

# Commutative Algebra

Swayam Chube

April 5, 2023

## Abstract

This document mainly contains terse notes of commutative algebra and solutions to exercises from [1]. The three main references were [1], [3] and [4].

Except for in the chapter on modules, all rings are assumed to be commutative unless stated otherwise. We use a uniform convention to represent a commutative ring with  $A$  and a general ring with  $R$ . Similarly, we represent modules by one of  $M, N, P$ . A maximal ideal is generally denoted by  $\mathfrak{m}$  while a prime ideal is denoted by  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Theory Building</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Rings and Ideals</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Modules</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	4
2.2	Free Modules . . . . .	6
2.3	Finitely Generated Modules . . . . .	6
2.4	Exact Sequences . . . . .	7
2.5	Tensor Product . . . . .	8

# **Part I**

## **Theory Building**

# **Chapter 1**

## **Rings and Ideals**

# Chapter 2

## Modules

### 2.1 Introduction

Throughout this section,  $R$  denotes a general ring which need not be commutative.

**Definition 2.1 (Module).** A left  $R$ -module is an abelian group  $(M, +)$  along with a ring action, that is, a ring homomorphism  $\mu : R \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$ .

Henceforth, unless specified otherwise, an  $R$ -module refers to a *left*  $R$ -module. Trivially note that  $R$  is an  $R$ -module, so is any ideal in  $R$  and so is every quotient ring  $R/I$  where  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ . When  $R$  is a field, an  $R$ -module is the same as a vector space.

Every abelian group  $G$  trivially forms a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Using this and the forthcoming *Structure Theorem for Finitely Generated Modules over a PID*, we obtain the *Structure Theorem for Finitely Generated Abelian Groups*.

**Definition 2.2 (Submodule).** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. An  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is a subgroup  $N$  of  $M$  which is closed under the action of  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.3 (Submodule Criteria).** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $\emptyset \subsetneq N \subseteq M$  is a submodule if and only if for all  $x, y \in N$  and  $r \in R$ ,  $x + ry \in N$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward definition pushing. ■

**Definition 2.4 (Module Homomorphism).** Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. A *module homomorphism* is a group homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  such that for all  $x \in M$  and  $r \in R$ ,  $\phi(rx) = r\phi(x)$ .

In other words, a module homomorphism is simply an  $R$ -linear map.

**Proposition 2.5 (Homomorphism Criteria).** Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. Then  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism if and only if for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $r \in R$ ,  $\phi(x + ry) = \phi(x) + r\phi(y)$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward definition pushing. ■

It is not hard to see, using the above proposition and the submodule criteria that the image of an  $R$ -module under a homomorphism is a submodule.

For  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ , we denote the set of all  $R$ -module homomorphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  by  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . When the choice of the ring  $R$  is clear from the context, we shall denote this set by  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$  forms an  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$  has the structure of an abelian group. Define the natural action by  $(rf)(x) = rf(x)$ . It is not hard to see that this action is well defined. ■

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $R$ -module homomorphism. Then, for every  $R$ -module  $P$ , there is an induced  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\bar{\phi} : \text{Hom}(N, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, P)$  and an induced  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\tilde{\phi} : \text{Hom}(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, N)$ .

Equivalently phrased,  $\text{Hom}(-, P)$  is a contravariant functor while  $\text{Hom}(P, -)$  is a covariant functor.

*Proof.* We shall prove only the first half of the assertion since the second half follows from a similar proof. Define  $\bar{\phi}$  using the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N \\ & \searrow f \circ \phi & \downarrow f \\ & & P \end{array}$$

To see that this is indeed an  $R$ -module homomorphism, we need only verify that for all  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(N, P)$  and all  $r \in R$ ,  $(f + rg) \circ \phi = f \circ \phi + rg \circ \phi$  which is trivial to check. ■

**Definition 2.8 (Kernel, Cokernel).** Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $R$ -module homomorphism. We define

$$\ker \phi = \{x \in M \mid \phi(x) = 0\} \quad \text{coker } \phi = N / \phi(M)$$

For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , define the annihilator of  $M$  in  $R$  as

$$\text{Ann}(M) = \{r \in R \mid rx = 0 \forall x \in M\}$$

It is trivial to check that  $\text{Ann}(M)$  is a left ideal in  $R$ , and if  $R$  were commutative, it would be an ideal.

## 2.2 Free Modules

Throughout this section,  $R$  denotes a general ring which need not be commutative. The content of this section is taken from [2].

We define the free module using a universal property and then provide a construction for it. This should establish uniqueness.

