

Algebraic Topology

Swayam Chube

July 24, 2023

Contents

1	The Fundamental Group	2
1.1	Fundamental Groupoid and Group	2
1.2	Retracts and Deformation Retracts	4
1.3	van Kampen's Theorem	5
1.3.1	Alternate Formulation of van Kampen's Theorem	6
1.4	Applications of van Kampen's Theorem	7
2	Covering Spaces	8
2.1	Lifting Properties	8
2.2	The Universal Cover	10
2.2.1	n -sheeted coverings	13
2.3	Deck Transformations and Covering Space Actions	13
2.3.1	Deck Transformations	13
2.3.2	Covering Space Actions	14
3	Homology	16

Chapter 1

The Fundamental Group

1.1 Fundamental Groupoid and Group

Definition 1.1 (Homotopy). Let X and Y be topological spaces. A homotopy is a continuous function $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$. A *homotopy* between two functions $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = g(x)$.

Definition 1.2 (Homotopy of Paths). Let X be a topological space and $f, g : I \rightarrow X$ be paths. Then, f and g are said to be *path homotopic* if there is a continuous function $H : I \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $H(s, 0) = f(s)$ and $H(s, 1) = g(s)$ for all $s \in I$. We denote this by $f \simeq_p g$.

Proposition 1.3. The relation \simeq on the set of all paths in X is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 1.4. Let $f : I \rightarrow X$ be a path and $\varphi : I \rightarrow I$ be a continuous function such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(1) = 1$. Then, $f \simeq_p f \circ \varphi$.

Proof. Define the function $\Phi : I \times I \rightarrow X$ by

$$\Phi(s, t) = f(t\varphi(s) + (1 - t)s)$$

It is not hard to see that Φ is a path homotopy between f and $f \circ \varphi$. ■

Consider the set of all equivalence classes of paths in X under the equivalence relation \simeq_p . Define the operation $*$ on pairs of equivalence classes $[f]$ and $[g]$ where $f(1) = g(0)$ by

$$[f] * [g] = [f * g]$$

where

$$(f * g)(t) = \begin{cases} f(2t) & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ g(2t - 1) & 1/2 < t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1.5. *The operation $*$ is associative. That is,*

$$[f] * ([g] * [h]) = ([f] * [g]) * h$$

Proof. Note that $[f] * ([g] * [h])$ is the equivalence class containing the path:

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} f(2t) & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ g(4t - 2) & 1/2 < t \leq 3/4 \\ h(4t - 3) & 3/4 < t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Consider the piecewise linear function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that maps $[0, 1/2]$ to $[0, 1/4]$, $[1/2, 3/4]$ to $[1/4, 1/2]$ and $[3/4, 1]$ to $[3/4, 1]$, then through $\alpha \circ \varphi$, the conclusion follows. ■

Proposition 1.6. *Let $f : I \rightarrow X$ be a path and $\bar{f} : I \rightarrow X$ be given by $\bar{f}(t) = f(1 - t)$ for $t \in I$. Then $f * \bar{f}$ is path homotopic to the constant path at x_0 .*

Proof. Define the homotopy

$$H(s, t) = \begin{cases} f(2s) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - t) \\ f(1 - t) & \frac{1}{2}(1 - t) \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + t) \\ \bar{f}(2s - 1) & \frac{1}{2}(1 + t) \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then, $H(\cdot, 0) = f * \bar{f}$ and $H(\cdot, 1)$ is the constant path at x_0 which completes the proof. ■

Definition 1.7 (Fundamental Group). Let $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ be the set of equivalence classes of paths $\alpha : I \rightarrow X$ with $\alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = x_0$. Then, $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ forms a group under the operation $*$. This is known as the *fundamental group* of X based at x_0 .

Let \mathbf{Top}_* denote the category of pointed topological spaces, that is, the category wherein objects are pairs (X, x_0) where $x_0 \in X$ and a morphism $f : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Proposition 1.8. *Let $f : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ be a morphism in \mathbf{Top}_* . Then, the map $f_* : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ given by $[\alpha] \mapsto [f \circ \alpha]$ is a homomorphism of groups. Further, if*

$$(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, y_0) \xrightarrow{g} (Z, z_0)$$

then $(g \circ f)_ = g_* \circ f_*$.*

Proof. If H is a path homotopy between α_1 and α_2 in X , then $f \circ H$ is a homotopy between $f \circ \alpha_1$ and $f \circ \alpha_2$ in Y . Thus, the map f_* is well defined. Next, suppose $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$, then, it is not hard to see that $(f \circ \alpha) * (f \circ \beta) = f \circ (\alpha * \beta)$, consequently, f_* is a homomorphism of groups. The final assertion is obvious from the definition. ■

As a result, we see that π_1 is a (covariant) functor from \mathbf{Top}_* to \mathbf{Grp} .

