## CS711: Introduction to Game Theory and Mechanism Design

Assignment 2 – Semester 1, 2018-19

Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur Total Points: 20, Time: 2 days, ATTEMPT ALL QUESTIONS

Please email (with subject 'CS 711 Assignment 2') your solutions to the instructor (swaprava@cse.iitk.ac.in) with cc to the TAs as a single PDF file generated through LATEX. See the course webpage for LATEX tutorials. Please submit the solution PDF named as {roll number}.pdf, e.g., 1234567.pdf.

There is no need to write the question again in the solution PDF.

1. Consider a two agent model with three alternatives  $\{a, b, c\}$ . Table 1 shows two preference profiles of the agents. Suppose f is an *onto* SCF with  $f(P_1, P_2) = a$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} P_1 & P_2 & P_1' & P_2' \\ \hline a & c & b & a \\ b & b & a & b \\ c & a & c & c \\ \end{array}$$

Table 1: Two Preference Profiles

(a) Suppose the domain of preferences is of unrestricted strict preferences. Show that if f is **strategyproof** then  $f(P'_1, P'_2) = b$ . You are allowed to use the result that for any preference profile  $(\bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2)$ ,  $f(\bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2) \in \{\bar{P}_1(1), \bar{P}_2(1)\}$  and the fact that strategyproofness implies monotonicity (but do not use any other result from the lectures, e.g., Gibbard-Satterthwaite theorem).

5 points.

(b) Now, suppose that these preferences are generated from a single-peaked preference domain with the intrinsic ordering of the alternatives being a < b < c. Does the earlier conclusion hold in this case? Explain clearly why or why not the earlier proof go through? If the conclusion is false, provide a mechanism that can have  $f(P'_1, P'_2) = a$ .

1+2+2 points.

2. Let X be a set of projects. A social choice function chooses a non-empty subset of projects. Agent i has a linear ordering  $P_i$  over the set of projects X. Agent i evaluates subsets of projects by extending  $P_i$  in the following manner: for any pair of subsets of projects  $S, T \subseteq X, S$  is preferred to T if the highest ranked project in S (according to  $P_i$ ) is better than the highest ranked project in T - if these two projects are the same, then S and T are indifferent.

Suppose  $|X| \geq 2$ . Will the Gibbard-Satterthwaite result apply here? Discuss your answer.

5 points.

3. Consider the single-peaked domain model. A social choice function f is manipulable by a group of agents  $K \subseteq N$  if for some preference profile  $(P_K, P_{-K})$  there exists some preference profile  $P'_K$  of agents in K such that  $f(P'_K, P_{-K})P_if(P_K, P_{-K})$  for all  $i \in K$ . A social choice function f is **group strategy-proof** if cannot be manipulated by any group of agents. Is the median voter SCF group strategy-proof?

5 points.

Good Luck!