

MA5106: HOMEWORK 2

SWAYAM CHUBE (200050141)

PROBLEM 1

(a) For any $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1 + |x|^2)^{m/2} |x|^2 e^{-|x|^2} \leq \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1 + |x|^2)^{(m+2)/2} e^{-|x|^2} \leq \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1 + |x|^2)^n e^{-|x|^2}$$

where n is a positive integer greater than or equal to $(m+2)/2$. We shall show that the right-most limit is 0. Expanding $(1 + |x|^2)^n$ using the binomial theorem, we see that it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^r e^{-|x|^2} = 0.$$

Using the power series expansion of the exponential function, we have

$$e^{|x|^2} \geq 1 + |x|^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{r!} |x|^{2r}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^r e^{-|x|^2} \leq \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x|^r}{1 + |x|^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{r!} |x|^{2r}} = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

(b) This is immediate, because

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1 + |x|^2)^3 \frac{1}{1 + |x|^4} \leq \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x|^6}{1 + |x|^4},$$

which is infinity. Therefore, the function $1/(1 + |x|^4)$ does not lie in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(c) Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since f is a continuous function, it is measurable. Note that there is a positive constant M such that

$$(1 + |x|^2)^{n+1} |f(x)| \leq M$$

on \mathbb{R}^n . Let B denote the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| = \int_B |f| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} |f|.$$

The first integral is obviously finite, since f is bounded on \overline{B} and B has finite measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

As for the second one, note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} |f| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} \frac{M}{(1 + |x|^2)^{n+1}} dx.$$

The integrand on the right hand side is a radial function and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B$ can be identified with $S^{n-1} \times [1, \infty)$. Hence, using Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} \frac{M}{(1 + |x|^2)^{n+1}} dx = \int_1^\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{Mr^{n-1}}{(1 + r^2)^{n+1}} d\sigma dr$$

where σ parametrizes the sphere and r the interval $[1, \infty)$. Note that $(1 + r^2)^{n+1} \geq r^{2n+2}$ and hence, the integral on the right is bounded above by

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M\omega_{n-1}}{r^{n+3}} dr < \infty.$$

This shows that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROBLEM 2

We shall first show that the Fourier Transform of an L^1 function is continuous. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi_m \rightarrow \xi$ in \mathbb{R}^n as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$\widehat{f}(\xi_m) - \widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) (\exp(-i\xi_m \cdot x) - \exp(-i\xi \cdot x)) dx$$

Note that $|\exp(-i\xi_m \cdot x) - \exp(-i\xi \cdot x)| \leq 2$ due to the triangle inequality. Consequently, the integrand on the right is dominated by $2|f(x)|$, which is in L^1 . Hence, the Dominated Convergence Theorem applies and we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f}(\xi_m) - \widehat{f}(\xi) = 0,$$

since as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the integrand on the right tends to 0 pointwise. Hence, $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

To see that the Fourier Transform is a bounded linear functional, note that for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| |\exp(-i\xi \cdot x)| dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \|f\|_1.$$

That is,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \|f\|_1.$$

This completes the proof.

PROBLEM 3

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have the inequality,

$$1 + |x| \leq 1 + |x - y| + |y| \leq (1 + |x - y|)(1 + |y|).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |x|)^N |f * g(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x - y|)^N (1 + |y|)^N |f(y)| |g(x - y)| \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((1 + |y|)^{N+n+1} |f(y)|) ((1 + |x - y|)^N |g(x - y)|) \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{n+1}} \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that there are constants $C_N, C_{n+N+1} > 0$ such that

$$(1 + |x - y|)^N |g(x - y)| \leq C_N \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + |y|)^{N+n+1} |f(y)| \leq C_{N+n+1}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore,

$$(1 + |x|)^N |f * g(x)| \leq C_N C_{N+n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{n+1}} \, dy < \infty.$$

In conclusion, we have shown that if $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $(1 + |x|)^N (f * g)(x)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^n . We shall use this result to show that $f * g$ lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us first compute $\partial_i(f * g)(x)$. This is given by

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f * g)(x + h e_i) - (f * g)(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \frac{g(x + h e_i - y) - g(x - y)}{h} \, dy.$$

Using the Mean Value Theorem, there is some $c \in (0, h)$ such that

$$\frac{g(x + h e_i - y) - g(x - y)}{h} = \partial_i g(x + c e_i - y).$$

Note that $\partial_i g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence is bounded in absolute value by some $M > 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Consequently,

$$\left| f(y) \frac{g(x + h e_i - y) - g(x - y)}{h} \right| \leq M |f(y)|$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Take any sequence $h_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Because of what we discussed above, the Dominated Convergence Theorem applies. The integrand converges pointwise to $f(y) \partial_i g(x - y)$ and hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(f * g)(x + h_n e_i) - (f * g)(x)}{h_n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \partial_i g(x - y) \, dy = (f * \partial_i g)(x).$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\partial_\alpha(f * g) = f * \partial_\alpha g$$

for every multi-index α . This shows, in particular that $f * g$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Hence, using what we proved at the start of this proof,

$$(1 + |x|)^N \partial_\alpha (f * g)(x) = (1 + |x|)^N (f * \partial_\alpha g)(x)$$

is bounded on \mathbb{R}^n , which completes the proof.