Theorem 1.9. Let X be path connected and $x_0, x_1 \in X$. Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow X$ be a path from x_0 to x_1 . Then, the map $\hat{\alpha} : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_1)$ given by $[f] \mapsto [\bar{\alpha} * f * \alpha]$ is a group isomorphism.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\hat{\alpha}$ is a homomorphism. The surjectivity and injectivity of this map are obvious. ■

Proposition 1.10. Let X be path connected and $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. If $x_0, x_1 \in X$ with $\alpha : I \rightarrow X$ a path between them and $\beta = h \circ \alpha$, then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{(h_{x_0})_*} & \pi_1(Y, y_0) \\ \hat{\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{\beta} \\ \pi_1(X, x_1) & \xrightarrow{(h_{x_1})_*} & \pi_1(Y, y_1) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $[f] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$. Then,

$$\hat{\beta} \circ (h_{x_0})_*([f]) = \hat{\beta}([h \circ f]) = [\bar{\beta} * h \circ f * \beta]$$

and

$$(h_{x_1})_* \circ \hat{\alpha}([f]) = (h_{x_1})_*([\bar{\alpha} * f * \alpha]) = [\bar{\beta} * h \circ f * \beta]$$

This completes the proof. ■

1.2 Retracts and Deformation Retracts

Definition 1.11. A *retraction* of a space X onto a subspace A is a map $r : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{im}(r) = A$ and $r|_A = \text{id}_A$. A *deformation retraction* is a homotopy $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$ between id_X and a retraction r from X onto A . That is, $H|_{X \times \{0\}} = \text{id}_X$ and $H|_{X \times \{1\}} = r$. A deformation retract is said to be *strong* if $H|_{A \times \{t\}} = \text{id}_A$ for all $t \in I$.

Proposition 1.12. If a space X retracts onto a subspace A and $x_0 \in A$, then the homomorphism $i_* : \pi_1(A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$ induced by the inclusion $i : A \rightarrow X$ is injective. If A is a deformation retract of X , then i_* is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $r : X \rightarrow A$ denote the (restriction of the) retraction of X onto A . Then, $r \circ i = \text{id}_A$ whence $r_* \circ i_* = \text{id}_{\pi_1(A, x_0)}$ whence i_* must be injective.

Now, let $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$ be a deformation retraction of X onto A . It suffices to show that i_* is surjective. Indeed, let $f : I \rightarrow X$ be a loop based at x_0 . Then, the map $\Phi : I \times I \rightarrow X$ given by $\Phi(s, t) = H(f(s), t)$ is a path homotopy between f and $g = H|_{I \times \{1\}}$. Since $g \in \pi_1(A, x_0)$, we see that i_* must be surjective. ■

Definition 1.13 (Homotopy Equivalence). A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *homotopy equivalence* if there is a continuous map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f$ is homotopic to id_X and $f \circ g$ is homotopic to id_Y .

1.3 van Kampen's Theorem

The following formulation has been taken from [Mun02]

Theorem 1.14 (van Kampen). *Let $X = U \cup V$ where U and V are open in X . Further, suppose U , V and $U \cap V$ are nonempty and path connected. Let H be a group, $x_0 \in U \cap V$ and*

$$\phi_1 : \pi_1(U, x_0) \rightarrow H \quad \phi_2 : \pi_1(V, x_0) \rightarrow H$$

be homomorphisms. Finally, let i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 be the homomorphisms of fundamental groups induced by inclusion maps. Then, there is a unique map $\Phi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow H$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \pi_1(U, x_0) & & \\
 & \nearrow i_1 & \downarrow j_1 & \searrow \phi_1 & \\
 \pi_1(U \cap V, x_0) & & \pi_1(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & H \\
 & \searrow i_2 & \uparrow j_2 & \nearrow \phi_2 & \\
 & & \pi_1(V, x_0) & &
 \end{array}$$

Notice how the diagram resembles that of a pushout in a general category and hence, has the universal property and hence, the object, if it exists is unique up to a unique isomorphism. In the special case that $U \cap V$ is simply connected, that is, has a trivial fundamental group, the commutative diagram reduces to that of a coproduct. And it is well known that the coproduct in the category of groups is the free product.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}(U, x_0), \mathcal{L}(V, x_0), \mathcal{L}(U \cap V, x_0)$ denote the set of loops in U, V and $U \cap V$. The path homotopy class of a path f in X, U, V and $U \cap V$ is denoted by $[f], [f]_U, [f]_V$ and $[f]_{U \cap V}$ respectively. The proof proceeds in multiple steps. The main idea is to first define a set map ρ on the set of loops contained completely in either U or V , then extend it to a set map σ on the set of paths contained completely in either U or V and finally extend it to a set map τ on the set of all paths in X .

Once the map τ is defined, we shall show that $\tau(f) = \tau(g)$ whenever $f \simeq_p g$ and therefore, τ would descend to a group homomorphism from $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ to H .

Step 1: Defining the set map $\rho : \mathcal{L}(U, x_0) \cup \mathcal{L}(V, x_0) \rightarrow H$.

This has quite a natural definition:

$$\rho(f) = \begin{cases} \phi_1([f]_U) & f \text{ is contained completely in } U \\ \phi_2([f]_V) & f \text{ is contained completely in } V \end{cases}$$

For a loop contained in $U \cap V$, the map ρ is well defined due to the commutativity of the diagram. It is not hard to see that if $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(U, x_0)$, then $\rho(f * g) = \rho(f)\rho(g)$.

Step 2: Extend the map ρ to a map $\sigma : \mathcal{P}(U) \cup \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow H$.

For each $x \in X$, fix a path α_x from x_0 to x such that whenever x lies in U, V or $U \cap V$, α_x lies completely in U, V or $U \cap V$ respectively.

