

## MA 824: ASSIGNMENT 2

SWAYAM CHUBE (200050141)

Throughout this document,  $\mathbb{K}$  denotes either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Most proofs should work over either of the two fields unless specified otherwise.

### 1. PROBLEM 1

(a) Recall the following result from complex analysis:

**THEOREM 1.1.** Let  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(z-a)^n$  be a power series with  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . The radius of convergence of the above power series is the unique real number  $R \geq 0$  such that

- (i) if  $|z-a| < R$ , the series converges absolutely.
- (ii) if  $|z-a| > R$ , the terms of the series become unbounded and so the series diverges.

*Proof.* See [Con73, Theorem III.1.3] ■

Returning back to our problem, let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  denote the element  $(1, |\lambda|, |\lambda|^2, \dots)$ . That this is indeed an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  is clear from the fact that the sum

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |\lambda|^{2n} = \frac{1}{1-|\lambda|^2}$$

converges. Further, let  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  denote the element  $(|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|, \dots)$ . That this is an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  follows from the fact that the sum

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |\alpha_n|^2$$

converges, since the element  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives us:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |\alpha_n| |\lambda|^n = \langle x, y \rangle \leq \|x\| \|y\| < \infty.$$

Thus, the sum  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n \lambda^n$  converges absolutely for  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Due to Theorem 1.1, it follows that the radius of convergence of the power series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n z^n$  is  $\geq 1$ .

(b)

### 2. PROBLEM 4

Clearly the bounded linear functional

$$\Lambda: y \longmapsto \left\langle y, \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\rangle.$$

is such that  $\Lambda x = \|x\|$  and  $\|\Lambda\| = \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\| = 1$ . Suppose  $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is another bounded linear functional such that  $\Phi x = \|x\|$  and  $\|\Phi\| = 1$ . By the Riesz Representation Theorem, there is some  $z \in X$  such that  $\Phi y = \langle y, z \rangle$  for all  $y \in X$ . Then,  $\|z\| = \|\Phi\| = 1$ . It follows that

$$\langle x, z \rangle = \|x\| \implies |\langle x, z \rangle| = \|x\| = \|x\| \|z\|.$$

Recall that the equality holds in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality if and only if the vectors  $x$  and  $z$  are linearly dependent. Since both  $x$  and  $z$  are non-zero, this is tantamount to saying that there is some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $x = \alpha z$ . In conclusion,

$$\alpha = \langle x, z \rangle = \|x\| \implies z = \frac{x}{\|x\|},$$

i.e.,  $\Phi = \Lambda$ , as desired.

---

*Date:* April 12, 2025.

## 3. PROBLEM 5

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . According to our hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle A(x + \alpha y), x + \alpha y \rangle \\ &= \langle Ax, x \rangle + \alpha \langle Ay, x \rangle + \bar{\alpha} \langle Ax, y \rangle + |\alpha|^2 \langle Ay, y \rangle \\ &= \alpha \langle Ay, x \rangle + \bar{\alpha} \langle Ax, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\alpha = 1$  to get

$$\langle Ay, x \rangle + \langle Ax, y \rangle = 0$$

and set  $\alpha = i$  to get

$$i(\langle Ay, x \rangle - \langle Ax, y \rangle) = 0 \implies \langle Ay, x \rangle - \langle Ax, y \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,  $\langle Ax, y \rangle = 0 = \langle Ay, x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . In particular, for any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , setting  $y = Ax$ , we get

$$\langle Ax, Ax \rangle = \langle Ax, y \rangle = 0,$$

and hence  $Ax = 0$ , that is,  $A = 0$ .

