MA 534: HOMEWORK 3

SWAYAM CHUBE (200050141)

1. Problem 1

For $\varepsilon > 0$, define the functions $F_{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_{\varepsilon}(z) \coloneqq \begin{cases} \sqrt{z^2 + \varepsilon^2} - \varepsilon & z > 0 \\ 0 & z \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $F_{arepsilon}$ is a continuously differentiable function on $\mathbb R$ with

$$F_{\varepsilon}'(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 + \varepsilon^2}} & z > 0\\ 0 & z \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} F_{\varepsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} z & z > 0 \\ 0 & z \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} F_{\varepsilon}'(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z > 0 \\ 0 & z \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, for any test function $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have, using integration by parts with respect to the variable x_j with $1 \le j \le n$,

$$\int_{\Omega} F_{\varepsilon}(u) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{j}} dx = -\int_{\Omega} F'_{\varepsilon}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \varphi dx$$

Note that $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}$ is of compact support in Ω , and since $|F_{\varepsilon}(z)| \leq |z|$, it is clear from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\Omega} F_{\varepsilon}(u) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \ dx = \int_{\Omega} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} F_{\varepsilon}(u) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \ dx = \int_{\Omega} u^+ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \ dx.$$

Next, note that $|F'_{f}(z)| \le 1$ and hence, the dominated convergence theorem applies again to give

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\Omega} F'_{\varepsilon}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \varphi \ dx = \int_{\Omega} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} F'_{\varepsilon}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \varphi \ dx = \int_{\{u > 0\}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \varphi \ dx.$$

In conclusion,

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{+} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{j}} \ dx = - \int_{u>0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \varphi \ dx.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\partial u^+}{\partial x_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} & u > 0\\ 0 & u \le 0, \end{cases}$$

almost everywhere. Similarly, using the fact that $u^- = (-u)^+$, we get

$$\frac{\partial u^{-}}{\partial x_{j}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} & -u > 0 \\ 0 & -u \le 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} & u < 0 \\ 0 & u \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

almost everywhere. Finally, note that

$$\left|\frac{\partial u^+}{\partial x_i}\right| \le \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right|,\,$$

Date: April 14, 2025.

almost everywhere, therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u^{+}|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} |Du^{+}|^{2} dx \le \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} |Du|^{2} dx < \infty,$$

i.e., $u^+ \in H^1(\Omega)$. Similarly, $u^- \in H^1(\Omega)$; and since $|u| = u^+ + u^-$, it follows that $|u| \in H^1(\Omega)$.

2. Problem 2

Suppose first that $p = \infty$, then $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., u is bounded on \mathbb{R}^n . It follows from Liouville's theorem that u must be constant. Conversely, note that every constant function on \mathbb{R}^n is trivially harmonic and in L^{∞} . Thus, a harmonic function on \mathbb{R}^n is in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if it is constant.

Next, let $1 \le p < \infty$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, using the mean value property of harmonic functions, we have

$$|u(x)| = \frac{n}{\omega_n} \left| \int_{B(x,1)} u(y) \, dy \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{\omega_n} \int_{B(x,1)} |u(y)| \, dy.$$

If p = 1, then the above inequality shows that

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{n}{\omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)| \ dy = \frac{n}{\omega_n} ||u||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, u is a bounded harmonic function on \mathbb{R}^n , whence, due to Liouville's theorem, u must be a constant function. But a constant function is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if it is identically zero, so $u \equiv 0$. Clearly, if $u \equiv 0$, then u is a harmonic function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Finally, suppose 1 and let <math>q denote its conjugate exponent, that is, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then, using Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq \frac{n}{\omega_n} \int_{B(x,1)} |u(y)| \ dy \\ &\leq \frac{n}{\omega_n} \left(\int_{B(x,1)} |u(y)|^p \ dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{B(x,1)} 1 \ dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n}{\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, u is a bounded harmonic function on \mathbb{R}^n , whence due to Liouville's theorem, u must be a constant function. But a constant function is in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 if and only if it is identically zero, so <math>u \equiv 0$. Clearly if $u \equiv 0$, then it is a harmonic function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3. Problem 3

