# Many-particle Green's functions of correlated electrons on lattices

Nikolay Murzin

May 15, 2013

In order to establish an electronic structure of strongly correlated material, one can use a Dynamycal mean-field theory. DMFT maps a lattice problem to a single site problem, in our case we transform a celebrated Hubbard model to the Anderson impurity model, using so-called Dual-fermion approach. We then calculate the local properties of this model, such as Green and vertex functions within imaginary time representation and corresponding Matsubara space.

## CONTENTS

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 SECOND QUANTIZATION FOR FERMIONS

The fermion creation and annihilation operators obey the following fundamental anticommutation relations

$$a_\alpha, a_\beta^\dagger = a_\alpha a_\beta^\dagger + a_\beta^\dagger a_\alpha = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$$

$$a_\alpha, a_\beta = a_\alpha^\dagger, a_\beta^\dagger = 0$$

One-body and two-body operators in Fock space have the following form respectively

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle\alpha| A |\beta\rangle a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta$$

$$\hat{B} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle\alpha\beta| B |\alpha\beta\rangle a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta^\dagger a_\delta a_\gamma$$

Using this Fock space formulation, the Hamiltonian of a many-particle system in second quantized form can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle\alpha| T |\beta\rangle a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle\alpha\beta| V |\alpha\beta\rangle a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta^\dagger a_\delta a_\gamma$$

where $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{V}$ are the kinetic energy and two-body interaction operators.

## 1.2 INTERACTION REPRESENTATION

Given the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + V$ with $H_0$ and $V$ as noninteracting and interacting part respectively, evolution of states and operators are described by following equations

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi_I(t)\rangle = V_I(t) |\psi_I(t)\rangle$$

$$-i\frac{\partial O_I(t)}{\partial t} = [H_0, O_I(t)]$$

with states and operators defined as

$$|\psi_I\rangle = e^{iH_0 t} |\psi_S(t)\rangle$$

$$O_I(t) = e^{iH_0 t} O_S e^{-iH_0 t}$$

The evolution of the wave function is thus

$$|\psi_I(t)\rangle = U(t) |\psi_I(0)\rangle$$

so that

$$i\frac{\partial U(t)}{\partial t} = V(t)U(t)$$

using T-operator we obtain time-ordered exponential

$$U(t) = T\left[exp\left\{-i\int_0^t V(t')dt'\right\}\right]$$

## 1.3 Finite temperature

The essential step to finite temperature is possible due to the Japanese physicist Kubo, who noticed that the quantum-mechanical partition function can be regarded as a time-evolution operator in imaginary time.

$$\hat{\rho} \propto e^{-\beta \hat{H}} = U(-i\hbar\beta)$$

Later, Matsubara wrote down the first imaginary time formulation of finite temperature many body physics. In the imaginary time approach, the partition function of a quantum system is simply the trace of the time-evolution operator, evaluated at imaginary time $t = -i\hbar\beta$,

$$Z = Tr \; e^{-\beta H} = Tr \; U(-i\hbar\beta)$$

whilst the expectation value of a quantity $A$ in thermal equilibrium is given by

$$< A > = \frac{Tr[U(-i\hbar\beta)A]}{Tr[U(-i\hbar\beta)]}$$

Switching from $t$ to $i\tau$ with $\tau \in \{0, \beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}\}$ we obtain

$$U(\tau) = T\left[exp\left\{-\int_0^\tau V(\tau')d\tau'\right\}\right]$$

We can relate the partition function to the evolution operator as follows

$$Z = Tr\left[e^{-\beta H}\right] = Z_0 \langle U(\beta)\rangle_0$$

where

$$Z_0 = Tr\left[e^{-\beta H_0}\right]$$

$$\langle A\rangle_0 = \frac{Tr\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A\right]}{Z_0}$$

Now we can write the ratio of the interacting to the non-interacting partition function

$$S = \frac{Z}{Z_0} = \langle T \; exp\left[-\int_0^\beta V(\tau)d\tau\right]\rangle$$

## 1.4 Perturbation expansion

Expanding the time-ordered exponential, gives us a perturbation series for the S-matrix

$$S = \frac{Z}{Z_0} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\int_0^\beta \ldots \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \ldots d\tau_n \langle TV(\tau_1)\ldots V(\tau_n)\rangle$$

