Gorenstein Rings

Notes for the course MA 842: Topics in Algebra II

H. Ananthnarayan

SCRIBE: Swayam Chube

Last Updated: March 7, 2025

§1 INJECTIVE MODULES

§§ Essential Extensions

REMARK 1.1. Let $M \subseteq N$ be an essential extension of R-modules and $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow P$ be an R-linear injective map. If φ extends to an R-linear map $\widetilde{\varphi} : N \to P$, then $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is injective too. Indeed, if $K = \ker \widetilde{\varphi} \neq 0$, then $K \cap M \neq 0$, a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring. Let M be an Artinian R-module. Then $Soc_R(M) \subseteq M$ is an essential extension.

Proof. Let $0 \neq K \subseteq M$ be a submodule. Choose $0 \neq x \in K$. Since M is Artinian, the descending chain $Rx \supseteq \mathfrak{m}x \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^2x \supseteq \cdots$ stabilizes. Let $n \geqslant 0$ be the least positive integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^nx = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}x$. Due to Nakayama's lemma, $\mathfrak{m}^nx = 0$, whence $n \geqslant 1$. It follows that $0 \neq \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}x \subseteq \operatorname{Soc}_R(M) \cap K$, as desired.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k, E) be a Noetherian local ring and M an Artinian R-module. If $\dim_k \operatorname{Soc}_R(M) = d$, then $E_R(M) \cong E^{\oplus d}$.

Proof. Since $Soc_R(M) \cong k^{\oplus d}$, it is clear that $E_R(Soc_R(M)) \cong E^{\oplus d}$. The inclusion $Soc_R(M) \hookrightarrow E^{\oplus d}$ can be extended to M to obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\int_{\operatorname{Soc}_{R}(M)} \underbrace{\longrightarrow} E_{R}\left(\operatorname{Soc}_{R}(M)\right) \cong E^{\oplus a}$$

where all maps are inclusion. It follows that $M \hookrightarrow E^{\oplus d}$ is an essential extension. Since $E^{\oplus d}$ is an injective module, we have that $E_R(M) \cong E^{\oplus d}$.

§2 MATLIS DUALITY

DEFINITION 2.1. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k, E) be a Noetherian local ring. For an R-module M, set $M^{\vee} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, E)$. This is known as the *Matlis dual* of a module.

Clearly $(-)^{\vee}$ is a contravariant exact functor on the category of R-modules. Note that if $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ is an ideal, then as we have seen earlier,

$$E_{R/I}(k) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/I, E) = (R/I)^{\vee}.$$

In particular, taking $I = \mathfrak{m}$, we see that $k^{\vee} \cong k$ as R-modules.

LEMMA 2.2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k, E) be a Noetherian local ring. Then

(1) If $M \neq 0$, then $M^{\vee} \neq 0$.

- (2) If $\lambda_R(M) < \infty$, then $\lambda_R(M^{\vee}) \neq 0$. Moreover, $\lambda_R(M) = \lambda_R(M^{\vee})$.
- *Proof.* (1) Let $0 \neq x \in M$. If $I = \operatorname{Ann}_R(x)$, then there is a natural inclusion $R/I \hookrightarrow M$ sending $\overline{1} \mapsto x$. Taking the Matlis dual, we have a surjection

$$M^{\vee} \rightarrow (R/I)^{\vee} = E_{R/I}(k) \neq 0$$
,

consequently $M^{\vee} \neq 0$.

(2) We shall prove both statements by induction on $\lambda_R(M)$. If $\lambda_R(M)=0$, then M=0, so that $M^\vee=0$ and we get $\lambda_R(M)=0=\lambda_R(M^\vee)$. Suppose now that $0<\lambda_R(M)<\infty$. Then $\mathfrak{m}\in \mathrm{Ass}_R(M)$, and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$
.

Since length is additive, $\lambda_R(N) = \lambda_R(M) - 1$; hence the induction hypothesis applies and $\lambda_R(N^{\vee}) = \lambda_R(N)$. Taking the Matlis dual of the above short exact sequence, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow N^{\vee} \longrightarrow M^{\vee} \longrightarrow k^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $k^{\vee} = 0$, we see that

$$\lambda_R(M^{\vee}) = \lambda_R(N^{\vee}) + 1 = \lambda_R(N) + 1 = \lambda_R(M),$$

as desired.

THEOREM 2.3. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k, E) be an Artinian local ring.

- (1) *E* is a faithful finite *R*-module.
- (2) The map

$$\mu: R \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(E, E) \qquad a \longmapsto \mu_a$$

is an isomorphism of *R*-modules and rings.