I shall assume $\mathcal{L} \coloneqq -\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} \partial_{ij}$ is uniformly elliptic and that the derivatives of the coefficinet functions a_{ij} are bounded on Ω . Note that $\mathcal{L}u = 0$, and hence

$$0 = D(\mathcal{L}u) = -\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} u_{ij} D\alpha_{ij} - \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \alpha_{ij} Du_{ij}.$$

Set $v: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$v(x) = |Du(x)|^2 + \lambda u(x)^2$$

where $\lambda > 0$ will be fixed later. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}v &= -\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} \partial_{ij} \left(Du \cdot Du + \lambda u^2 \right) \\ &= -\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} \left(2Du_{ij} \cdot Du + 2Du_i \cdot Du_j + 2\lambda u u_{ij} + 2\lambda u_i u_j \right) \\ &= -2\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} Du_{ij} \cdot Du - 2\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} Du_i \cdot Du_j - 2\lambda u \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} u_{ij} - 2\lambda \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} u_i u_j}_{=0} \\ &= 2\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} u_{ij} Da_{ij} \cdot Du - 2\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} Du_i \cdot Du_j - 2\lambda \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} u_i u_j. \end{split}$$

Since the derivatives of a_{ij} , the Hessain of u, and the gradient of u are bounded, the sum of the first two terms in the above expression are bounded in absolute value. Finally, since $\mathscr L$ is uniformly elliptic, the matrix (a_{ij}) is uniformly positive definite, in the sense that there is a $\theta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} u_i u_j \geq \theta |Du|^2.$$

In particular, this means that the last term is at most $-2\lambda\theta|Du|^2$. Thus, we can choose $\lambda\gg 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}u\leqslant 0$. Finally, we invoke the weak maximum principle to obtain

$$\begin{split} \||Du|^2\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} & \leq \||Du|^2 + \lambda u^2\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^2 \\ & = \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}^2 \\ & = \||Du|^2 + \lambda u^2\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} \\ & \leq \|Du\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\|Du\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}\right)^2, \end{split}$$

where $C := \max\{1, \lambda\}$. This implies the desired conclusion.

4. Problem 4

Define the quantities $H, D, N: (0,1) \rightarrow (0,\infty)$ as

$$\begin{split} H(r) &\coloneqq \int_{\partial B_r} |u(x)|^2 \ dx \\ D(r) &\coloneqq \int_{\partial B_r} u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) \ ds(x) = \int_{B_r} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \ dx \\ N(r) &\coloneqq \frac{rD(r)}{H(r)}. \end{split}$$

Note that the equality in the definition of D(r) follows from Green's first identity, since u is harmonic, and thus $\Delta u = 0$.

CLAIM.
$$D'(r) = \frac{n-2}{r}D(r) + 2\int_{\partial B_r} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x)\right|^2 ds(x).$$

Proof. Performing the substitution x = ry and using

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x) = \nabla u(x) \cdot \frac{x}{r},$$

we can write

$$D(r) = \int_{\partial B_1} u(ry)(\nabla u(ry) \cdot y) r^{n-1} ds(y).$$

Differentiating using the product rule, we obtain

$$D'(r) = \int_{\partial B_1} (\nabla u(ry) \cdot y)^2 r^{n-1} + (n-1) \int_{\partial B_1} u(ry) (\nabla u(ry) \cdot y) r^{n-2} ds(y) + \int_{\partial B_1} u(ry) \frac{d}{dr} (\nabla u(ry) \cdot y) r^{n-1} ds(y)$$

$$= \int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) \right|^2 ds(x) + \frac{n-1}{r} \underbrace{\int_{\partial B_r} u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) ds(x)}_{D(r)} + \int_{\partial B_1} u(ry) \frac{d}{dr} (\nabla u(ry) \cdot y) r^{n-1} ds(y).$$

