

MA 534: HOMEWORK 2

SWAYAM CHUBE (200050141)

1. PROBLEM 1

Let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be identically 1 on a neighborhood of 0. Let Q be a compact subset of \mathbb{R} containing the support of ρ . Identify \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with the subspace $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. First note that the support of u is contained in the hyperplane \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Indeed, if $x \notin \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, then $x_n > 0$. Choose an open ball U containing x and disjoint from \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Then, $x_n \neq 0$ on all of U and hence, for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U)$, we have

$$(u, \varphi) = \left(x_n u, \frac{\varphi(x)}{x_n} \right) = 0,$$

which makes sense because $\varphi(x)/x_n$ is well-defined, smooth and compactly supported on U . It follows that the support of u is contained in the hyperplane \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

Next, define $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ by

$$(v, \varphi) = (u, \rho(x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}).$$

To see that v is indeed a distribution, let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and suppose $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(K)$. Then, $\rho(x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is supported inside the compact set $K \times Q$. Since u is a distribution, there is a positive integer N and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|(u, \psi)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq N \\ x \in K \times Q}} |\partial^\alpha \psi(x)|$$

Thus,

$$|(v, \varphi)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq N \\ x \in K \times Q}} |\partial^\alpha \rho(x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|.$$

Let $M > 0$ be such that $|\partial^\alpha \rho| \leq M$ on \mathbb{R} for all $\alpha \leq N$, and set

$$\tilde{M} = \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq N \\ x \in K}} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|.$$

Now, for $x \in K \times Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha \rho(x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| &= \left| \sum_{|\beta+\gamma| \leq N} \frac{(\beta+\gamma)!}{\beta! \gamma!} \partial^\beta \rho(x_n) \partial^\gamma \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\beta+\gamma| \leq N} \frac{(\beta+\gamma)!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\partial^\beta \rho(x_n)| |\partial^\gamma \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| \\ &\leq M \tilde{M} \underbrace{\sum_{|\beta+\gamma| \leq N} \frac{(\beta+\gamma)!}{\beta! \gamma!}}_{\tilde{C}} = M \tilde{M} \tilde{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|(v, \varphi)| \leq C \tilde{C} M \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq N \\ x \in K}} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|,$$

whence v is a distribution. Finally, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(v \otimes \delta, \varphi) = (v(x'), (\delta(x_n), \varphi)) = (v(x'), \varphi(x', 0)) = (u, \rho(x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)).$$

Note that $\psi(x) = \varphi(x) - \rho(x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ vanishes in a neighborhood of the hyperplane $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : x_n = 0\}$. Thus, the supports of ψ and u are disjoint subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , consequently, $(u, \psi) = 0$. This gives

$$(u, \rho(x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)) = (u, \varphi).$$

It follows that $v(x') \otimes \delta(x_n) = u$, as desired.

2. PROBLEM 2

First, we claim that $\text{Supp } u \subseteq \{0\}$. Indeed, if $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$, then

$$(u, \varphi) = \left((x_1 + ix_2)u, \frac{\varphi}{x_1 + ix_2} \right) = 0,$$

since $\varphi/(x_1 + ix_2) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ as $x_1 + ix_2 \neq 0$ for all $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, $\text{Supp } u \subseteq \{0\}$. It follows that u has an expression of the form

$$u = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} c_{\alpha\beta} \partial_1^\alpha \partial_2^\beta \delta,$$

where the above sum is finite. We shall now identify \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} and define the differential operators

$$\partial = \partial_z = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_1 - i\partial_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} = \partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_1 + i\partial_2).$$

Using a simple change of variables formula, we can write our expression for u as

$$u = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} a_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta \delta,$$

where the above sum is finite. Our initial condition on u translates to $zu = 0$. Recall that we have

$$\partial z = 1 \quad \bar{\partial} z = 0 \quad \partial \bar{z} = 0 \quad \bar{\partial} \bar{z} = 1.$$

