Subnormality in Group Theory

Swayam Chube

December 10, 2024

§1 Sylow Theory

§§ The Three Theorems

In this section, we shall state and prove the three Sylow theorems.

Theorem 1.1 (Sylow's First Theorem). Let G be a finite group and p be a prime dividing the order of G with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^k \| |G|$. Then, there is a subgroup $P \leqslant G$ with $|P| = p^k$.

We denote the set of all p-Sylow subgroups by $\operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$.

Theorem 1.2 (Sylow's Second Theorem). Let G be a finite group and p be a prime dividing the order of G. Then, all subgroups in $\operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ are conjugate.

In order to prove the above theorem, we require the following lemmas:

Lemma 1.3. Let G be a finite group, p a prime dividing $|G|$ and $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$. If H is a p-group contained in $N_G(P)$, then H is contained in P.

Lemma 1.4. Let G be a finite group, p a prime dividing $|G|$, H a p-subgroup and $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$. Then, there is $x \in G$ such that $xHx^{-1} \subseteq P$.

Theorem 1.5 (Sylow's Third Theorem). Let G be a finite grouop and p a prime dividing $|G|$. Let n_p be the cardinality of $\operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$. Then,

1. $n_p = |G|/|N_G(P)|$ for any $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$
2. $n_p \mid |G|$
3. $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

§§ Some Related Results

Henceforth, unless specified otherwise, G is a finite group and p is a prime dividing the order of G.

LEMMA 1.6. Let G be a finite group and P be a p-subgroup of G. Then, there is a p-Sylow subgroup of G containing P.

Proof. Choose any $Q \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$. Using Lemma 1.4, there is $x \in G$ such that $xPx^{-1} \subseteq Q$, and equivalently, $P \subseteq x^{-1}Qx$, which is also a p-Sylow subgroup. This completes the proof. ■

COROLLARY. Let G be a finite group and H a subgroup. If $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(H)$, then there is $Q \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ such that $P = H \cap Q$.

Proof. Since P is a p-subgroup of G, due to Lemma 1.6, there is a p-Sylow subgroup Q containing it. We shall show that $P = H \cap Q$. Obviously, $P \subseteq H \cap Q$, therefore, $v_p(|H \cap Q|) \geqslant v_p(|P|) = v_p(H)$. But since $H \cap Q$ is a subgroup of H, we must have $v_p(|H|) \geqslant v_p(|H \cap Q|)$, as a result, $v_p(|H|) = v_p(|H \cap Q|)$ and $P = H \cap Q$, since $H \cap Q$ is a p-group owing the fact that it is a subgroup of Q. ■

THEOREM 1.7. Let $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ and H be a subgroup of G such that $N_G(P) \subseteq H$. Then, $N_G(H) = H$ and $[G : H] \equiv 1 \pmod p$.

Proof. Let $x \in N_G(H)$. Then, $P^x \subseteq H$ and is also an element of $\mathrm{Syl}_p(H)$. Using Theorem 1.2, there is $h \in H$ such that $P^x = P^h$, equivalently, $x^{-1}h \in N_G(P) \subseteq H$, implying that $x \in H$.

Now, we have

$$[G : H] = \frac{[G : N_G(P)]}{[H : N_G(P)]} = \frac{n_p(G)}{n_p(H)} \equiv 1 \pmod p$$

■

In particular, we have the following attractive result:

COROLLARY. Let $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$. Then, $N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P)$.

THEOREM 1.8 (FRATTINI ARGUMENT). Let N be a normal subgroup of G and $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(N)$, then $G = N_G(P)N$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Since $N \trianglelefteq G$, $P^g \subseteq N^g \subseteq N$, $P^g \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(N)$, as a result, there is $n \in N$ such that $(P^g) = P^n$, equivalently, $P^{n^{-1}g} = P$. This immediately implies $n^{-1}g \in N_G(P)$, therefore, $g \in NN_G(P) = N_G(P)N$, completing the proof. ■

§2 Nilpotent Groups

Definition 2.1 (Nilpotent Groups). A group G is said to be *nilpotent* if there is a finite collection of normal subgroups $H_0, \ldots, H_n$ with

$$1 = H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H_n = G$$

and such that

$$H_{i+1}/H_i \subseteq Z(G/H_i)$$

for $0 \leqslant i < n$.