Let f be a path from x_1 to x_2 that lies completely in U or completely in V . Define

$$\sigma(f) = \rho(\alpha_{x_1} * f * \alpha_{x_2}^{-1})$$

Now, let f and g be paths completely contained in U . If $f \simeq_p g$ in U , then $\alpha_{x_1} * f * \alpha_{x_2}^{-1} \simeq_p \alpha_{x_1} * g * \alpha_{x_2}^{-1}$ in U and from the definition of ρ , we see that

$$\sigma(f) = \rho(\alpha_{x_1} * f * \alpha_{x_2}^{-1}) = \rho(\alpha_{x_1} * g * \alpha_{x_2}^{-1}) = \sigma(g)$$

Next, if f is a path from x_1 to x_2 and g is a path from x_2 to x_3 (both contained in U), then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(f * g) &= \rho(\alpha_{x_1} * f * g * \alpha_{x_3}^{-1}) \\ &= \rho(\alpha_{x_1} * f * \alpha_{x_2}^{-1} * \alpha_{x_2} * g * \alpha_{x_3}^{-1}) \\ &= \rho(\alpha_{x_1} * f * \alpha_{x_2}^{-1}) \rho(\alpha_{x_2} * g * \alpha_{x_3}^{-1}) = \sigma(f) \sigma(g)\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Extend the map σ to a map $\tau : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow H$

Let $f : I \rightarrow X$ be a path. It is not hard to argue, using Lebesgue's Number Lemma, that there is a mesh δ such that for every partition $0 = s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{n-1} < s_n = 1$ of $[0, 1]$ with mesh less than δ , $f([s_i, s_{i+1}])$ is completely contained in either U or V for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Denote by f_i , the restriction of f to $[s_i, s_{i+1}]$. Define

$$\tau(f, P) = \sigma(f_0) \cdots \sigma(f_{n-1})$$

We contend that the map $\tau(f, P)$ is independent of the partition chosen, so long as its mesh is less than δ . To do so, we first show that refining a partition with mesh less than δ does not change the image under τ , for which, it suffices to show that adding a single point to the partition does not change the image. Indeed, let $c \in (s_i, s_{i+1})$ be added to the partition. But since $f([s_i, c])$ and $f([c, s_{i+1}])$ lie completely either in U or in V , we have that $\sigma(f|_{[s_i, c]}) \sigma(f|_{[c, s_{i+1}]}) = \sigma(f|_{[s_i, s_{i+1}]})$ whence the conclusion follows.

Now, let P_1 and P_2 be two partitions of $[0, 1]$ with mesh less than δ . Then $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a partition that refines both P_1 and P_2 , consequently,

$$\tau(f, P_1) = \tau(f, P_1 \cup P_2) = \tau(f, P_2)$$

which establishes our claim.

Step 4: If $f \simeq_p g$ in X , then $\tau(f) = \tau(g)$.

Let $F : I \times I \rightarrow X$ be a path homotopy between f and g . Using the Lebesgue Number Lemma, there are partitions $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_{n-1} < s_n = 1$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{m-1} < t_m = 1$ such that $f([s_i, s_{i+1}] \times [t_i, t_{i+1}])$ is completely contained in either U or V .

Step 5: $\tau(f * g) = \tau(f) \tau(g)$

Let P be a partition of $f * g$ such that $(f * g)([s_i, s_{i+1}])$ is completely contained in either U or V . Define $P^* = P \cup \{1/2\}$. It is not hard to see, using P^* that τ is multiplicative.

Step 6: Constructing the homomorphism Φ .

Restrict the map τ to $\tau : \mathcal{L}(X, x_0) \rightarrow H$. From **Step 4**, it follows that there is a map $\Phi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow H$ and from **Step 5**, we get that Φ is a homomorphism.

The above argument establishes the existence of a group homomorphism $\Phi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow H$ making the diagram commute. We must now show that the map Φ is unique. But this follows from the fact that the generators of Φ are precisely the images of the generators of $\pi_1(U, x_0)$ and $\pi_1(V, x_0)$ under the homomorphisms j_1 and j_2 respectively. ■

1.3.1 Alternate Formulation of van Kampen's Theorem

The following formulation and proof has been taken from [Hat00]. The upshot of this formulation is that it gives a recipe for computing the presentation of the fundamental group which is hard to see from the previous formulation.

Let X be a topological space and $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be an open cover of path connected subspaces of X . Let $x_0 \in X$ be a basepoint such that $x_0 \in A_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in J$. The inclusion $A_\alpha \hookrightarrow X$ induces a group homomorphism

$j_\alpha : \pi_1(A_\alpha) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ where we have dropped the basepoint to avoid clutter. Similarly, the inclusion $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta \hookrightarrow A_\alpha$ induces a group homomorphism $i_{\alpha\beta} : \pi_1(A_\alpha \cap A_\beta) \rightarrow \pi_1(A_\alpha)$.

Due to the Universal Property of Free Products, the group homomorphisms j_α induce a group homomorphism

$$\Phi : *_{\alpha \in J} \pi_1(A_\alpha) \rightarrow \pi_1(X).$$

Proposition 1.15. *If each intersection $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta$ is path connected, then Φ is surjective.*

Sketch of Proof. The proof of surjectivity follows the same proof of **Step2** in the proof of Theorem 1.14. It suffices to show that any element in $\pi_1(X)$ can be represented as the product of finitely many elements of $j_\alpha(\pi_1(A_\alpha))$.

