# CS711: Introduction to Game Theory and Mechanism Design

Teacher: Swaprava Nath

Mixed Strategies

### Recap

- iterated elimination of dominated strategies
- preservation of equilibrium
- situation where stability and security coincide two player zero sum games
- discussions limited to pure strategies but an equilibrium may not exist

Penalty shootout game

•	S\G   L		R
	L	-1,1	1,-1
	R	1,-1	-1,1

#### **Mixed Strategies**

• probability distribution over the set of strategies

	S∖G	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{\frac{1}{5}}{R}$
$\frac{2}{3}$	L	-1,1	1,-1
$\frac{1}{3}$	R	1,-1	-1,1

- mixed strategy of a player
  - ▶ finite set A,  $\Delta(A)$  is defined as the set of all probability distributions over A i.e.,  $\Delta(A) = \{p \in [0,1]^{|A|} : \sum_{a \in A} p(a) = 1\}$
  - $ightharpoonup \sigma_i$  is a mixed strategy of player i
  - $\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)$  which implies
  - $\bullet$   $\sigma_i: S_i \mapsto [0,1]$  s.t.  $\sum_{s_i \in S_i} \sigma_i(s_i) = 1$
- non-cooperative game players pick their strategies independently
- ullet the joint probability that player 1 picks  $s_1$  and 2 picks  $s_2=\sigma_1(s_1) imes\sigma_2(s_2)$
- ullet Utility of player i at a mixed strategy profile  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})$  is

$$u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}) = \sum_{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n} (\sigma_1(s_1) \times \dots \times \sigma_n(s_n)) u_i(s_1, \dots, s_n)$$

overload of the notation  $u_i$ 

#### **Examples**

	S\G	$\frac{4}{5}$	1 5 R
$\frac{2}{3}$	L	-1,1	1,-1
$\frac{1}{3}$	R	1,-1	-1,1

- $\sigma_1 = (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$  and  $\sigma_2 = (\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{5})$
- $u_1(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) =$
- $\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot (-1) + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot (1) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot (1) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot (-1)$
- $u_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot (1) + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot (1)$
- utility at a mixed strategy profile  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is an expected utility taken w.r.t. the probability distribution  $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$
- all the properties of expectation holds e.g., linearity
- utility at the strategy profile  $(\lambda \sigma_i + (1 \lambda)\sigma_i', \sigma_{-i})$  is given by

$$u_i(\lambda \sigma_i + (1 - \lambda)\sigma_i', \sigma_{-i}) = \lambda u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i}) + (1 - \lambda)u_i(\sigma_i', \sigma_{-i}), \forall \sigma_{-i} \in \underset{i \neq i}{\times} \Delta(S_j)$$

formal proof left as exercise

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium

#### Definition (Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium)

MSNE is a mixed strategy profile  $(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{-i}^*)$  s.t.

$$u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{-i}^*) \ge u_i(\sigma_i', \sigma_{-i}^*) \ \forall \sigma_i' \in \Delta(S_i), \ \forall i \in N.$$

- relation of PSNE and MSNE?
- PSNE ⇒ MSNE
- equivalence of the definition with pure strategies on the RHS

#### **Theorem**

Consider an NFG  $G=\langle N,(S_i)_{i\in N},(u_i)_{i\in N}\rangle$ . A mixed strategy profile  $(\sigma_i^*,\sigma_{-i}^*)$  is an MSNE if and only if

$$u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{-i}^*) \geqslant u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}^*) \ \forall s_i \in S_i, \ \forall i \in N.$$

#### **Proof**

- Proof:  $(\Rightarrow)$   $s_i$  is a special case of the mixed strategy, where the mixed strategy of player i is degenerate at  $s_i$ , hence the inequality holds by definition of MSNE
- ( $\Leftarrow$ ) pick any arbitrary mixed strategy  $\sigma_i$  for i
- We can write

