# Complex Analysis

Swayam Chube

March 6, 2023

Abstract	
The main reference for these notes is [2], which I find much more readable than [1].	

# **Contents**

1		oduction	2		
		Preliminaries			
	1.2	Power Series	2		
	1.3	Analytic Functions	4		
	1.4	Cauchy Riemann Equations	6		
	1.5	Analytic Functions as Mappings	7		
2	Complex Integration 9				
	2.1	Riemann Stieltjes Integral	9		
	2.2	Power Series for Analytic Functions	17		
		Zeros of Analytic Functions			
		Cauchy's Theorem			
		Winding Numbers			
		The Open Mapping Theorem			
3	Sing	gularities	29		

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

#### 1.1 Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a real sequence. Define the limit superior and the limit inferior of a sequence to be

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf\{a_n, a_{n+1}, \ldots\}$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{a_n, a_{n+1}, \ldots\}$$

#### **Proposition 1.2.** $\mathbb{C}$ *is complete.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{z_n = x_n + \iota y_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N, |z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$ , and thus,  $|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$  and  $|y_n - y_m| < \varepsilon$ . Consequently, both the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy and converge and therefore, so does  $\{z_n\}$ .

#### 1.2 Power Series

**Definition 1.3 (Power Series).** Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . A power series about a is an infinite series of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-a)^n$  where  $\{a_n\}$  is an infinite sequence of complex numbers.

**Example 1.** The power series 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n$$
 converges if  $|z| < 1$  and diverges if  $|z| > 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose |z| < 1. We shall show that the sequence of partial sums is Cauchy. Indeed, for  $m \ge n$ , we have

$$|z^n + \dots + z^m| < |z|^n \frac{1}{1 - |z|}$$

On the other hand, if |z| > 1, we shall show that the sequence is not Cauchy. If  $s_n$  denotes the n-th partial sum of the series, we note that

$$|s_{n+1} - s_n| = |z|^{n+1}$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 1.4.** For a given power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-a)^n$ , define the number  $R \in [0,\infty]$  by

$$\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$$

then

- (a) if |z a| < R, the series converges absolutely
- (b) if |z a| > R, the series diverges
- (c) if 0 < r < R, then the series converges uniformly on  $\overline{B}(a,r)$

*This R is known as the radius of convergence of the power series.* 

*Proof.* For simplicity, let a = 0 (this does not affect the correctness of the proof).

- (a) Since |z| < R, there is a real number r such that |z| < r < R. Consequently, by definition, there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|a_n|^{1/n} < \frac{1}{r}$ . In other words, for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|z|^n |a_n| < 1$ . It is evident from here that the partial sums form a Cauchy sequence.
- (b) If |z| > R, there is a positive real number r such that |z| > r > R, consequently, there is a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $|a_{n_k}|^{1/n_k}r > 1$ . If  $A_n$  denotes the partial sums of the sequence, then  $|A_{n_k} A_{n_k-1}| > 1$  and thus, the sequence is not Cauchy, and therefore, divergent.
- (c) There is a positive real number  $\rho$  such that  $r < \rho < R$  and a natural number N such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|a_n| < \frac{1}{\rho^n}$ . Consequently, for all  $z \in \overline{B}(0,r)$ ,  $|a_nz^n| < \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^n$  and we are done due to the Weierstrass M-test.

**Theorem 1.5 (Mertens).** Let  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  be complex sequences such that

- (a)  $\sum a_n$  converges absolutely and  $\sum b_n$  converges
- (b)  $\sum a_n = A$  and  $\sum b_n = B$
- (c)  $\{c_n\}$  is the Cauchy product of  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$