Take any loop $f : I \rightarrow X$ based at x_0 and then using the Lebesgue Number Lemma, find a partition $0 \leq t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$ of I such that the image $f([t_i, t_{i+1}])$ is completely contained in some A_α for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Now, join the endpoints of each such path to x_0 , which can be done since each $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta$ is path connected. This immediately gives us a decomposition of $[f]$. ■

Proposition 1.16. *If in addition to the hypothesis of , each intersection $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta \cap A_\gamma$ is path connected, then the kernel of the surjection Φ is generated by the set*

$$\left\{ i_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) i_{\beta\alpha}(\omega)^{-1} \mid \omega \in \pi_1(A_\alpha, A_\beta) \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in J \right\}.$$

Proof. _____ ■

1.4 Applications of van Kampen's Theorem

Proof of
general van
kampen

Applications
of van kam-
pen

Chapter 2

Covering Spaces

Definition 2.1 (Covering Space). A covering space of a space X is a space \tilde{X} together with a map $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ satisfying the condition that there is an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X such that for each $\alpha \in J$, $p^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is a disjoint union of open sets in \tilde{X} , each of which is mapped homeomorphically by p to U_α .

Notice that for each $x \in X$, the subspace $p^{-1}(x)$ of \tilde{X} has the discrete topology.

Proposition 2.2. Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a covering map where X is connected. If for some $x \in X$, $|p^{-1}(x)| = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for all $x' \in X$, $|p^{-1}(x')| = n$.

Proof. The map $x \mapsto |p^{-1}(x)|$ is locally constant and thus continuous. Owing to X being connected and \mathbb{N} having the discrete topology, the aforementioned map must be constant. ■

2.1 Lifting Properties

Definition 2.3 (Lift). Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a continuous and $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a covering map. A *lift* of f is a map $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{X} \\ & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Theorem 2.4. Let Y be connected and $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ a covering map. If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map having two lifts $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, that agree at some point in Y , then they agree on all of Y .

Proof. Let

$$A = \{y \in Y \mid \tilde{f}_1(y) = \tilde{f}_2(y)\}$$

We shall show that A is clopen in Y , whence we would be done owing to A being nonempty. Let $y \in A$ and $x = f(y)$. There is a neighborhood U of x such that $p^{-1}(U)$ is a disjoint union of $\{V_\alpha\}$ which are homeomorphically mapped to U . Let V_β be the one containing $\tilde{x} = \tilde{f}_1(y) = \tilde{f}_2(y)$. Then, due to continuity,

there is a neighborhood N of y that is mapped into V_β by both \tilde{f}_1 and \tilde{f}_2 . Then, for all $z \in N$, $p \circ \tilde{f}_1(z) = p \circ \tilde{f}_2(z)$ but since p is injective on V_β , we must have $\tilde{f}_1(z) = \tilde{f}_2(z)$, consequently, $N \subseteq A$ and A is open.

On the other hand, if $y \notin A$, then $\tilde{f}_1(y)$ and $\tilde{f}_2(y)$ lie in distinct open sets V_{β_1} and V_{β_2} , consequently, for all $z \in N = \tilde{f}_1^{-1}(V_{\beta_1}) \cap \tilde{f}_2^{-1}(V_{\beta_2})$, $\tilde{f}_1(z) \neq \tilde{f}_2(z)$, thereby completing the proof. ■

Theorem 2.5 (Homotopy Lifting Property). Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a covering map and $F : Y \times I \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. Let $\tilde{F}_0 : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a lift of $F|_{Y \times \{0\}}$. Then, there is a unique lift $\tilde{F} : Y \times I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ of F such that $\tilde{F}|_{Y \times \{0\}} = \tilde{F}_0$.

Proof. The first step is to define a lift \tilde{F} on the strip $N \times I$ where N is a neighborhood of some point $y \in Y$.

Fix some $y_0 \in Y$. Each point $y_0 \times t$ has a neighborhood $N_t \times (a_t, b_t)$ which maps into an evenly covered neighborhood of $F(y_0 \times t)$. Note that the strip $\{y_0\} \times I$ is compact and is thus covered by finitely many of the N_t 's, whence we may choose a neighborhood N of y_0 in Y and a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ such that each $N \times [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ is contained in $N_t \times (a_t, b_t)$ for some $t \in I$.

Now suppose \tilde{F} has been constructed on $N \times [0, t_i]$. We already have a lift for $N \times \{0\}$ and this is our base case. The space $N \times [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ is mapped into an evenly covered neighborhood U by F . Let $\tilde{U} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ be the unique open set in \tilde{X} containing the point $\tilde{F}(y_0 \times t_i)$. There is a neighborhood N' of y_0 such that $N' \times \{t_i\}$ is mapped into \tilde{U} by \tilde{F} . Replace N by N' henceforth. The composition $p^{-1} \circ F$ now lifts F on $N' \times [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and since it agrees with \tilde{F} on $N' \times \{t_i\}$, we have an extension to \tilde{F} on $N \times [0, t_{i+1}]$, which is continuous due to the Pasting Lemma.

Now, for each $y \in Y$, we have constructed a lift \tilde{F}_y on $N_y \times I$ where N_y is some neighborhood of y . We must now argue that we can indeed paste these lifts together. Let $y \in N_{y'} \cap N_{y''}$. Since $\{y\} \times I$ is connected and $\tilde{F}_{y'}$ and $\tilde{F}_{y''}$ are two lifts which agree at $y \times 0 \in \{y\} \times I$, both the lifts must agree throughout due to Theorem 2.4. This also establishes the uniqueness of the lift \tilde{F} whereby completing the proof. ■

Corollary 2.6 (Path Lifting). Let $f : I \rightarrow X$ be a path and let $x_0 = f(0)$. For any $\tilde{x}_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$, there is a unique lift $\tilde{f} : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{x}_0$.

Corollary 2.7 (Path Homotopy Lifting). Let $H : I \times I \rightarrow X$ be a path homotopy. Then, the unique lift $\tilde{H} : I \times I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, is also a path homotopy.