The Upper Central Series and the Lower Central Series are often useful in the analysis of nilpotent groups.

Definition 2.2 (Upper Central Series). For any group G, define the *Upper Central Series* as a sequence of groups,

$$1 = Z_0 \trianglelefteq Z_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots$$

such that

1. Each Z_i is characteristic in G
2. $Z_{i+1}/Z_i = Z(G/Z_i)$

Definition 2.3 (Lower Central Series). For any group G, define the *Lower Central Series* as a sequence of groups,

$$G = G_0 \trianglerighteq G_1 \trianglerighteq \cdots$$

such that $G_{i+1} = [G, G_i]$

§§ Analyzing The Upper And Lower Central Series

Lemma 2.4. For all $i \geqslant 0$, let $\pi_i : G \twoheadrightarrow G/Z_i$ denote the projection. Then, $Z_{i+1} = \pi_i^{-1}\left(Z(G/Z_i)\right)$.

Proof. Obvious. ∎

Lemma 2.5. For all $i \geqslant 0$, Z_i is characteristic in G

Proof. We shall show this by induction on i. The statement is obviously true for $Z_0 = \{1\}$. Suppose we have shown that the statement holds up to $i \geqslant 0$. Let $\varphi : G \to G$ be an automorphism of groups. We now have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_i"'] \arrow[dr, dotted, "f"] & G \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_i"] \\
G/Z_i \arrow[r, dotted, "\exists!\, \psi"'] & G/Z_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\ker \pi_i \circ \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(\ker \pi_i) = Z_i$, due to the **universal property** of the quotient, there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi : G/Z_i \to G/Z_i$ such that the above diagram commutes. Define $f = \pi_i \circ \varphi$. Then, $Z_i = \ker f = \pi_i^{-1}(\ker \psi)$, and thus, $\ker \psi = 1$. This implies that ψ is injective. Further, since π_i is surjective, so is $f = \pi_i \circ \varphi$, implying that ψ must be surjective. As a result, ψ is an automorphism of groups.

Let $g \in Z_{i+1}$, then $\pi_i(\varphi(g)) = \psi(\pi_i(g))$. We know, due to Lemma 2.4, that $\pi(g) \in Z(G/Z_i)$ and therefore, $\psi(\pi_i(g)) \in Z(G/Z_i)$, consequently $\pi_i(\varphi(g)) \in Z(G/Z_i)$ and thus, $\varphi(g) \in Z_{i+1}$.

Since we have shown for all automorphisms $\varphi : G \to G$, that $\varphi(Z_{i+1}) \subseteq Z_{i+1}$, then $\varphi^{-1}(Z_{i+1}) \subseteq Z_{i+1}$. This immediately gives us that $\varphi(Z_{i+1}) = Z_{i+1}$ for all automorphisms $\varphi : G \to G$ and Z_{i+1} is characteristic. ■

LEMMA 2.6. For all $i \geqslant 0$, we have $[G, Z_{i+1}] \subseteq Z_i$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$ and $x \in Z_{i+1}$. Let $\pi_i : G \to G/Z_i$ be the natural projection. Then,

$$\pi_i([g,x]) = [\pi_i(g), \pi_i(x)] = 1$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\pi_i(x) \in \pi_i(Z_{i+1}) = Z(G/Z_i)$. This immediately implies that $[g,x] \in Z_i$ and the desired conclusion. ■

LEMMA 2.7. For all $i \geqslant 0$, G_i is characteristic in G.

Proof. We shall show this by induction on i. The base case with $G_0 = G$ is trivial. Let $\varphi : G \to G$ be an automorphism of groups. Then, for all $g \in G$ and $x \in G_i$, it is not hard to see that $\varphi([g,x]) = [\varphi(g), \varphi(x)] \in [G, G_i] = G_{i+1}$. Therefore, for all automorphisms $\varphi : G \to G$, $\varphi(G_{i+1}) \subseteq G_{i+1}$. This implies that $\varphi(G_{i+1}) = G_{i+1}$, and completes the induction. ■

LEMMA 2.8. For all $i \geqslant 0$, $G_i/G_{i+1} \subseteq Z(G/G_{i+1})$.