We now simplify the last term on the right hand side. Indeed, we can write

$$\nabla u(ry) \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i u(ry) y_i,$$

and thus,

$$\frac{d}{dr}(\nabla u(ry)\cdot y) = \frac{d}{dr}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\partial_{i}u(ry)y_{i} = \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n}y_{i}y_{j}\partial_{i}\partial_{j}u(ry).$$

Hence, we have that the last term is equal to

$$\int_{\partial B_1} u(ry) \left(\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} y_i y_j \partial_i \partial_j u(ry) \right) r^{n-1} \ ds(y) = \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\partial B_r} u(x) \left(\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} x_i x_j u_{ij}(x) \right) \ ds(x),$$

where we shall use the shorthand u_{ij} to denote $\partial_i \partial_j u$ henceforth. Note that since u is harmonic, it is C^{∞} , and hence, the order of differentiation does not really matter.

We can write the above quantity as follows, and then using Green's first identity, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial B_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{r} u(x) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial v}(x) \ ds(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{B_r} \nabla \left(\frac{x_i}{r} u(x) \right) \cdot \nabla u_i(x) \ dx - \int_{B_r} \frac{x_i}{r} u(x) \Delta u_i(x) \ dx \right).$$

Since each u_i is harmonic, the second term is zero and we are left with only the first term, which is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_j \left(\frac{x_i}{r} u(x) \right) \partial_j u_i(x) \ dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i}{r} u_j(x) + \frac{1}{r} \delta_{ij} u(x) \right) u_{ij}(x) \ dx,$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker symbol. The above is equal to

$$\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \int_{B_r} \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ij}(x) u_j(x) \ dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_r} \frac{1}{r} u_{ii}(x) u(x) \ dx.$$

The second integral is equal to $\frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} \Delta u(x) u(x) \ dx = 0$, since u is harmonic. Hence, we are left with

$$\sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} \int_{B_r} \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ij}(x) u_j(x) dx.$$

Consider the function

$$w(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{r} u_i(x)$$

defined on the unit ball B_1 . Note that $w(x) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x)$ on ∂B_r . Thus, we can write

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) \right|^2 \, ds(x) &= \int_{\partial B_r} w(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) \, ds(x) \\ &= \int_{B_r} \nabla w(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) - w(x) \Delta u(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{B_r} \nabla w(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{B_r} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{r} u_i(x) \right) \cdot u_j(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{B_r} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{r} \delta_{ij} u_i(x) + \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ij}(x) \right) u_j(x) \, dx \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \int_{B_r} \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ij}(x) u_j(x) \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} (u_i(x))^2 \, dx \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \int_{B_r} \frac{x_i}{r} u_{ij}(x) u_j(x) \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} (u_i(x))^2 \, dx. \end{split}$$

Thus, the quantity in (4) is equal to

$$\int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) \right|^2 ds(x) - \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) \right|^2 ds(x) - \frac{1}{r} D(r).$$

Substituting this back into the expression for D'(r), we get

$$D'(r) = \frac{n-2}{r}D(r) + 2\int_{\partial B_r} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x)\right|^2 ds(x),$$

as desired.

CLAIM.
$$H'(r) = \frac{n-1}{r}H(r) + 2D(r)$$
.

Proof. Performing the substitution x = ry, we have

$$H(r) = \int_{\partial B_1} |u(ry)|^2 r^{n-1} ds(y).$$

Differentiating, we obtain

$$\begin{split} H'(r) &= (n-1) \int_{\partial B_1} |u(ry)|^2 r^{n-2} \ ds(y) + 2 \int_{\partial B_1} r^{n-1} u(ry) (\nabla u(ry) \cdot y) \ ds(y) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r} \int_{\partial B_1} |u(x)|^2 \ ds(x) + 2 \int_{\partial B_r} u(x) \left(\nabla u(x) \cdot \frac{x}{r} \right) \ ds(x) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r} \int_{\partial B_1} |u(x)|^2 \ ds(x) + 2 \int_{\partial B_r} u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x) \ ds(x) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r} H(r) + 2D(r), \end{split}$$

as desired.