This shows that

$$\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta (z^m \bar{z}^n) = \begin{cases} \alpha! \beta! & \alpha = m, \beta = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let ρ be a cutoff function that is identically 1 in a neighborhood of 0. For $k \geq 1$ and $l \geq 0$, we have

$$(u, z^k \bar{z}^l \rho) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} a_{\alpha\beta} (\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta \delta, z^k \bar{z}^l \rho) = (-1)^{k+l} k! l! a_{kl}$$

due to what we noted above. But since $k \geq 1$ we have

$$(u, z^k \bar{z}^l \rho) = (zu, z^{k-1} \bar{z}^l \rho) = 0,$$

whence $a_{kl} = 0$. This leaves

$$u = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} a_\beta \bar{\partial}^\beta \delta,$$

where the above sum is finite and a_β are constants. Conversely, if u is of the above form, then for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$(zu, \varphi) = (u, z\varphi) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} (-1)^\beta a_\beta (u, \bar{\partial}^\beta (z\varphi)).$$

If $\beta = 0$, then $(\delta, z\varphi) = 0$ since the function vanishes at 0. On the other hand, if $\beta \geq 1$, then using the fact that $\bar{\partial} z = 0$, we get $\bar{\partial}^\beta (z\varphi) = z \bar{\partial}^\beta \varphi$, which vanishes at 0 again. Consequently, we see that $zu = 0$.

Hence, $zu = 0$ if and only if $u = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} a_\beta \bar{\partial}^\beta \delta$ for some constants a_β and the sum being finite. Substituting the expression for $\bar{\partial}$ in the above equation, we have our desired expression for u :

$$u = \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq N} a_\beta \left(\frac{\partial_1 + i\partial_2}{2} \right)^\beta \delta,$$

for some $N \geq 0$ and $a_\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. PROBLEM 3

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we have

$$(f_j, \varphi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \int_{[-j,j]^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi dx.$$

Since φ is compactly supported, its support is contained in some compact cube Q . So the above integral is essentially equal to

$$(f_j, \varphi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_Q \int_{[-j,j]^n} \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{[-j,j]^n} \int_Q \varphi(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx d\xi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{[-j,j]^n} \widehat{\varphi}(-\xi) d\xi.$$

Note that the second equality follows from Fubini's theorem which applies since we are integrating an L^1 function on a finite measure space. Making the change of variables $\xi = -\eta$, we have

$$(f_j, \varphi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{[-j,j]^n} \widehat{\varphi}(\eta) d\eta.$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem (since $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$) on the functions $\chi_{[-j,j]^n}(x) \widehat{\varphi}(x)$, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (f_j, \varphi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(\eta) d\eta = \varphi(0),$$

where the last equality follows from the Fourier inversion formula. This shows that $f_j \rightarrow \delta$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, as desired.

4. PROBLEM 4

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. Then there is a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$(1 + x^2)|\varphi(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As a result, for $j > 1$,

$$|(f_j, \varphi)| = \left| \int_{j-1}^j \varphi(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{j-1}^j |\varphi(x)| dx \leq M \int_{j-1}^j \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = M \arctan \left(\frac{1}{j^2 - j + 1} \right),$$

obviously the quantity on the right goes to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $(f_j, \varphi) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $f_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

On the other hand, for $m < n$, we have

$$|f_m - f_n| = \chi_{[m-1,m]} + \chi_{[n-1,n]},$$

so that

$$\|f_m - f_n\|_p = \begin{cases} 2^{1/p} & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ 1 & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus, (f_j) does not converge in L^p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

5. PROBLEM 5

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ and $u = |x|^{-a}$ where $0 < a < n$. Then

$$(\widehat{u}, \varphi) = (u, \widehat{\varphi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x|^a} \widehat{\varphi}(x) dx.$$

Recall the definition of the Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

Performing the substitution $t = |x|^2 y$, we get

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty |x|^{2s} y^{s-1} e^{-|x|^2 y} dy.$$

Taking $s = \frac{a}{2}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{|x|^a} = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{a}{2}-1} e^{-|x|^2 y} dy.$$

Thus,

$$(u, \widehat{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(x) \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{a}{2}-1} e^{-|x|^2 y} dy dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{a}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(x) e^{-|x|^2 y} dx dy.$$

