


= Use feedback =

25. Nov. 2016



Methodology:

1<sup>st</sup> Sub-Q to answer  
(# 2, 3, 1)

math model → data → implement → code  
    ↳ features  
    ↳ use case

# Minutes

Start 12:20

## Basic Structure

Agenda

Minutes

opening - check who is <sup>abs</sup>

- last minutes

meeting

- announcements - pts

- End - open 2

- finishing

move first?

Minutes - clear - without presence still know what <sup>was</sup> discussed  
12:25

- problem - argument - decision

(list of actions)

discussed sub-Q -  
not clear added sub-Q, order was changed

is everything on? missing discussion

initialize models?

improve project plan??

} elaborate

12:30

## Programme Plan

→ Class diagram + Sequence diagram  
Technical

→ Standar approach for 3<sup>rd</sup> app?  
is another approach but not a  
finite one  
→ remote

front pg - pg # ring

12:35

## Project plan

- pg #  
- version

- Sub - gus  
- divide work

• add methodology (copy-paste)  
(report how you do it)

(order of methodology determines the  
weeks outline)

12:40

→ double in the iteration

→ dint

12:43  
Diagram

words or pdfs

No pic <sup>↑</sup> but


- add as appendix

Constructors are tech

(Animal: Cow

UML Diagram

Diagram - concepts with domain model



Geese  
reproduce  
& feed

Competition - between species  
user understand

What is it?  
↳ does it mean

def:

decide is this supposed to be there

Association Class

research report

Set all parameters

Show graph for next

if you can <sup>create a</sup> user story then is a feature

12:50 Data collection

Ask other group

if not ask harald again

Take best measurement (roughly)

think - email Harald to email for accurate #s