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $S$  be a non-empty set. A *free module on  $S$*  is an  $R$ -module  $F$  together with a mapping  $f : S \rightarrow F$  such that for every  $R$ -module  $M$  and every set map  $g : S \rightarrow M$ , there is a unique  $R$ -module homomorphism  $h : F \rightarrow M$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{g} & M \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! h & \\ F & & \end{array}$$

## 2.3 Finitely Generated Modules

**Definition 2.10 (Finitely Generated Module).** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be finitely generated if there is a finite subset  $S$  of  $M$  which generates  $M$ . That is, there is no proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  containing  $S$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated if  $M$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $R^{\oplus n}$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* We shall only prove the forward direction since the converse is trivial to prove. Suppose  $M$  is finitely generated. Then, it is generated by a finite subset  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . Define the  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\phi : R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M$  by  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mapsto r_1x_1 + \dots + r_nx_n$ . From the first isomorphism theorem, we have  $M \cong R^{\oplus n} / \ker \phi$ . ■

**Proposition 2.12.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module and  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal of  $A$ . Let  $\phi \in \text{End}(M)$  such that  $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M$ . Then, there are  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that

$$\phi^n + a_{n-1}\phi^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$$

as an element of  $\text{End}(M)$ , where  $a_k$  is treated as the homomorphism  $x \mapsto a_kx$  in  $\text{End}(M)$ .



*Proof.* Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a generating set for  $M$ . Then, for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there are coefficients  $\{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}\}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$$

We may rewrite this as

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\phi\delta_{ij} - a_{ij})x_j = 0$$

Let  $B$  denote the matrix  $(\phi\delta_{ij} - a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . Then, multiplying by  $\text{adj}(B)$ , we see that  $\det(B)(x_j) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$  where  $\det(B)$  is viewed as an element in  $\text{End}(M)$  and thus, is the zero map in  $\text{End}(M)$ . It is not hard to see that  $\det(B)$  is in the required form.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.13 (Nakayama).** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated module and  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$  be an ideal such that  $M = \mathfrak{a}M$ . Then,  $M = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi = \text{id}$  be the identity homomorphism in  $\text{End}(M)$ . Using Proposition 2.12, there are coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{a}$  satisfying the statement of the proposition. As a result,  $x = 1 + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  is the zero endomorphism. But since  $a_{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $x$  is a unit and hence,  $M = 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

## Over a PID

Throughout this section, let  $R$  denote a principal ideal domain.

## 2.4 Exact Sequences

**Definition 2.14.** A sequence of module homomorphisms

$$M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} P$$

is said to be exact at  $N$  if  $\text{im } f = \ker g$ . A short exact sequence is a sequence of module homomorphisms:

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} P \longrightarrow 0$$

which is exact at  $M$ ,  $N$  and  $P$ .

It is not hard to see that the sequence in the definition is short exact if and only if  $f$  is injective,  $g$  is surjective and  $\text{im } f = \ker g$ .

**Theorem 2.15.** For all  $R$ -modules  $X$ ,  $\text{Hom}(X, -)$  is a left exact functor. That is,  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$  is exact if and only if  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, P)$  is exact.

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram where the row is exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & X & & & \\ & & u & \swarrow & \downarrow v & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{g} & P \end{array}$$

Let  $u \in \ker \bar{f}$ . Since  $f$  is injective, it is obvious that  $u$  must be the trivial homomorphism. Next, we must show that  $\text{im } \bar{f} = \ker \bar{g}$ . First, note that  $\bar{f} \circ \bar{g} = \overline{f \circ g} = 0$  since  $\text{Hom}(X, -)$  is a covariant functor. Finally, suppose  $v \in \ker \bar{g}$ . Then,  $g \circ v = 0$ , consequently,  $\text{im } v \subseteq \text{im } f$ . Now, since  $f$  is injective,  $f^{-1}(\text{im } v)$  is a submodule of  $M$  and hence, the map  $w : X \rightarrow M$  given by  $x \mapsto f^{-1}(v(x))$  is well defined and  $f \circ w = v$ .

For the converse, simply note that  $\text{Hom}(R, M)$  is isomorphic to  $M$ . ■

## Diagram Chasing

## 2.5 Tensor Product

Throughout this section,  $R$  denotes a general ring which need not be commutative.

**Definition 2.16 (Bilinear Map).** Let  $M, N, P$  be  $R$ -modules. A map  $T : M \times N \rightarrow P$  is said to be bilinear if for each  $x \in M$ , the mapping  $T_x : N \rightarrow P$  given by  $y \mapsto T(x, y)$  is  $R$ -linear and for each  $y \in N$ , the mapping  $T_y : M \rightarrow P$  given by  $x \mapsto T(x, y)$  is  $R$ -linear.

