучии.
- (100) \*adam ħajwan χu-č'i.
  person animal INAN.COP-NEG
  'A person is not an animal.'

#### Q1.12 Учительница старая.

Учительница йахара ида.

- (101) učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara ida. teacher F-old COP 'The teacher is old.'
  - + Учительница йахара.
- (102) \*učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara. teacher F-old 'The teacher is old.'

Speaker comment: Так нельзя, правильно будет (103).

Researcher comment: (103) is a noun phrase.

+ йахара учительница

(103) j-axara učitel<sup>j</sup>nica F-old teacher 'the old teacher'

#### Q1.13 Учительница не старая.

Учительница йахара гучіи.

- (104) učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara gu-č'i. teacher F-old COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'
  - + Учительница йахара лъичіи.
- (105) učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara <sup>‡</sup>i-č'i. teacher F-old AN.COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'
  - + Учительница йахара хучіи.
- (106) \*učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara xu-č'i. teacher F-old INAN.COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'

#### Q1.14 Корова хорошая.

Зини гванзи ида.

- (107) zini gwanzi ida. cow good COP 'The cow is good.'
  - ++ Гванзи зини ида.
- (108) gwanzi zini ida. good cow cop 'The cow is good.'

#### Q1.15 Корова не хорошая.

Зини гванзи гуч1и.

- (109) zini gwanzi gu-č'i. cow good COP-NEG 'The cow is not good.'
  - + Зини гванзи лъич1и.
- (110) \*zini gwanzi łi-č'i.

  cow good AN.COP-NEG

  'The cow is not good.'
  - + Зини гванзи хуч1и.
- (111) \*zini gwanzi xu-č'i.
  cow good INAN.COP-NEG
  'The cow is not good.'

**Speaker comment:** *хучи* здесь все-таки тоже нельзя, как и *лъичи*. Внутри среднего рода есть градации.

#### **Q1.16** Книга хорошая.

**Researcher comment:** The speaker did not like to use the word 'good' to describe a book, and opted for 'interesting' instead. They insisted to use this Russian borrowing in the masculine form.

Хъуча интересный ида.

(112) quča interesnyj ida. book interesting COP 'The book is interesting.'

#### **Q1.17** Книга не хорошая.

Хъуча интересный гучи.

- (113) quča interesnyj gu-č'i. book interesting COP-NEG 'The book is not interesting.'
  - + Хъуча интересный лъичіи.
- (114) \*quča interesnyj 4i-č'i. book interesting AN.COP-NEG 'The book is not interesting.'
  - + Хъуча интересный хуч1и.
- (115) quča interesnyj χu-č'i.book interesting INAN.COP-NEG'The book is not interesting.'

Speaker comment: хучии можно; даже более употребительно здесь чем гучии.

**Speaker comment:** Миарсинцы немного подстраиваются под ботлихцев когда они друг с другом общаются.

**Speaker comment:** Среди молодежи есть разница в произношении и интонации под влиянием русского, от трилингвизма перешли на билингвизм, аварский вытеснился.

#### **Q1.18** Свет есть?

Кванар идама?

(116) kwanar ida=ma? light COP=PQ 'Is there light?'

#### + Кванар идахума?

- (117) kwanar ida=xu-ma? light COP=INAN-PQ 'Is there light?'
  - + Кванар идалъима?
- (118) \*kwanar ida=4i-ma? light COP=AN-PQ 'Is there light?'
  - ++ Чакархума гьаб?
- (119) čakar=ҳu-ma ha-b?
  sugar=INAN-PQ DEM-N
  'Is this sugar?' (the speaker is guessing)
  Это сахар? (не знает, гадает)
  - ++ Чакаридама гьаб?
- (120) čakar=ida=ma ha-b?sugar=COP=PQ DEM-N'Is this sugar?' (the speaker is in doubt and asks for confirmation)Это сахар? (сомневается, хочет подтверждение)
  - ++ Патимати идалъима?
- (121) Patimati ida={i-ma?
  P. COP=AN-PQ
  'Is Patimat here?' (the speaker is looking for her)
  Патимат есть? (я ищу ее)
  - ++ Патиматилъима?

(122) Patimati=łi-ma?
P.=AN-PQ
'Is this Patimat?' (the speaker asks when calling)
Это Патимат? (если звонит, например)

#### Q1.19 Свет есть.

Кванар ида.

(123) kwanar ida. light cop 'There is light.'

#### Q1.20 Нет света.

Кванар гуч1и.

- (124) kwanar gu-č'i. light COP-NEG 'There is no light.'
  - + Кванар хуч1и.
- (125) kwanar χu-č'i. light INAN.COP-NEG 'There is no light.'
  - + Кванар лъичіи.
- (126) \*kwanar łi-č'i. light AN.COP-NEG 'There is no light.'

#### Q1.21 Мама дома.

Ила ишхъеда.

(127) ila iš-qe=da mother home-APUD=COP 'Mother is at home.'

#### Q1.22 Мама не дома. / Мамы нет дома.

Ила ишхъе гучи.

- (128) ila iš-qe gu-č'i. mother home-APUD COP-NEG 'Mother is not at home.'
  - + Ила ишхъе лъичіи.
- (129) ila iš-qe li-č'i. mother home-APUD AN.COP-NEG 'Mother is not at home.'
  - + Ила ишхъе хуч1и.

#### Q1.23 Корова в хлеву.

Зини бекьида.

#### Researcher comment: First answer.

(131) zini beầ'i=da cow shed.sup=cop 'The cow is in the shed.'

Зини бекьуда.

Researcher comment: Self-correction.

(132) zini beĥ'u=da cow shed.sup=cop 'The cow is in the shed.'

#### Q1.24 Корова не в хлеву. / Коровы нет в хлеву.

Зини бекьу гучіи.

- (133) zini beà'u gu-č'i.
  cow shed.SUP COP-NEG
  'The cow is not in the shed.'
  - + Зини бекьу лъичи.
- (134) zini be'A'u di-č'i. cow shed.sup an.cop-neg 'The cow is not in the shed.'

Speaker comment: Кажется можно. Странно, но в данном случае как-то можно.

- + Зини бекьу хуч1и.
- (135) \*zini beλ'u χu-č'i.
  cow shed.sup inan.cop-neg
  'The cow is not in the shed.'

#### Q1.25 Книга в шкафу.

Хъуча шкафилъи(и)да.

(136) quča škafi-łi=(i)da. book closet-IN=COP 'The book is in the closet.'

**Researcher comment:** Inlocative suffix -4i + copula ida results in either contraction (-4ida) or lengthening of the vowel (-4i:da).

#### Q1.26 Книга не в шкафу. / Книги нет в шкафу.

Хъуча шкафилъи (гьину) гуч1и.

- (137) quča škafi-li (hinu) gu-č'i. book closet-in (inside) cop-neg 'The book is not inside the closet.'
  - + Хъуча шкафилъи (гьину) лъич1и.
- (138) \*quča škafi-li (hinu) li-č'i. book closet-IN (inside) AN.COP-NEG 'The book is not inside the closet.'
  - + Хъуча шкафилъи (гьину) хучии.
- (139) quča škafi-łi (hinu) xu-č'i. book closet-IN (inside) INAN.COP-NEG 'The book is not inside the closet.'

Speaker comment: Нелогично, но можно.

- ++ Уру хучіи гьа"нківа.
- (140) uru ҳu-č'i hãnk'wa. there INAN.COP-NEG nail 'There is no nail there.' Там нет гвоздя.
  - + Уру гучи гьа"нктва.
- (141) uru gu-č'i hãnk'wa. there COP-NEG nail 'There is no nail there.' Там нет гвоздя.

Speaker comment: гучии тоже можно, но чаще будет хучии.

#### Q3.1 Галълъа гъину букта гучти эштубктвала кванар.

(142) gał:a hinu b-uk'-a gu-č'i eštu-b=k'wala kwanar. there inside n-be-cvb cop-neg which-n=indef light 'It turned out there was no light in there at all.'

Там внутри оказывается не было никакого света.

Там внутри не оказалось никакого света.

- + Галълъа гьину букта хучти эштубктвала кванар.
- (143) gal:a hinu b-uk'-a xu-č'i eštu-b=k'wala kwanar. there inside N-be-CVB INAN.COP-NEG which-N=INDEF light
  'It turned out there was no light in there at all.'

Там внутри оказывается не было никакого света.

Там внутри не оказалось никакого света.

- + Галълъа гьину букіа лъичіи эштубківала кванар.
- (144) \*gał:a hinu b-uk'-a łi-č'i eštu-b=k'wala kwanar. there inside n-be-cvb an.cop-neg which-n=indef light 'It turned out there was no light in there at all.'

Там внутри оказывается не было никакого света.

Там внутри не оказалось никакого света.

# Q3.02 Саруди гьикьуда адамихъи: берка хвасар и"гьи, гьулълъиди баркалла индактвала гьикьи хучти.

saru-di hiλ'-u=da adami-qi: berka χwasar ĩh-i behaχač'i fox-erg say-cvb=cop person-apud snake help make-inf can.neg hu-ł:i-di barkalla inda=k'wala hiλ'-i χu-č'i.

DEM-NM.OBL-erg thanks when=indef say-inf cop-neg

'The fox said to the person: you should not save a snake, it will never say thank you.'

Лиса сказала человеку: змею нельзя спасать, она спасибо никогда не скажет.

**Researcher comment:** Miarso dialect. Not sure if  $\chi u\check{c}i$  is allowed here in Botlikh. Next I asked the speaker to translate to the Botlikh dialect.

