BigQuery Schema Design Mastery: From Fundamentals to Enterprise Optimization

Data Engineering Interview Preparation

August 17, 2025

Contents

| 1 | The Evolution of Data Warehouse Schema Design 1.1 Traditional Relational Database Limitations | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| 2 | Real-World Case Study: GO-JEK's Data Architecture2.1 Business Context and Scale | 3 |
| 3 | Schema Design Approaches: Comprehensive Analysis 3.1 Approach 1: Normalized (Relational) Schema | 4 5 |
| 4 | BigQuery Data Types Deep Dive4.1STRUCT Data Type: Nested Fields | 77 |
| 5 | Schema Design Decision Framework 5.1 When to Use Nested and Repeated Fields | 9 9 10 |
| 6 | Performance Optimization Strategies 6.1 Partitioning: Foundation of Performance | 10 10 11 13 |
| 7 | Real-World Implementation Examples7.1 E-commerce Platform Schema7.2 Analytics Platform Schema | 13 13 15 |
| 8 | Interview Questions and Discussion Points 8.1 Core Concept Questions | 16 16 17 18 |

| 9 | Advanced Topics and Best Practices | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| | 9.1 | Schema Design Patterns | 18 | | | | |
| | 9.2 | Performance Monitoring and Optimization | 19 | | | | |
| 10 | Key Takeaways and Best Practices | | | | | | |
| | 10.1 | Schema Design Principles | 19 | | | | |
| | 10.2 | Performance Optimization | 19 | | | | |
| | 10.3 | Cost Management | 20 | | | | |
| | 10.4 | Implementation Guidelines | 20 | | | | |

Executive Summary

This comprehensive guide covers BigQuery schema design from fundamental concepts to enterprise-level optimization strategies. We explore the paradigm shift from traditional relational databases to BigQuery's nested and repeated fields, using real-world examples like GO-JEK's 13+ petabyte monthly processing to illustrate practical applications.

1 The Evolution of Data Warehouse Schema Design

1.1 Traditional Relational Database Limitations

Historical Context: Traditional relational databases were designed for transactional processing with normalized schemas that prioritize data integrity and storage efficiency over analytical query performance.

Key Limitations in Analytics Context:

- JOIN Performance: Most intensive computational workloads in analytical queries
- Record-based Processing: Must open entire records to extract JOIN keys
- Scalability Issues: 10+ table JOINs become prohibitively expensive
- Query Complexity: Need to know all related tables in advance
- Storage Model Mismatch: Row-based storage inefficient for column-based analytics

1.2 The BigQuery Revolution

Paradigm Shift: BigQuery introduced columnar storage with support for nested and repeated fields, fundamentally changing how we approach data warehouse schema design.

Key Innovations:

- Columnar Storage: Only reads required columns, ignoring unused data
- Nested Fields (STRUCT): Pre-joined data within single tables
- Repeated Fields (ARRAY): Multiple values within single rows
- Serverless Architecture: Automatic scaling and optimization
- Pay-per-query Model: Cost optimization through efficient processing

2 Real-World Case Study: GO-JEK's Data Architecture

2.1 Business Context and Scale

Company Profile:

• Service: Indonesia's leading ride-booking and multi-service platform

- Data Volume: 13+ petabytes processed monthly
- Use Case: Real-time business decision support
- Challenge: Efficient storage and querying of complex ride-booking data

2.2 Data Structure Complexity

Core Data Entities:

- Orders: Single pickup and drop-off locations per ride
- Events: Multiple events per order (ordered, confirmed, en route, completed)
- Locations: Geographic coordinates and addresses
- User Data: Customer and driver information
- Business Metrics: Revenue, ratings, performance indicators

Schema Design Challenge: How to efficiently store ride-booking data where each order has multiple events, locations, and associated metadata while supporting high-volume analytical queries?