Coming back to the problem at hand, we would like to show that

$$N(r) = \frac{rD(r)}{H(r)}$$

is an increasing function of r. To this end, we shall show that $N'(r) \ge 0$ for $r \in (0,1)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} N'(r) &= \frac{\left(D(r) + rD'(r)\right)H(r) - rD(r)H'(r)}{H(r)^2} \\ &= \frac{\left((n-1)D(r) + 2r\int_{\partial B_r}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x)\right|^2\,ds(x)\right)H(r) - D(r)\left((n-1)H(r) + 2rD(r)\right)}{H(r)^2} \\ &= \frac{2rH(r)\int_{\partial B_r}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x)\right|^2\,ds(x) - 2rD(r)^2}{H(r)^2} \,. \end{split}$$

Using the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, we have

$$H(r)\int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x)\right|^{2} ds(x) \ge \left(\int_{\partial B_{r}}\left|u(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x)\right| ds(x)\right)^{2} \ge D(r)^{2},$$

whence $N'(r) \ge 0$. Thus N(r) is a non-decreasing function of r.

As for the limit computation, note that the quotient can be written as

$$N(r) = \frac{r^2}{n} \frac{\frac{n}{\omega_n r^n} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2}{\frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B_r} u^2}.$$

Since u is C^{∞} , we have that both u^2 and $|\nabla u|^2$ are continuous functions, and hence, the numerator converges to $|\nabla u(0)|^2$ and the denominator converges to $u(0)^2$. Again, since $r \to 0^+$, it is clear that $N(r) \to 0$.

5. Problem 5

For R > r, let A(r) denote the annulus

$$A(r) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r < |x| < R \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\partial A(r) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = r \right\} \cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = R \right\}.$$

The (weak) maximum principle gives us

$$\sup_{r \le |x| \le R} |u(x)| = \sup_{x \in \partial A(r)} |u(x)| = \sup_{|x| = R} |u(x)|,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $u \equiv 0$ on ∂B_r .

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B}_r$. We shall show that $u(x_0) = 0$. Indeed, for $R > |x_0|$, we have

$$|u(x_0)| \le \sup_{r \le |x| \le R} |u(x)| = \sup_{|x| = R} |u(x)|.$$

But according to the hypothesis on u, we have

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\sup_{|x|=R}|u(x)|=0,$$

so that $0 \le |u(x_0)| \le 0$, that is, $u(x_0) = 0$. It follows that u is identically 0 on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r$, as desired.

6. Problem 6

By translating, along the *Y*-axis, we may assume that $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < y < \frac{\pi}{2} \}$. Next, set

$$v(x,y) = u\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right).$$

Then, v is a function defined on the domain $\widetilde{\Omega} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < y < \pi\}$. Further,

$$\Delta v = 4\Delta u = 0,$$

and hence, v is a harmonic function. The growth condition on v is clearly $v(x) = o\left(e^{\frac{|x|}{2}}\right)$. Next, extend the function v to the domain $\Gamma = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -\pi < y < \pi\}$ using the Schwarz reflection principle. That is, define

$$v(x, y) = -v(x, -y)$$
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\pi < y < 0.$

Note that this does not change the growth condition on v. We contend that this extended v is a harmonic function. It is clear by taking the Laplacian that v is harmonic on $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$, and thus has the mean value property here. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \subseteq \Gamma$. Consider a sufficiently small ball $B(\mathbf{x}, r) \subseteq \Gamma$. Then,

$$\int_{B(\mathbf{x},r)} v \ dx = \int_{B(\mathbf{x},r) \cap \{y>0\}} v \ dx + \int_{B(\mathbf{x},r) \cap \{y<0\}} v \ dx = 0,$$

since the second term is the negative of the first term. Since $v(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, it is clear that v satisfies the mean value property on all of Γ , therefore, it is harmonic on Γ .