*Then,*  $\sum c_n$  *converges to AB.* 

*Proof.* Define  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  in the obvious way. Further, let  $\beta_n = B_n - B$ . Then, we have

$$C_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k B_{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (B + \beta_{n-k})$$

$$= BA_n + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \beta_{n-k}$$

Let  $\gamma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \beta_{n-k}$ . We shall show  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|$  (since it is known that it converges absolutely). From (b), we know that  $\beta_n \to 0$ , therefore, there is N such that  $|\beta_n| < \varepsilon/\alpha$  for

all  $n \ge N$ . Consequently, we have

$$|\gamma_n| \le |\beta_0 a_n + \dots + \beta_N a_{n-N}| + |\beta_{N+1} a_{n-N-1} + \dots + \beta_n a_0|$$
  
 
$$\le |\beta_0 a_n + \dots + \beta_N a_{n-N}| + \varepsilon \alpha$$

Which immediately gives us

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}|\gamma_n|\leq\varepsilon\alpha$$

and since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we have the desired conclusion.

### 1.3 Analytic Functions

**Definition 1.6.** If  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open, and  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  then f is *differentiable* at a point  $a \in G$  if

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(a+h)-f(a)}{h}$$

exists. The value of this limit is denoted by f'(a) and is called the *derivative* of f at a. If f is differentiable at each point of G we say that f is differentiable on G. If f' is continuous then we say that f is *continuously differentiable*.

**Proposition 1.7.** *If*  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  *is differentiable at*  $a \in G$ *, then* f *is continuous at* a.

*Proof.* One line:

$$\lim_{z \to a} |f(z) - f(a)| = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{|f(z) - f(a)|}{|z - a|} |z - a| = \lim_{z \to a} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} \right| \lim_{z \to a} |z - a| = 0$$

**Definition 1.8 (Analytic Function).** A function  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is *analytic* if f is continuously differentiable on G.

**Theorem 1.9 (Chain Rule).** Let f and g be analytic on G and  $\Omega$  respectively and suppose  $f(G) \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $g \circ f$  is analytic on G and

$$(g \circ f)'(z) = g'(f(z))f'(z)$$

*for all*  $z \in G$ .

*Proof.* Define the function  $h \equiv g \circ f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ . We shall show that the function h is differentiable at every point  $a \in G$  and that the derivative at a equals g'(f(a))f'(a). Notice that the latter implies analyticity.

Let z = f(a). Then, by definition, we have functions  $u : G \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $v : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lim_{x \to a} u(x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \to a} v(z) = 0$  satisfying

$$f(x) - f(a) = (x - a)(f'(a) + u(x))$$
  
$$g(x) - g(z) = (x - z)(g'(z) + v(x))$$

Note that

$$h(x) - h(a) = g(f(x)) - g(f(a))$$

$$= (f(x) - f(a))(g'(z) + v(f(x)))$$

$$= (x - a)(f'(a) + u(x))(g'(z) + v(f(x)))$$

Taking the limit gives the desired result.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-a)^n$  have radius of convergence R > 0. Then

(a) For each  $k \ge 1$ , the series

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)a_n(z-a)^{n-k} \tag{*}$$

has radius of convergence R

- (b) The function f is infinitely differentiable on B(a,R) and furthermore,  $f^{(k)}(z)$  is given by the series  $(\star)$  for all  $k \ge 1$  and |z-a| < R
- (c) For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(a)$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the theorem for a = 0.

(a) We shall prove it for k = 1 since the general case would follow inductively. Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{1/(n-1)} = 1$ , it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/(n-1)}$$

Note that we may write

$$f(z) = a_0 + z \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}_{g(z)}$$

It is not hard to argue that both f(z) and g(z) have the same radius of convergence, and thus  $\limsup |a_n|^{1/n} = \limsup |a_n|^{1/(n-1)}$ .

(b) Again, we shall only show this for k = 1 since the general case would follow inductively. Define

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$$
 and  $e_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty a_k z^k$ 

Obviously,  $f = s_n + e_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $g(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}$ .