Taking the logarithm of it yields

$$\ln S = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(S-1)^n}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\int_0^\beta \ldots \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \ldots d\tau_k \langle TV(\tau_1)\ldots V(\tau_k)\rangle)^n}{n}$$

## 1.5 Green functions

We define $n$-particle Green function as follows

$$\mathcal{G}(1\ldots n; 1'\ldots n') = (-1)^n \langle Tc(1)\ldots c(n)c(1')\ldots c(n')\rangle$$

Adding auxiliary source terms to our interaction

$$V(t) \mapsto V(t) + \sum_n \tilde{\alpha}(n)c(n) + c^\dagger(n)\alpha(n)$$

where $\alpha(t)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(t)$ are the anti-commuting forces which "create" and "annihilate" particles respectively. We then obtain $n$-particle Green functions as follows

$$\mathcal{G}(1\ldots n; 1'\ldots n') = \frac{1}{S}\frac{\partial^n S}{\partial\alpha(1)\partial\tilde{\alpha}(1')\ldots\partial\alpha(n)\partial\tilde{\alpha}(n')}\bigg|_{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}=0}$$

And the $n$-th order coefficient of $\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ in the expansion of S-matrix is known as the irreducible $n$-point Green function $\mathcal{G}_{irr}$ or the $n$-point vertex function $\Gamma$.

$$\Gamma(1\ldots n; 1'\ldots n') = \frac{\partial^n \ln S}{\partial\alpha(1)\partial\tilde{\alpha}(1')\ldots\partial\alpha(n)\partial\tilde{\alpha}(n')}\bigg|_{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}=0}$$

## 1.6 Matsubara Representation

Green functions satisfy the relation

$$\mathcal{G}(\tau + \beta) = \pm\mathcal{G}(\tau)$$

so that bosonic (fermionic) Green functions are periodic (antiperiodic), with period $\beta$.

The periodicity allow us to carry out a Fourier expansion of the Green functions in terms of discrete frequencies. Matsubara frequencies are defined as

$$\nu_n = 2\pi n k_B T \quad (Boson)$$
$$\omega_n = \pi(2n+1)k_B T \quad (Fermion)$$

Fourier expansion and its inverse then

$$\mathcal{G}(\tau) = T\sum_n \mathcal{G}(i\alpha_n)e^{-i\alpha_n\tau}$$
$$\mathcal{G}(i\alpha_n) = \int_0^\beta d\tau\mathcal{G}(\tau)e^{i\alpha_n\tau}, \quad \alpha_n = \nu_n, \omega_n$$

## 1.7 Feynman Diagrams

Feynman Diagram techniques help to visualize the structure and apply physical interpretation to the otherwise incomprehensible formulas.

$$S = \sum\{\text{Disconnected Feynman diagrams}\} \equiv$$

$$1 + \left[ \text{diagrams} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \text{diagrams} + \cdots \right]$$

$$\ln S = \sum\{\text{Linked Cluster Diagrams}\} \equiv \left[ \text{diagrams} + \cdots \right]$$

$$\mathcal{G}(1, 1') = \sum\{\text{Two legged diagrams}\} \equiv \left[ \text{diagrams} + \cdots \right]$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{(4)}(1, 2, 1', 2') = \sum\{\text{Four legged diagrams}\} \equiv \left[ \text{diagrams} + \cdots \right]$$

## 2 Theory

### 2.1 Strongly correlated system

Strongly correlated system is a class of materials, where the behavior of their electrons can't be described effectively in terms of non-interacting entities. Therefore models of the electronic structure of strongly correlated system must include electronic correlation.

### 2.2 The Hubbard model

Having a lattice of atoms with electrons that are almost localized at each site with possibility to hop only between nearest neighbors and approximation that electrons interact with each other only in a single orbit, the Hubbard model can be thus be written

$$H = -t \sum_{i,j,\sigma} (c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma} + h.c.) + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} - \mu \sum_{i,\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma}$$

with the corresponding imaginary-time action

$$S = \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ -t \sum_{i,j,\sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} - \sum_{i,\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - \mu) c_{i\sigma} \right\}$$

and its Fourier transform

$$S[c, c^*] = \sum_{\omega k\sigma} (\epsilon_k - \mu - i\omega) c_{\omega k\sigma}^* c_{\omega k\sigma} + U \sum_i \int_0^\beta n_{i\uparrow\tau} n_{i\downarrow\tau} d\tau$$

here  $\epsilon_k = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)$ - the bare dispersion law,
$c, c^*$ - Grassmann numbers,
$\omega$ - Matsubara frequencies.