## 4. PROBLEM 9

**Exercise 12-1 (b) of [Lim14].** Suppose first that  $\mathbb{K}$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ , we shall show that  $p(\lambda) \in \sigma(p(A))$ . The polynomial  $p(X) - p(\lambda)$  has a root at  $\lambda$ , and hence, there is a polynomial  $q(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  such that  $p(X) - p(\lambda) = (X - \lambda)q(X)$ . Now, since  $A$  commutes with the identity operator  $I$ , we get

$$p(A) - p(\lambda)I = (A - \lambda I)q(A).$$

Since  $A - \lambda I$  is not invertible, the left hand side,  $p(A) - p(\lambda)I$  is not invertible, i.e.,  $p(\lambda) \in \sigma(p(A))$ . This shows that

$$p(\sigma(A)) := \{p(\lambda) : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} \subseteq \sigma(p(A))$$

Suppose now that  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . Further suppose that  $p(X)$  is a non-constant polynomial. Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(p(A))$ . Then, due to the fundamental theorem of algebra, the polynomial  $p(X) - \lambda$  factors as

$$p(X) - \lambda = a_n \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_i),$$

for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Again, since  $A$  commutes with the identity operator  $I$ , we have

$$p(A) - \lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n (A - \alpha_i I).$$

Since the left hand side is not invertible, at least one term on the right hand side must not be invertible, that is, there is an index  $1 \leq j \leq n$  with  $A - \alpha_j I$  not invertible. Thus  $\alpha_j \in \sigma(A)$ . It follows that  $\sigma(p(A)) \subseteq p(\sigma(A))$ . Thus  $\sigma(p(A)) = p(\sigma(A))$ .

Finally, if  $p$  is a constant polynomial, say  $p(X) \equiv c \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\sigma(p(A)) = \{c\}$ , since  $(c - \lambda)I$  is invertible if and only if  $c \neq \lambda$ . On the other hand, since  $X$  is a Banach space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , due to Gelfand-Mazur, the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  is non-empty, whence  $p(\sigma(A)) = \{c\}$ , as desired.

**Exercise 17-5 of [Lim14].** Suppose  $p(X) \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  is a polynomial such that  $p(A)$  is a compact operator. If  $p(X) \equiv c$  is a constant polynomial, then  $p(A) = cI$ , which is given to be compact. Thus, the closure of the image of the unit ball under  $p(A)$  is compact, that is,

$$\overline{cB_X(0, 1)} = \overline{B_X(0, c)}$$

is compact. If  $c \neq 0$ , using the fact that  $\overline{B_X(0, c)}$  is homeomorphic to  $\overline{B_X(0, 1)}$  through the homeomorphism  $x \mapsto c^{-1}x$  (as we have seen in class), it follows that the closed unit ball in  $X$  is compact, which is absurd, since  $X$  is infinite-dimensional. Thus  $c = 0$ . Clearly, if  $c = 0$ , then the operator  $p(A) \equiv 0$  is compact.

Suppose now that  $p(X) = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  is a non-constant polynomial of degree  $n > 0$ , whence  $a_n \neq 0$ . According to the hypothesis,  $p(A) = a_n A^n + \cdots + a_0 I$  is a compact operator. Recall that the compact operators form an ideal in the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $A$  is a compact operator, thus the operator

$$a_n A^n + \cdots + a_1 A$$

is a compact operator. It follows that

$$a_0 I = p(A) - (a_n A^n + \cdots + a_1 A)$$

is a compact operator. Because of what we just proved, we must have that  $a_0 = 0$ , that is,  $p(0) = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $p(X) \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  is a polynomial such that  $p(0) = 0$ . If  $p(X)$  is a constant polynomial, then it is identically zero, whence is trivially compact. Suppose now that  $p(X)$  is non-constant. Then, we can write

$$p(X) = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_1 X$$

for  $n > 0$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $a_n \neq 0$  where  $n$  is the degree of the polynomial  $p(X)$ . Thus  $p(A) = a_n A^n + \cdots + a_1 A$ . As we argued earlier, since the compact operators form an ideal in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $A$  is a compact operator, it is clear that  $p(A)$  is a compact operator, as desired.

#### REFERENCES

- [Con73] J.B. Conway. *Functions of One Complex Variable*. Springer New York, 1973.
- [Lim14] B.V. Limaye. *Functional Analysis*. New Age International (P) Limited, Publishers, 2014.