Proof. Since the image $\tilde{H}(\{0\} \times I)$ is connected and a subset of the discrete fiber of $p^{-1}(\tilde{H}(0 \times 0))$, it must be a single point. Similarly argue for the image $\tilde{H}(\{1\} \times I)$. This completes the proof. ■

Proposition 2.8. Let $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ be a covering map. Then the induced homomorphism $p_* : \pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$ is injective.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\ker p_*$ is trivial. Indeed, let $f : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be such that $p_*([f]) = 1_{\pi_1(X, x_0)}$. Thus, there is a path homotopy $F : I \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $F|_{I \times \{1\}}$ is the constant map at x_0 while $F|_{I \times \{0\}}$ is the map $p \circ f$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{X} \\ & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow p \\ I \times I & \xrightarrow{F} & X \end{array}$$

We have a lift $\tilde{F} : I \times \{0\} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ of the bottom edge given by $\tilde{F}(t \times 0) = f(t)$ and due to Theorem 2.5, this can be extended to a lift $\tilde{F} : I \times I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$. Consider the connected subspace $Y = \{0\} \times I \cup I \times \{1\} \cup \{1\} \times I$ of $I \times I$. The restriction $\tilde{F}|_Y$ maps into $p^{-1}(x_0)$, which has the discrete topology, whereby the restriction must be a constant map equal to \tilde{x}_0 since $\tilde{F}(0 \times 0) = \tilde{x}_0$. Thus, \tilde{F} must be a path homotopy between f and the constant path \tilde{x}_0 , thereby completing the proof. ■

Proposition 2.9. Let \tilde{X} and X be path connected spaces with a covering map $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$. Then, there is a bijection between the right cosets of $H = p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0))$ and $p^{-1}(x_0)$.

Proof.

right coset
to p^{-1} bijec-
tion

Theorem 2.10 (Lifting Criterion). Let Y be path connected and locally path connected and $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ be a covering map. Then, for any continuous map $f : (Y, y_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$, a lift $\tilde{f} : (Y, y_0) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0)$ exists if and only if $f_*(\pi_1(Y, y_0)) \subseteq p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0))$.

Proof. The forward direction is trivial, we shall prove the converse. Let $y \in Y$ and γ denote a path from y_0 to y in Y . The image $f \circ \gamma$ is a path in X beginning at x_0 and has a lift $\tilde{f} \circ \gamma$ to a path in \tilde{X} beginning at \tilde{x}_0 . Define the map $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ by $\tilde{f}(y) = \tilde{f} \circ \gamma(1)$.

First, we must show that this is a well defined map, independent of the choice of γ . Indeed, let γ' be another path in Y from y_0 to y . Then, $\gamma' * \bar{\gamma}$ is a loop in Y based at y_0 , whence $f \circ (\gamma' * \bar{\gamma})$ is a loop in X based at x_0 . We must now show that this can be lifted to a loop¹ in \tilde{X} based at \tilde{x}_0 .

According to our hypothesis, $[f \circ \gamma' * \bar{f} \circ \gamma] \in p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0))$ whence there is a path homotopy $H : I \times I \rightarrow X$ between $p \circ \tilde{h}$ and $f \circ \gamma' * \bar{f} \circ \gamma$ where \tilde{h} is a loop in \tilde{X} . Due to Corollary 2.7, this lifts to a homotopy of paths in \tilde{X} . Due to the uniqueness of path lifting, \tilde{H} is a path homotopy between the loop \tilde{h} and some other loop $\tilde{\alpha}$ in \tilde{X} that maps to $f \circ \gamma' * \bar{f} \circ \gamma$ under p .

Considering the first and second halves of $\tilde{\alpha}$, we see that they are $\tilde{f} \circ \gamma'$ and $\overline{\tilde{f} \circ \gamma'}$ respectively. Therefore, $\tilde{f} \circ \gamma'(1) = \tilde{f} \circ \gamma(1)$ and the map \tilde{f} is well defined.

Finally, we must show that \tilde{f} is continuous. Let $y \in Y$ and $U \subseteq X$ be an evenly covered open neighborhood of $f(y)$. Choose an open path connected neighborhood V of y that is contained in $p^{-1}(U)$. Let \tilde{U} be the open set in \tilde{X} that is homeomorphically mapped to U by p and contains $\tilde{f}(y)$. We shall show that $\tilde{f}(V) \subseteq \tilde{U}$, which would imply $\tilde{f}|_V = p^{-1} \circ f$, thereby implying local continuity and thus the continuity of \tilde{f} .

First, fix some path γ from y_0 to y in Y . Let $y' \in V$ and choose some path η from y to y' contained in V . The path $\gamma * \eta$ is a path from y_0 to y' . The composition $p^{-1} \circ \eta$ is a path from $\tilde{f}(y)$ in \tilde{U} , moreover, the composition $\tilde{f} \circ \gamma * p^{-1} \circ \eta$ is a path from \tilde{x}_0 lifting $\gamma * \eta$, whence $p^{-1} \circ \eta(1) = \tilde{f}(1)$ and $\tilde{f}(V) \subseteq \tilde{U}$. This completes the proof. ■

2.2 The Universal Cover

Definition 2.11 (Semilocally Simply-Connected). A topological space X is said to be *semilocally simply-connected* if each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U such that the inclusion induced homomorphism $i_* : \pi(U, x) \rightarrow \pi(X, x)$ is trivial.