(3) Given a finite *R*-module *M*, the natural map

$$\varphi_M: M \longrightarrow M^{\vee\vee} \qquad m \longmapsto \operatorname{ev}_m$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Suppose $a \in R$ is such that aE = 0. Then

$$R^{\vee} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R, E) = E = (E :_{E} a) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/aR, E) = (R/aR)^{\vee}.$$

Since *R* is Artinian, we then have

$$\lambda_R(R) = \lambda_R(R^{\vee}) = \lambda_R((R/aR)^{\vee}) = \lambda_R(R/aR) \implies \lambda_R(aR) = 0,$$

consequently, a = 0, i.e., E is a faithful R-module.

Next, since R is Artinian, $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(R)$, consequently, there is an injection $k = R/\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow R$. Due to Remark 1.1 extends to an inclusion $E \hookrightarrow R$, consequently, E is a finite R-module.

(2) First note that μ is injective due to (1). But note that

$$\infty > \lambda_R(R) = \lambda_R(R^{\vee}) = \lambda_R(E) = \lambda_R(E^{\vee}) = \lambda_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(E, E)),$$

consequently μ is an isomorphism.

(3) It suffices to show that φ_M is injective since $\lambda_R(M) = \lambda_R(M^{\vee\vee})$. Suppose $0 \neq x \in M$ is such that $\varphi_M(x) = 0$, that is, for all $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E)$, f(x) = 0. Let $I = \operatorname{Ann}_R(x)$. Now, there is a non-zero map

$$\psi: R/I \to R/\mathfrak{m} = k \hookrightarrow E$$

which extends to a non-zero map $f: M \to E$ since $R/I \hookrightarrow M$ through $\overline{1} \mapsto x$. Thus, $f(x) = \psi(\overline{1}) \neq 0$, a contradiction.

INTERLUDE 2.4 (ON \widehat{R} **-MODULES).** Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and M an R-module such that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = M$. We contend that M is an \widehat{R} -module in a natural way. To this end, we need only define $\widehat{a} \cdot m$ for $\widehat{a} \in \widehat{R}$ and $m \in M$.

Let $\hat{a} = (a_1, a_2, ...)$, where we are using the isomorphism

$$\widehat{R} = \varprojlim R/\mathfrak{m}^n.$$

Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = M$, there is a positive integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^n m = 0$. Hence, for $k \geq n$, we have $a_k \cdot m = a_n \cdot m$, as $a_k - a_n \in \mathfrak{m}^n$. In light of this, we define $\widehat{a} \cdot m = a_n \cdot m$. We must show that this makes M into an \widehat{R} -module.

Let $m_1, m_2 \in M$ and $\widehat{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \widehat{R}$. There are positive integers $n_1, n_2 \ge 1$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n_1} m_1 = 0 = \mathfrak{m}^{n_2} m_2$; then $\mathfrak{m}^n m_1 = 0 = \mathfrak{m}^n m_2$ for all $n \ge \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Hence, for all such $n \ge 1$,

$$\hat{a} \cdot (m_1 + m_2) = a_n \cdot (m_1 + m_2) = a_n \cdot m_1 + a_n \cdot m_2 = \hat{a} \cdot m_1 + \hat{a} \cdot m_2.$$

Next, let \widehat{a} , $\widehat{b} \in \widehat{R}$ and $m \in M$ with

$$\hat{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$
 and $\hat{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$.

There is a positive integer n such that $\mathfrak{m}^n m = 0$. Then

$$(\widehat{a} + \widehat{b}) \cdot m = (a_n + b_n) \cdot m = a_n \cdot m + b_n \cdot m = \widehat{a} \cdot m + \widehat{b} \cdot m.$$

Finally, note that $\widehat{b} \cdot m = b_n m$ and $\mathfrak{m}^n \left(\widehat{b} \cdot m \right) = 0$, so that

$$\widehat{a} \cdot (\widehat{b} \cdot m) = \widehat{a} \cdot (b_n \cdot m) = a_n \cdot (b_n \cdot m) = (a_n b_n) \cdot m = (\widehat{ab}) \cdot m.$$

This shows that M is indeed an \widehat{R} -module as described above. Further, since $R \to \widehat{R}$ is the diagonal map, it follows that the \widehat{R} -module structure on M agrees with the R-module struture through the diagonal map. In particular, this means that:

A subset of M is an R-submodule if and only if it is an \widehat{R} -submodule.

As a result, M is Noetherian (resp. Artinian) as an R-module if and only if it is so as an \widehat{R} -module.

