Single-peaked: on one dimension - one example of domain restriction.

Active research domains:

- 1) Multi-dimensional single-peaked e.g. a come on 2-D plane
- 2) More than one facility valuations are non-additive.

 Some nesults with randomized mechanisms
 achieving a fraction of social utility.

Private Good Allocation: Another nesthicked domain

Task Sharing problem: Unit amount of task to be shared among a agent's. Every agent's payoff depends only on the share he gets.

Say agent i gets $S_i \in [0,1]$ amount of the task and $Z_i S_i = 1$.

Supe The allocation of the task has two effects

- @ Reward: if the wage per unit time is w then for to time of work agent i necesses Wto neward
- 6) cost: let the cost is quadratic: $k_i t_i^2$ tence the net payoff = $Wt_i - k_i t_i^2$ maximized at $t_i^* = \frac{W}{2k_i}$
- Agents have one most preferred share of task but this is not single peaked according to the definition before since this is not single-peaked over the alternatives.

(24-2)

Alternatives, $A = \{(S_1, ..., S_n): S_i \in [0, i], \{S_i = 1\}\}$ two alternatives having the same share for a gent i will have same preference for i. Hence, this preference is not single-peaked.

- Call these preferences where every agent has a single-Be most preferred share of task as single-peaked over The share of task and denote with it.

 $SCF: f: X^n \rightarrow A.$

 $P \in \mathcal{S}^{n}$, $f(P) = (f_{1}(P), f_{2}(P), \dots, f_{n}(P))$ $f_{i}(P) \in [0, 1] \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f_{i}(P) = 1$

. Let pi be the most preferred share of player i - peak of Pi

Pareto Efficiency: There does not exist another share of tasks which is weakly preferred by every agent and strictly preferred by at least one.

- If $\Sigma p_i = 1$, then allocate the tasks according to $i \in N$ the peaks of the agents, This is the unique PE allocation.
- · Of Ipi >1, Fatlestone REN s.t.

 ien f_k(P) < p_k
 - Q! Can there be an agent j s.t. fj(P) > þ; ?

· If so, then increasing k's share of task and reducing j's makes both players strictly better off.

**YjEN S;(P) \left(P) \left(

• Similarly 'y $\sum_{i \in N} f_i(i)$, j at least one $k \in N$ s.t. $f_k(p) > p_k$.

+j∈N fj(P)≥+j.

Candidate SCFs

1) Serial dictatonship: A predetermined sequence to of agents is fixed. Each agent is given either their his peak share on given as much close to the peak as possible. The last agent in the sequence who gets positive share is given the leftover share.

[In case of $\sum_{i \in N} \sum_{i \in N} |f_i| < 1$, the last agent gets the whole leftover task share].

It is PE, SP, but very unfair to the later agents of the sequence - not ANON as well.

Defn. of monymity is slightly different here:

if the agent preferences are permutated, the
shares will also get permutated accordingly.

2 Propontional: Look at the peaks of every agent and give every agent a factor c of their peaks s.t. c \(\geq p_i = 1 \). Every agent gets the same ien fraction factor of the peaks.

Q: So it ANON, PE, SP?