3 Schema Design Approaches: Comprehensive Analysis

3.1 Approach 1: Normalized (Relational) Schema

Design Philosophy: Eliminate data redundancy through proper normalization **Implementation Strategy**:

```
- Normalized approach
CREATE TABLE orders (
    order_id INT64 PRIMARY KEY,
    customer_id INT64,
    driver_id INT64,
    pickup_lat FLOAT64,
    pickup_lng FLOAT64,
    dropoff_lat FLOAT64,
    dropoff_lng FLOAT64,
    order_time TIMESTAMP,
    total_amount FLOAT64
);
CREATE TABLE order_events (
    event_id INT64 PRIMARY KEY,
    order_id INT64,
    event_type STRING,
    event_time TIMESTAMP,
    FOREIGN KEY (order_id) REFERENCES orders(order_id)
);
```

```
CREATE TABLE customers (
    customer_id INT64 PRIMARY KEY,
    name STRING,
    phone STRING,
    email STRING
);
```

Performance Characteristics:

- Storage Efficiency: Minimal data redundancy
- Data Integrity: Strong referential constraints
- Query Performance: Expensive JOINs required
- Scalability: Poor performance with large datasets
- Complexity: Need to understand all table relationships

Analytical Query Example:

```
-- Complex query requiring multiple JOINs

SELECT

o.order_id,
c.name as customer_name,
COUNT(e.event_id) as event_count,
AVG(o.total_amount) as avg_amount

FROM orders o

JOIN customers c ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id

JOIN order_events e ON o.order_id = e.order_id

WHERE o.order_time >= '2024-01-01'

GROUP BY o.order_id, c.name;
```

3.2 Approach 2: Fully Denormalized Schema

Design Philosophy: Pre-join all data for maximum query performance **Implementation Strategy**:

```
— Denormalized approach

CREATE TABLE ride_data (
    order_id INT64,
    customer_id INT64,
    customer_name STRING,
    customer_phone STRING,
    driver_id INT64,
    driver_name STRING,
    pickup_lat FLOAT64,
    pickup_lng FLOAT64,
    dropoff_lat FLOAT64,
    dropoff_lng FLOAT64,
    order_time TIMESTAMP,
    event_type STRING,
    event_time TIMESTAMP,
```

```
total_amount FLOAT64
);
```

Performance Characteristics:

• Query Performance: Fast single-table queries

• Storage Overhead: Significant data duplication

• Aggregation Issues: Risk of double-counting

• Data Consistency: Difficult to maintain

• Update Complexity: Changes require multiple row updates

Data Explosion Problem:

| Order ID | Events | Rows Created |
|----------|----------|-------------------------------|
| 12345 | 4 events | 4 rows (duplicate order data) |
| 12346 | 3 events | 3 rows (duplicate order data) |
| 12347 | 5 events | 5 rows (duplicate order data) |

3.3 Approach 3: Nested and Repeated Fields (BigQuery Optimal)

Design Philosophy: Best of both worlds - logical organization with performance benefits

Implementation Strategy:

```
— BigQuery nested and repeated approach
CREATE TABLE orders (
    order_id INT64,
    customer STRUCT<
        id INT64,
        name STRING,
        phone STRING,
        email STRING
    driver STRUCT<
        id INT64,
        name STRING,
         rating FLOAT64
    pickup_location STRUCT<
         latitude FLOAT64,
         longitude FLOAT64,
         address STRING
    destination STRUCT<
         latitude FLOAT64,
         longitude FLOAT64,
         address STRING
    >,
```

```
events ARRAY<STRUCT<br/>
event_type STRING,<br/>
timestamp TIMESTAMP,<br/>
metadata STRUCT<br/>
location_lat FLOAT64,<br/>
location_lng FLOAT64,<br/>
status_code STRING<br/>
>>>,<br/>
order_time TIMESTAMP,<br/>
total_amount FLOAT64,<br/>
payment_method STRING<br/>
)<br/>
PARTITION BY DATE(order_time)<br/>
CLUSTER BY customer.id, driver.id;
```

Performance Characteristics:

- Logical Organization: One row per order
- Query Performance: No JOINs required
- Storage Efficiency: No data explosion
- Data Integrity: Maintains relationships
- Analytical Power: Supports complex aggregations

4 BigQuery Data Types Deep Dive

4.1 STRUCT Data Type: Nested Fields

Definition: Structured data type that allows grouping related fields within a single column

Key Characteristics:

- Schema Type: RECORD in BigQuery schema
- Field Access: Dot notation (e.g., customer.name)
- Benefits: Pre-joined data, logical organization
- Use Cases: Related data that should be co-located

Implementation Examples:

```
-- Simple STRUCT
customer STRUCT<
    id INT64,
    name STRING,
    email STRING
>
-- Nested STRUCT
```

```
address STRUCT<
    street STRUCT<
        number INT64,
        name STRING
    >,
    city STRING,
    state STRING,
    zip_code STRING
>
-- STRUCT with arrays
contact_info STRUCT<
    phones ARRAY<STRING>,
    emails ARRAY<STRING>,
    primary_contact STRUCT<
        name STRING,
        phone STRING
    >
   Query Examples:
- Accessing STRUCT fields
SELECT
    order_id,
    customer.name,
    customer.email,
    pickup_location.latitude,
    pickup_location.longitude
FROM orders
WHERE customer.name LIKE '%John%';
— Filtering on STRUCT fields
SELECT order_id, total_amount
FROM orders
WHERE pickup_location.latitude BEIWEEN 40.0 AND 41.0
  AND pickup_location.longitude BEIWEEN -74.0 AND -73.0;
```

4.2 ARRAY Data Type: Repeated Fields

Definition: Repeated values within a single field, allowing multiple values per row **Key Characteristics**:

• Mode: REPEATED in BigQuery schema

• Benefits: Handles one-to-many relationships

• Use Cases: Events, timestamps, related items

• Performance: No JOIN operations needed

Implementation Examples:

```
- Simple ARRAY
tags ARRAY<STRING>
— ARRAY of STRUCTs
events ARRAY<STRUCT<
    event_type STRING,
    timestamp TIMESTAMP,
    user_id INT64
>>
- Nested ARRAYs
order_items ARRAY<STRUCT<
    product_id INT64,
    quantity INT64,
    price FLOAT64,
    options ARRAY<STRING>
>>
   Query Examples:
-- Working with ARRAYs
SELECT
    order_id,
    ARRAYLENGTH(events) as event_count,
    events[OFFSET(0)].event_type as first_event
FROM orders;
-- Unnesting ARRAYs
SELECT
    order_id,
    event.event_type,
    event.timestamp
FROM orders,
UNNEST(events) as event
WHERE event . timestamp >= '2024-01-01';
- Aggregating ARRAY data
SELECT
    order_id,
    COUNT(*) as event_count,
    ARRAY_AGG(event.event_type) as all_events
FROM orders,
UNNEST(events) as event
GROUP BY order_id;
```

5 Schema Design Decision Framework

5.1 When to Use Nested and Repeated Fields

Primary Indicators:

1. One-to-Many Relationships: Data naturally has parent-child structure

- 2. Query Patterns: Frequently query related data together
- 3. Data Volume: Large datasets where JOINs become expensive
- 4. Analytical Workloads: Complex aggregations and reporting
- 5. Real-time Requirements: Need for fast query response times
 Specific Use Cases:
- E-commerce: Orders with multiple items and events
- Analytics: User sessions with multiple events
- IoT Data: Device readings with multiple sensors
- Financial Data: Transactions with multiple legs
- Healthcare: Patient records with multiple visits

5.2 When to Keep Normalized Schemas

Primary Indicators:

1. Small Dimension Tables: † 10GB in size

2. Frequent Updates: High UPDATE/DELETE operations

3. Complex Business Logic: Multiple validation rules

4. Legacy Integration: Need to maintain compatibility

5. Data Consistency: Critical for business operations

Decision Matrix:

| Factor | Use Nested/Repeated | Keep Normalized |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Table Size | ¿ 10GB | ; 10GB |
| Update Frequency | Low | High |
| Query Complexity | Complex aggregations | Simple lookups |
| Data Relationships | One-to-many | Many-to-many |
| Performance Requirements | Critical | Moderate |
| Storage Cost Sensitivity | Low | High |

6 Performance Optimization Strategies

6.1 Partitioning: Foundation of Performance

Concept: Dividing tables into smaller, manageable pieces based on column values Benefits:

• Cost Reduction: Read only relevant partitions

• Performance Improvement: Faster query execution

- Accurate Cost Estimation: Better query planning
- Automatic Management: BigQuery handles partition creation

Partitioning Types:

- 1. Date/Time Partitioning: Most common and effective
- 2. Integer Range Partitioning: For ID-based partitioning
- 3. Ingestion Time Partitioning: Based on load time

Implementation Examples:

```
- Date partitioning
CREATE TABLE events (
    event_date DATE,
    user_id INT64,
    event_type STRING
PARTITION BY DATE(event_date);
- Integer range partitioning
CREATE TABLE user_data (
    user_id INT64,
    data STRING
PARTITION BY RANGE BUCKET (user_id, GENERATE ARRAY (0, 1000000, 10000));
— Partition with expiration
CREATE TABLE logs (
    log_date DATE
    log_data STRING
PARTITION BY DATE(log_date)
OPTIONS(partition_expiration_days=90);
```

Best Practices:

- Always filter on partition columns in WHERE clauses
- Use date partitioning for time-series data
- Set appropriate expiration for old partitions
- Monitor partition count (metadata overhead)
- Isolate partition field on left side of comparisons

6.2 Clustering: Advanced Performance Tuning

Concept: Organizing data within partitions based on column values for optimal access patterns

Benefits:

- Filter Performance: Eliminates unnecessary data scans
- Aggregation Performance: Co-locates similar values
- Cost Reduction: Reduces bytes processed
- Automatic Re-clustering: BigQuery maintains optimal sorting

Implementation Examples:

```
— Single column clustering
CREATE TABLE user_events (
    event_date DATE,
    user_id INT64,
    event_type STRING
PARTITION BY DATE (event_date)
CLUSTER BY user_id;
-- Multi-column clustering
CREATE TABLE orders (
    order_date DATE
    customer_id INT64,
    product_category STRING,
    order_amount FLOAT64
PARTITION BY DATE (order_date)
CLUSTER BY customer_id , product_category ;
- Clustering with nested fields
CREATE TABLE ride_data (
    ride_date DATE,
    customer STRUCT<id INT64, tier STRING>,
    driver STRUCT<id INT64, rating FLOAT64>,
    events ARRAY<STRUCT<type STRING, time TIMESTAMP>>
PARTITION BY DATE( ride_date )
CLUSTER BY customer.id, driver.id;
```

- Clustering Best Practices:
- Column Order Matters: Most selective columns first
- Combine with Partitioning: Maximum performance benefit
- Choose High-Cardinality Columns: Better clustering effectiveness
- Limit to 4 Columns: Diminishing returns beyond this
- Monitor Effectiveness: Clustering weakens over time

6.3 Query Optimization Techniques

Schema-Level Optimization:

- 1. Use nested/repeated fields instead of JOINs when possible
- 2. Keep dimension tables; 10GB normalized
- 3. Denormalize large tables (¿ 10GB) for better performance
- 4. Consider query patterns when designing schema

Query-Level Optimization:

- 1. Always filter on partition columns
- 2. Use clustering columns in WHERE clauses
- 3. Limit columns selected to only what's needed
- 4. Use appropriate data types for better compression
- 5. Avoid SELECT * in large tables

Cost Optimization:

- 1. Partition tables for accurate cost estimation
- 2. Use clustering to reduce bytes processed
- 3. Set partition expiration for old data
- 4. Monitor query costs and optimize accordingly
- 5. Use materialized views for frequently accessed data

7 Real-World Implementation Examples

7.1 E-commerce Platform Schema

Business Requirements:

- Scale: Millions of orders daily
- Data Types: Orders, items, customers, payments
- Queries: Revenue analysis, customer behavior, inventory
- Performance: Sub-second response for dashboards