Recall that for $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$x \mapsto \widehat{e^{-\alpha|x|^2}} = \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\alpha}}.$$

Taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{4y}$, we get that

$$x \mapsto \widehat{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4y}}} = (4\pi y)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-|x|^2 y},$$

that is,

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{(4\pi y)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \widehat{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4y}}} = e^{-|x|^2 y}.$$

Now, using Parseval's theorem and the above expression, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(x) e^{-|x|^2 y} dx = (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(4\pi y)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4y}} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{\pi}{y}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4y}} \varphi(x) dx$$

Substituting this in our original equation, we have

$$(u, \widehat{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{a}{2}-1} \left(\frac{\pi}{y}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4y}} \varphi(x) dx dy = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \varphi(x) \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{a-n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4y}} dy dx.$$

Perform the substitution $s = \frac{|x|^2}{4y}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \widehat{\varphi}) &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{|x|^2}{4s}\right)^{\frac{a-n}{2}-1} e^{-s} \frac{|x|^2}{4s^2} ds \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) |x|^{a-n} 2^{n-a} \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{n-a}{2}-1} e^{-s} ds dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} 2^{n-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-a}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-a}} \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{u} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-a}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{n-a} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-a}}.$$

6. PROBLEM 6

First, we compute the Fourier transform of $u = \text{p. v. } \frac{1}{x}$. Note that $xu = 1$, which is a fact we have seen in the last assignment. If $1 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the constant function 1, then

$$(\widehat{1}, \varphi) = (1, \widehat{\varphi}) = 2\pi\varphi(0) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where the last equality follows from the Fourier inversion formula. Thus $\widehat{1} = 2\pi\delta$. This gives

$$(2\pi\delta, \varphi) = (\widehat{1}, \varphi) = (\widehat{xu}, \varphi) = (xu, \widehat{\varphi}) = (u, x\widehat{\varphi}) = (u, -i\widehat{\varphi}') = (\widehat{u}, -i\varphi') = (\widehat{u}', i\varphi).$$

Thus, it follows that $\widehat{u}' = -2\pi i\delta$. Consider the distribution $\text{sgn} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, given by

$$\text{sgn}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ -1 & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the derivative of this distribution is given by

$$(\text{sgn}', \varphi) = -(\text{sgn}, \varphi') = -\left(\int_0^\infty \varphi' - \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi'\right) = -(-\varphi(0) - \varphi(0)) = 2\varphi(0),$$

wehnce $\text{sgn}' = 2\delta$. Consequently, $(\hat{u} + i\pi \text{sgn})' = 0$. As we have seen in the last assignment, this means that $\hat{u} + i\pi \text{sgn}$ is a constant, say $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ is an even function, then

$$(\hat{u}, \varphi) = (u, \hat{\varphi}) = 0,$$

since $\hat{\varphi}$ is an even function too; recall that

$$(u, \psi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(-x)}{x} dx = 0.$$

Further, it is not hard to see that $(i\pi \text{sgn}, \varphi) = 0$. Hence, we must have that $(c, \varphi) = 0$ for every even function in the Schwartz class, whence $c = 0$. It follows that $\hat{u} = -i\pi \text{sgn}$. Now,

$$\widehat{u * u} = \hat{u} \cdot \hat{u} = -\pi^2 \text{sgn}^2 = -\pi^2 \cdot 1,$$

since $\text{sgn}^2 = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} . Now, taking the inverse Fourier transform, we have

$$(u * u, \varphi) = (\widehat{u * u})^{\vee}, \varphi) = (\widehat{u * u}, \varphi^{\vee}) = (-\pi^2 \cdot 1, \varphi^{\vee}) = -\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\vee} = -\pi^2 \varphi(0),$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\hat{\varphi}^{\vee} = \varphi$ and evaluation of the Fourier transform at $\xi = 0$. This shows that $u * u = -\pi^2 \delta$, as desired.