## 2.3 Dynamical mean-field theory

DMFT maps Hubbard model onto the so-called Anderson impurity model. This model describes the interaction of one site (the impurity) with a "bath" of electronic levels through a hybridization function.

$$H_{imp} = \underbrace{\sum_{\nu,\sigma} \epsilon_\nu n_{\nu,\sigma}^{bath}}_{H_{bath}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\nu,\sigma} \left( V_\nu c_\sigma^\dagger a_{\nu,\sigma}^{bath} + h.c. \right)}_{H_{mix}} + \underbrace{U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow - \mu \left( n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow \right)}_{H_{loc}}$$

with the following action

$$S = \sum_{\omega\sigma} (\Delta_\omega - \mu - i\omega) c_{\omega\sigma}^* c_{\omega\sigma} + U \int_0^\beta n_{\uparrow\tau} n_{\downarrow\tau} d\tau$$

The hybridization function $\Delta_\omega$ plays the role of a *dynamic* mean field.

## 2.4 Dual fermion approach

The goal is to derive the properties of the initial lattice problem via the quantities of the impurity problem. The lattice action can be represented in the following way

$$S[c, c^*] = \sum_i S_{imp}[c_i, c_i^*] - \sum_{\omega k\sigma} (\Delta_\omega - \epsilon_k) c_{\omega k\sigma}^* c_{\omega k\sigma}$$

Performing a dual transformation to a set of new Grassmann variables $f, f^*$

$$e^{A^2 c_{\omega k\sigma}^* c_{\omega k\sigma}} = \left( \frac{A}{\alpha} \right)^2 \int e^{-\alpha(c_{\omega k\sigma}^* f_{\omega k\sigma} + f_{\omega k\sigma}^* c_{\omega k\sigma}) - \alpha^2 A^{-2} f_{\omega k\sigma}^* f_{\omega k\sigma}} df_{\omega k\sigma}^* df_{\omega k\sigma}$$

we can obtain an action depending on the new variables $f, f^*$ only

$$S[f, f^*] = -\sum_{\omega k} \ln \alpha_{\omega\sigma}^{-2} (\Delta_\omega - \epsilon_k) - \sum_i \ln z_i^{imp} + \sum_{\omega k\sigma} \alpha_{\omega\sigma} ((\Delta_\omega - \epsilon_k)^{-1} + g_\omega) \alpha_{\omega\sigma} f_{\omega k\sigma}^* f_{\omega k\sigma} + \sum_i V_i$$

where $z_i^{imp} = \int e^{-S_{imp}[c_i^*, c_i]} \mathcal{D} c_i^* \mathcal{D} c_i$, and the dual potential $V_i \equiv V[f_i^*, f_i]$ is defined from the expression

$$\int e^{-S_{loc}[c_i^*, c_i, f_i^*, f_i]} \mathcal{D} c_i^* \mathcal{D} c_i = z_i^{imp} e^{\sum_{\omega\sigma} \alpha_{\omega\sigma}^2 g_\omega f_{\omega i\sigma}^* f_{\omega i\sigma} - V[f_i^*, f_i]}$$

One can see that the $n$-th coefficient from the Taylor series of $V[f_i^*, f_i]$ is proportional to $\gamma^{(n)}$, starting from $n = 4$.

# 3 What was done

## 3.1 Preparations

Following the dual fermion approach we now consider only the local Hamiltonian of the impurity problem

$$H = -\frac{U}{2}\left(n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow\right) + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow + \frac{U}{2}$$

with impurity states $|0\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ and eigenenergies $\frac{U}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{U}{2}$ respectfully.
The annihilation operator in this space then can be constructed as follows

$$c_\sigma = (|0\rangle\langle\uparrow| - |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow\uparrow|)\delta_{\sigma,\uparrow} + (|0\rangle\langle\downarrow| + |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow\uparrow|)\delta_{\sigma,\downarrow}$$

Since we using an interaction representation, the operator also evolves with time

$$c_{\sigma,\tau} = e^{H\tau} c_\sigma e^{-H\tau}$$

We can now compute the quantum thermodynamic mean of any operator $A$ as follow

$$\langle A \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_0} Tr[e^{-H\beta} A]$$

where $Z_0 = Tr[e^{-H\beta}] = 2(1 + e^{-\frac{U\beta}{2}})$.
Given this, it's now possible to compute $2n$-particle Green functions

$$G(1\ldots n; 1'\ldots n') = (-1)^n \langle c_1 \ldots c_n c_{1'}^\dagger \ldots c_{n'}^\dagger \rangle$$