¹We can always lift this to a path but that will not suffice in this case

Henceforth, a topological space is said to be unfathomably based if it is path-connected, locally path-connected and semilocally simply-connected.

Theorem 2.12. *If X is unfathomably based, then there is a simply connected space \tilde{X} and a covering map $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. Pick a basepoint $x_0 \in X$. Define

$$\tilde{X} = \{[\gamma] \mid \gamma : I \rightarrow X, \gamma(0) = x_0\}$$

and the function $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ by $p([\gamma]) = \gamma(1)$.

Let \mathcal{U} denote the set of all path connected open sets $U \subseteq X$ such that the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$ is trivial. Indeed, if $V \subseteq U \in \mathcal{U}$ is path connected and open, then the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $V \hookrightarrow X$ is the composition of the homomorphisms induced by $V \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow X$ and since the latter is trivial, the composition is trivial, consequently, $V \in \mathcal{U}$.

We contend that \mathcal{U} forms a basis for the topology on X . Indeed, let W be a neighborhood of x , then there is a neighborhood U of x such that the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$ is trivial. Since X is locally path connected, there is a path connected neighborhood V of x that is contained in $U \cap W$, whence the conclusion follows.

We shall now topologize \tilde{X} . Let γ be a path in X from x_0 and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ contain $\gamma(1)$. Define the set

$$U_{[\gamma]} = \{[\gamma * \eta] \mid \eta : I \rightarrow U, \eta(0) = \gamma(1)\}$$

where the equivalence classes are in X . Since U is path connected, $p : U_{[\gamma]} \rightarrow U$ is surjective. Moreover, since the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$ is trivial, any two paths from $\gamma(1)$ to any point $x \in U$ are homotopic in X .

We contend that if $[\gamma'] \in U_{[\gamma]}$, then $U_{[\gamma']} = U_{[\gamma]}$. Obviously, there is a path $\eta : I \rightarrow U$ such that $\gamma' = \gamma * \eta$, whence it follows that $\gamma' * \mu = \gamma * \eta * \mu$ and thus, $U_{[\gamma']} \subseteq U_{[\gamma]}$. On the other hand, $[\gamma * \mu] = [\gamma * \eta * \bar{\eta} * \mu]$ whereby the conclusion follows.

Next, we claim that the collection $\{U_{[\gamma]}\}$ forms a basis for a topology on \tilde{X} . Suppose $[\gamma''] \in U_{[\gamma]} \cap V_{[\gamma']}$ where $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$, then $U_{[\gamma]} = U_{[\gamma']}$ and $V_{[\gamma']} = V_{[\gamma']}$. Since \mathcal{U} forms a basis, there is $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $W \subseteq U \cap V$, consequently, $W_{[\gamma'']} \subseteq U_{[\gamma']} \cap V_{[\gamma']}$. This proves our claim.

Consider the bijection $p : U_{[\gamma]} \rightarrow U$, we contend that this is a homeomorphism. For any basis element $V_{[\gamma']} \subseteq U_{[\gamma]}$, we have $p(V_{[\gamma']}) = V$, consequently, p is an open map. On the other hand, if $V \subseteq U$ is an open set, then $p^{-1}(V) \cap U_{[\gamma]} = V_{[\gamma']}$ for some $[\gamma'] \in U_{[\gamma]}$ with $\gamma'(1) \in V$. Since $V_{[\gamma']} \subseteq U_{[\gamma']} = U_{[\gamma]}$, we see that the restriction of p is continuous and therefore a homeomorphism.

Using the local formulation of continuity, we have that $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map. Any $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{U}$, consequently, $p^{-1}(U) = \bigcup U_{[\gamma]}$ where $[\gamma]$ ranges over all paths from x_0 to some point in U . It is not hard to argue that the sets $U_{[\gamma]}$ must partition $p^{-1}(U)$, whereby p is a covering map.

Finally, we must show that \tilde{X} is simply connected. Pick the base point $[x_0] \in \tilde{X}$. First, we show that \tilde{X} is path connected. Let $[\gamma] \in \tilde{X}$. Define $\gamma_t : I \rightarrow X$ by

$$\gamma_t(s) = \begin{cases} \gamma(s) & 0 \leq s \leq t \\ \gamma(t) & t < s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

It suffices to show that the map $\varphi : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ given by $\varphi(t) = [\gamma_t]$ is continuous. Using the Lebesgue Number Lemma, there is a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ such that $\gamma([t_{i-1}, t_i]) \subseteq U_i \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $p_i : U_i \rightarrow U_i$ be the restriction of p , which is a homeomorphism. Then, for all $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$, $\varphi(t) = p_i^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ and continuity follows from the Pasting Lemma.

Next, we show $\pi_1(\tilde{X}, [x_0]) = 0$. Since p_* is injective, it suffices to show that the image of p_* is trivial. Let γ be a loop in the image of p_* . Then, the map $t \mapsto [\gamma_t]$ is a lift of γ as we have seen earlier and is unique due to Theorem 2.5. Now, since the lift is a loop, we must have

$$[x_0] = [\gamma_1] = [\gamma]$$

consequently, γ is nulhomotopic. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.13. Suppose X is unfathomably based. Then for every subgroup $H \subseteq \pi_1(X, x_0)$, there is a covering space $p : (X_H, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ such that $p_*(\pi_1(X_H, \tilde{x}_0)) = H$.

If $p_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ and $p_2 : (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ are covering spaces, then an isomorphism between them is a homeomorphism $f : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2)$ such that $p_1 = p_2 \circ f$.