Proof. Let $\pi_{i+1} : G \to G/G_{i+1}$ denote the natural projection. Let $x \in G_i$ and $g \in G$, then

$$1 = \pi_{i+1}([x,g]) = [\pi_{i+1}(x), \pi_{i+1}(g)]$$

since π_{i+1} is surjective, $\pi_{i+1}(x) \in Z(G/G_{i+1})$. This completes the proof. ■

THEOREM 2.9. For a group G, the following are equivalent,

1. For some $n \geqslant 0$, $Z_n = G$
2. For some $m \geqslant 0$, $G_m = 1$
3. G is nilpotent

Proof. We shall show that $(1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (1)$, which would imply the desired conclusion.

- $\underline{(1) \implies (2)}$: We have a finite series

$$1 = Z_0 \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_n = G$$

We shall show, through induction on i, that $G_i \subseteq Z_{n-i}$. The base case with $i = 0$ is obviously true. Using Lemma 2.6, we have, for all $i \leqslant n-1$,

$$G_{i+1} = [G, G_i] \subseteq [G, Z_{n-i}] \subseteq [G, Z_{n-i-1}] \subseteq Z_{i+1}$$

which completes the induction. Finally, we have $G_n \subseteq Z_0 = 1$, implying the desired conclusion.

- $\underline{(2) \implies (3)}$: Simply define $H_i = G_{n-i}$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Due to Lemma 2.8, we have that $H_{i+1}/H_i \subseteq Z(G/H_i)$.

- $\underline{(3) \implies (1)}$: We shall show that for all $i \geqslant 0$, $H_i \subseteq Z_i$. The base case with $i = 0$ is trivial. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} G \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi_i"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi_i'"'] & G/Z_i \\ G/H_i \arrow[ur, dotted, two heads, "\exists!\ \phi"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $H_i \subseteq Z_i$, using the universal property of the quotient, there is an epimorphism $\phi : G/H_i \to G/Z_i$ such that the above diagram commutes. Let $x \in H_{i+1}$. Then, $\pi_i'(x) \in Z(G/H_i)$, therefore, for all $g \in G$

$$1 = \phi\left(\pi_i'([g, x])\right) = \pi_i([g, x]) = [\pi_i(g), \pi_i(x)]$$

Now, since π_i is surjective, $\pi_i(x) \in Z(G/Z_i)$, and thus, $x \in Z_{i+1}$. This implies the desired conclusion.

■

§§ Related Results for Nilpotent Groups

LEMMA 2.10. Every finite p-group is nilpotent.

Proof. Let G be a finite p-group. We shall show that the upper central series is finite by showing the proper containment $Z_i \subsetneq Z_{i+1}$ whenever $Z_i \subsetneq G$ which would imply the desired conclusion. Let $\pi_i : G \to G/Z_i$ denote then natural projection. We know, due to Lemma 2.4, that $Z_{i+1} = \pi_i^{-1}(Z(G/Z_i))$ and since G/Z_i is a non-trivial p-group, it must have a non-trivial center, therefore, $Z_i \subsetneq Z_{i+1}$. This completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 2.11. Let G be a nilpotent group and H, a proper subgroup of G. Then, $H \subsetneq N_G(H)$.
Note that finiteness of G is <u>NOT</u> required.

Proof. Since G is nilpotent, the upper central series $1 = Z_0 \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_n = G$ is strictly increasing (with respect to containment). Let k be the maximal index such that $Z_k \subseteq H$, that is to say, $Z_{k+1} \subsetneq H$. Now, using Lemma 2.6,

$$[Z_{k+1}, H] \subseteq [Z_{k+1}, G] \subseteq Z_k \subseteq H$$

as a result, $Z_{k+1} \subseteq N_G(H)$ which completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 2.12. Let G be a finite nilpotent group. For every prime p dividing the order of G, the p-Sylow subgroup P is normal and therefore unique.

