Relationship between PSC strengths and network parameters

Unitary conductance. A PSC from in response to a spike at time t=0 is

$$g(t) = \frac{c_{\text{syn}}}{\tau_s} e^{-t/\tau_s} \Theta(t) \tag{1}$$

Assuming current-only interaction, $I_{\text{syn}} = g(t)\Delta V_{\text{syn}}$, where $\Delta V_{\text{syn}} = V_L - V_{\text{syn}}$. The PSP for t > 0 is governed by

$$C\frac{dV}{dt} = -g_L V - \frac{c_{\text{syn}} \Delta V_{\text{syn}}}{\tau_s} e^{-t/\tau_s}$$
(2)

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{V}{\tau_0} - \frac{c_{\text{syn}} \Delta V_{\text{syn}}}{C \tau_s} e^{-t/\tau_s}$$
(3)

Assuming V(0) = 0,

$$V(t) = -\frac{c_{\rm syn} \, \Delta V_{\rm syn}}{C} \, \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0 - \tau_s} \, \left(e^{-t/\tau_0} - e^{-t/\tau_s} \right) \tag{4}$$

Extremum:

$$t_p = \frac{\tau_0 \, \tau_s}{\tau_0 - \tau_s} \log \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_s} \right) \tag{5}$$

Extreme value

$$V_{\text{extr}} = -\frac{c_{\text{syn}} \Delta V_{\text{syn}}}{C} \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0 - \tau_s} \left[\left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0 - \tau_s}} - \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0 - \tau_s}} \right]$$
 (6)

Defining

$$f(\tau_0, \tau_s) = \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0 - \tau_s} \left[\left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0 - \tau_s}} - \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0 - \tau_s}} \right] \tag{7}$$

we obtain

$$c_{\text{syn}} = -\frac{V_{\text{extr}} C}{\Delta V_{\text{syn}} f(\tau_0, \tau_s)}$$
 (8)

Asynchronized, noisy thalamic input

There are K_T neurons outside of the network projecting to one neurons in the network. These neurons fire in a Poisson manner with a rate R_T and strength of unitary conductance $c_{\text{syn,b}}$. The time-average conductance a neuron receives is

$$g_T = K_T R_T \left\langle \frac{c_{\text{syn,b}}}{\tau_s} e^{-t/\tau_s} \Theta(t) \right\rangle_t = K_T R_T c_{\text{syn,b}}$$
(9)

The response of a neuron to a single PSC is given by an Equation similar to Eq. 8. Therefore,

$$g_T = -\frac{K_T R_T V_{\text{extr}} C}{\Delta V_{\text{syn}} f(\tau_0, \tau_s)}$$
(10)

Response to time-constant background noise and threshold crossing

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{V}{\tau_0} - \frac{g_T \,\Delta V_{\rm syn}}{C} \tag{11}$$

At sub-threshold steady state.

$$V = -\frac{g_T \,\Delta V_{\rm syn} \tau_0}{C} \tag{12}$$

Using Eq. 10

$$V = \frac{K_T R_T V_{\text{extr}} \tau_0}{f(\tau_0, \tau_s)} \tag{13}$$

The threshold condition is

$$K_T R_T = \frac{V_{\text{th}} f(\tau_0, \tau_s)}{V_{\text{extr}} \tau_0}$$
 (14)

If $\tau_s = 3 \text{ ms}$, $f(\tau_0, \tau_s)$ is 0.597 for $\tau_0 = 10 \text{ ms}$ and 0.715 for $\tau_0 = 20 \text{ ms}$.

In order to get the value of $K_T R_T$ in Hz, we multifpy the numerical value obtained in Eq. 14 by 1000. Assuming $V_{\rm th}=0.5\,{\rm mV}$ and $V_{\rm extr}=10\,{\rm mV},\,K_T\,R_T=1194\,{\rm Hz}$ for $\tau_0=10\,{\rm ms}$ and 715 Hz for $\tau_0=20\,{\rm ms}$.