Theorem 2.14. Let (X, x_0) be path connected and locally path connected and $p_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow X$ and $p_2 : \tilde{X}_2 \rightarrow X$ be covering spaces. Then, for $\tilde{x}_1 \in p_1^{-1}(x_0)$ and $\tilde{x}_2 \in p_2^{-1}(x_0)$, there is an isomorphism $f : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2)$ if and only if $p_{1*}(\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1)) = p_{2*}(\pi_1(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2))$.

Proof. We prove the converse, since the forward direction is trivial. Using Theorem 2.10, there are lifts $\tilde{p}_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2)$ and $\tilde{p}_2 : (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1)$ of p_1 and p_2 respectively. This give us $p_1 = p_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1$ and $p_2 = p_1 \circ \tilde{p}_2$, whereby $p_1 \circ (\tilde{p}_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1) = p_1$. Note that this implies $\tilde{p}_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1$ is a lift of the map p_1 , but since $\text{id}_{(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1)}$ is also a lift, and agree on \tilde{x}_1 , we must have that $\tilde{p}_2 \circ \tilde{p}_1 = \text{id}_{(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1)}$ and similarly, $\tilde{p}_1 \circ \tilde{p}_2 = \text{id}_{(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2)}$. This implies the desired conclusion. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.15. Let X be path connected and locally path connected. Then, there is a bijection between the isomorphism classes of path connected covering spaces $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ (ignoring basepoints) and conjugacy classes of subgroups of $\pi_1(X)$ ^a.

^aThe basepoint can be ignored since X is path connected.

Proof. Fix, once and for all, a basepoint $x_0 \in X$ and let $G = \pi_1(X, x_0)$. Suppose we have an isomorphism of covering spaces (ignoring basepoints)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{X}_2 \\ & \searrow p_1 & \swarrow p_2 \\ & X & \end{array}$$

given by the above diagram with the basepoints $\tilde{x}_1 \in p_1^{-1}(x_0)$ and $\tilde{x}_2 \in p_2^{-1}(x_0)$. Then, due to the commutativity of the diagram, we have

$$(p_1)_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1)) = (p_2)_* \circ f_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1)) = (p_2)_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2)).$$

We now contend that changing the basepoint in a covering space $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ conjugates the image under p_* . Indeed, let $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$ where x_0 is the chosen basepoint of X and let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a path from \tilde{x}_0 to \tilde{x}_1 . Let $H_i = p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_i))$ and let $g = p_*([\tilde{\gamma}])$.

If \tilde{f} is a loop in \tilde{X} based at \tilde{x}_0 , then

$$g^{-1}p_*([\tilde{f}])g = p_*([\tilde{\gamma} * \tilde{f} * \tilde{\gamma}]) \in H_1$$

and thus, $g^{-1}H_0g \subseteq H_1$. Similarly, one can show that $gH_1g^{-1} \subseteq H_0$, implying that H_0 and H_1 are conjugate subgroups of G .

Conversely, suppose $p_1 : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_1) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ and $p_2 : (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ are covering maps with $H_i = (p_i)_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{x}_i))$ and there is $g \in G$ such that $H_2 = g^{-1}H_1g$. Let γ be a loop in the equivalence class corresponding to g , then this has a lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ in \tilde{X}_1 and let $\tilde{y}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}(1) \in p_1^{-1}(x_0)$.

It is not hard to see that $(p_1)_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{y}_1)) = H_2$ whence there is a basepoint preserving isomorphism (since the covering spaces are path connected) $f : (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{y}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{x}_2)$ thereby proving the theorem. \blacksquare

In conclusion, we have proved the following classification theorem.

Theorem 2.16. *Let X be unfathomably based and $x_0 \in X$ a chosen basepoint. Then there is a bijection between the set of basepoint preserving isomorphism classes of path connected covering spaces and the set of subgroups of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$.*

On the other hand, if basepoints are ignored, then there is a bijection between the isomorphism classes of covering spaces of X and conjugacy classes of subgroups of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$.

2.2.1 n -sheeted coverings

n sheeted
coverings

2.3 Deck Transformations and Covering Space Actions

2.3.1 Deck Transformations

Definition 2.17 (Deck Transformations, Normal Coverings). For a covering space $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, the isomorphisms $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ are called *deck transformations*. These form a group $G(\tilde{X})$ under composition.

A covering space $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is said to be *normal* if for all $x \in X$ and each pair $\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}' \in p^{-1}(x)$, there is a deck transformation that maps $\tilde{x} \mapsto \tilde{x}'$.

Proposition 2.18. *Let \tilde{X} be connected. Then, $G(\tilde{X})$ acts freely on \tilde{X} . In particular, a deck transformation, in this case, is completely determined by where it sends a single point.*

Proof. Let $f \in G(\tilde{X})$ have a fixed point \tilde{x}_0 . Then, this is a lift for $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ as seen from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \\ & \nearrow f, \text{id} & \downarrow p \\ (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) & \xrightarrow{p} & (X, x_0) \end{array}$$

But since f and id agree at \tilde{x}_0 , they must agree everywhere due to Theorem 2.4. ■

Theorem 2.19. *Let $p : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ be a path-connected covering space of the path-connected, locally path-connected space X , and let H be the subgroup $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0))$ of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. Then,*

- (a) *the covering space is normal if and only if H is normal in $\pi_1(X, x_0)$*
- (b) *$G(\tilde{X})$ is isomorphic to the quotient $N(H)/H$ where $N(H)$ is the normalizer of H in $\pi_1(X, x_0)$.*

Proof. Suppose the covering is normal, let $g^{-1}Hg$ be a conjugate of H in $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. Then, there is correspondingly $\tilde{x}_1 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$ such that $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_1)) = g^{-1}Hg$. Since the covering is normal, there is a deck transformation $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ taking \tilde{x}_0 to \tilde{x}_1 . From Theorem 2.14, we must have that $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0)) = p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_1))$, whereby $g^{-1}Hg = H$ and $H \trianglelefteq \pi_1(X, x_0)$.