Proof. Recall from the study of Sylow subgroups that $N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P)$. This combined with Lemma 2.11 implies that $N_G(P) = G$, and P is normal in G which immediately implies uniqueness. ■

LEMMA 2.13. Let $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ be nilpotent groups. Then, their direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ is also nilpotent.

Proof. The central series of the product is the pointwise product of the individual central series. ■

THEOREM 2.14. A finite group is nilpotent if and only if it is a direct product of p-groups.

Proof. Suppose G is a finite nilpotent group, then due to Lemma 2.12, the Sylow subgroups of G are normal and it is well known that in this case, G is the direct product of the Sylow subgroups.

Conversely, if G is the direct product of p-groups, then using Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 2.10, we have that G is nilpotent. ■

PROPOSITION 2.15. Let G be a finite group. If $H \subsetneq N_G(H)$ for every proper subgroup H of G, then G is nilpotent.

Proof. Let P be a Sylow subgroup of G. Since $N_G(P) = N_G(N_G(P))$, we must have that $N_G(P) = G$, consequently, P is normal in G. It follows that G is a (internal) direct product of its Sylow subgroups, i.e., a direct product of p-groups, each of which is nilpotent. Hence, G is nilpotent. ■

THEOREM 2.16. Every subgroup and quotient of a nilpotent group is nilpotent.

Proof. Let G be a nilpotent group and H a subgroup of G. Let $H_0 \supseteq H_1 \supseteq \cdots$ be the lower central series of H. We shall show by induction on i, that $H_i \subseteq G_i$. The base case with $i = 0$ is trivial. We now have

$$H_{i+1} = [H, H_i] \subseteq [G, H_i] \subseteq [G, G_i] = G_{i+1}$$

this completes the induction. Finally, since the lower central series of G is finite, the lower central series of H must be finite too, implying that H is nilpotent.

On the other hand, let N be a normal subgroup of G and $G' = G/N$. Let $\pi : G \to G'$ denote the natural projection. We shall show by induction on i that $G'_i = \pi(G_i)$. The base case with $i = 0$ is trivial. We have

$$G'_{i+1} = [G', G'_i] = \pi([G, G_i]) = \pi(G_{i+1})$$

This completes the induction and implies that the lower central series of G' is finite. ■

LEMMA 2.17. A group G is nilpotent if and only if $G/Z(G)$ is nilpotent.

Proof. One direction of the statement is trivial due to Theorem 2.16. Now suppose $\widetilde{G} = G/Z(G)$ is nilpotent and let $\pi : G \to G/Z(G)$ denote the natural projection. Let $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}_0 \supseteq \widetilde{G}_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \widetilde{G}_n = 1$ denote the lower central series of $\widetilde{G}$. We shall show by induction on i that $G_i \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\widetilde{G}_i)$. We have

$$\pi(G_{i+1}) = \pi([G, G_i]) = [\pi(G), \pi(G_i)] \subseteq [\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{G}_i] = \widetilde{G}_{i+1}$$

This completes the induction and implies the desired conclusion. ■

LEMMA 2.18. Let G be a nilpotent group and N a non-trivial normal subgroup of G. Then, $Z(G) \cap N$ is non-trivial.

Proof. Let $1 = Z_0 \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_n = G$ denote the upper central series of G. Let k be the unique index such that $Z_k \cap N = 1$ while $Z_{k+1} \cap N \neq 1$. We shall show that $G \cap Z_{k+1} \subseteq Z(G)$. Indeed, we have

$$[G, N \cap Z_{k+1}] \subseteq [G, N] \cap [G, Z_{k+1}] \subseteq N \cap Z_k = 1$$

where we used that for all normal subgroups N, $[G, N] \subseteq N$ and Lemma 2.6.