Conductance-based model

Extended Wang-Buzsáki model (Hansel and van Vreeswijk 2012).

E neuron: $g_L = 0.05 \,\text{mS/cm}^2 \ (\tau_0 \approx 20 \,\text{ms}), \ g_{KZ} = 0.5 \,\text{mS/cm}^2.$

I neuron: $g_L = 0.1 \,\mathrm{mS/cm^2}$ ($\tau_0 \approx 10 \,\mathrm{ms}$), $g_{KZ} = 0$.

The average thalamic current is:

$$I_{\text{syn}} = g_{\text{syn}} \left[\rho \left(V - V_{\text{syn}} \right) + (1 - \rho) \left(V_L - V_{\text{syn}} \right) \right]$$
 (15)

 $\rho = 1$ means coupling via conductance, and $\rho = 0$ means coupling via current. We take $\rho = 0.5$. If $g_{\rm syn}$ is constant in time, we compute the bifurcation diagram with $g_{\rm syn}$ being the bifurcation parameter. The critical values $g_{\rm syn,c}$ (in mS/cm²) are 2.622×10^{-3} for an E neuron and 8.329×10^{-3} for an I neuron.

From Eq. 10, the critical value for $K_T R_T$ is

$$(K_T R_T)_c = -\frac{g_{\text{syn,c}} \Delta V_{\text{syn}} f(\tau_0, \tau_s)}{V_{\text{extr}} C}$$
(16)

We get $K_T R_T = 244 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ for E neurons and $K_T R_T = 646 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ for I neurons.

Recurrent connections: scaling the coupling constants

We use the matrix notation. For example, "IE" means from E to I. For a single recurrent connection, the coupling coefficient is computed using Eq. 8

EE:
$$\tau_0 = 20 \,\mathrm{ms}, \ \tau_s = 3 \,\mathrm{ms}, \ V_{\mathrm{extr}} = 0.5 \,\mathrm{mV}, \ \Delta V_{\mathrm{syn}} = -65 \,\mathrm{mV}, \ c_{\mathrm{syn}} = 0.0108 \,\mu\mathrm{F/cm^2}.$$

IE:
$$\tau_0 = 10 \,\mathrm{ms}$$
, $\tau_s = 3 \,\mathrm{ms}$, $V_{\mathrm{extr}} = 1 \,\mathrm{mV}$, $\Delta V_{\mathrm{syn}} = -65 \,\mathrm{mV}$, $c_{\mathrm{syn}} = 0.0258 \,\mu\mathrm{F/cm^2}$.

EI:
$$\tau_0 = 20 \,\text{ms}$$
, $\tau_s = 3 \,\text{ms}$, $V_{\text{extr}} = 1 \,\text{mV}$, $\Delta V_{\text{syn}} = 20 \,\text{mV}$, $c_{\text{syn}} = 0.0699 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

IE:
$$\tau_0 = 10 \,\text{ms}$$
, $\tau_s = 3 \,\text{ms}$, $V_{\text{extr}} = 1 \,\text{mV}$, $\Delta V_{\text{syn}} = 20 \,\text{mV}$, $c_{\text{syn}} = 0.0838 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

Weak synapses scenario: The coupling is $c_{\text{syn,AB}} = J_{AB}/K_{AB}$

EE:
$$K = 400$$
, $J_{AB} = 4.3 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

IE:
$$K = 800$$
, $J_{AB} = 20.6 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

EI:
$$K = 100$$
, $J_{AB} = 7 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

II:
$$K = 100$$
, $J_{AB} = 8.38 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

Strong synapses or balanced network scenario: The coupling is $c_{\text{syn,AB}} = J_{AB}/\sqrt{K_{AB}}$

EE:
$$K = 400$$
, $J_{AB} = 0.22 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

IE:
$$K = 800$$
, $J_{AB} = 0.73 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

EI:
$$K = 100$$
, $J_{AB} = 0.7 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.

II:
$$K = 100$$
, $J_{AB} = 0.84 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$.