Conversely, suppose $H \trianglelefteq \pi_1(X, x_0)$ and let $\tilde{x}_1 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$. From Theorem 2.16, we have that $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_1))$ is conjugate to H but since H is normal, the former is equal to H . As a result, from Theorem 2.14, there is a deck transformation taking x_0 to x_1 , consequently, the covering space is normal.

Note that given $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$, there is a unique deck transformation taking \tilde{x}_0 to \tilde{x}_1 . Now, given some $[\gamma] \in N(H)$, there is a lift $\tilde{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{x}_0$. Define now the function $\phi : N(H) \rightarrow G(\tilde{X})$ by $\phi([\gamma]) = \tilde{\gamma}(1)$. Let $[\gamma], [\delta] \in N(H)$ with $\sigma = \phi([\gamma])$ and $\tau = \phi([\delta])$. Then, it is not hard to see that $\gamma * \delta$ lifts to $\tilde{\gamma} * \sigma(\tilde{\delta})$, which corresponds to the deck transformation $\sigma \circ \tau$, implying that ϕ is a homomorphism. Moreover, ϕ is also surjective, for if there is a deck transformation σ taking \tilde{x}_0 to \tilde{x}_1 , then $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_1)) = H$. Now, let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a path in \tilde{X} from \tilde{x}_0 to \tilde{x}_1 with $\gamma = p \circ \tilde{\gamma}$. This implies $[\gamma] \in N(H)$, consequently, $\phi([\gamma]) = \sigma$.

We now contend that $\ker \phi = H$. Obviously $H \subseteq \ker \phi$. On the other hand, if $[\gamma] \in \ker \phi$, then γ lifts to a loop based at \tilde{x}_0 , whereby, $[\gamma] \in H$. The proof is finished by invoking the first isomorphism theorem. ■

2.3.2 Covering Space Actions

Definition 2.20 (Covering Space Action). A group action of G on a topological space Y is a homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{Top}}(Y)$. A covering space action is a group action of G on Y such that for each $y \in Y$, there is a neighborhood U of y such that for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $g_1 U \cap g_2 U \neq \emptyset$, if and only if $g_1 = g_2$.

We may rephrase the definition of a covering space action as:

A covering space action of G on Y is a group action such that for each $y \in Y$, there is a neighborhood U of y such that for all $g \in G$, $U \cap gU \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $g = 1_G$.

Proposition 2.21. The group action of the group of deck transformations, $G(\tilde{X})$, of a covering space $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a covering space action.

Proof.

Theorem 2.22. Let G act on Y through a covering space action.

- (a) The quotient map $p : Y \rightarrow Y/G$ given by $p(y) = Gy$ is a normal covering space.^a
- (b) If Y is path connected, then G is the group of deck transformations of the covering space $p : Y \rightarrow Y/G$
- (c) If Y is path connected and locally path connected, then $G \cong \pi_1(Y/G, Gy_0) / p_*(\pi_1(Y, y_0))$.

^aHence the nomenclature

deck transformations are covering space actions

Proof. (a) Let $Gy \in Y/G$. Since G acts through a covering space action, there is a neighborhood U of Y such that the collection $\{gU \mid g \in G\}$ is that of disjoint open sets. Obviously, $V = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} gU$ is a saturated open set, whereby, $p(V)$ is open in Y/G and a neighborhood of Gy . We contend that the restriction $p : U \rightarrow p(V)$ is a homeomorphism. Indeed, if $W \subseteq U$ is open, then $p(W) \subseteq p(V)$ is open, since $p(W) = p\left(\bigsqcup_{g \in G} gW\right)$ and the term within the brackets is a saturated open set. This immediately implies that p is a covering map.

Furthermore, for any $g_1y, g_2y \in Gy$, there is the action $g_2g_1^{-1}$ taking g_1y to g_2y whereby, the covering space is normal.

(b) Obviously, each element of G is a deck transformation. On the other hand, if $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a deck transformation, then for any $y \in Y$, $f(y) \in Gy$, whereby, there is $g \in G$ such that $g(y) = f(y)$. From Proposition 2.18, we have that $g = f$, implying the desired conclusion.

(c) This follows from Theorem 2.19. ■

Example 2.23 (Fundamental group of \mathbb{RP}^n). Let $n \geq 2$. Consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on S^n wherein the nontrivial action is given by $x \mapsto -x$. Obviously this is a covering space action, whereby

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^n, x_0) / p_*(\pi_1(S^n, s_0)) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^n, x_0)$$

where x_0 is the orbit of s_0 and we're done.

Now, consider the case $n = 1$. We know that \mathbb{RP}^1 is homeomorphic to the circle and thus has fundamental group isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

Chapter 3

Homology

Bibliography

[Hat00] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.

[Mun02] James Munkres. *Topology*. Pearson, 2002.

Todo list

Proof of general van kampen	7
Applications of van kampen	7
right coset to p^{-1} bijection	10
n sheeted coverings	13
deck transformations are covering space actions	14