- + Саруди гьикьуда адамихъи: берка хвасар и"гьи бегье(е)чіу, гьулълъиди баркалла индаківала гьикьи гучіи.
- saru-di hiλ'-u=da adami-qi: berka χwasar ĩh-i beh-e(e)č'u fox-erg say-cvb=cop person-apud snake help make-inf can-neg.hab hu-l:i-di barkalla inda=k'wala hiλ'-i gu-č'i.

  DEM-NM.OBL-erg thanks when=indef say-inf cop-neg

  'The fox said to the person: you should not save a snake, it will never say thank you.'

  Лиса сказала человеку: змею нельзя спасать, она спасибо никогда не скажет.

- + Саруди гьикьуда адамихъи: берка хвасар и"гьи бегье(е)чіу, гьулълъиди баркалла индаківала гьикьи лъичіи.
- \*saru-di hià'-u=da adami-qi: berka ҳwasar îh-i beh-e(e)č'u fox-erg say-cvb=cop person-apud snake help make-inf can-neg.hab hu-l:i-di barkalla inda=k'wala hià'-i li-č'i.

  DEM-nm.obl-erg thanks when=indef say-inf an.cop-neg

  'The fox said to the person: you should not save a snake, it will never say thank you.'

  Лиса сказала человеку: змею нельзя спасать, она спасибо никогда не скажет.

#### Q3.03 Малла руценди гьикьу ида (//гьикьуда): гьацада туменади гьикьа кки лъичи.

- Malla Rucen-di hiҳ̀'-u ida (//hiҳ̀'-u=da): hac'a-da tumena-di hiҳ̀'-a
  Mollah R.-erg say-cvb сор (//say-cvb=cop) ten-card tumen-erg say-prog
  kː-i ɬi-č'i.
  give-inf an.cop-neg
  'Mollah Nasreddin said that he will not give it for less than 10 tumen.'

  Малла Насреддин сказал, что меньше чем за 10 туменов не отдаст (животного).
  - + Малла руценди гъикъу ида: гъаціада туменади гъикъа кки хучіи.
- (149) Malla Rucen-di hiλ'-u ida: hac'a-da tumena-di hiλ'-a k:-i
  Mollah R.-ERG say-CVB COP ten-CARD tumen-ERG say-PROG give-INF
  χu-č'i.
  INAN.COP-NEG

'Mollah Nasreddin said that he will not give it for less than 10 tumen.'

Малла Насреддин сказал, что меньше чем за 10 туменов не отдаст (животного).

- + Малла руценди гьикъу ида: гьаціада туменади гьикъа кки гучіи.
- (150) Malla Rucen-di hià'-u ida: hac'a-da tumena-di hià'-a k:-i gu-č'i.

  Mollah R.-ERG say-CVB COP ten-CARD tumen-ERG say-PROG give-INF COP-NEG

  'Mollah Nasreddin said that he will not give it for less than 10 tumen.'

Малла Насреддин сказал, что меньше чем за 10 туменов не отдаст (животного).

Researcher comment: This sentence is about the sale of an animal. The speaker some-

how deduced this from the sentence, even though they were not shown the context, and the object / animal is not expressed.

Speaker comment: *тумен* — денежная единица, обычно 10 руб.

#### Q3.06 Отец вспахал поле.

Имуди бекьу хури.

(151) imu-di beλ'-u χuri. father-erg plow-aor field 'Father plowed the field.'

Speaker comment: Порядок слов здесь как по-русски.

- ++ Имуди хури бекьу.
- (152) imu-di χuri beλ'-u. father-ERG field plow-AOR 'Father plowed the field.'
  - + Имуди хури бекьу букта.
- (153) imu-di xuri beǎ'-u b-uk'-a. father-erg field plow-cvb n-be-aor 'Father plowed the field.'
  - + Имуди хури бекьу вукта.
- (154) \*imu-di xuri beʾi'-u w-uk'-a. father-erg field plow-cvb m-be-aor 'Father plowed the field.'
  - + Има хури бекьу вукіа.
- (155) \*ima χuri beλ'-u w-uk'-a. father field plow-CVB M-be-AOR

'Father plowed the field.'

- + Има вукта хури бекьу.
- (156) \*ima w-uk'-a хигі beй'-u. father м-be-AOR field plow-сvв 'Father plowed the field.'
  - ++ Има вукіа хури бекьата.
- (157) \*ima w-uk'-a xuri be\(\chi'\)-ata. father M-be-AOR field plow-PROG 'Father was plowing the field.'

#### **Q3.07** Отец не вспахал поле.

Имуди хури бекьича.

- (158) imu-di xuri beʾi'-ič'a. father-erg field plow-neg.Aor 'Father did not plow the field.'
  - + Има вукта хури бекьичтада вигъу.
- (159) ima w-uk'-a xuri beà'-ič'ada w-iʁ-u. father m-be-Aor field plow-neg.prog m-stay-cvb 'Father did not plow the field.'

**Speaker comment:** Отец находился в состоянии когда поле не вспаханное; целенаправленно поле не впахал / не хотел, почему-то не впахал.

#### 1F1 Аттрибутивная копула идахоб

++ Идахоб мугъу вуктуба.

(160) ida=ҳo-b muʁu w-uk'-uba.

COP=INAN.ATR-N enough м-be-IMP

'Be content with what you have.'

Будь доволен тем, что имеешь / довольствуйся.

#### 1F2 гуч1ихоб

- (161) \*gu-č'i=xo-b COP-NEG=ATR-N
  - ++ гуч1аб на
- (162) gu-č'-a-b na COP-NEG-PST.PTCP-N thing
  - + лъичіаб
- (163) \*łi-č'-a-b AN.COP-NEG-PST.PTCP-N
  - + хуч1аб
- - ++ Гьулалъой лъичіи гой.
- (165) hula=ło-j łi-č'i go-j. like\_that=An.ATR-F AN.COP-NEG DEM-F 'She is not like that.'

Она не такого мнения.

**Researcher comment:** I think = \$\frac{1}{2}\$ would normally be = \$\frac{1}{4}\$a, the former is possibly a dialectal variant.

#### 1F3 Прогрессивный конверб от отрицательной копулы гуч1ата

++ Гьала гучіата гьала ида.

(166) hala gu-č'-ata hala ida. like\_this cop-neg-prog like\_this cop 'It's not like this, it's like this.'

#### + лъичіата

- (167) \*\frac{1}{4}i-\text{c'-ata}

  AN.COP-NEG-PROG
  - + хуч1ата
- (168) χu-č'-ata INAN.COP-NEG-PROG

#### 1F4 Масдар от копулы: гуч1ир

гуч1ир

(169) gu-č'-i-r COP-NEG-IS-MSD 'absence, not having' отсутствие, не имение

+ хуч1ир

- - + лъич1ир
- (171) \*\ddatai-\documents'-i-r AN.COP-NEG-IS-MSD

#### 1Ғ5 гьогоб

(172) \*hogo-b

Speaker comment: Миарсинское слово.

# 1F6 гьадаб \*hada-b (173)1F7 гьагаб haga-b (174) Speaker comment: Что-то находится вне поля зрения, указание на конкретное. ++ Гьагаб гьуркьалъи руша. (175)haga-b hurà'a-li ruša. DEM-N nut-GEN tree 'That walnut tree.' Вот это ореховое дерево. 1F8 гьацеб (176)ha-ce-b DEM-one-N Speaker comment: Находится здесь рядом, под рукой. 1F9 гоцеб (177)go-ce-b DEM-one-N Speaker comment: Помнишь ли этот (давно).

#### 1F10 гьуцеб

(178) hu-ce-b DEM-one-N

Speaker comment: Вот видишь, вот это (я не вижу, говорящий видет).

#### 1F11 доцеб

(179) do-ce-b DEM-one-N

**Speaker comment:** Есть, но нет разницы между *доцеб* и *гоцеб*. Распределение *гоб* и *доб* также зависит от семьи.

++ Гоцеб ведро идама?

(180) go-ce-b wedro ida=ma?

DEM-one-N bucket COP=PQ

'Where is that one bucket?'

Speaker comment: Подразумевается, что человек знает, о чем идет речь.

Researcher comment: This utterance was produced by the speaker's grandson during the session. He came to the door and asked where goce-b wedro ('that one bucket') was. The speaker explained that his grandson used goce-b because he was referring to a specific bucket he had used for a certain purpose before - goce-b indicates that the speaker will know which one his grandson is referring to.

#### 1F12 гьацагъаб

- (181) ha-ca-ʁa-b
  DEM-one-RESTR-N
  - ++ Эцагъаб?
- (182) e-ca-ва-b?
  what-one-restr-N
  'Which one (from a specific set of objects)?'
  - ++ Гьацагъаб или гоцегъаб?
- (183) ha-ca-ʁa-b ili go-ce-ʁa-b?

  DEM-one-RESTR-N or dem-one-RESTR-N

'This one or that one (from a specific set of objects)?'

**Speaker comment:** Ответ на такой вопрос как (182) или (183) будет одна из этих форм.

#### 1F13 гьуцегъаб

(184) hu-ce-ва-b DEM-one-RESTR-N

#### 1F14 гацагъаб

(185) ga-ca-ва-b DEM-one-RESTR-N

## 5.5 Speaker sample 2

Recorded 29.04.2021 in Botlikh.

Speaker GMM1962M, researcher Samira Verhees.

No audio recording.

#### 2F1 гьаб

(186) ha-b DEM-N

Speaker comment: Под рукой.

#### **2**F2 гоб

(187) go-b DEM-N

Speaker comment: Тапки у двери; далеко от говорящего и адресата, но видно обеим.

#### 2F3 габ

(188) ga-b DEM-N

Speaker comment: То же, что и гоб.

