NAME:	
CWID:	

Midterm 2

Answer the questions below on the scantron sheet provided to you. Make sure to circle in your answers well.

Chapter 4

- 1. According to Fearon, why are wars ex post inefficient?
 - A) Because the outcome is worse than what could have been achieved through negotiations
 - B) Because the winner does not necessarily deserve the spoils
 - C) Because they involve transaction costs that could have been avoided by agreeing beforehand on whatever outcome war subsequently reveals
 - D) Because the outcome does not necessarily reflect the most optimal division of resources
- 2. According to Fearon (1995), why do states fight wars even though wars are expost inefficient?
 - A) Asymmetric information.
 - B) Commitment problems.
 - C) Disputes over indivisible goods.
 - D) One of the above has to be present for war to break out.
- 3. According to neorealism, what states are considered to be essential actors?
 - A) States whose support is necessary for the dominant leader to remain in power.
 - B) States whose assistance is necessary to counteract a threat from a rival coalition of states.
 - C) States whose survival is essential for a system to remain multipolar.
 - D) Strong states around which weaker states cluster.
- 4. According to neorealism, states seek to maximize their power ______.
 - A) at every opportunity
 - B) very rarely
 - C) only if they are very weak
 - D) only if this does not diminish their security

- 5. A bipolar system is a system that:
 - A) Consists of two powerful states, with weaker states aligned to one of the powerful states.
 - B) Consists only of two powerful empires.
 - C) Consists of many powerful states, two of which are much more powerful than the others.
 - D) None of the above is correct.
- 6. According to neorealism, a bipolar world is more stable than a multipolar world because:
 - A) There are fewer disputes.
 - B) There is less uncertainty.
 - C) There are fewer commitment problems.
 - D) b and c are correct.
- 7. The argument that bipolar systems are less uncertain than multipolar systems and are, therefore, more stable:
 - A) Follows logically from the neorealist theory
 - B) Does not follow from the neorealist assumptions
 - C) Is supported by empirical evidence
 - D) Is common to all structural theories of international relations
- 8. If we add to neorealism the assumption that certainty makes states more cautious, whereas uncertainty makes states more reckless, we:
 - A) Contradict other assumptions and predictions of neorealism
 - B) Fix the problem of the logical leap between the neorealist assumptions and predictions
 - C) Make neorealism indistinguishable from the power transition theory
 - D) Contradict empirical evidence that shows the opposite
- 9. A bipolar balance-of-power system will be stable only if power is distributed exactly equal between the two blocs.
 - A) True
 - B) False
- 10. Suppose there are five states: A, B, C, D, and E. The power is distributed in the following manner: A = 75, B = 74, C = 75, D = 74, and E = 2. This implies that:
 - A) Multipolar systems are never stable, just as the bipolarity argument claims.
 - B) Bipolar systems are more stable than multipolar only if power is distributed exactly equally.
 - C) Bipolar systems are less stable than multipolar.
 - D) A multipolar system can be stable, contrary to the neorealist claim.

- 11. The neorealist hypothesis that essential states always survive implies that:
 - A) We should not have observed the collapse of the Soviet Union.
 - B) We should not have observed Austro-Hungarys elimination.
 - C) We should not have observed the U.S. becoming a superpower.
 - D) a and b are correct.
- 12. Suppose state A has to decide whether to go to war against state B or to remain in the status quo. As utility from the status quo is 0.81. A has 100 units of power, and B has 20 units of power. As probability of winning is 0.8. As utility from winning is 1, and As utility from losing is 0. Suppose A chooses not to go to war and remains in the status quo. This implies that:
 - A) A state that is relatively happy with the status quo might not attack even if it is much more powerful than the other state.
 - B) A is irrational.
 - C) A is risk-averse.
 - D) States never put their security at risk.

