Properties of SCF (Recap)

Pareto Efficient (PE):

YPEP and Yb €# 'y ∃a s.t. a Pi b ti∈N Then f(P) ≠b Unanimono (UN):

 $\forall P \text{ with } P_1(1) = P_2(1) = \cdots = P_n(1) = a, f(P) = a.$ 

Onto (ONTO):

Yaca, FPEP s.t. f(P) = a.

As before, PECUNCONTO

Claim: Let  $f_{min}$  and  $f_{max}$  are the leftwest and hightwost peaks of P according to <, then f is PE 'Uf  $f(P) \in [P_{min}, P_{max}]$ .

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose f is PE but  $f(P) \notin [Pmin, Pmax]$ .

then f(P) is either standed left of pmin on at the night of pmax. Consider f(P) < Pmin, but then every agent prefer to pmin of over f(P), a contradiction to PE. Similar argument for Pmax < f(P).

€ if f(P) € [pmin, pmax] every other alternative b ≠ f(P) in [pmin, pmax] will either be closer to pmin (and farther from pmax) on vice-versa. But then the if condition of the PE did definition is never thinggeredo for those b's. Hence PE is vacuously satisfied.

Monotonicity (Recap) If for two preference profiles P and P' with f(P) = aand  $D(a,P_i) \subseteq D(a,P_i') \forall i \in N$ , Then f(P') = a. •  $D(a,P_i) = \{b \in A : a P_i b \}$ . dominated set. We will see nesults similar to the unnesthicked preferences but he proofs will differ as we do not have The flexibility of arbitrary preference profile construction. Thm: fin SP => fin MONO Exactly the same proof no before. However the the direction may not hold - the construction of our previous result is not always feasible for the converse. Home work! find a counterexample of the converse/OR phove the converse. Theorem: Let f: 8m A is a SP SCF. Then fin ONTO @ fin UN @ fin PE Proof! only need to show ONTO => PE if is SP. Suppose not. 7 a, b s.t. a P; b ViEN but f(P)=b. P since Pi's are single peaked Fonther alternative CEA s.t. CP; b FIEN b, acb could be a itself. ONTO => JP' 1.t. f(p')=c construct p" s.t. P:"(1) = c ]. YIEN  $P_{i}''(2) = b \int$  $MONO \Rightarrow f(p'') = C$  $p' \rightarrow p''$ Contradiction.  $y \Rightarrow f(p'') = b$ P -> P"

Since to for single-peaked preferences, There are non-dictatorial SCFs, we want to four only on Them.

Definition (Anonymity)

Anonymity

permutation of agents T: N-> N.

We apply a permutation  $\sigma$  to a profile P to construct another profile as: The preference ordering of i goes to agent  $\sigma(i)$  in the new profile. We denote this new profile as  $P^{\tau}$ .

Example:  $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$   $\sigma: \sigma(1) = 2, \sigma(2) = 3, \sigma(3) = 1.$ 

Anonymity requires that the social outcome should not matter for agent renaming,

Defn: An SCF  $f: \mathcal{N}^m \to A$  is anonymous (ANON) if for every profile P and for every permutation of the agents T,  $f(P^\sigma) = f(P)$ .

Note: Dictator ship is not anonymous.

Theonem (Moulin 1980)

A SP SCF f is onto and anonymous iff it is a median voter SCF.

Proof: 

Median voter SCF is SP (see previous theorem),

- it is onto, put all voters peaks at the same alternative/location and phantoms are all at zero, then that location is the outcome
- it is anonymono; if we permute the agents with the peaks unchanged, the ontcome does not change.
- ⇒ Given f: Xn → A is SP, ONTO and ANON.

define Piº: agent i's preference where The peak is at the leftmost point went <.

P! : same with nightmost point wht <.

y's are phantom peaks, j=1,..., n-1.

pick my's as follows

$$\forall j = \int \left(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{m-j}, P_{m-j+1}, \dots, P_n'\right)$$

(n-j)peaks at j peaks at rightmost

Mich agents have which peaks do not matter because of unanimity.

Claim:  $y_j \leq y_{j+1}$   $j=1,\dots,n-2$  [peaks are non decreasing]

$$y_{j+1} = f(P_1^0, \dots, P_{n-j-1}^0, P_{n-j}^1, P_{n-j+1}^1, \dots, P_n^1)$$

SP => Mj Pn-j Mj+1 but Pn-j is single peaked

=> y; < y;+1