- ++ Икка диха гьуб ручка.
- (189) ik:-a di-ҳа hu-b ručka. give-імр І.овь-арид.lat dem-n pen 'Give me that pen.'
  - ++ гьаб т1амса
- (190) ha-b t'amsa DEM-N carpet

```
'this carpet'
Здесь этот ковер.
```

++ гоб тіамса

(191) go-b t'amsa

DEM-N carpet

'that carpet' (over there)

Там тот ковёр.

++ габ т1амса

(192) ga-b t'amsa

DEM-N carpet

'that carpet' (to the side and out of sight of the speaker)

Speaker comment: Сбоку, вне поля зрения, либо самый удаленный.

**Researcher comment:** The speaker suggested that *ga-b* refers to something located "to the side", which is interesting, because the same unusual meaning is described in the dictionary and as far as I am aware, the speaker was not familiar with this description.

#### **2**F4 с дерева

++ рушеку гьикьа вул1и

(193) ruše-ku hiž'a w-už-i tree.SUP-EL down M-go-INF 'come down from the tree'

**Speaker comment:** Если ударение на первом слоге ( $\mathit{вУллu}$ ) – инфинитив 'сойти', а если ударение на последнем слоге ( $\mathit{вуллU}$ ) – императив 'сойди' ( $\mathit{вуллU}$ ).

#### **2**F5 рушеру

++ рушеру руша ваъа

(194) ruše-ru ruša w-a?-a tree.sup-EL tree.sup.LAT м-go-AOR '[They] went from tree to tree.'
Перешел с дерева на дерево.

+ рушеру гьикьа вуліи.

(195) ruše-ru hið'a w-uð-i. tree.SUP-EL down M-go-INF 'come down from the tree'

**Speaker comment:** Так тоже можно. Так никто не скажет, наверно не ботлихец, хотя говорит по-ботлихски. Хотя вроде нормально тоже.

Researcher comment: Forms like *rušeru*, with the "old elative" -*ru*, are quite frequent in the texts recorded by Gudava (1962), but less so in Saidova & Abusov (2012), which is a more recent source.

Researcher comment: The speaker mentioned that some of the variants that surfaced during elicitation (demonstratives *do-b* vs. *go-b*, negative copulas *guči* vs.  $\chi u\check{c}i$ ) were functional equivalents used in different families or patrilineal clans, which partially coincide with the village quarter where families lived, because people from the same clan tended to live close to each other. A salient point of variation for speakers is the word for shoes: *nes:ir* vs. *nas:ir*. We established that GMM1962M uses *nes:ir*, while his wife uses *nas:ir*, while the reverse is true for speaker SPR1954F. GMM1962M's ancestors are from the area where SPR1954F grew up, and his wife (as well as the husband of SPR1954F) grew up in the quarter near the old mosque. However, GMM1962M's sisters all use the variant *nas:ir*, rather than *nes:ir*.

Researcher comment: Among the generation of GMM1962M's father, people from Botlikh had a command of Kumyk and Chechen in addition to Avar, which is historically the main L2. Local Chechens also knew Avar.

#### Q3.04 Гьена-лъабу мисариуди бакьаралъи машиктаб-талу, гьикьу ида гтадал ваццуди.

(196) hena-łabu m-is-a-riudi bað'ara-łi m-aš-ik'a-b=talu, hið'-u ida <sup>°</sup>adal now-? N-find-TH-TEMP belt-IN N-tie-FUT-N=QUOT say-CVB COP dumb wac:u-di.

brother-ERG

"Next time I will find [something like that], I will tie it to my belt", said the dumb brother.'

Когда в следующий раз найду, обвожу вокруг пояса, сказал глупый брат.

Speaker comment: гьена-лъабу вместе значит 'следующий раз'.

**Researcher comment:** I asked this question to find out the meaning of the marker *labu*, but this is still not clear.

- ++ Бехъи бигъа хулета.
- (197) beqi bis-a xuleta.
  fruit become\_ripe-AOR QUOT
  'They say the fruit has become ripe.'
  - + Бехъи бигъа лета гьикьу.
- (198) beqi biʁ-a leta hið'-u. fruit become\_ripe-AOR QUOT say-AOR 'They say the fruit has become ripe.'

Speaker comment: Если убрать ху, то уже требуется глагол "сказать".

- + Бехъи бигъа хвата.
- (199) beqi biʁ-a χwata.
  fruit become\_ripe-AOR REP
  'They say the fruit has become ripe.'

Speaker comment: Нет разницы между этим вариантом и первым (197), временное

отношение тоже одно и то же, может быть настоящее время или прошедшее время.

- + Бехъи бигъа талу гьикьу.
- (200) beqi bib-a talu hià'-u. fruit become\_ripe-AOR QUOT say-AOR 'They say the fruit has become ripe.'

**Speaker comment:** Если использовать *талу*, то обязательно использовать *гьикьу* в конце.

- + Бехъи бигъа талу ида.
- (201) beqi biʁ-a talu ida.
  fruit become\_ripe-AOR QUOT COP

  'There is an opinion that the fruit has become ripe.'

Speaker comment: Такой вариант тоже идет, это значит: "Бытует мнение, что [...]".

- ++ Кіикіи бешта (ида).
- (202) k'ik'i b-ešt-a (ida).
  grapes N-release-CVB/AOR (СОР)
  'The grapes have been released (for consumption).'
  Виноград отпущен.

**Speaker comment:** Это выражение используется когда есть разрешение от какогото органа власти чтобы есть виноград. Даже как-то проверяли, ел ли человек виноград или не ел. Раньше была такая практика, неизвестно, касалось ли это только винограда, или других фруктов тоже.

**Researcher comment:** I think this example came to mind as an expression of generic hearsay, though the speaker dictated a version without a reported speech particle. The lexical verb can be a converb (in combination with the copula *ida*, which renders a perfect), or it can

be an aorist form.

**Speaker comment:** Есть еще форма *хулема*, она очень редкая и передает слухи с третьей руки.

Researcher comment: Both the speaker and his wife agreed that *xulema* is a particle marking thirdhand reported speech, but they were unable to produce an example of how it is used. It seems to be largely obsolete.

++ Гьула хулета.

(203) hula χuleta. like\_that QUOT 'They say it is like that.'

Говорят, что так есть.

**Speaker comment:** Если убрать из (203) xy, надо добавить в конце  $\mathit{гьикьy}$  'сказать', но тогда уже получается предположение (?), тогда как  $\mathit{xynema}$  передает утверждение, что не совсем передача чужой речи.

2F6 Гьена гьикьу ида: гьенайдара ишкур-кіул тіалаб игьу, гьеналхару бесхъа мин игьила, гьуб бакъащайла бужир-хул диб.

Гьена гьикьу ида: гьенайдара ишкур-кіул тіалаб игьу, гьеналъару бесхъа мин игьила, гьуб бакъащайла бужир-хул диб.

(204) hena hiλ'-u ida: henaj-dara iškur=k'ul t'alab ih-u, hena-ła-ru b-esqa now say-CVB COP now-until I.ERG=QUOT care make-AOR now-IN-EL N-behind min ih-i=la, hu-b b-aq'aš:-a-j=la buž-i-r=χul you.SG make-INF=ADD DEM-N N-hide-CAUS-INF=ADD believe-IS-MSD=QUOT di-b.

I.OBL-N[GEN]

'Now it was said: "I have taken care [of it] until now, but from now on I have hope, that you will hide it."

Теперь было сказано: "до сих пор я ухаживал, отныне у меня есть надежда, что

это скроешь".

Researcher comment: In the text *henałaru* was spelled *henalxaru* (as in the stimulus), which is likely a typo.

Speaker comment: В (204) ктул и хул нельзя поменять местами.

- + бужирхул диб
- (205) buž-i-r=xul di-b believe-is-msd=quot I.obl-n[gen] '[...] I have hope [...]'
  - + бужир ида диб талу
- (206) buž-i-r ida di-b talu believe-IS-MSD COP I.OBL-N[GEN] QUOT '[...] I have hope [...]'

Researcher comment: I suggested to rephrase the fragment in (205) with *talu*, which would require a copula as in (206) according to the speaker.

- ++ Гали дий гьикьу инщуй къорохул ишхъагъи.
- (207) <sup>°</sup>Ali di-j hiλ'-u in-š:u-j q'oro=χul iš-qa-ʁi. Ali I.obl-dat say-aor refl-m.obl-dat want=Quot home-apud.lat-? 'Ali said that he wants to come home.'

Али сказал что он хочет прийти домой.

- + Гали дий гьикьу инщуй къороктул ишхъагъи.
- (208) \*°Ali di-j hiҳ̃'-u in-š:u-j q'oro=k'ul išqa-ві. Ali I.obl-dat say-aor refl-м.obl-dat want=Quot home-apud.lat-? 'Ali said that he wants to come home.'

Али сказал что он хочет прийти домой.

Speaker comment: ктул здесь нельзя, это странно.

- + Г1али дий гьикьу инщуй къоро талу ишхъагъи.
- (209) \*SAli di-j hiÃ'-u in-š:u-j q'oro talu išqa-ві. Ali I.obl-dat say-aor refl-m.obl-dat want quot home-арид.lat-? 'Ali said that he wants to come home.'

Али сказал что он хочет прийти домой.

- + Гали дий гьикьу инщуй къоро идаталу ишхъагъи.
- (210) <sup>??°</sup>Ali di-j hiλ'-u in-š:u-j q'oro ida=talu išqa-ві. Ali I.obl-dat say-aor refl-m.obl-dat want cop=quot home-apud.lat-? 'Ali said that he wants to come home.'

Али сказал что он хочет прийти домой.

Speaker comment: Так (210) скорее скажет человек, у которого ботлихский не родной.

**Researcher comment:** The speaker rejected (209) with *talu* and suggested to rephrase as (210), though they commented that (210) was not quite correct either.

### 5.6 Speaker sample 3

Recorded 26.04.2021 in Botlikh.