Chapter 5

- 13. According to neorealism, uncertainty _____ the risk of instability.
 - A) does not affect
 - B) is caused by
 - C) increases
 - D) decreases
- 14. The seven assumptions included in the IIG serve the following purpose:
 - A) They make the game more strategic
 - B) They substantially reduce the possible pairs of preference orderings while still keep the game rich enough.
 - C) They make the game more compatible with the neorealist assumptions.
 - D) They allow us to test falsifiable hypotheses.
- 15. According to the IIG assumptions, the outcomes of acquiescence and capitulation are:
 - A) Better than the outcome of war
 - B) Worse than the outcome of the status quo
 - C) Known only probabilistically
 - D) Known with certainty

- 16. According to the IIG assumptions, each state prefers:
 - A) to capitulate rather than negotiate
 - B) to keep the status quo rather than go to war
 - C) to negotiate rather than go to war
 - D) to capitulate rather than acquiesce
- 17. According to the IIG assumptions, what is the difference between war and negotiations?
 - A) Wars involve costs not involved in negotiations.
 - B) Some states have a better chance of succeeding in negotiations than in war.
 - C) In negotiations states always have to agree to a 50-50 split, while in war they can get all they want.
 - D) Negotiations are always better than the status quo, and wars are always worse than the status quo.
- 18. According to the IIG assumptions, which of the following is true from the perspective of player A?
 - A) Acqueiscence_A > Status quo
 - B) Acqueiscence_B > Status quo
 - C) $War_B > Capitulation_B$
 - D) $War_A > Negotations$
- 19. In the domestic version of the IIG, if the conditions of the basic war theorem are met, but at least one of the leaders has a wrong perception of his rivals preferences, then the risk of war is reduced.
 - A) True
 - B) False
- 20. What is the fundamental difference between the domestic and the realpolitik versions of the IIG?
 - A) For A: $War_A > Acq_A$ is impossible in the realpolitik version, but is possible in the domestic version.
 - B) For A: Capitulation_A > War_B is impossible in the realpolitik version, but is possible in the domestic version.
 - C) For B: Capitulation_A > Negotiation is impossible in the realpolitik version, but is possible in the domestic version.
 - D) For B: $War_A > Acquiesence_B$ is impossible in the realpolitik version, but is possible in the domestic version.
- 21. In both versions of the IIG (domestic and realpolitik), every states prefers to negotiate rather than be compelled to capitulate.
 - A) True
 - B) False

- 22. The domestic and the realpolitik versions of the IIG have different predictions when:
 - A) The IIG conditions are not met.
 - B) The IIG conditions are not met and there is uncertainty.
 - C) The IIG conditions are met and there is no uncertainty.
 - D) There is uncertainty.
- 23. According to the resurrection hypothesis, when leaders anticipate that they might be ousted in case of a military defeat:
 - A) They fight harder.
 - B) They capitulate.
 - C) They propose negotiating a peaceful solution.
 - D) They resign from office.
- 24. What is a "dove" in the context we discussed in class?
 - A) A leader who prefers to acquiesce to demands of others
 - B) A leader who prefers to capitulate if attacked
 - C) A leader who prefers to negotiate with a rival rather than force him to capitulate
 - D) A leader who does not believe in first-strike advantage
- 25. What is a "pacific dove" in the context we discussed in class?
 - A) A leader who prefers to negotiate with a rival rather than force him to capitulate.
 - B) A leader who prefers to capitulate if attacked rather than retaliate.
 - C) A leader who always negotiates and acquiesces to the demands of others.
 - D) a and b are correct.
- 26. A weak pacific dove is more likely to attack if:
 - A) (S)he believes that the opponent is a hawk.
 - B) (S)he believes that the opponent is a dove.
 - C) (S)he believes that the opponent will fight back.
 - D) (S)he believes that the opponent is fighting for resurrection.
- 27. Richardsons model of arms race is an example of the strategic approach.
 - A) True
 - B) False