Since $[G, N \cap Z_{k+1}] = 1$, we must have that $1 \neq N \cap Z_{k+1} \subseteq Z(G)$, which completes the proof. ■

§§ The Fitting Subgroup

DEFINITION 2.19. Let G be a finite group. For every prime p, let $\mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ denote the collection of all Sylow p-subgroups of G. Define

$$\mathbf{O}(G) = \bigcap_{H \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)} H.$$

Since all Sylow p-subgroups of G are conjugate, $\mathbf{O}(G)$ is a normal p-subgroup of G. For distinct primes $p \neq q$, $\mathbf{O}_p(G) \cap \mathbf{O}_q(G) = \{1\}$ and hence, $\mathbf{O}_p(G)$ commutes with $\mathbf{O}_q(G)$.

PROPOSITION 2.20. $\mathbf{O}_p(G)$ contains every normal p-subgroup of G.

Proof. Let $P \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal p-subgroup. It is well-known that there is a Sylow p-subgroup of G containing P. But since all the Sylow p-subgroups of G are conjugate, P must be contained in all of them, and hence, in $\mathbf{O}_p(G)$. ■

Consider the product map

$$\mu : \prod_{p | G} \mathbf{O}_p(G) \longrightarrow G,$$

given by $\mu\left((x_p)\right) = \prod x_p$. We contend that this map is injective. Let H be the image of μ. Since each $\mathbf{O}_p(G)$ is contained in H, their orders must divide the order of H. Further, since they are coprime, we have that the order of H is equal to the order of the product $\prod_p \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ and hence, the map must be injective.

Definition 2.21. The image of μ is denoted by $\mathbf{F}(G)$ and is called the *Fitting subgroup*.

Proposition 2.22. $\mathbf{F}(G)$ is a normal nilpotent subgroup of G. Further, it contains every nilpotent normal subgroup of G.

Proof. Being a product of normal subgroups, $\mathbf{F}(G)$ is normal. It is nilpotent as it is isomorphic to a direct product of p-groups, each of which is nilpotent.

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of G and suppose $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(N)$. Then, P is normal in G. For any $g \in G$, P^g is also contained in N (owing to N being normal in G) and has the same cardinality as P, i.e. is a Sylow p-subgroup of N. Consequently, $P = P^g$ and P is normal in G, whence P is contained in $\mathbf{O}_p(G) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$. This shows that all Sylow subgroups of N are contained in $\mathbf{F}(G)$. Since N is the product of its Sylow subgroups, we have shown that N is contained in $\mathbf{F}(G)$. ■

Proposition 2.23. $\mathbf{F}(G)$ is characteristic in G.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. Note that $\varphi(\mathbf{F}(G))$ is also nilpotent and normal in G. Consequently, it must be contained in $\mathbf{F}(G)$, whence the conclusion follows. ■

Proposition 2.24. If $N \trianglelefteq G$, then $\mathbf{F}(N) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$.

Proof. We know that $\mathbf{F}(N)$ is nilpotent and hence, it suffices to show that it is normal in G. For any $g \in G$, the map $x \mapsto g^{-1}xg = x^g$ is an automorphism of N. Since $\mathbf{F}(N)$ is characteristic in N, we have that $\mathbf{F}(N)^g \subseteq \mathbf{F}(N)$, whence the conclusion follows. ■

§3 Subnormality

Definition 3.1. Let G be a grouop. A subgroup $S \subseteq G$ is said to be *subnormal* in G if there exist subgroups H_i of G such that

$$S = H_0 \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq H_r = G.$$

In this situation, we write $S \lhd\lhd G$. The smallest integer r for which the above holds is called the *subnormal depth* of S in G.

Remark 3.2. Note that the definition of a subnormal subgroup behaves well with respect to "contraction". That is, if $S \lhd\lhd G$ and H is any subgroup of G, then $S \cap H \lhd\lhd H$. As a result, if $S, T \lhd\lhd G$, then $S \cap T \lhd\lhd G$.

Now, suppose $\varphi : G \to \overline{G}$ is a surjective group homomorphism and $S \lhd\lhd G$. Then, $\varphi(S) \lhd\lhd \overline{G}$, since the image of a subnormal series under φ is still subnormal.

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a finite group. Then G is nilpotent if and only if every subgroup of G is subnormal.