7. PROBLEM 7

Taking the Fourier transform, we have that $P(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi) = 0$ where $\hat{u}(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. I assume now that P is a homogeneous polynomial, so that $P(\xi) \neq 0$ whenever $\xi \neq 0$. Thus, for $\xi_0 \neq 0$, take a neighborhood U of ξ_0 which does not contain 0, so that $\frac{1}{P(\xi)}$ is a smooth function on that neighborhood. Hence, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$, we have

$$(\hat{u}, \varphi) = \left(P(\xi)\hat{u}, \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{P(\xi)} \right) = 0.$$

Thus, $\text{Supp } \hat{u} \subseteq \{0\}$. As we have seen in class, this implies that u is a polynomial.

Note that if we do not assume that P is homogeneous, then we can only conclude that the variety of P is compact in \mathbb{R}^n , since the top homogeneous component of P is non-vanishing for non-zero inputs. Consequently, for all points outside this compact variety, \hat{u} is zero in a neighborhood of those points. It follows that \hat{u} is compactly supported. Usin the Fourier inversion formula, since \hat{u} is compactly supported, we can write $u = (\hat{u}, e^{ix \cdot \xi})$, whence u is given by a smooth function.

8. PROBLEM 8

Since A is a symmetric positive definite matrix, there is an orthogonal matrix U such that $A = U^{\top} D U$ where D is a diagonal matrix consisting of the eigenvalues of A , repeated according to their multiplicity. We can then compute the Fourier transform of this function as

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(x, Ax)} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(Ux, DUx)} e^{-i(x, \xi)} dx.$$

Performing the substitution $x = U^{\top} y$, we have

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(y, Dy)} e^{-i(y, U\xi)} dy.$$

Let $\psi(x) = e^{-(x, Dx)}$. Then $\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(U\xi)$. Thus, it suffices to compute $\hat{\psi}$. Let $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ where $\lambda_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Set $y_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j} x_j$ to get

$$\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(x, Dx)} e^{-(x, \xi)} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\|y\|^2} e^{y \cdot \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \dots, \frac{\xi_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \right)} dy = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\xi_j^2}{\lambda_j} \right),$$

where we have used the fact that the Fourier transform of the Gaussian $e^{-\|x\|^2}$ is

$$\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \|\xi\|^2 \right).$$

9. PROBLEM 9

Suppose there is such a $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. Let u denote the localization of Λ to $(0, \infty)$. Since $u \in \mathcal{D}'(0, \infty)$, we can write

$$u' + \frac{1}{2x^2}u = 0 \implies \left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4x^2}\right)u \right)' = 0.$$

As we have seen in the first assignment, this means that $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4x^2}\right)u$ is a constant; consequently, $u = c \exp\left(\frac{1}{4x^2}\right)$. We shall show that there is no distribution $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ that localizes to $u = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4x^2}\right)$ on $(0, \infty)$.

Suppose Λ is such a distribution, then the seminorm estimate on the compact set $K = [0, 1]$ furnishes a constant $C > 0$ and a non-negative integer m such that

$$|(\Lambda, \varphi)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{\alpha \leq m \\ x \in K}} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(K).$$

Let ρ be a non-negative compactly supported function on the real line taking values in $[0, 1]$ that is identically 1 on $[-1, 1]$ and has support contained inside $(-2, 2)$. Set $\rho_N \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)$ as

$$\rho_N(x) = \rho\left(4N\left(x - \frac{1}{N}\right)\right).$$

Henceforth, suppose N is a very large positive integer, say $N > m + 100$. Then ρ_N is supported inside the open interval $\left(\frac{1}{2N}, \frac{3}{2N}\right) \subseteq [0, 1]$ and ρ_N is identically 1 on the interval $\left[\frac{3}{4N}, \frac{5}{4N}\right]$. Therefore,

$$(u, \rho_N) \geq \int_{\frac{3}{4N}}^{\frac{5}{4N}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{4x^2}\right) dx \geq \frac{1}{2N} \times \exp\left(\frac{4N^2}{25}\right).$$

On the other hand, for $\alpha \leq m$, we have

$$\partial^\alpha \rho_N(x) = (4N)^\alpha \partial^\alpha \rho\left(4N\left(x - \frac{1}{N}\right)\right).$$