Speaker KAM1966M, researcher Samira Verhees.

KAM1966M's daughter KAA2001F was also present, and chimed in or confirmed from time to time.

No audio recording.

#### Q1.1 Это моя сестра.

Гьай дий йацци ида.

(211) ha-j di-j j-ac:i ida.

DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP

'This is my sister.'

Speaker comment: Опускать  $u\partial a$  нельзя.

#### Q1.2 Это не моя сестра

Гьай дий йацци гучии.

- (212) ha-j di-j j-ac:i gu-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'
  - + Гьай дий йацци хучіи.
- (213) \*ha-j di-j j-ac:i χu-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling INAN.COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'
  - + Гьай дий йацци лъичіи.
- (214) ha-j di-j j-ac:i li-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling AN.COP-NEG

'This is not my sister.'

Speaker comment: Как в (214) тоже можно, на самом деле больше подходит.

#### Q1.3 Брат учитель.

Вацци учитель ида.

(215) w-ac:i učitel' ida. M-sibling teacher COP 'My brother is a teacher.'

#### Q1.4 Брат не чабан.

Вацци харашу гучіи.

- (216) w-ac:i χarašu gu-č'i.M-sibling sheperd COP-NEG'My brother is not a sheperd.'
  - + Вацци харашу хучіи.
- - + Вацци харашу лъичіи.
- (218) \*w-ac:i χarašu ધi-č'i.

  M-sibling sheperd AN.COP-NEG
  'My brother is not a sheperd.'

Speaker comment: Здесь нельзя использовать лъичи, это множественное число.

++ Ваццил харашу лъичіи.

(219) w-acri-l xarašu li-č'i.
M-sibling-PL sheperd AN.COP-NEG
'My brothers are not sheperds.'

#### Q1.5 Брат не учитель, а чабан.

Вацци учитель гучіи, харашу ида.

- (220) w-ac:i učitel' gu-č'i, xarašu ida.

  M-sibling teacher COP-NEG sheperd COP

  'My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.'
  - + Вацци учитель хучіи, харашу ида.
- (221) \*w-ac:i učitel' χu-č'i, χarašu ida. M-sibling teacher INAN.COP-NEG sheperd COP 'My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.'
  - + Вацци учитель лъичіи, харашу ида.
- (222) \*w-ac:i učitel' łi-č'i, χarašu ida. M-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG sheperd COP 'My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.'

Speaker comment: лъичии здесь нельзя, это множественное число.

#### Q1.6 Это не человек (это твоя тень).

Гьав/гьай адам гучи, гьаб ида дуб анччу.

- (223) ha-w / ha-j adam gu-č'i, ha-b ida du-b anč:u.

  DEM-M / DEM-F person COP-NEG DEM-N COP you.SG.OBL-N[GEN] shadow

  'That is not a person, it's your shadow.'
  - + Гьав адам хучіи, гьаб ида дуб анччу.

- \*ha-w adam χu-č'i, ha-b ida du-b anč:u.

  DEM-M person INAN.COP-NEG DEM-N COP you.SG.OBL-N[GEN] shadow

  'That is not a person, it's your shadow.'
  - + Гьав адам лъичи, гьаб ида дуб анччу.
- (225) \*ha-w adam łič'i, ha-b ida du-b anč:u.

  DEM-M DEM.F person AN.COP-NEG DEM-N COP you.SG.OBL-N[GEN] shadow

  'That is not a person, it's your shadow.'

Speaker comment: лъичии нельзя, это множественное число.

#### Q1.7 Я не камень.

- + Ден анча хучи.
- (226) \*den anča xu-č'i.
  I stone INAN.COP-NEG
  'I am not a stone.'
  - + Ден анча лъичи.
- (227) \*den anča di-č'i.
  I stone AN.COP-NEG
  'I am not a stone.'

Speaker comment: лъичии нельзя, это множественное число.

- ++ Ден гьамахи лъичіи.
- (228) den hamaxi łi-č'i. I donkey AN.COP-NEG 'I am not a donkey.'
  - ++ Ден зини лъичіи.

- (229) den zini łi-č'i.
  I cow AN.COP-NEG
  'I am not a cow.'
  - ++ Ден йешиківа гучіи.
- (230) den ješik'wa gu-č'i. I woman COP-NEG 'I am not a woman.'
  - ++ Ден йешиківа лъичіи.
- (231) den ješik'wa łi-č'i. I woman AN.COP-NEG 'I am not a woman.'

Speaker comment: В случае (230)-(231), лъичли на самом деле больше подходит.

#### Q1.8 Я не буду камнем.

Ден анча вукіи гучіи.

- (232) den anča w-uk'-i gu-č'i.
  I stone M-be-INF COP-NEG
  'I will not be a stone.'
  - + Ден анча вукіи хучіи.
- (233) \*den anča w-uk'-i χu-č'i.

  I stone M-be-INF INAN.COP-NEG
  'I will not be a stone.'
  - + Ден анча вукти лъичти.
- (234) \*den anča w-uk'-i 4i-č'i.

  I stone M-be-INF AN.COP-NEG
  'I will not be a stone.'

## Q1.9 Животное не вещь / предмет.

Х1айван игрушка гуч1и.

- (235) hajwan igruška gu-č'i. animal toy COP-NEG 'An animal is not a toy.'
  - + Х1айван игрушка хуч1и.
- (236) \*ħajwan igruška χu-č'i. animal toy INAN.COP-NEG 'An animal is not a toy.'
  - + Х1айван игрушка лъич1и.
- (237) \*hajwan igruška łi-č'i. animal toy AN.COP-NEG 'An animal is not a toy.'

Speaker comment: лъичии подходит к определенным животным.

- ++ Гьамахи лъичіи.
- (238) hamaxi li-č'i. donkey AN.COP-NEG 'This is not a donkey.'
  - + Х1айван лъич1и.
- (239) \*ħajwan li-č'i. animal AN.COP-NEG 'This is not an animal.'

## Q1.10 Животное не человек.

Х1айван адам гуч1и.

- (240) hajwan adam gu-č'i. animal person COP-NEG 'An animal is not a person.'
  - ++ Х1айван адам лъич1и.
- (241) hajwan adam li-č'i. animal person AN.COP-NEG 'An animal is not a person.'
  - + Х1айван адам хуч1и.
- (242) \*hajwan adam χu-č'i.animal person INAN.COP-NEG'An animal is not a person.'

#### Q1.11 Человек не животное.

Адам хлайван гучли.

- (243) adam hajwan gu-č'i.

  person animal COP-NEG

  'A person is not an animal.'
  - ++ Адам хаайван лъичи.
- (244) adam hajwan li-č'i.
  person animal AN.COP-NEG
  'A person is not an animal.'
  - + Адам хлайван хучли.
- (245) \*adam hajwan xu-č'i.
  person animal INAN.COP-NEG
  'A person is not an animal.'

## Q1.12 Учительница старая.

Мугıалим йахара ида.

- (246) mu<sup>c</sup>alim j-axara ida. teacher F-old COP 'The teacher is old.'
  - + Мугалим йахара.
- (247) \*mu<sup>s</sup>alim j-axara. teacher F-old 'The teacher is old.'
  - + йахара мугаллим
- (248) j-axara mu<sup>s</sup>alim F-old teacher 'the old teacher'

**Researcher comment:** (247) with an omitted copula was deemed incorrect, suggested to rephrase as (248).

## Q1.13 Учительница не старая.

Мугıалим йахара гучіи.

(249) mu<sup>s</sup>alim j-axara gu-č'i. teacher F-old COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'

Мугіалим йахара лъичіи.

(250) mu<sup>°</sup>alim j-axara li-č'i. teacher F-old AN.COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'

- + Мугіалим йахара хучіи.
- (251) \*mu<sup>°</sup>alim j-axara xu-č'i. teacher F-old INAN.COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'

# **Q1.14** Корова хорошая.

Зини гванзи ида.

- (252) zini g<sup>w</sup>anzi ida. cow good COP 'The cow is good.'
  - ++ Гванзи зини.
- (253) g<sup>w</sup>anzi zini. good cow 'a good cow'

**Researcher comment:** The speaker suggested (253) as an alternative, though this is a noun phrase.

# Q1.15 Корова не хорошая.

Зини гванзи гуч1и.

- (254) zini gwanzi gu-č'i. cow good COP-NEG 'The cow is not good.'
  - ++ сураб зини
- (255) sura-b zini bad-N cow 'a bad cow'

#### плохая корова

- + Зини гванзи хуч1и.
- (256) \*zini gwanzi xu-č'i.
  cow good INAN.COP-NEG
  'The cow is not good.'
  - + Зини гванзи лъич1и.
- (257) \*zini gwanzi łi-č'i. cow good AN.COP-NEG 'The cow is not good.'

## Q1.16 Книга хорошая.

Хъуча гванзи ида.

- (258) quča g<sup>w</sup>anzi ida. book good COP 'The book is good.'
  - ++ гванзи хъуча
- (259) gwanzi quča good book 'a good book'

## **Q1.17** Книга не хорошая.

Хъуча гванзи гуч1и.

- (260) quča gwanzi gu-č'i. book good COP-NEG 'The book is not good.'
  - ++ сураб хъуча

- (261) sura-b quča bad-N book 'a bad book'
  - + Хъуча гванзи хуч1и.
- (262) quča g<sup>w</sup>anzi χu-č'i. book good INAN.COP-NEG 'The book is not good.'
  - + Хъуча гванзи лъичіи.
- (263) \*quča gwanzi liči. book good AN.COP-NEG 'The book is not good.'

# Q1.18 Свет есть?

Кванар идама?

(264) kwanar ida=ma? light COP=PQ 'Is there light?'

# Q1.19 Свет есть.

Кванар ида.