For a fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $v(x, \cdot)$ is a C^{∞} function of y, and hence, the Fourier series and all its derivatives converge uniformly. We can then write

$$v(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k(x)\sin(ky) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k(x)\cos(ky).$$

But since $v(x,\cdot)$ is an odd function of y, there is no cosine part in the Fourier expansion. We are left with

$$v(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k(x) \sin(ky).$$

Recall that

$$C_k(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v(x, y) \sin(ky) \ dy = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} v(x, y) \sin(ky) \ dy.$$

In particular, since it is the integral of a C^{∞} -function, it is a C^{∞} -function of x. Therefore, we can differentiate under the integral sign to obtain

$$C_k''(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \partial_x^2 v(x, y) \sin(ky) \ dy = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \partial_y^2 v(x, y) \sin(ky) \ dy.$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\int_0^\pi \partial_y^2 v(x, y) \sin(ky) \ dy = \partial_y v(x, y) \sin(ky) \Big|_0^\pi - k \int_0^\pi \partial_y v(x, y) \cos(ky) \ dy$$
$$= v(x, y) \cos(ky) \Big|_0^\pi + k^2 \int_0^\pi v(x, y) \sin(ky) \ dy.$$

Hence,

$$C_k''(x) = \frac{2k^2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} v(x, y) \sin(ky) \ dy = k^2 C_k(x).$$

Solving this ordinary differential equation, we note that

$$C_k(x) = A_k e^{kx} + B_k e^{-kx}$$

But since v(x,y) is $o(e^{\frac{|x|}{2}})$, using the integral Representation of $C_k(x)$ as above, we have that $C_k(x)$ is also $o(e^{\frac{|x|}{2}})$ for all $k \ge 1$. This is possible if and only if $A_k = B_k = 0$ for all $k \ge 1$. That is, C_k is identically 0 for all $k \ge 1$, and hence, $v \equiv 0$ on Γ so that $u \equiv 0$ on Ω . This completes the proof.

7. Problem 7

Define the function $\varphi:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{|\partial B(x_0, r)|} \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} u(y) \ dy.$$

Performing the substitution $y = x_0 + rz$, we obtain

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} u(x_0 + rz) r^{n-1} dz = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} u(x_0 + rz) dz.$$

Differentiating the above,

$$\varphi'(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \nabla u(x_0 + rz) \cdot z \ dz = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(x_0,r)} \nabla u(y) \cdot \frac{y - x_0}{r} \ dy = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(x_0,r)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(y) \ ds(y).$$

Using Green's first identity, we have

$$\varphi'(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{B(x_0,r)} \Delta u(y) \ dy = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{B(x_0,r)} 1 \ dy = \frac{r}{n}.$$

Solving this ordinary differential equation, we obtain

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{r^2}{2n} + C$$

for all $r \in (0,\infty)$, where $C \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Note that $u \ge 0$, and hence $\varphi(r) \ge 0$ for all r > 0. Hence, $C = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \varphi(r) \ge 0$, in particular,

$$\varphi(r) \geqslant \frac{r^2}{2n} \qquad \forall \ r > 0.$$

Let

$$M(r) := \sup_{|x-x_0|=r} u(x).$$

Then

$$M(r) - \varphi(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} M(r) - u(y) \, ds(y) \ge 0,$$

so that $M(r) \ge \frac{r^2}{2n}$. Finally, using the weak maximum principle for subharmonic functions, and recalling that $u \ge 0$, we get

$$\sup_{\overline{B(x_0,r)}} u = \sup_{|x-x_0|=r} u(x) = M(x) \ge \frac{r^2}{2n},$$

as desired.

8. Problem 8

Let $x^* \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a point of maxima, i.e.,

$$u(x^*) = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x) \ge 0,$$

where the inequality follows since $u|_{\partial\Omega} \equiv 0$. Suppose $u(x^*) > 1$. Then $x^* \notin \partial\Omega$, since u vanishes identically there. Thus $x^* \in \Omega$, whence $\Delta u(x^*) \leq 0$. This forces

$$u(x^*)^3 - u(x^*) \le 0 \implies u(x^*) \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [0, 1].$$