Optimized Schema Design:

```
CREATE TABLE orders (
    order_id INT64,
    customer STRUCT<
        id INT64,
        name STRING,
        email STRING,
         tier STRING,
         registration_date DATE
    >,
    order_info STRUCT<
        order_date DATE.
        status STRING,
         total_amount FLOAT64,
        tax_amount FLOAT64,
        shipping_amount FLOAT64
    >,
    items ARRAY<STRUCT<
         product_id INT64,
        product_name STRING,
         category STRING,
         quantity INT64,
         unit_price FLOAT64,
         total_price FLOAT64,
         options ARRAY<STRING>
    >>,
    payment STRUCT<
        method STRING,
         transaction_id STRING,
         status STRING,
         processed_at TIMESTAMP
    >,
    shipping STRUCT<
        address STRUCT<
             street STRING,
             city STRING,
             state STRING,
             zip_code STRING,
             country STRING
         >,
        method STRING,
         tracking_number STRING,
        estimated_delivery DATE
    events ARRAY<STRUCT<
         event_type STRING,
        timestamp TIMESTAMP,
         user_id INT64,
        metadata STRUCT<
             ip_address STRING,
             user_agent STRING,
             referrer STRING
```

```
>>
PARTITION BY DATE (order_info.order_date)
CLUSTER BY customer.id, customer.tier;
   Query Examples:
- Revenue analysis by customer tier
SELECT
    customer.tier,
    COUNT(DISTINCT order_id) as order_count,
    SUM(order_info.total_amount) as total_revenue,
    AVG(order_info.total_amount) as avg_order_value
FROM orders
WHERE order_info.order_date \geq '2024-01-01'
GROUP BY customer.tier;
- Product category analysis
SELECT
    item.category,
    COUNT(*) as item_count,
    SUM(item.total_price) as category_revenue
FROM orders,
UNNEST(items) as item
WHERE order_info.order_date >= '2024-01-01'
GROUP BY item.category
ORDER BY category_revenue DESC;
- Customer journey analysis
SELECT
    customer.id,
    customer.name,
    ARRAYLENGTH(events) as interaction_count,
    ARRAY_AGG(event.event_type) as event_sequence
FROM orders,
UNNEST(events) as event
WHERE order_info.order_date >= '2024-01-01'
GROUP BY customer.id, customer.name;
```

7.2 Analytics Platform Schema

Business Requirements:

- Scale: Billions of events daily
- Data Types: User events, sessions, page views
- Queries: User behavior analysis, funnel analysis
- **Performance**: Real-time dashboards

Optimized Schema Design:

```
CREATE TABLE user_sessions (
    session_id STRING,
    user STRUCT<
        id INT64,
         email STRING,
         signup_date DATE,
         country STRING,
         device_type STRING
    >,
    session_info STRUCT<
         start_time TIMESTAMP.
         end_time TIMESTAMP,
         duration_seconds INT64,
         page_count INT64
    >,
    events ARRAY<STRUCT<
         event_type STRING,
        timestamp TIMESTAMP,
         page_url STRING,
         referrer STRING,
         properties STRUCT<
             button_clicked STRING,
             form_submitted BOOL,
             scroll_depth INT64,
             time_on_page INT64
        >
    >>,
    conversion STRUCT<
         converted BOOL,
         conversion_type STRING,
         conversion_value FLOAT64,
         conversion_time TIMESTAMP
PARTITION BY DATE (session_info.start_time)
CLUSTER BY user.id, user.country;
```

8 Interview Questions and Discussion Points

8.1 Core Concept Questions

1. Q: Explain the fundamental differences between normalized, denormalized, and nested schema designs in BigQuery. When would you choose each approach?

Expected Answer Points:

- Normalized: Eliminates redundancy, good for small tables, expensive JOINs
- Denormalized: Fast queries, data explosion, aggregation issues
- Nested: Best of both worlds, logical organization, no JOINs needed

- Decision factors: table size, update frequency, query patterns
- 2. Q: How does BigQuery's columnar storage architecture impact schema design decisions?

Expected Answer Points:

- Only reads required columns, ignores unused nested fields
- 99 unused columns don't impact query performance
- Pay only for data processed
- Enables wide schemas without performance penalty
- 3. Q: What are the performance implications of one-to-many relationships in different schema designs?