(265) kwanar ida. light cop 'There is light.'

# Q1.20 Нет света.

Гучи кванар.

- (266) gu-č'i k<sup>w</sup>anar. COP-NEG light 'There is no light.'
  - ++ Кванар гуч1и.
- (267) kwanar gu-č'i. light COP-NEG 'There is no light.'
  - + Кванар хуч1и.
- (268) kwanar χu-č'i. light INAN.COP-NEG 'There is no light.'
  - + Кванар лъичи.
- (269) \*kwanar łi-č'i. light INAN.COP-NEG 'There is no light.'

## Q1.21 Мама дома.

Бабу ишхъе ида.

(270) babu iš-qe ida. mom home-APUD COP 'Mom is at home.'

Ила ишхъе ида.

(271) ila iš-qe ida. mother home-APUD COP 'Mother is at home.'

#### Q1.22 Мама не дома. / Мамы нет дома.

Бабу ишхъе гучии.

(272) babu iš-qe gu-č'i. mom home-APUD COP-NEG 'Mom is not at home.'

Бабу ишхъе лъичіи.

(273) babu išqe li-č'i. mom home-APUD AN.COP-NEG 'Mom is not at home.'

## Q1.23 Корова в хлеву.

Зини бекьу ида.

(274) zini be'x'u ida. cow shed.sup cop 'The cow is in the shed.'

## Q1.24 Корова не в хлеву. / Коровы нет в хлеву.

Зини бекьу гучіи.

- (275) zini be'i'u gu-č'i.
  cow shed.sup cop-neg
  'The cow is not in the shed.'
  - + Зини бекьу хучіи.
- (276) \*zini beλ'u χu-č'i.
  cow shed.sup inan.cop-neg
  'The cow is not in the shed.'

Researcher comment: (276) was considered ungrammatical, though with some doubt.

- + Зини бекьу лъичіи.
- (277) zini be'A'u di-č'i. cow shed.SUP AN.COP-NEG 'The cow is not in the shed.'

## Q1.25 Книга в шкафу.

Хъуча шкафалъи гьину ида.

(278) quča škafa-łi hinu ida. book closet-gen inside cop 'The book is in the closet.'

## Q1.26 Книга не в шкафу. / Книги нет в шкафу.

Хъуча шкафалъи гьину гучи.

- (279) quča škafa-li hinu gu-č'i. book closet-gen inside cop-neg 'The book is not in the closet.'
  - + Хъуча шкафалъи гьину хуч1и.
- (280) quča škafa-li hinu χu-č'i. book closet-gen inside INAN.COP-NEG 'The book is not in the closet.'
  - + Хъуча шкафалъи гьину лъичіи.
- (281) \*quča škafa-łi hinu łi-č'i. book closet-GEN inside AN.COP-NEG 'The book is not in the closet.'

```
зF1 гьаб
```

```
(282) ha-b
DEM-N
'this'
```

## 3F2 гоб

```
(283) go-b

DEM-N

'that' (far away and not visible)

TOT
```

# зГз габ

```
(284) ga-b

DEM-N

'that' (far away and not visible)
```

**Speaker comment:** *габ* то же самое, что и *гоб*. Это когда что-то далеко, не видно.

## зҒ4 доб

```
(285) do-b

DEM-N

'that' (a long time ago)

TOT
```

**Speaker comment:** *доб* используется когда речь идет о прошлом, типа "помнишь тот случай, в то время".

## 3F5 гьуб

(286) hu-b DEM-N 'this' (about a specific referent) этот

# 3F6 гьогоб

- (287) \*hogo-b DEM-N
  - ++ Эцеб пульт? Гьаб / гоб.
- (288) e-ce-b pulit? ha-b / go-b. what-one-N remote\_control DEM-N / DEM-N 'Which remote control? This one / that one.'
  - ++ Эцегъаб пульт? Гьацагъаб / гоцегъаб.
- (289) e-ce-ва-b pulit? ha-ca-ва-b / go-ce-ва-b. what-one-restr-n remote\_control DEM-one-restr-n / DEM-one-restr-n 'Which remote control? This one / that one.'

Speaker comment: Элемент -ye- значит 'эта одна вещь'.

# 5.7 Speaker sample 4

Recorded 28.04.2021 in Botlikh.

Speaker AP#0000F, researcher Samira Verhees.

I have no data on AP#0000F's exact year of birth, but she is around 70 years old.

No audio recording.

#### Q1.1 Это моя сестра.

Гьай дий йацци ида.

- (290) ha-j di-j j-ac:i ida.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP

  'This is my sister.'
  - ++ Гьай дил йаццил ида.
- (291) ha-j di-l j-ac:i-l ida.

  DEM-F I.OBL-AN.PL[GEN] F-sibling-PL COP

  'These are my sisters.'

**Researcher comment:** Maybe I did not write this down correctly: the demonstrative should be *ha-l* (animate plural) if it refers to *dil jac:il* 'my sisters'.

**Speaker comment:** *гьай* используется когда сестра заходит. *гой, гойла* тоже возможно, а *гьуй* используется когда много народа (?).

## Q1.2 Это не моя сестра

Гьуй дий йацци гучии.

- (292) hu-j di-j j-ac:i gu-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'
  - + Гьуй дий йацци лъичи.

- (293) hu-j di-j j-ac:i łi-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling AN.COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'
  - + Гьуй дий йацци хучи.
- (294) \*hu-j di-j j-ac:i χu-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling INAN.COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'

#### Q1.3 Брат учитель.

Див вацци учитель (ида).

(295) di-w w-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup> (ida). I.OBL-м[GEN] м-sibling teacher сор 'My brother is a teacher.'

Researcher comment: This speaker seems to allow omission of the copula.

- + Див вацци учитель гуч1и.
- (296) di-w w-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup> gu-č'i.
  I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling teacher COP-NEG
  'My brother is not a teacher.'
  - + Див вацци учитель лъичіи.
- (297) \*di-w w-ac:i učitel' łi-č'i.
  I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG
  'My brother is not a teacher.'

Speaker comment: Как в (297) нельзя, лъичти это если девочка.

+ Дил ваццил учительзабалъи гуч1и.

(298) di-l w-ac:i-l učitel'-zabałi gu-č'i.
I.OBL-AN.PL[GEN] M-sibling-PL teacher-PL COP-NEG
'My brothers are not teachers.'

**Researcher comment:** I asked for an example with  $\chi u\check{c}i$ , but the speaker misheard and produced an example with  $gu\check{c}i$  instead.

- ++ Дихъе гъани гуч1и.
- (299) di-qe вапі gu-č'i. I.овь-арир bread сор-мед 'I don't have bread.'
  - + Дихъе гъани хуч1и.
- (300) \*di-qe Bani Xu-č'i.
  I.OBL-APUD bread INAN.COP-NEG
  'I don't have bread.'

# Q1.4 Брат не чабан.

Див вацци харашу гучи.

(301) di-w w-ac:i ҳarašu gu-č'i.
I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling sheperd COP-NEG
'My brother is not a sheperd.'

#### Q1.5 Брат не учитель, а чабан.

Див вацци учитель гучи, харашуда.

(302) di-w w-ac:i učitel' gu-č'i, ҳarašu=da.
I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling teacher cop-neg sheperd=cop
'My brother is not a teacher, he is a sheperd.'

## Q1.6 Это не человек (это твоя тень).

Гьув адам гучии.

- (303) hu-w adam gu-č'i.

  DEM-M person COP-NEG

  'That is not a person.'
  - ++ Мин кунтеківа гучіи вахьхь(а)на.
- (304) min kuntek'wa gu-č'i wax:(a)na. you.sg man cop-neg coward 'You are not a man but a coward.'

Researcher comment: In the dictionary by Alekseev & Azaev (2019: 114) waxna is a 'cowardly man' (несмелый мужчина).

#### Q1.7 Я не камень.

Ден гьинціа гучіи, ден адам ида.

- (305) den hinc'a gu-č'i, den adam ida. I stone COP-NEG I person COP 'I'm not a stone, I'm a person.'
  - ++ Ден гьинціа гучіи, ден рикьилъи ида.
- (306) den hinc'a gu-č'i, den ri\(\chi'\)i-\(\frac{1}{4}\)i ida.

  I stone COP-NEG I meat-GEN COP

  'I'm not a stone, I'm made of flesh (lit. meat).'

## Q1.8 Я не буду камнем.

Ден гьинціалъи йикьеучіи.

(307) den hinc'a-łi j-ik'-euč'i. I stone-gen F-be-Neg.hab 'I'm not made of stone.'

# Q1.9 Животное не вещь / предмет.

**Researcher comment:** I tried to give some context for this utterance by saying that this could be uttered by someone scolding a child for treating an animal badly, at which the speaker produced the utterances in (308) and (309).

++ зини бач1ай

- (308) zini b-ač'-a-j
  cow N-torment-CAUS-INF
  'torment a cow'
  дразнить корову
  - ++ Гьуб дуй хвай гучіи.
- (309) hu-b du-j χ<sup>w</sup>aj gu-č'i.

  DEM-N you.SG.OBL-F[GEN] dog COP-NEG

  'That is not your dog.'

**Researcher comment:** Not sure why the female form is used. Perhaps this is a calque from Russian?

- + Гьуб дуй хвай лъичіи.
- (310) \*hu-b du-j  $\chi^w$ aj  $^4$ i-č'i. DEM-N you.SG.OBL-F[GEN] dog AN.COP-NEG 'That is not your dog.'
  - + Гьуб дуй хвай хучи.
- (311) hu-b du-j xwaj xu-č'i.

  DEM-N you.SG.OBL-F[GEN] dog INAN.COP-NEG

  'That is not your dog.'

#### Q1.10 Животное не человек.

Буціціи адам буліеучіи.