But since $u(x^*) \ge 0$, we must have that $u(x^*) \in [0,1]$, in particular, $u(x^*) \le 1$, a contradiction. Thus $u(x^*) \le 1$. Similarly, let $x_* \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a point of minima, i.e.,

$$u(x_*) = \inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x) \le 0,$$

where the inequality follows since $u|_{\partial\Omega} \equiv 0$. Suppose $u(x_*) < -1$. Then $x_* \notin \partial\Omega$, since u vanishes identically there. Thus $x_* \in \Omega$, whence $\Delta u(x_*) \ge 0$. This forces

$$u(x_*)^3 - u(x_*) \ge 0 \implies u(x_*) \in [-1,0] \cup [1,\infty).$$

But since $u(x_*) \le 0$, we must have that $u(x_*) \in [-1, 0]$, in particular, $u(x_*) \ge -1$, a contradiction. Thus $u(x_*) \ge -1$. In conclusion, we have that for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$-1 \le u(x_*) \le u(x) \le u(x^*) \le 1$$
.

as desired.

Suppose now that there is some point $x_0 \in \Omega$ with $u(x_0) = 1$. This is clearly a point of maxima because $-1 \le u \le 1$ on Ω . Let

$$\omega := \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = 1\}.$$

Since u is a continuous function, ω is closed in Ω and is non-empty as it contains x_0 . We claim that ω is open in Ω , whence it would follow that $u \equiv 1$ on Ω since Ω is connected, a contradiction, since $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

9

9. Problem 9

(a) This is an immediate consequence of the mean value property. Indeed, since u is harmonic, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$|u(x)| = \left| \frac{n}{\omega_n} \int_{B(x,1)} u(y) \ dy \right| \le \frac{n}{\omega_n} \int_{B(x,1)} |u(y)| \ dy \le \frac{nC}{\omega_n}.$$

That is, u is a bounded harmonic function on \mathbb{R}^n , and hence is constant due to Liouville's theorem.

(b) Suppose $u(x) = \phi(|x|)$ is indeed a harmonic function on \mathbb{R}^n , where $\phi: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. Using the mean value property, for r > 0, we can write

$$u(0) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(0,r)} u(y) \ ds(y) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \phi(r) \ ds(y) = \phi(r).$$

Thus, $\phi(r) = u(0)$ for all r > 0. Thus, u is constant on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. But since u is continuous, u must be constant on all of \mathbb{R}^n , as desired.

10. Problem 10

Let

$$M = \sup_{\partial \Omega} |\varphi|.$$

Then $-M \le \varphi(x) \le M$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega$.

Let $x^* \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a point of maxima, i.e.,

$$u(x^*) = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x)$$

 $u(x^*) = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x).$ If $x^* \in \Omega$, then it is an interior point, and hence $u(x^*)^3 = \Delta u(x^*) \le 0$, that is, $u(x^*) \le 0$. Else if $x^* \in \partial \Omega$, then we must have that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x^*) \geqslant 0 \implies a(x^*)u(x^*) \leqslant \varphi(x^*) \leqslant M \implies u(x^*) \leqslant \frac{M}{a(x^*)} \leqslant \frac{M}{a_0}.$$

In either case, the inequality

$$u(x^*) \leq \frac{M}{a_0}$$

holds true.

On the other hand, let $x_* \in \overline{\Omega}$ be a point of minima, i.e.,

$$u(x_*) = \inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x)$$

If $x_* \in \Omega$, then it is an interior point, and hence $u(x_*)^3 = \Delta u(x_*) \ge 0$, that is, $u(x_*) \ge 0$. Else if $x_* \in \partial \Omega$, then we must have that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}(x_*) \leq 0 \implies a(x_*)u(x_*) \geq \varphi(x_*) \geq -M \implies u(x_*) \geq \frac{-M}{a(x_*)} \geq -\frac{M}{a_0}.$$

In either case, the inequality

$$u(x_*) \geqslant -\frac{M}{a_0}$$

holds true. Hence,

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}}|u|=\max\left\{|u(x^*)|,|u(x_*)|\right\}\leqslant \frac{M}{a_0}=\frac{1}{a_0}\sup_{\partial\Omega}|\varphi|,$$

thereby completing the proof.