- 28. If we observe that each side in a pair of rival states is arming in response to the arms decision of the other side, then the explanation is:
 - A) They might be engaged in an arms race.
 - B) The national defense establishments in both countries are trying to get more allocated to their budget.
 - C) They might be producing more weapons to sell to other countries.
 - D) All of the above.
- 29. Arms reduction agreements can make wars _____ and _____
 - A) more costly and more likely
 - B) more costly and less likely
 - C) less costly and more likely
 - D) less costly and less likely

Chapter 6

- 30. The core of the democratic peace is that:
 - A) Democracies do not tend to be engaged in wars.
 - B) Democracies do not fight with one another but fight nondemocracies.
 - C) Democracies are rarely attacked by nondemocracies.
 - D) b and c are correct.
- 31. The democratic peace runs contrary to neorealist perspectives because:
 - A) It shows that domestic institutions play an important role in shaping international affairs.
 - B) It shows that security is not the most important objective.
 - C) It shows that the international system is not anarchic.
 - D) It shows that leaders seek power more than everything else.
- 32. Which of the following is not one of the empirical regularities of the democratic peace?
 - A) Democracies that are major powers are more constrained than democracies that are minor powers.
 - B) Transitional democracies are less constrained than full-fledged democracies.
 - C) Democracies make a greater effort to win a war than nondemocracies.
 - D) Wealthy democracies are more constrained and less likely to initiate a war that less affluent democracies.

- 33. Which of the following is not true?
 - A) Large-W leaders are more likely to win a war they start than are small-W leaders.
 - B) Large-W leaders are less likely to initiate a war against a strong opponent than are small-W leaders.
 - C) Large-W leaders are more reluctant than small-W leaders to attack the very weak.
 - D) Large-W systems are likely to suffer less casualties than small-W systems in wars they initiate.
- 34. The claim that democracies do not fight one another because they share norms of compromise and negotiation is flawed because:
 - A) It assumes that domestic politics in democracies are characterized by negotiations.
 - B) It assumes that democracies do not fight one another.
 - C) It restates the same fact it is supposed to explain.
 - D) It does not explain why democracies are attacked by nondemocracies.
- 35. The argument that democracies do not fight one another because of slow decision-making processes is a flawed explanation of the democratic peace phenomenon because it does not explain:
 - A) Why autocracies do not prefer to negotiate
 - B) Why democracies initiate wars against nondemocracies
 - C) Why democracies win the majority of wars they initiate
 - D) Why democracies make an extra effort to win
- 36. According to the selectorate theory, the outcome of war depends on:
 - A) The military balance between the two sides.
 - B) The amount of resources each side devotes to war.
 - C) a and b are correct.
 - D) The experience of the leader.
- 37. According to the selectorate theory, small-W leaders initiate wars in which they do not have an overwhelming chance of winning because:
 - A) They fight weak opponents.
 - B) They have strong allies.
 - C) Losing a war does not have a great effect on their leader.
 - D) They are ready to make an extra effort to win.

- 38. According to the selectorate theory, large-W systems do not fight one another because:
 - A) They share common rules of behavior that make them prefer to negotiate rather than fight.
 - B) Domestic institutions prevent leaders from going to war without the necessary approvals.
 - C) They are selective about which wars they fight, and they fight only if they have overwhelming chances of winning.
 - D) They do not have the resources to spend on wars.
- 39. According to the selectorate theory, large-W coalitions fight for _____, while small-W coalitions fight for _____.
 - A) policies/resources
 - B) private goods/public goods
 - C) territory/regime change
 - D) natural resources/trade
- 40. When the selectorate theory argues that large-W leaders are more selective about wars they fight than are small-W leaders, it means that:
 - A) Large-W leaders select themselves into wars against weaker opponents.
 - B) Large-W leaders select themselves into wars against stronger opponents.
 - C) Large-W leaders select themselves into wars against large-W leaders.
 - D) Large-W leaders select themselves into wars against small-W leaders.