Expressions for $\Gamma^{(2n)}$ are the $n$-th cumulants of the system

$$\Gamma^{(2)} = G(1, 1')$$

$$\Gamma^{(4)} = G(1, 2, 1', 2') + G(1, 1')G(2, 2') - G(1, 2')G(2, 1')$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(6)} = \; & G(1, 2, 3, 1', 2', 3') \\
& - 2G(1, 1')G(2, 2')G(3, 3') + 2G(1, 1')G(2, 3')G(3, 2') - 2G(1, 2')G(2, 3')G(3, 1') \\
& + 2G(1, 2')G(2, 1')G(3, 3') - 2G(1, 3')G(2, 1')G(3, 2') + 2G(1, 3')G(2, 2')G(3, 1') \\
& - G(1, 1')G(2, 3, 2', 3') + G(1, 2')G(2, 3, 1', 3') - G(1, 3')G(2, 3, 1', 2') \\
& + G(2, 1')G(1, 3, 2', 3') - G(2, 2')G(1, 3, 1', 3') + G(2, 3')G(1, 3, 1', 2') \\
& - G(3, 1')G(1, 2, 2', 3') + G(3, 2')G(1, 2, 1', 3') - G(3, 3')G(1, 2, 1', 2')
\end{aligned}
$$

where variables $1, 2 \ldots n; 1', 2' \ldots n'$ are the shortcuts for corresponding spin and time $(\sigma_i, \tau_i)$. Also summation over time ordering $((2n)!$ permutations $\tau_{i_1} > \tau_{i_2} > \ldots > \tau_{i_{2n}} > 0)$ is implied.
The vertex functions $\gamma^{(2n)}$ are defined as follows

$$\gamma^{(2n)} = \frac{\Gamma_\omega^{(2n)}}{G(\omega_1) \dots G(\omega_{2n})}$$

with $\Gamma_\omega^{(2n)}$ being an imaginary time Fourier transform of $\Gamma^{(2n)}$.

## 3.2 Calculations

We require energy conservation law of the form $\omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_{2n} = 0$.

$$G(\omega) = -\frac{i\omega}{\frac{U}{2}^2 + \omega^2}, \quad \omega_1 = -\omega_2 = \omega$$

$$\gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} = \beta\frac{U^2}{4}\frac{\delta_{\omega_1,-\omega_3} - \delta_{\omega_2,-\omega_3}}{\omega_1^2\omega_2^2}(\omega_1^2 + \frac{U^2}{4})(\omega_2^2 + \frac{U^2}{4})$$

$$\gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow} = U - \frac{U^3}{8}\frac{\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2}{\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\omega_4} - \frac{3U^5}{16\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\omega_4} - \beta\frac{U^2}{4}\frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{U\beta}{2}}}\frac{2\delta_{\omega_1,-\omega_2} + \delta_{\omega_2,-\omega_3}}{\omega_1^2\omega_3^2}(\omega_1^2 + \frac{U^2}{4})(\omega_3^2 + \frac{U^2}{4})$$
$$+ \beta\frac{U^2}{4}\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\frac{U\beta}{2}}}\frac{2\delta_{\omega_1,-\omega_3} + \delta_{\omega_2,-\omega_3}}{\omega_1^2\omega_2^2}(\omega_1^2 + \frac{U^2}{4})(\omega_2^2 + \frac{U^2}{4})$$

## References

[1] H. Bruus. *Many-body quantum theory in condensed matter physics : an introduction.* Oxford University Press, Oxford New York, 2004.

[2] P. Coleman. *Introduction to many body physics.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[3] H. Hafermann, C. Jung, S. Brener, M. I. Katsnelson, A. N. Rubtsov, and A. I. Lichtenstein. Superperturbation solver for quantum impurity models. EPL 85, 27007 (2009), 2008.

[4] A. N. Rubtsov, M. I. Katsnelson, A. I. Lichtenstein, and A. Georges. Dual fermion approach to the two-dimensional hubbard model: Antiferromagnetic fluctuations and fermi arcs. Phys.Rev. B 79 045133 (2009), 2008.