Expected Answer Points:

- Normalized: Requires JOINs, becomes expensive at scale
- Denormalized: Data explosion, aggregation complexity
- Nested: No JOINs, maintains data integrity, efficient storage

8.2 Performance Optimization Questions

1. Q: How would you optimize a BigQuery table for both filtering and aggregation queries?

Expected Answer Points:

- Partition by date for time-based filtering
- Cluster by high-cardinality columns for equality filters
- Use nested fields to avoid JOINs
- Consider query patterns in schema design
- 2. Q: When should you use partitioning vs clustering, and when should you use both?

Expected Answer Points:

- Partitioning: For cost reduction and time-based queries
- Clustering: For filter performance and co-location
- Both: Maximum performance benefit, partition first then cluster
- Partitioning provides cost estimation, clustering provides performance
- 3. Q: How do you handle schema evolution in BigQuery while maintaining performance?

Expected Answer Points:

- Use backward-compatible schema changes
- Consider data migration strategies
- Monitor query performance after changes
- Use views for gradual transitions

8.3 Real-World Scenario Questions

1. Q: Design a schema for a ride-sharing service processing millions of rides daily. Consider performance, cost, and analytical requirements.

Expected Answer Points:

- Use nested fields for ride details, locations, events
- Partition by ride date for time-based queries
- Cluster by customer and driver IDs
- Include events array for ride lifecycle tracking
- Consider real-time vs batch processing requirements
- 2. Q: How would you migrate a traditional relational schema to BigQuery? What are the key considerations?

Expected Answer Points:

- Analyze query patterns and data relationships
- Identify opportunities for nested/repeated fields
- Plan partitioning and clustering strategy
- Consider data loading and transformation requirements
- Test performance with representative data volumes
- 3. Q: Design a schema for a real-time analytics dashboard requiring subsecond response times.

Expected Answer Points:

- Use materialized views for pre-computed aggregations
- Implement effective partitioning and clustering
- Consider data freshness vs performance trade-offs
- Use nested fields to minimize JOINs
- Optimize for specific dashboard queries

9 Advanced Topics and Best Practices

9.1 Schema Design Patterns

Star Schema Adaptation:

- Fact Tables: Use nested fields for dimension data
- Dimension Tables: Keep small dimensions normalized
- Bridge Tables: Use arrays for many-to-many relationships
- Slowly Changing Dimensions: Handle with nested structures

Data Vault Adaptation:

- Hubs: Use STRUCT for entity information
- Links: Use ARRAY for relationship data
- Satellites: Use nested fields for descriptive data
- Point-in-Time Tables: Use arrays for historical data

9.2 Performance Monitoring and Optimization

Key Metrics to Monitor:

- Query Performance: Execution time and slot utilization
- Cost Efficiency: Bytes processed and query costs
- Partition Effectiveness: Partition pruning statistics
- Clustering Quality: Data distribution and sorting

Optimization Strategies:

- Regular Analysis: Monitor query patterns and performance
- Schema Refinement: Adjust based on usage patterns
- Partition Management: Set appropriate expiration policies
- Clustering Maintenance: Monitor and adjust clustering columns

10 Key Takeaways and Best Practices

10.1 Schema Design Principles

- Think in Arrays: Use ARRAY data types for repeated values
- Pre-join with STRUCTs: Organize related data logically
- Consider Query Patterns: Design for your most common queries
- Balance Flexibility and Performance: Choose appropriate normalization level
- Plan for Scale: Design schemas that can handle growth

10.2 Performance Optimization

- Partition by Date: Most common and effective strategy
- Cluster by High-Cardinality Columns: Improve filter performance
- Use Nested Fields: Avoid expensive JOINs
- Monitor and Optimize: Continuously improve based on usage patterns
- Consider Cost vs Performance: Balance optimization with cost

10.3 Cost Management

- Accurate Cost Estimation: Use partitioning for better planning
- Reduce Bytes Processed: Use clustering and selective queries
- Set Data Lifecycle: Use partition expiration for cost control
- Monitor Usage: Track and optimize query patterns
- Use Materialized Views: Pre-compute expensive aggregations

10.4 Implementation Guidelines

- Start with Partitioning: Foundation for performance
- Add Clustering Strategically: Based on query patterns
- Use Nested Fields Judiciously: When relationships are clear
- Test with Real Data: Validate performance assumptions
- Document Design Decisions: For future maintenance