- (312) buc':i adam b-u\u00e4-eu\u00e4'i. cattle person N-become-нав. NEG 'Animals do not become people.'
  - + Буціціи адам гучіи.
- (313) buc':i adam gu-č'i. cattle person COP-NEG 'An animal is not a person.'
  - + Буціціи адам хучіи.
- (314) \*buc':i adam xu-č'i. cattle person INAN.COP-NEG 'An animal is not a person.'
  - + Буціціи адам лъичіи.
- (315) \*buc':i adam 4i-č'i. cattle person AN.COP-NEG 'An animal is not a person.'

## Q1.11 Человек не животное.

Адам индектвала хтайванлъун вулгеучти.

- (316) adam inde=k'wala hajwan=lun w-u\u00e4-eu\u00e4'i. person when=indef animal=func м-become-нав.neg 'A person will never become an animal.'
  - + Адам хлайван гучли.

- (317) adam hajwan gu-č'i.

  person animal COP-NEG

  'A person is not an animal.'
  - + Адам хлайван хучли.
- (318) \*adam hajwan xu-č'i.
  person animal INAN.COP-NEG
  'A person is not an animal.'
  - + Адам хлайван лъичли.
- (319) \*adam ħajwan li-č'i.
  person animal AN.COP-NEG
  'A person is not an animal.'

#### Q1.12 Учительница старая.

йахара учительница.

(320) j-axara učitel<sup>j</sup>nica. F-old teacher 'an old teacher'

Йахарив учительница.

(321) j-axar-iw učitel<sup>j</sup>nica. F-old-AOR teacher 'The teacher has become old.'

Йахара ида учительница.

(322) j-axara ida učitel<sup>j</sup>nica. F-old COP teacher 'The teacher is old.'

#### Q1.13 Учительница не старая.

Учительница голохъанай (ида).

(323) učitel<sup>j</sup>nica <sup>c</sup>oloqana-j (ida). teacher young-F COP 'The teacher is young.'

Учительница йахара гучіи.

- (324) učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara gu-č'i. teacher F-old COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'
  - + Учительница йахара хуч1и.
- (325) učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara xu-č'i. teacher F-old INAN.COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'
  - + Учительница йахара лъичіи.
- (326) učitel<sup>j</sup>nica j-axara <sup>l</sup>i-č'i. teacher F-old AN.COP-NEG 'The teacher is not old.'

#### **Q1.14** Корова хорошая.

Зини рух1иди гванзида.

(327) zini ruħi-di gwanzi=da. cow soul-ERG good=COP 'The cow is very good.'

#### **Q1.15** Корова не хорошая.

Зини гванзи гуча бука, гвангода багьихаб.

(328) zini gwanzi gu-č'a b-uk'-a, gwangoda b-ah-i-χa-b. cow good COP-NEG.PROG.CVB N-be-AOR bunt N-become-IS-INAN.PRS.PTCP-N 'The cow was not good, it butts [its head].'

Зини гванзи гуч1а бук1а, милабаха багьихаб.

- (329) zini gwanzi gu-č'a b-uk'-a, milabaχa b-ah-i-χa-b. cow good COP-NEG.PROG.CVB N-be-AOR kick N-become-is-inan.prs.ptcp-n 'The cow was not good, it kicks.'
  - + Зини гванзи гуч1и.
- (330) zini gwanzi gu-č'i. cow good COP-NEG 'The cow is not good.'
  - ++ Гванзи гуч1и зини.
- (331) gwanzi gu-č'i zini. good COP-NEG cow 'The cow is not good.'

#### **Q1.16** Книга хорошая.

Хъуча рух1иди гванзи (ида).

(332) quča ruħi-di gwanzi (ida). book soul-ERG good COP 'The book is very good.'

#### **Q1.17** Книга не хорошая.

Хъуча рух1иди гванзи гуч1и.

(333) quča ruħi-di gwanzi gu-č'i. book soul-erg good COP-NEG 'The book is not good.'

- + Хъуча рух1иди гванзи хуч1и.
- (334) quča ruhi-di gwanzi xu-č'i. book soul-ERG good INAN.COP-NEG 'The book is not good at all.'
  - + Хъуча рух1иди гванзи лъич1и.
- (335) \*quča ruħi-di gwanzi li-č'i. book soul-erg good AN.COP-NEG 'The book is not good at all.'

## Q1.18 Свет есть?

Кванар идама?

(336) kwanar ida=ma? light COP=PQ 'Is there light?'

## Q1.19 Свет есть.

Кванар ида.

(337) kwanar ida. light cop 'There is light.'

#### Q1.20 Нет света.

Кванар гуч1и.

- (338) kwanar gu-č'i. light COP-NEG 'There is no light.'
  - + Кванар хуч1и.

- (339) kwanar χu-č'i. light INAN.COP-NEG 'There is no light.'
  - + Кванар лъичіи.
- (340) \*kwanar łi-č'i. light AN.COP-NEG 'There is no light.'

# Q1.21 Мама дома.

Ила ишхъе ида.

(341) ila iš-qe ida. mother home-APUD COP 'Mother is at home.'

## Q1.22 Мама не дома. / Мамы нет дома.

Ишхъе гуч1и.

- (342) iš-qe gu-č'i. home-APUD COP-NEG '[She] is not at home.'
  - + Ишхъе лъич1и.
- (343) iš-qe li-č'i. home-APUD AN.COP-NEG '[She] is not at home.'
  - + Ишхъе хуч1и.
- (344) \*iš-qe χu-č'i. home-APUD INAN.COP-NEG '[She] is not at home.'

Speaker comment: хучии нельзя, это значит, что что-то нет у нее.

# Q1.23 Корова в хлеву.

Зини бекьуда.

(345) zini be'à'u=da. cow shed.sup=cop 'The cow is in the shed.'

#### Q1.24 Корова не в хлеву. / Коровы нет в хлеву.

Зини бекьу гуч1и.

- (346) zini be'A'u gu-č'i.
  cow shed.sup cop-neg
  'The cow is not in the shed.'
  - + Зини бекьу хуч1и.
- (347) \*zini beλ'u χu-č'i.
  cow shed.sup inan.cop-neg
  'The cow is not in the shed.'
  - + Зини бекьу лъичіи.
- (348) zini be'A'u di-č'i. cow shed.sup an.cop-neg 'The cow is not in the shed.'

#### Q1.25 Книга в шкафу.

Хъуча тумбочкалъи (гьину) ида.

(349) quča tumbočka-łi (hinu) ida. book nightstand-gen inside cop 'The book is in the nightstand.'

#### Хъуча къуршилъи ида.

- (350) quča qurši-li ida. book drawer-gen cop 'The book is in the drawer.'
  - ++ Диб зини гуч1и.
- (351) di-b zini gu-č'i.

  I.OBL-N[GEN] cow COP-NEG

  '[This] is not my cow.'
  - + Диб зини лъичіи.
- (352) \*\*di-b zini \dark i-č'i.

  I.OBL-N[GEN] cow AN.COP-NEG

  '[This] is not my cow.'

Speaker comment: лъичии можно, или нельзя.

Researcher comment: The speaker doubted whether (352) was acceptable or not.

## Q1.26 Книга не в шкафу. / Книги нет в шкафу.

Тумбочкалъи хъуча гучии.

(353) tumbočka-łi quča gu-č'i. nightstand-GEN book COP-NEG 'The book is not in the nightstand.'

Къуршилъи хъуча гучи.

- (354) qurši-li quča gu-č'i. drawer-gen book cop-neg 'The book is not in the drawer.'
  - + Тумбочкалъи хъуча хучи.

- (355) \*tumbočka-li quča xu-č'i.
  nightstand-GEN book INAN.COP-NEG
  'The book is not in the nightstand.'
  - + Тумбочкалъи хъуча лъичии.
- (356) \*tumbočka-łi quča łi-č'i. nightstand-GEN book AN.COP-NEG 'The book is not in the nightstand.'

**Speaker comment:** *хучии* здесь будет непонятно. *лъичии* тоже нельзя, это про человека.

#### 4F1 Мы здесь.

Ищи гьамусе ида.

(357) iš:i ha-muse ida. we.EXCL DEM-place COP 'We are in this place.'

## 4F2 гьалълъу

# **4**F3 гьалълъа

Speaker comment: То же самое, что и гьалълъу.

**Researcher comment:** Historically, *hał:u* is an essive form and *hał:a* is lative. In contemporary Botlikh they are poorly differentiated.

- ++ Арагъаба!
- (360) ara-в-aba here.LAT-come-IMP 'Come here!' иди сюда

# **4**F**4** гьулълъу

- ++ Гьулълъу вуккуба!
- (361) hu-ł:u w-uk:-uba DEM-IN M-stay-IMP 'Stay there!' оставайся там

## **4**F5 голълъу

- ++ Голълъу вуккуба!
- (362) go-ł:u w-uk:-uba DEM-IN M-stay-IMP 'Stay there!' оставайся там

## 4F6 галълъа

(363) \*ga-ł:a

DEM-IN,LAT

'thither'

# 4F7 габ

(364) ga-b DEM-N

# **4**F8 гоб

(365) go-b DEM-N

# 4F9 гьала

++ Гьала ида гьуб.

(366) ha-la ida hu-b.

DEM-ADD COP DEM-N

'?'

# 4F10 гола

(367) go-la DEM-ADD

# **4**F11 гьула

(368) hu-la DEM-ADD

# **4**F12 гала

(369) \*ga-la DEM-ADD

Speaker comment: Нет такого.

# **4**F13 дола

(370) do-la DEM-ADD

# **4**F1**4** гьогоб

(371) \*hogo-b DEM-N

# 4F15 гьагаб

(372) \*haga-b DEM-N

Speaker comment: Нет такого указательного местоимения, это значит 'ненормальный человек'.

