

1. Aim

To understand and implement the **Minimax algorithm** for a two-player deterministic, zero-sum game (Tic-Tac-Toe), evaluate its performance, and discuss its limitations and possible improvements.

2. Learning Objectives

By the end of this lab, the student will be able to:

- Explain the **Minimax decision rule** and **game tree concept**.
 - Implement **Minimax using recursion** to choose optimal moves.
 - Apply **Minimax to Tic-Tac-Toe** and verify correct, optimal play.
 - Analyze **algorithmic complexity** and discuss **Alpha-Beta pruning** and **depth-limiting techniques**.
-

3. Background / Theory

- **Two-player deterministic games** like Tic-Tac-Toe and Chess can be modeled as **game trees**, where:
 - Each **node** represents a game state.
 - Each **edge** represents a possible move.
 - Players alternate turns — **MAX** tries to maximize utility, **MIN** tries to minimize it.
 - It's a **zero-sum game**, meaning one player's gain is another's loss.

Minimax Concept:

1. Explore all possible future moves up to terminal states (win, loss, draw).
2. Evaluate each terminal state with a **utility function**:
 - Win = +1
 - Draw = 0
 - Loss = -1
3. Propagate these values back up the tree:
 - At **MAX** nodes → choose maximum utility.
 - At **MIN** nodes → choose minimum utility.

Complexity:

- **Time Complexity:** $O(b^d)$, where
b = branching factor,
d = depth of the game tree.
 - **Tic-Tac-Toe** is small enough to be fully solved using Minimax.
 - Larger games require **pruning** (Alpha-Beta) or **heuristic evaluation**.
-

4. Algorithm (Minimax Pseudocode)

minimax(node, depth, player):

if depth == 0 or node is terminal:
return value(node)

```

if player == "MAX":
     $\alpha$  =  $-\infty$ 
    for each child of node:
        value = minimax(child, depth-1, "MIN")
         $\alpha$  = max( $\alpha$ , value)
    return  $\alpha$ 
else:
     $\alpha$  =  $+\infty$ 
    for each child of node:
        value = minimax(child, depth-1, "MAX")
         $\alpha$  = min( $\alpha$ , value)
    return  $\alpha$ 

```

5. Python Implementation

```
import math
```

```
# Function to check for available moves
```

```
def is_moves_left(board):
    return any(cell == ' ' for cell in board)
```

```
# Function to evaluate the board
```

```
def evaluate(board):
    # Winning combinations
    win_combos = [(0,1,2), (3,4,5), (6,7,8),
                  (0,3,6), (1,4,7), (2,5,8),
                  (0,4,8), (2,4,6)]
```

```
    for (x, y, z) in win_combos:
        if board[x] == board[y] == board[z]:
            if board[x] == 'X': # MAX
                return +1
            elif board[x] == 'O': # MIN
                return -1
    return 0
```

```
# Minimax function
```

```
def minimax(board, depth, isMax):
    score = evaluate(board)
```

```
# Terminal state check
```

```
if score == 1:
    return score
if score == -1:
```

```

    return score
if not is_moves_left(board):
    return 0

# Maximizer's move
if isMax:
    best = -math.inf
    for i in range(9):
        if board[i] == ' ':
            board[i] = 'X'
            best = max(best, minimax(board, depth + 1, False))
            board[i] = ' '
    return best
# Minimizer's move
else:
    best = math.inf
    for i in range(9):
        if board[i] == ' ':
            board[i] = 'O'
            best = min(best, minimax(board, depth + 1, True))
            board[i] = ' '
    return best

# Function to find the best move for 'X'
def find_best_move(board):
    best_val = -math.inf
    best_move = -1

    for i in range(9):
        if board[i] == ' ':
            board[i] = 'X'
            move_val = minimax(board, 0, False)
            board[i] = ' '
            if move_val > best_val:
                best_move = i
                best_val = move_val
    return best_move

# Main
board = ['X', 'O', 'X',
         'O', 'O', ' ',
         ' ', 'X', ' ']
```

```
best_move = find_best_move(board)
print ("The optimal move is position:", best_move)
```

✖ 6. Sample Output

The optimal move is position: 5

(Meaning the AI should place 'X' in the 6th cell (index 5) to either win or block opponent.)

📊 7. Observations

Parameter	Observation
Game Type	Two-player, deterministic, zero-sum
Optimal Play	Always leads to draw or win for perfect AI
Complexity	$O(b^d)$, grows exponentially
Strength	Ensures optimal decision
Weakness	Slow for large games without pruning
Improvement	Alpha-Beta pruning, depth-limiting, heuristic evaluation

✅ 8. Conclusion

The **Minimax algorithm** successfully determines the optimal move for Tic-Tac-Toe by simulating all possible game outcomes. It guarantees the best possible result for a perfect-play agent. However, the **computational complexity** increases exponentially with game size. Techniques like **Alpha-Beta pruning** and **heuristic evaluations** can significantly improve efficiency for larger games (like Chess or Connect-4).