Proof. Suppose G is nilpotent and H is a proper subgroup of G. Define $H_0 = H$ and $H_{i+1} = N_G(H_i)$. Then, either $H_{i+1} = G$ or $H_i \subsetneq H_{i+1}$. This gives us a subnormal series for H.

Conversely, suppose every subgroup of G is subnormal and let H be a proper subgroup. There is a sequence

$$H = H_0 \lhd H_1 \lhd \cdots \lhd H_n = G.$$

In particular, we may assume that $H_i \subsetneq H_{i+1}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Hence, $H \subsetneq H_1 \subseteq N_G(H)$. Due to Proposition 2.15, we see that G must be nilpotent. ■

Proposition 3.4. Let G be a finite group and $H \leqslant G$. Then $H \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$ if and only if H is nilpotent and subnormal in G.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{F}(G)$ is nilpotent, if H were contained in $\mathbf{F}(G)$, then it would be niloptent too. Further, due to the preceding lemma, $H \lhd\!\lhd G$ and $\mathbf{F}(G) \lhd G$, whence $H \lhd\!\lhd G$.

We prove the converse by induction on $|G|$. If $H = G$, then there is nothing to prove, since G would be nilpotent and $\mathbf{F}(G) = G$. Suppose now that $H \subsetneq G$. There is a subnormal series

$$H = H_0 \lhd H_1 \lhd \cdots \lhd H_n = G.$$

where every successive containment is proper. Set $M = H_{n-1} \lhd G$. The inductive hypothesis applies since H is nilpotent and subnormal in M, consequently, $H \subseteq \mathbf{F}(M) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$, due to Proposition 2.24, thereby completing the proof. ■

Definition 3.5. A *minimal normal subgroup* of a group G is a non-identity normal subgroup of G that does not admit any non-trivial normal subgroups. The *socle* of a *finite* group G is defined to be the subgroup generated by all minimal normal subgroups of G, which is precisely their product.

If M and N are two minimal normal subgroups of G, then $M \cap N = \{1\}$ and hence, every element of M commutes with every element of N. Thus, $\mathrm{Soc}(G)$ is precisely the product of all minimal normal subgroups of G and is a normal subgroup of G. Further, if G is a finite group that is not trivial, then it admits a non-trivial minimal finite group, and hence, $\mathrm{Soc}(G)$ is non-trivial.

Proposition 3.6. Let G be a finite group. Then $\mathrm{Soc}(G)$ is characteristic in G.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. For a minimal normal subgroup M of G, $\varphi(M)$ is also a minimal normal subgroup of G. Consequently, φ permutes the minimal normal subgroups of G and thus stabilizes the socle. ■

Theorem 3.7. Let G be a finite group, $S \lhd\!\lhd G$, and M a minimal normal subgroup of G. Then $M \subseteq N_G(S)$.

Proof. Induction on $|G|$. If $S = G$, then there is nothing to prove, so we can suppose that $S \subsetneq G$. Since $S \lhd\!\lhd G$, arguing as in the preceding proof, we can choose a normal subgroup $N \subsetneq G$ such that $S \lhd\!\lhd N \lhd G$.

If $M \cap N = 1$, then every element of M commutes with every element of N, and hence, $M \subseteq C_G(N) \subseteq C_G(S) \subseteq N_G(S)$. Suppose now that $M \cap N$ is non-trivial. But since M is a minimal normal subgroup, $M = M \cap N$, i.e. $M \subseteq N$.

The inductive hypothesis applies to N, whence every minimal normal subgroup of N normalizes S, consequently, $\mathrm{Soc}(N)$ normalizes S. Therefore, it suffices to show that $M \subseteq \mathrm{Soc}(N)$.

Since N is a finite group and M is a non-trivial normal subgroup of N, it contains a minimal normal subgroup. That is, $M \cap \mathrm{Soc}(N) \neq 1$. Since $\mathrm{Soc}(N)$ is characteristic in N, it must be normal in G. Owing to the minimality of M in G, $M \cap \mathrm{Soc}(N) = M$, that is, $M \subseteq \mathrm{Soc}(N)$ as desired. ■

THEOREM 3.8 (WIELANDT). Let G be a finite group and $S, T \lhd\!\lhd G$. Then $\langle S, T\rangle \lhd\!\lhd G$.