# 4F16 гьалада

(373) ha-la-da

DEM-ADD-INT

'gratis'

бесплатно, так просто дают

# 4F17 гьулада

(374) hu-la-da

DEM-ADD-INT

'gratis'

бесплатно, так просто дают

# 4F18 голада

(375) go-la-da

DEM-ADD-INT

'gratis'

бесплатно, так просто дают

## 4F19 галада

(376) \*ga-la-da DEM-ADD-INT 'gratis' бесплатно, так просто дают

# 4F20 долада

(377) do-la-da

DEM-ADD-INT

'gratis'

бесплатно, так просто дают

#### **4**F21 гьалак1а

(378) \*ha-la-k'a DEM-ADD-?

#### 4F22 столько

- ++ Иштала бук1е?
- (379) ištala b-uk'-e? how\_much N-be-нав 'How much is it?'
  - ++ Гьалълъихи иштахула?
- (380) ha-4:i-ҳi išta=ҳu-la?

  DEM-NM.OBL-APUD how\_much=INAN-CQ

  'How much is this?'

  сколько

Researcher comment: I tried to elicit the word 'this much' (столько), which should be derived from a demonstrative stem, but the speaker produced only variants of saying 'how much' (сколько).

## 4F23 Ханиди хіукму игьу ида хадал бугъа хъалавур веди.

Что значит бугъа в этом контексте?

**Speaker comment:** *бугъа* значит бычок, это используется еще для обозначения сильного, здорового человека.

# **4F24** гуч1и

Гучии.

(381) gu-č'i.
COP-NEG
'[It] is not there.'
Het.

## **4F25** лъич1и

Лъичіи.

- (382) 4i-č'i.
  AN.COP-NEG
  'There is no such person.'
  Такого человека нет.
  - ++ Ида гьаштув адам.
- (383) ida haštu-w adam. COP such-M person 'There is such a person.'
  - ++ Гучіи гьаштув адам.
- (384) gu-č'i haštu-w adam. COP-NEG such-M person 'There is not such a person.'

- ++ Лъичіи гьаштув адам.
- (385) li-č'i haštu-w adam. AN.COP-NEG such-м person 'There is not such a person.'

# 5.8 Speaker sample 5

Recorded 28.04.2021 in Botlikh.

Speaker SPR1954F, researcher Samira Verhees.

The session was cut short because of Iftar preparations.

No audio recording.

#### Q1.1 Это моя сестра.

Гьай ида дий йацци.

(386) ha-j ida di-j j-ac:i.

DEM-F COP I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling

'This is my sister.'

# Q1.2 Это не моя сестра

Гьай дий йацци гучии.

- (387) ha-j di-j j-ac:i gu-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'
  - + Гьай дий йацци лъичіи.
- (388) ha-j di-j j-ac:i li-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling AN.COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'

Speaker comment: Так можно, даже лучше.

- + Гьай дий йацци хучи.
- (389) \*ha-j di-j j-ac:i χu-č'i.

  DEM-F I.OBL-F[GEN] F-sibling INAN.COP-NEG

  'This is not my sister.'

Speaker comment: Нельзя, потому что она одушевленная.

- ++ Гьула хуч1и.
- (390) hu-la ҳu-č'i.

  DEM-ADD INAN.COP-NEG

  'It is not like that.'

  это не так.

## 5F1 Там нет гвоздя.

Гьалълъа гьанківа гучіи.

(391) ha-4:a hank'wa gu-č'i.

DEM-IN.LAT nail COP-NEG

'There is no nail there.'

Speaker comment: гьалълъа если рядом.

Researcher comment: This was an attempt to reproduce example (140).

- + Гьалълъа гьанківа хучіи.
- (392) \*ha-l:a hank'wa xu-č'i.

  DEM-IN.LAT nail INAN.COP-NEG

  'There is no nail there.'
  - + Голълъа гьанківа гучіи.
- (393) go-ł:a hank'wa gu-č'i.

  DEM-IN.LAT nail COP-NEG

  'There is no nail there.'

  Это дальше чем гьалълъа.
  - + Гьулълъа гьанківа гучіи.

(394) hu-l:a hank'wa gu-č'i.

DEM-IN.LAT nail COP]-NEG

'There is no nail there.'

Speaker comment: Немного дальше чем гьалълъа.

++ Уду гьанківа гучіи.

(395) udu hank'wa gu-č'i. there nail COP-NEG 'There is no nail there.'

Speaker comment: Это еще дальше чем гьалълъа.

5F2 Дай мне эту ручку.

[Адресат держит ее в руках.]

Гьуб ручка икка диха.

(396) hu-b ručka ik:-a di-ҳa.

DEM-N pen give-IMP I.OBL-APUD.LAT

'Give me that pen.'

Гьуб ручка диха икке.

(397) hu-b ručka di-χa ik:-e.

DEM-N pen I.OBL-APUD.LAT give-HAB

'Give me that pen.'

# Q1.3 Брат учитель.

Див вацци учитель ида.

(398) di-w w-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup> ida. I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling teacher COP 'My brother is a teacher.'

## Q1.4 Брат не чабан.

Див вацци харашу гучии.

(399) di-w w-ac:i ҳarašu gu-č'i.
I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling sheperd COP-NEG
'My brother is not a sheperd.'

Див вацци харашу лъичіи.

- (400) di-w w-ac:i ҳarašu li-č'i.
  I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling sheperd AN.COP-NEG
  'My brother is not a sheperd.'
  - + Див вацци харашу хуч1и.
- (401) \*di-w w-ac:i ҳarašu ҳu-č'i.
  I.OBL-M[GEN] M-sibling sheperd INAN.COP-NEG
  'My brother is not a sheperd.'

#### Q1.5 Брат не учитель, а чабан.

Див вацци учитель лъичіи, харашуда.

(402) di-w w-ac:i učitel' li-č'i, ҳarašu=da.
I.OBL-м[GEN] м-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG sheperd=СОР
'My brother is not a teacher but a sheperd.'

#### Q1.6 Это не человек (это твоя тень).

Гьув адам лъичіи.

(403) hu-w adam łi-č'i.

DEM-M person AN.COP-NEG

'That is not a person.'

Гьув адам гучии.

- (404) hu-w adam gu-č'i.

  DEM-M person COP-NEG

  'That is not a person.'
  - + Гьув адам хуч1и.
- (405) \*hu-w adam χu-č'i.

  DEM-M person INAN.COP-NEG

  'That is not a person.'

Speaker comment: *хучіи* нельзя.

Q1.7 Я не камень.

Денла гьинціа лъичіи.

(406) den=la hinc'a li-č'i.

I=ADD stone AN.COP-NEG
'I am not a stone'

Денла гьинціа гучіи.

- (407) den=la hinc'a gu-č'i. I=ADD stone COP-NEG 'I am not a stone.'
  - + Денла гьинціа хучіи.
- (408) \*den=la hinc'a χu-č'i.

  I=ADD stone INAN.COP-NEG
  'I am not a stone.'

Speaker comment: хучли нельзя.

Q1.8 Я не буду камнем.

Ден гьинціа йикіи лъичіи.

(409) den hinc'a j-ik'-i li-č'i.

I stone F-be-INF AN.COP-NEG
'I will not be a stone.'

Ден анча вукки лъичіи.

- (410) den anča w-uk:-i li-č'i.

  I stone M-fall-INF AN.COP-NEG
  'I will not become a stone.'
  - ++ Анча йил1у йигъу.
- (411) anča j-iǐ-u j-iв-u. stone F-become-cvв F-stop-AOR 'She became like a stone.' (i.e. she did not say anything) Она стояла как камень (молча).

#### Q1.9 Животное не вещь / предмет.

Х1айван ч1агояб нагъар ида.

(412) hajwan č'agoja-b naʁar ida. animal living-N thing COP 'An animal is a living thing.'

# 5.9 Speaker sample 6

Recorded 26.04.2021 in Botlikh.

Speaker Z##0000F, researcher Samira Verhees.

No audio recording.

6F1

I asked the speaker about the difference between the negative copulas, in response to which they produced the following examples:

Земфира лъичии.

(413) Zemfira li-č'i.
Z.[F] AN.COP-NEG
'Zemfira is not here.'

Магомед гучии.

(414) Magomed gu-č'i.
M.[M] COP-NEG
'Magomed is not here.'

+ Кванар гучи.

(415) kwanar gu-č'i. light COP-NEG 'There is no light.'

Researcher comment: The speaker grew up in Botlikh and speaks the language, but her mother is a native speaker of Kumyk from Buinaksk, while her father is local. As a result, she grew up in a multilingual home, where a mixture of Botlikh, Avar, Russian, and Kumyk was spoken. Avar is the local lingua franca and her parents used this language to communicate with each other. Russian was also used because the speaker's mother was a teacher of

Russian. Her mother does not speak Botlikh beyond a few common words and phrases.

Recorded 28.04.2021.

Speaker Z##0000F and the parents of her daughter's husband, researcher Samira Verhees. No audio recording.

Researcher comment: One evening Z##0000F and I went to visit the parents of her daughter's husband. She asked them about the difference between negative copulas, about which I had asked her before. They commented that <code>lič'i</code> is used for plural. You can use this, for example, when you are talking about your parents to say that they are not home. You cannot use this when you are talking about just your mother or your father.

# 5.10 Speaker sample 7

Recorded 27.04.2021 in Miarso.

Speakers included the head teacher of the village school and a group of children of different ages, researcher Samira Verhees.

This session was conducted spontaneously when I visited the school of Miarso. The teachers assembled a group of talented students from different grades, and told me to ask them questions (and answer some of theirs if they had any). I did not record metadata for the head teacher.

No audio recording.