Interlude 2.5 (On Maps between m-power torsion modules). Again, let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and suppose M and N are R-modules such that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(N)$. By Interlude 2.4, we know that they are \widehat{R} -modules in a natural way. Let $\varphi: M \to N$ be an R-linear map. We contend that φ is also \widehat{R} -linear. Indeed, let $m \in M$ and $\widehat{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \widehat{R}$. There is a positive integer $n \geqslant 1$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^n m = 0$, and hence, $\mathfrak{m}^n \varphi(m) = 0$. It follows that

$$\varphi(\widehat{a} \cdot m) = \varphi(a_n \cdot m) = a_n \cdot \varphi(m) = \widehat{a} \cdot \varphi(m),$$

as desired.

THEOREM 2.6. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k, E) be a Noetherian local ring.

- (1) $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(E) = E$, and hence E is an \widehat{R} module and for every R-module M, M^{\vee} is \mathfrak{m} -power torsion.
- (2) $E \cong E_{\widehat{R}}(k)$ as \widehat{R} -modules.
- (3) $R^{\vee\vee} = \operatorname{Hom}_R(E, E) \cong \widehat{R}$ as R-modules.
- (4) *E* is an Artinian *R*-module.

Proof. (1) That E is an \widehat{R} -module follows immediately from Interlude 2.4. Finally, $M^{\vee} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, E)$ is \mathfrak{m} -power torsion because E is so.

(2) The containment $k \subseteq E$ is an essential extension of R-modules, both of which are \mathfrak{m} -power torsion. Due to Interlude 2.4, it follows that it is an essential extension of \widehat{R} -modules too. Now, due to Remark 1.1, there is a commutative diagram of inclusions



where all maps are \widehat{R} -linear. It follows that $E \hookrightarrow E_{\widehat{R}}(k)$ is an essential extension of \widehat{R} -modules, and consequently, an essential extension of R-modules. Since E is R-injective, we must have that the inclusion is an isomorphism of R-modules. Finally, due to Interlude 2.5, this is an isomorphism of \widehat{R} -modules.

- (3) TODO: Write this out in gory detail.
- (4) Let $M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots$ be a chain of *R*-submodules in *E*. There are commutative diagrams



whose Matlis dual furnishes commutative diagrams

Note that all Matlis duals are m-power torsions and hence due to Interlude 2.5, the φ_j 's are \widehat{R} -linear. Let $I_j = \ker \varphi_j \subseteq \widehat{R}$, which is an ideal. Due to the commutative diagram, it is clear that there is an ascending chain $I_j \subseteq I_{j+1}$. Since \widehat{R} is Noetherian, this chain stabilizes, say $I_n = I_{n+1} = \dots$

Then due to the first isomorphism theorem, $M_j^{\vee} \to M_{j+1}^{\vee}$ is an isomorphism for all $j \ge n$. Let $C_j = \operatorname{coker}(M_{j+1} \hookrightarrow M_j)$. The exactness of the Matlis dual gives $C_j^{\vee} = 0$, which, due to Lemma 2.2, implies that $C_j = 0$, that is, $M_{j+1} \hookrightarrow M_j$ is an isomorphism for all $j \ge n$, i.e., the descending chain stabilizes, as desired.

THEOREM 2.7 (MATLIS DUALITY, VERSION 1). Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k, E) be a Noetherian local ring. Then there is a bijective correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isomorphism classes of} \\ \text{finitely generated} \\ \widehat{R}\text{-modules} \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{(-)^{\vee}}{\underset{(-)^{\vee}}{\longleftrightarrow}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isomorphism classes of} \\ \text{Artinian R-modules} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let M be an Artinian R-module and let $d = \dim_k \operatorname{Soc}_R(M)$. Due to Corollary 1.3, $E_R(M) \cong E^{\oplus d}$, so that there is an inclusion $M \hookrightarrow E^{\oplus d}$, which upon taking the Matlis dual furnishes an \widehat{R} -linear surjection $\widehat{R}^{\oplus d} \twoheadrightarrow M^{\vee}$. Thus M^{\vee} is a finite \widehat{R} -module.

Conversely, suppose M is a finite \widehat{R} -module. Thus, there is a surjection $\widehat{R}^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow M$. Taking the Matlis dual, we obtain an injection $M^{\vee} \hookrightarrow \left(\widehat{R}^{\vee}\right)^{\oplus n}$.

There is a natural "evaluation map" ev : $M \to M^{\vee\vee}$, which we shall show is an isomorphism. That ev is injective follows in the same way as Theorem 2.3 (3). Next, since $\lambda_R(M) < \infty$, we have that $\lambda_R(M) = \lambda_R(M^{\vee\vee}) = \lambda_R(M^{\vee\vee})$, whence ev is an isomorphism.