Proof. Induction on $|G|$. Suppose G is non-trivial, choose a minimal normal subgroup M of G and set $\overline{G} = G/M$. By abuse of notation, we use the "overbar" to denote the homomorphism $G \to \overline{G}$. Note that

$$\langle \overline{S}, \overline{T} \rangle = \overline{\langle S, T\rangle} = \overline{\langle S, T\rangle M},$$

since M is the kernel of $G \to \overline{G}$. The inductive hypothesis applies to $\overline{G}$ and hence, $\langle \overline{S}, \overline{T}\rangle \lhd\!\lhd \overline{G}$. There is a natural bijection between the subgroups of G containing M and the subgroups of $\overline{G}$, which preserves normality and hence, subnormality. Therefore, $\langle S, T\rangle M \lhd\!\lhd G$.

Finally, note that $M \subseteq N_G(S), N_G(T)$ and hence, $M \subseteq N_G(\langle S, T\rangle)$, whence $\langle S, T\rangle \lhd \langle S, T\rangle M \lhd\!\lhd G$, whence the conclusion follows. ■

LEMMA 3.9. Let G be a group and $H \leqslant G$. If $HH^x = G$ for some $x \in G$, then $H = G$.

Proof. ■

THEOREM 3.10 (WIELANDT ZIPPER LEMMA). Let G be a finite group and $S \leqslant G$ such that $S \lhd\!\lhd H$ for every proper subgroup H of G containing S. If S is not subnormal in G, then there is a unique maximal subgroup of G containing S.

Proof. ■

DEFINITION 3.11. For a subgroup H of a group G, let H^G denote the smallest normal subgroup of G containing H. This is known as the *normal closure* of H in G.

THEOREM 3.12 (BAER). Let G be a finite group and $H \leqslant G$. Then $H \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$ if and only if $\langle H, H^x\rangle$ is nilpotent for all $x \in G$.

Proof. If $H \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$, then $H^x \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$ for every $x \in G$, since $\mathbf{F}(G) \trianglelefteq G$. Hence, $\langle H, H^x\rangle \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$. But since $\mathbf{F}(G)$ is nilpotent, so is $\langle H, H^x\rangle$.

Conversely, suppose $\langle H, H^x\rangle$ is nilpotent for every $x \in G$. We induct on $|G|$. Taking $x = 1$, we see that H is nilpotent, whence it suffices to prove that $H \lhd\!\lhd G$.

Suppose H is not subnormal in G. For any proper subgroup K of G containing H, the induction hypothesis applies to K and hence, $H \subseteq \mathbf{F}(K)$, that is, $H \lhd\!\lhd K$. Due to Wielandt's Zipper Lemma, there is a unique maximal subgroup M of G containing H.

If $\langle H, H^x\rangle = G$, then G is nilpotent and $\mathbf{F}(G) = G$, and $H \lhd\!\lhd G$, a contradiction. Thus, $\langle H, H^x\rangle \subsetneq G$ for all $x \in G$. This subgroup must be contained in a maximal subgroup of G; but since it contains H, and there is a unique maximal subgroup M containing H, we conclude that $H^x \subseteq M$ for all $x \in G$. Therefore, $H^G \subseteq M \subsetneq G$.

Since H^G is normal and properly contained in G, the induction hypothesis applies and $H \lhd\!\lhd H^G \lhd G$, that is, $H \lhd\!\lhd G$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. ■

THEOREM 3.13 (ZENKOV). Let G be a finite group and $A, B \leqslant G$ be abelian subgroups. If M is a minimal element in the set

$$\{A \cap B^g : g \in G\},$$

then $M \subseteq \mathbf{F}(G)$.

Proof. The set $\{A \cap B^g : g \in G\}$ remains unchanged upon replacing B with B^g. Therefore, we may assume that $M = A \cap B$. We prove the statement by induction on $|G|$. ■