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i}(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{-i}^{*}) &= \sum_{s_{i} \in S_{i}} \sigma_{i}(s_{i}) u_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{-i}^{*}) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{s_{i} \in S_{i}} \sigma_{i}(s_{i}) u_{i}(\sigma_{i}^{*}, \sigma_{-i}^{*}) \\ &= u_{i}(\sigma_{i}^{*}, \sigma_{-i}^{*}) \sum_{s_{i} \in S_{i}} \sigma_{i}(s_{i}) \\ &= u_{i}(\sigma_{i}^{*}, \sigma_{-i}^{*}) \end{aligned}$$

#### **Example of MSNE**

	S∖G	$\frac{4}{5}$ L	$\frac{1}{5}$
$\frac{2}{3}$	L	-1,1	1,-1
$\frac{1}{3}$	R	1,-1	-1,1

- Is the mixed strategy profile an MSNE?
- To prove, one needs to show if there exists or does not exist a better mixed strategy for any of the players
- Expected utility for player 2 from L =  $\frac{2}{3}(1)+\frac{1}{3}(-1)=1/3$ , and that from R = -1/3
- Expected utility will increase if player 2 moves probability mass from R to L
- ⇒ this is not an MSNE
- Hints at some balance among the expected utilities at different strategies

	S\G	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$ R
	L	-1,1	1,-1
-	R	1,-1	-1,1

Repeat the calculations

## Finding an MSNE

support of a mixed strategy

### Definition (Support of a Mixed Strategy)

The support of a mixed strategy  $\sigma_i$  is the subset of the strategy space of i on which the mixed strategy  $\sigma_i$  has positive mass, and is denoted by

$$\delta(\sigma_i) = \{ s_i \in S_i : \sigma_i(s_i) > 0 \}.$$

• using the definition of support, we find a characterization of the MSNE

#### Theorem (Characterization of a MSNE)

A mixed strategy profile  $(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{-i}^*)$  is a MSNE iff  $\forall i \in N$ 

- 1.  $u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}^*)$  is the same for all  $s_i \in \delta(\sigma_i^*)$ , and
- 2.  $u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}^*) \ge u_i(s_i', \sigma_{-i}^*), \ \forall \ s_i \in \delta(\sigma_i^*), s_i' \notin \delta(\sigma_i^*).$

## **Application of the Characterization**

#### Theorem (Characterization of a MSNE)

A mixed strategy profile  $(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{-i}^*)$  is a MSNE iff  $\forall i \in N$ 

- 1.  $u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}^*)$  is the same for all  $s_i \in \delta(\sigma_i^*)$ , and
- 2.  $u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}^*) \ge u_i(s_i', \sigma_{-i}^*), \ \forall \ s_i \in \delta(\sigma_i^*), s_i' \notin \delta(\sigma_i^*).$
- Penalty shootout game / matching coins game

$1 \setminus 2$	Н	T
Н	1,-1	-1,1
Т	-1,1	1,-1

- ullet Let the probability of player 1 choosing H be p similarly, for player 2, let that probability be q
- support =  $({H},{H})$ 
  - for player 2,  $s_2 = T$  violates condition 2
- support =  $({H},{H,T})$ 
  - the expected utility for player 2 has to be equal for H and T cannot happen violates condition 1

# Application of Characterization (contd.)

- support =  $({H,T},{H,T})$ 
  - condition 2 is vacuously satisfied
  - ightharpoonup consider player 1 chooses H w.p. p and player 2 chooses H w.p. q in the MSNE
  - condition 1 for player 1:  $u_1(H,(q,1-q))=u_1(T,(q,1-q))$ , gives the value of q
  - ▶ condition 1 for player 2:  $u_2((p, 1-p), H) = u_2((p, 1-p), T)$ , gives the value of p
  - ▶ hence the MSNE for this game  $((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}))$

# Two more exercises (in class)

• Football or Cricket game

$1 \backslash 2$	F	C
F	2,1	0,0
С	0,0	1,2

• An arbitrary added strategy for player 2

$1 \setminus 2$	F	C	D
F	2,1	0,0	1,1
С	0,0	1,2	2,0