PROBLEM 4

This is straightforward

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x - y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) dy.$$

Thus, $f * g$ is a constant function taking the value $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f$.

PROBLEM 5

We have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f * g)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x - y) dy dx.$$

We contend that the function $F(x, y) = f(y)g(x - y)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, using Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} |F(x, y)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x - y)| dx dy = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1,$$

where the last equality follows from the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure.

Using this, we can invoke Fubini's Theorem to evaluate the previous integral:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x - y) dy dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x - y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx,$$

where the last equality follows from the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure.

PROBLEM 6

For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $f_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f_t(x) = f(x - t)$.

Lemma. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|t| < \delta$, $\|f - f_t\|_2 < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. It is known that $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense with respect to the L^2 -norm. Therefore, there is a $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|f - g\|_2 < \varepsilon/3$. Consequently, $\|f_t - g_t\|_2 < \varepsilon/3$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We shall find a δ such that $\|g - g_t\| < \varepsilon/3$. Since g has compact support, there is a $N > 0$ such that $\text{Supp}(g) \subseteq \overline{B}(0, N)$. Further, since g has compact support, it is uniformly continuous whence, there is a $1 > \delta > 0$ such that $|g(x) - g(x - t)| < \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(B(0, N + 1))}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|t| < \delta$, where μ denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . Note that the support of $g - g_t$ is contained in $\overline{B}(0, N + \delta) \subseteq \overline{B}(0, N + 1)$.

For $|t| < \delta$, we have

$$\|g - g_t\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x) - g_t(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(B(0, N + 1))} \mu(B(0, N + 1)) = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. \square

To see that $f * g$ is bounded, simply invoke Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x - y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \|f \cdot g(x - \cdot)\|_1 \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|(f * g)(x + h) - (f * g)(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) (g(x + h - y) - g(x - y)) dy \right|.$$

Let $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $p(y) = g(x - y)$. Then, $g(x + h - y) = p(y - h) = p_h(y)$. As a result of Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$|(f * g)(x + h) - (f * g)(x)| \leq \|f \cdot (p_h - p)\| \leq \|f\|_2 \|p_h - p\|_2,$$

which goes to 0 as $h \rightarrow 0$ due to the preceding Lemma, implying the desired conclusion.

PROBLEM 7

There are multiple ways to skin a cat. I chose the quickest one. We have seen in class that $\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$. Therefore,

$$\widehat{\varphi * \varphi}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \exp(-|\xi|^2).$$

Let $\psi(x) = \exp(-|x|^2)$. Taking Fourier transform again and recalling that $\widehat{\widehat{f}}(x) = f(-x)$, we have

$$(\varphi * \varphi)(-x) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \widehat{\psi}(x) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

Where we use the fact that

$$\widehat{f(\lambda x)}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda}\right)$$

coupled with the fact that

$$\psi(x) = \varphi(\sqrt{2}x)$$

and that $\widehat{\widehat{\varphi}} = \varphi$.

Hence,

$$(\varphi * \varphi)(x) = \pi^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4}\right)$$

and we are done.

PROBLEM 8

(a) From the definition, we have, for any test function φ ,

$$\langle \widehat{1}, \varphi \rangle = \langle 1, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi} = (2\pi)^{n/2} \varphi(0),$$

where the last equality follows from the Fourier Inversion Formula,

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \exp(i\xi \cdot x) d\xi.$$

Therefore, $\widehat{1} = (2\pi)^{n/2} \delta_0$.

(b) For any test function φ , we have

$$\langle \widehat{\delta_0}, \varphi \rangle = \langle \delta_0, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle = \widehat{\varphi}(0).$$

But note that

$$\widehat{\varphi}(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx.$$

Hence, $\widehat{\delta_0} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}$, the distribution induced by the constant function $1/(2\pi)^{n/2}$.

(c) For a test function φ , we have

$$\langle \widehat{x^\alpha}, \varphi \rangle = \langle x^\alpha, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha \widehat{\varphi}(x) dx.$$

Due to Fourier Inversion, we know

$$\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(x) \exp(i\xi \cdot x) dx.$$

Taking the partial derivative $\partial^\alpha/\partial\xi^\alpha$, we have

$$\partial_\alpha \varphi(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} i^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha \widehat{\varphi}(x) \exp(i\xi \cdot x) \, dx.$$

Set $\xi = 0$ to obtain

$$(-i)^\alpha \partial_\alpha \varphi(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha \widehat{\varphi}(x) \, dx.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{x^\alpha}, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \delta_0, (-i)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (2\pi)^{n/2} \varphi \rangle \\ &= (-1)^\alpha \langle \delta_0, i^\alpha (2\pi)^{n/2} \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_\alpha \delta_0, i^\alpha (2\pi)^{n/2} \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{x^\alpha} = (2\pi)^{n/2} i^\alpha \partial_\alpha \delta_0.$$

(d) For any test function φ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\cos x}, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \cos x, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{e^{ix} + e^{-ix}}{2}, \widehat{\varphi} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{ix} + e^{-ix}) \widehat{\varphi}(x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{n/2} (\varphi(1) + \varphi(-1)), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the Fourier Inversion Formula. Using this, we have

$$\widehat{\cos x} = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{n/2} (\delta_1 + \delta_{-1})$$

and we are done.