Since ρ is compactly supported, there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha \rho(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall 0 \leq \alpha \leq m.$$

Thus, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq m$, we get

$$|\partial^\alpha \rho_N(x)| \leq (4N)^\alpha M \leq (4N)^m M.$$

Finally, using the seminorm estimate, we get that

$$\frac{1}{2N} \exp\left(\frac{4N^2}{25}\right) \leq (4N)^m CM \implies \exp\left(\frac{4N^2}{25}\right) \leq 2^m (2N)^{m+1} CM,$$

for all positive integers $N > m + 100$. This is absurd, since the left hand side grows exponentially, while the right hand side is a polynomial of degree at most $m + 1$. It follows that there is no such distribution $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ which restricts to u on $(0, \infty)$.

Hence, there is no such distribution $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ restricting to $c \exp\left(\frac{1}{4x^2}\right)$ on $(0, \infty)$ for some constant $c \neq 0$. As a result, the only solution to the differential equation in the problem is the identically 0 distribution.

10. PROBLEM 10

The Fourier transform of u is a continuous function on \mathbb{C}^n and the Fourier transform of v is an analytic function on \mathbb{C}^n since v is compactly supported. Further, we have

$$0 = \widehat{u * v}(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi) \widehat{v}(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

If \widehat{u} is not identically 0, then there is a $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\widehat{u}(\xi_0) \neq 0$. Consequently, there is a neighborhood U of ξ_0 in \mathbb{C}^n on which \widehat{u} is nonzero. But since $\widehat{u}\widehat{v} = 0$, we must have that $\widehat{v} = 0$ on U . The identity theorem for complex analytic functions then yields that $\widehat{v} = 0$ on all of \mathbb{C}^n , whence by Fourier inversion, $v = 0$ as a distribution.

On the other hand if $\widehat{u} = 0$, then again by Fourier inversion, $u = 0$ as a distribution and hence as an element of L^1 . This completes the proof.

11. PROBLEM 11

Note that $u = e^x \cos(e^x)$ is the derivative of $\cos(e^x)$. Thus, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, using integration by parts, we have

$$(u, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^x \cos(e^x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \frac{d}{dx} \sin(e^x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x) \sin(e^x) dx.$$

Let

$$M = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + x^2) |\varphi'(x)|.$$

Note that

$$M \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi'(x)| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} x^2 |\varphi'(x)| \leq 2 \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2 \\ |\beta| \leq 1}} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)|.$$

Further,

$$|(u, \varphi)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'(x) \sin(e^x)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'(x)| dx \leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1 + x^2} dx = \pi M \leq 2\pi \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2 \\ |\beta| \leq 1}} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x)|.$$

This shows that u is a tempered distribution.

12. PROBLEM 12

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_i \neq 0$. Then due to the mean value property, there is a constant c between 0 and x_i such that

$$\frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, 0, \dots, x_n)}{x_i} = \partial_i f(x_1, \dots, c, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Thus, $f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, 0, \dots, x_n)$ for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. But since f is in Schwartz class, we must have

$$0 = \lim_{x_i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{x_i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_1, \dots, 0, \dots, x_n).$$

This shows that f vanishes on the hyperplane $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = 0\}$. But because of our first observation, we see that for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, 0, \dots, x_n) = 0,$$

that is, $f = 0$.

13. PROBLEM 13

Note that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We shall show that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, whence it would immediately follow that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every positive integer n , let $\rho_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be identically 1 on the open ball $B(0, n)$ with support contained in the open ball $B(0, 2n)$. Define $\varphi_n = \rho_n \varphi$. We claim that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in the topology of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Indeed, if $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact set, then there is a positive integer N such that $K \subseteq B(0, N)$. Then for all $n \geq N$, $\varphi - \varphi_n$ is identically 0 in a neighborhood of K . Thus, $\partial^\alpha \varphi - \partial^\alpha \varphi_n$ is identically 0 on a neighborhood of K for all $n \geq N$. It follows that $\partial^\alpha \varphi_n \rightarrow \partial^\alpha \varphi$ uniformly on K . Thus $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in the topology of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This shows that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.