

Dear Tanvi Karne,

thank you for your email.

The Table you quoted "table cited in the manuscript [ex : [30, Table 24.1], [28, Table I]" does NOT refer to a table in the manuscript.

Therefore, no amendment of the manuscript is necessary.

So please proceed with sending the current manuscript to the Editor.

Best regards,
Karl Svozil

Dear Tanvi Karne,

Thank you for your comments.

1. We notice that tables has been referenced in the main text of your manuscript file but this table has not been included with your submission. Please either include this table in your manuscript file in an editable Word or TeX/LaTeX format along with its legend or update the references to this table in the main text of your manuscript accordingly.

There is no table in the article.

We removed all commented-out parts of the source Latex file so there is no confusion.

2. Please provide figure file in pdf format or in documents format in the system.

All figures are in PDF now. We can supply eps versions of figures if necessary.

Best regards,

Karl Svozil

#####

Dear Professor Hosseini,

Thank you and the two Reviewers for the evaluation of our manuscript.

In response to the feedback provided by the Editor and Reviewer 2, we have diligently revised our manuscript.

Specifically, we have amalgamated Sections I and II as suggested, while retaining the Nomenclature in what is now Section II.

By merging these sections, we aimed to enhance the overall organization and coherence of our paper,

emphasizing its main topic and motivation more effectively.

Reviewer 2 suggested:

"1) The introduction should be well organized. The introduction in the draft is too short. The Sec.II and Sec.III maybe included in the introduction."

We have, as already mentioned, merged Sections I and II and left the nomenclature in (what is now) Section II.

In merging those two sections we have attempted to improve the organization of both sections, and stress the main topic and motivation for this paper.

"2) The main scheme is presented in Sec.IV , but it is too long. It is advisable to add a secondary heading so that the reader can understand it more clearly."

We have done exactly that: we added a secondary heading on "principles" and an "example" of (now) Section III.

We hope that, with these two amendments, the paper can be published.

Once again, we express our gratitude to you and the Referees for your and their kind evaluations and suggestions; they are very much appreciated and strengthen the presentation.

With kind regards,

Karl Svozil (in coordination with the first author, Christian S. Calude)