#### **Q2.01 эти книги**

гьаб хъучавалъи

(416) ha-b quča-wałi

DEM-INAN.PL book-PL

'these books'

гьаб хъуче

(417) ha-b quč-e
DEM-INAN.PL book-PL
'these books'

#### **Q2.02 эти дома**

гьаб гьанкъвалъи

(418) ha-b hanq'w-ałi
DEM-INAN.PL house-PL
'these houses'

#### **Q2.**03 эти коровы

гьаб буціціи

(419) ha-b buc'ii

DEM-N cattle

'this cattle'

**Speaker comment:** Единственное число – *зини*, для множественного числа используется только *буцици* 'скот'.

Researcher comment: Another speaker of Miarso produced the regular plural form *zin-e* in online elicitation via a messenger app, see example (40). Some speakers of the Botlikh dialect also consider *buc':i* to be the only correct plural form of 'cow', even though others use the plural form *zine*. According to my data, in the Botlikh dialect the word *buc':i* in this context triggers animate plural agreement, which doest not seem to be the case in Miarso.

#### Q2.04 эти лошади

гьал каат-е

(420) ha-l k'at-e
DEM-AN.PL horse-PL
'these horses'

### **Q2.05 эти люди**

гьал адамал

(421) ha-l adam-al
DEM-AN.PL person-PL
'these people'

гьал адони

(422) ha-l adoni DEM-AN.PL people 'these people'

**Researcher comment:** The form *adoni* was suggested as a plural form of *adam* 'person', but I cannot identify clear plural marking.

#### Q2.06 эти дети

гьал макіил

(423) ha-l mak'i-l
DEM-AN.PL child-PL
'these children'

#### Q2.07 эти бабочки

гьал сисиківанлъи

(424) ha-l sisik'wan-li
DEM-AN.PL butterfly-PL
'these butterflies'

Researcher comment: singular: sisik'wan.

Q2.08 Сестра – учительница.

Йацци учитель.

(425) j-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup>.

F-sibling teacher

'My sister is a teacher.'

Q2.09 Сестра – не учительница.

Йацци учительница гьичии.

(426) j-ac:i učitel<sup>j</sup>nica hi-č'i. F-sibling teacher AN.COP-NEG 'My sister is not a teacher.'

#### Q2.10 Дом большой.

Гьанкъу бечіухаб.

(427) hanq'u b-eč'uxa-b. house N-big-N 'The house is big.'

### Q2.11 Дом не большой.

Гьанкъу бечіухаб гьучіи.

(428) hanq'u b-eč'uxa-b hu-č'i. house N-big-N INAN.COP-NEG 'The house is not big.'

#### Q2.12 Этого дома нет уже.

Гьина гього-б гьанкъу гьучии.

(429) hina hogo-b hanq'u hu-č'i. now DEM-N house COP-NEG 'This house is not there anymore.'

#### Q2.13 Это ты?

Мин инлъила?

(430) min in={i-la? you.sG who=AN-CQ 'Who are you?'

Researcher comment: Not the intended question.

# **Q2.14** Кто дома?

Инлъила ишхъе?

(431) in=\fi-la i\text{is-qe?} who=AN-CQ home-APUD 'Who is at home?'

# Q2.15 Что это?

Гьаб эбхула?

(432) ha-b e-b=χu-la?

DEM-N what-N=INAN-CQ

'What is that?'

Гьуб эбхула?

(433) hu-b e-b=χu-la?

DEM-N what-N=INAN-CQ

'What is that?'

**Speaker comment:** (432): у говорящего рядом; (433): у адресата.

- + Гьав инлъила?
- (434) ha-w in=\fi-la?

  DEM-N who=AN-CQ

  'Who is he?'
  - + Гьай инлъила?
- (435) ha-j in=li-la?

  DEM-F who=AN-CQ

  'Who is she?'
  - + Гьал инлъила?

(436) ha-l in=\fi-la?

DEM-PL who=AN-CQ

'Who are they?'

# **Q2.16** Ты кормил куриц?

Минди чанчаба у"ъу"чу?

(437) min-di čanč-a=ba ũ?ũču? you.SG-ERG feed-AOR=PQ chicken 'Did you feed the chickens?'

### **Q2.17** Ты купил новое пальто?

Минди ціи"в парту ихиба?

(438) min-di c'ĩw partu iҳ-i=ba? you.sg-erg new coat take-AOR=PQ 'Did you buy a new coat?'

# Q2.18 Дедушка (не) спит?

Вочіуха има гъундулъиба?

(439) w-oč'uxa ima ʁundu=łi-ba? M-big father sleep=AN-PQ 'Is grandfather sleeping?'

# **Q2.19** Где дети?

Макзил инулъила?

(440) mak'i-l inu=\fi-la? child-PL where=AN-CQ 'Where are the children?'

#### **Q2.20** Где моя шапка?

Диб къабалъа инуху?

(441) di-b q'abała inu-χu?
I.OBL-N[GEN] hat where-INAN
'Where is my hat?'

#### Q2.21 Куда летит этот самолёт?

Инулъила бурдата гьоб самалёт?

(442) inu=li-la burd-ata ho-b samaljot. where=AN-CQ fly-PROG DEM-N airplane 'Where is this plane flying?'

Researcher comment: Some confusion arose here: I asked whether  $inu\chi u$  (cf. (441)) was also acceptable, to which they answered "no, that means 'where' ( $\epsilon \partial e$ ), 'whereto' would be ine-tila", even though they initially suggested inu themselves. I did not manage to figure out whether the supposed inanimate form ( $inu\chi u(la)$ ) would be possible here. I am not sure of |e| in inetila either, the sound seemed to occupy an intermediate position between |e| and |a|.

#### Q2.22 Куда он едет?

Инелъи гьогов вол1а?

(443) ine-li hogo-w w-oλ-a? where-AN DEM-M M-go-PROG 'Where is he going?'

#### **Q2.23** корова, которую продают

бичат(а)гоб зини

(444) b-ič-at(a)-go-b zini N-sell-prog-ptcp-n cow 'a cow that is being sold'

# **Q2.24** товар, который продают

бичат(а)гоб къай

(445) b-ič-at(a)-go-b q'aj
N-sell-PROG-PTCP-N merchandise
'merchandise that is being sold'

### **Q2.25** человек, который водит маршрутку

машина ичатагоб адан

(446) mašina ič-ata-go-b adan car drive-prog-ptcp-n person 'a person who drives a car'

**Researcher comment:** The word 'person' seems to have two variants in Miarso: *adam* and *adan*.

# Q2.26 второй сын

к1ейхов ваша

(447) k'ej-ҳo-w waša two-ORD-M son 'the second son'

#### Q2.27 вторая корова

к1ейхоб зини

(448) k'ej-xo-b zini two-ord-n cow 'the second cow'

# **Q2.28** вторая книга

к1ейхоб хъуча

(449) k'ej-χο-b quča two-ORD-N book 'the second book'

# **Abbreviations**

ADD additive INF infinitive

AN animate INT intensifier particle

AOR aorist Is infinitive stem

APUD apud locative LAT lative

ATR attributivizer **M** masculine

CARD cardinal numeral MSD masdar

CAUS causative N neuter

COP copula NEG negative

CQ content question marker

NM non-masculine

CVB converb

OBL oblique

DAT dative

**DEM** demonstrative

PL plural EL elative

PQ polar question marker ЕМРН emphatic particle

**ORD** ordinal numeral

PROG progressive

ERG ergative

PRS present EXCL exclusive

F feminine PST past

FUNC functive PTCP participle

FUT future QUOT quotative

GEN genitive REFL reflexive

HAB habitual REP reportative

IMP imperative RESTR restrictive

IN inessive SG singular

INAN inanimate SUP superessive

INCL inclusive TEMP temporal converb

INDEF indefinite TH thematic element

# References

- Alekseev, Mixail E. 1988. *Sravnitel'no-istoričeskaja morfologija avaro-andijskix jazykov* [Historical comparative morphology of Avar-Andic languages]. Moscow: Nauka.
- Alekseev, Mixail E. & Xalil G. Azaev. 2019. *Botlixsko-russkij slovar'* [*Botlikh-Russian dictionary*]. Moscow: Academia.
- Alexeyev, Mikhail E. & Samira Verhees. Forth. 'Botlikh'. In Yuri Koryakov & Timur Maisak (eds.), *The Caucasian Languages. An International Handbook. Volume 2.* Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Azaev, Xalil G. 1975. *Leksika i slovoobrazovanie botlixskogo jazyka [Lexicon and word formation in Botlikh*]. Tbilisi State University dissertation.
- Forker, Diana. 2015. Towards a semantic map for intensifying particles: Evidence from Avar. STUF - Language Typology and Universals 68(4). 485–513.
- Gudava, Togo E. 1959. Sravniteľnyj analiz glagoľnyx osnov v avarskom i andijskix jazykax [Ccomparative analysis of verb stems in Avar-Andic languages]. Makhachkala: IJaLI.
- Gudava, Togo E. 1962. Botlixuri ena [The Botlikh language]. Tbilisi: Mecniereba.
- Naccarato, Chiara & Samira Verhees. 2019. Towards a tentative origin of animacy markers in Botlikh. Presentation at Caucasian Languages: Typology and Diachrony 23–24.10.2019, Institute of Linguistics RAS, Moscow. https://github.com/sverhees/2019\_Animacy-origin.
- Naccarato, Chiara & Samira Verhees. 2021. Animacy in Botlikh. (Handout). https://github.com/sverhees/site/blob/master/other/Animacy\_in\_Botlikh.pdf.
- Saidova, Patimat A. & Magomed G. Abusov. 2012. *Botlixsko-russkij slovar'* [*Botlikh-Russian dictionary*]. Makhachkala: IJaLI.