Fix two  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the category of bilinear maps  $T : M \times N \rightarrow P$  where  $P$  is any  $R$ -module. A morphism between two bilinear maps  $f : M \times N \rightarrow P_1$  and  $g : M \times N \rightarrow P_2$  in this category is a module homomorphism  $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{f} & P_1 \\ g \downarrow & \searrow \phi & \\ P_2 & & \end{array}$$

A universal object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called the tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$  and is denoted by  $M \otimes N$ . In other words, the tensor product is an initial object in the category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.17 (Universal Property of the Tensor Product).** Let  $M, N, P$  be  $R$ -modules and  $T : M \times N \rightarrow P$  be a bilinear map. Then, there is a unique  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\phi : M \otimes N \rightarrow P$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{T} & P \\ \varphi \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \phi & \\ M \otimes N & & \end{array}$$

Of course, having the universal property would imply that the tensor product, if it exists, is unique upto a unique isomorphism. We shall now construct a tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$ .

## Constructing the Tensor Product

Let  $F$  be the free  $R$ -module on  $M \times N$ . Let us denote the basis elements of  $F$  by  $e_{(x,y)}$  where  $x \in M$  and  $y \in N$ . Now, for all  $x, x_1, x_2 \in M, y, y_1, y_2 \in N$  and  $r \in R$ , let  $D$  denote the submodule generated by elements of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(x_1+x_2,y)} - e_{(x_1,y)} - e_{(x_2,y)} \\ e_{(x,y_1+y_2)} - e_{(x,y_1)} - e_{(x,y_2)} \\ e_{(rx,y)} - re_{(x,y)} \\ e_{(x,ry)} - re_{(x,y)} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $G = F/D$  and let  $\varphi : M \times N \rightarrow G$  be the composition of the following maps:

$$M \times N \hookrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow G$$

Let  $T : M \times N \rightarrow P$  be a bilinear map. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{T} & P \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \exists! f & \uparrow \exists! \phi \\ F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G \end{array}$$

To show that existence of  $\phi$ , we must show that  $D \subseteq \ker f$ , since we can then finish using the universal property of the kernel. But this is trivial to check and follows from the fact that  $T$  is a bilinear map and completes the construction.

Similarly, we define the tensor product for a finite sequence of  $R$ -modules  $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . That is, given a multilinear map  $T : \prod_{i=1}^n M_i \rightarrow P$ , there is a unique  $R$ -module homo-

morphism  $\phi$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n & \xrightarrow{T} & P \\ \varphi \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \phi & \\ M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n & & \end{array}$$

## Properties of Tensor Product

Given two modules  $M$  and  $N$  with the canonical map  $\varphi : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ , we denote by  $m \otimes n$ , the element  $\varphi(m, n)$  in  $M \otimes N$ .

**Proposition 2.18.** *Let  $M, N, P$  be  $A$ -modules. Then,*

- (a)  $M \otimes N \cong N \otimes M$
- (b)  $(M \otimes N) \otimes P \cong M \otimes (N \otimes P) \cong M \otimes N \otimes P$
- (c)  $M \oplus N \otimes P \cong (M \otimes P) \oplus (N \otimes P)$
- (d)  $A \otimes M \cong M$

*Further, in each case, the isomorphism is unique.*

*Proof.* In each case, it suffices to show that both modules have the same universal property, which would imply a unique isomorphism between the two modules.

- (a) Consider the map  $T : M \times N \rightarrow N \times M$  given by  $(m, n) \mapsto (n, m)$ . Let  $\varphi : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$  and  $\varphi' : N \times M \rightarrow N \otimes M$  be the canonical morphisms. Consider now the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow[\sim]{T} & N \times M \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi' \\ M \otimes N & \xrightleftharpoons[\phi]{\phi'} & N \otimes M \end{array}$$

Define the map  $\phi(m \otimes n) = n \otimes m$  and  $\phi'(n \otimes m) = m \otimes n$ . It is not hard to see that  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  make the diagram commute. Further, since  $\varphi' \circ T$  is bilinear,  $\phi$  is the unique morphism making the diagram commute and similarly for  $\phi'$ . Finally, since  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are

■

# Bibliography

- [1] Michael Francis Atiyah and I. G. MacDonald. *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*. Addison-Wesley-Longman, 1969.
- [2] T. S. Blyth. *Module Theory: An approach to linear algebra*. 1990.
- [3] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. *Abstract algebra*. Wiley, New York, 3rd ed edition, 2004.
- [4] Serge Lang. *Algebra*. Springer, New York, NY, 2002.