

Capstone Project-4

Zomato Restaurant Clustering and Sentiment Analysis

By
Swapnil Aher

Contents



1. Problem statement

2. Data summary

3. EDA

4. Feature engineering

5. NLP operations

6. Sentiment Analysis

7. Machine learning models

8. Clustering

9. Model validation

10. Conclusion

Problem statement

The Project focuses on analyzing the Zomato restaurant data. You have to analyze the sentiments of the reviews given by the customer in the data and made some useful conclusion in the form of Visualizations. Also, cluster the Zomato restaurants into different segments.

The Analysis also solves some of the business cases that can directly help the customers finding the Best restaurant in their locality and for the company to grow up and work on the fields they are currently lagging in.

This could help in clustering the restaurants into segments. Also the data has valuable information around cuisine and costing which can be used in cost vs. benefit analysis Data could be used for sentiment analysis. Also the metadata of reviewers can be used for identifying the critics in the industry.

Data summary



Zomato Restaurant names and Metadata

- 1.Name** :Name of Restaurants
- 2.Links** :URL Links of Restaurants
- 3.Cost** :Per person estimated Cost of dining
- 4. Collection** :Tagging of Restaurants w.r.t.
Zomato categories
- 5.Cuisines** :Cuisines served by Restaurants
- 6.Timings** :Restaurant Timings

Zomato Restaurant Reviews

- 1.Restaurant** :Name of the Restaurant
- 2.Reviewer** :Name of the Reviewer
- 3.Review** :Review Text
- 4. Rating** :Rating Provided by Reviewer
- 5.MetaData** :Reviewer Metadata - No.of
Reviews and followers
- 6.Time**:Date and Time of Review
- 7.Pictures** :No.of pictures posted with
review

Exploratory Data Analysis




- Finding out the most expensive and most affordable restaurants can help a lot according to different pocket sizes

Exploratory Data Analysis(contd)




Word cloud for expensive restaurants



Word cloud for affordable restaurants

Exploratory Data Analysis(contd)

Most cuisines served





Most cuisines served word cloud



- North-Indian being the most served cuisines followed by the Indian Chinese.


Exploratory Data Analysis(contd)




- Ratings with 5 have more in count
- Ankita has reviewed more compared to the others

Exploratory Data Analysis(contd)

TOP average rating by the reviewers




Reviews per hour



- Kiran is the most satisfied customer it seems as he has nearly 5 star rating average
- Reviews are high at the time of 22.00 hrs

Sentiment Analysis


- After completing the necessary text processing part, which contained removing punctuation, Removing stop words & Lemmatization, we move towards Sentiment Analysis



- The subjectivity column that showcases the sentiment is visualized above, where blue being **PosiGive**, red being **NegaGive** and green being **NeuGral**.

Models performed

- **Multinomial Naive Bayes**
- **Random Forest Classifier**
- **XGB Classifier**
- **Support Vector Classifier**



Models performance

Multinomial Naive Bayes

The classification report on the train data is :

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	0.82	0.90	2461
1	0.06	1.00	0.11	28
accuracy			0.82	2489
macro avg	0.53	0.91	0.51	2489
weighted avg	0.99	0.82	0.89	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.8365706630944407

Test accuracy is: 0.823222177581358

Random Forest Classifier

The classification report on the train data is :

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	0.81	0.90	2487
1	0.00	1.00	0.01	2
accuracy			0.81	2489
macro avg	0.50	0.91	0.45	2489
weighted avg	1.00	0.81	0.90	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.8171466845277964

Test accuracy is: 0.8127762153475291

Models performance

XGB Classifier

The classification report on the train data is :

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.97	0.95	0.96	2071
1	0.76	0.86	0.81	418
accuracy			0.93	2489
macro avg	0.87	0.90	0.88	2489
weighted avg	0.94	0.93	0.93	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.9954454119223041

Test accuracy is: 0.9316994777018883

Support Vector Classifier

The classification report on the train data is :


	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.93	0.96	2145
1	0.69	0.93	0.79	344
accuracy			0.93	2489
macro avg	0.84	0.93	0.87	2489
weighted avg	0.95	0.93	0.94	2489

Train accuracy is: 0.9966510381781648


Test accuracy is: 0.9316994777018883

Clustering

K-Means Clustering





- According to the elbow curve we should have 5 clusters for the best results



- 5 clusters on the average rating and the cost

Clustering(contd)

PCA - Principal Component Analysis



- According to the elbow curve we should have 5 clusters for the best results using PCA.
- 5 clusters on the average rating and the cost using PCA

Top 3 Cuisines in 5 clusters K-Means

Top cuisines in cluster 0

northindian	16
chinese	9
fastfood	8

dtype: int64

Top cuisines in cluster 1

northindian	11
continental	6
asian	5

dtype: int64

Top cuisines in cluster 2

northindian	18
chinese	18
biryani	11

dtype: int64

Top cuisines in cluster 3

asian	2
italian	2
continental	2

dtype: int64

Top cuisines in cluster 4

northindian	14
chinese	9
italian	7

dtype: int64

Model Validation

- As it is clear from the validation table that both XGB and SVM (Classifier) are working exceptionally well than other models.
- So we can choose between any one of them for the production

	Model_Name	Training_accuracy	Test_accuracy
0	MultinomialNB	0.8397	0.8264
1	Random Forest	0.8176	0.8123
2	XGB	0.9880	0.9280
3	Support Vector Machine	0.9900	0.9212

Conclusion

- The most popular cuisines are the cuisines which most of the restaurants are willing to provide. The most popular cuisines in Hyderabad are North Indian, Chinese, Continental, and Hyderabadi.
- The cheapest is the food joint called Mohammedia Shawarma and the costliest restaurant is Collage – Hyatt Hyderabad Gachibowli.
- Sentiment Analysis was done on the reviews and a model was trained in order to identify negative and positive sentiments.
- SVM and XGB both performed well and we can choose any one of them.
- SVM and XGB are having 0.921 and 0.981 of testing accuracy respectively.
- We got best cluster as 5 in K-Means and Principal Component Analysis(PCA).

References

- 1. Machine Learning Mastery
- 2. GeeksforGeeks
- 3. Analytics Vidhya Blogs
- 4. Towards Data Science Blogs
- 5. Built in Data Science Blogs
- 6. Scikit- Learn Org

Thank you