Let  $w \in B(0, R)$  and choose a positive real number r such that 0 < |w| < r < R. Let  $\delta > 0$  be chosen such that  $B(w, \delta) \subseteq B(0, r)$ . Choose any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Then, we have

$$\frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} - g(w) = \left(\frac{s_n(z) - s_n(w)}{z - w} - g(w)\right) + \frac{e_n(z) - e_n(w)}{z - w}$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{e_n(z) - e_n(w)}{z - w} \right| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |z^{k-1} + \dots + w^{k-1}| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} kr^{k-1}$$

Since the series on the right is the trailing sum of a convergent series, there is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N_1$ ,  $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} kr^{k-1} < \varepsilon/3$ .

Similarly, there is  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$ ,  $|s'_n(w) - g(w)| < \varepsilon/3$ . Finally, there is  $\delta' > 0$  such that for all  $z \in B(w, \delta')$ ,

$$\left|\frac{s_n(z)-s_n(w)}{z-w}-s_n'(w)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Putting these together, we see that for all  $z \in B(w, \min\{\delta, \delta'\})$ , and  $n \ge \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ 

$$\left| \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} - g(w) \right| \le \left| \frac{s_n(z) - s_n(w)}{z - w} - s'_n(w) \right| + |s'_n(w) - g(w)| + \left| \frac{e_n(z) - e_n(w)}{z - w} \right| \le \varepsilon$$

And we are done.

(c) Straightforward.

**Corollary.** If the series  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-a)^n$  has radius of convergence R > 0 then f(z) is analytic in B(a,R).

### 1.4 Cauchy Riemann Equations

Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic and let  $u(x,y) = \Re f(x+iy)$  and  $v(x,y) = \Im f(x+iy)$ . Then, we must have, for all  $z \in G$ ,

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(z+ih) - f(z)}{ih}$$

The analyticity of f implies the differentiability of u and v and thus, the above equality is equivalent to

$$u_x + iv_x = f'(z) = \frac{1}{i} \left( u_y + iv_y \right)$$

or,

$$u_x = v_y$$
 and  $u_y + v_x = 0$  (CR)

Suppose u and v have continuous partial derivatives, in which case, recall that second order mixed derivatives exist and do not depend on the order of derivatives taken, that is,  $u_{xy} = u_{yx}$  and  $v_{xy} = v_{yx}$ . Straightforward algebraic manipulation would yield

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$$

In other words, *u* and *v* are harmonic conjugates.

**Theorem 1.11.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and  $u, v : G \to \mathbb{R}$  have continuous partial derivatives. Then  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by f(z) = u(z) + iv(z) is analytic if and only if u and v satisfy (CR).

*Proof.* Suppose the functions u and v satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem. Let z = x + iy. We shall show that

$$\lim_{s+it\to 0} \frac{f(z+(s+it)) - f(z)}{s+it}$$

exists.

Define

$$\varphi(s,t) = (u(x+s,y+t) - u(x,y)) - (u_x(x,y)s + u_y(x,y)t)$$
  
$$\psi(s,t) = (v(x+s,y+t) - v(x,y)) - (v_x(x,y)s + v_y(x,y)t)$$

It is not hard to see, using CR, that

$$\varphi(s,t) + i\psi(s,t) = f(z + (s+it)) - f(z) - (s+it)(u_x(x,y) + iv_x(x,y))$$

and hence, it would suffice to show that

$$\lim_{s+it\to 0} \frac{\varphi(s,t) + i\psi(s,t)}{s+it} = 0$$

We have

$$u(x+s,y+t) - u(x,y) = u(x+s,y+t) - u(x,y+t) + u(x,y+t) - u(x,y)$$

Due to the Mean Value Theorem, there are real numbers  $s_1$  and  $t_1$  with  $|s_1| < s$  and  $|t_1| < t$  such that

$$u(x+s,y+t) - u(x,y) = u_x(x+s_1,y+t)s + u_y(x,y+t_1)t$$

Thus,

$$\varphi(s,t) = (u_x(x+s_1,y+t) - u_x(x,y))s + (u_y(x,y+t_1) - u_y(x,y))t$$

Using continuity, it is not hard to see that

$$\lim_{s+it\to 0} \frac{\varphi(s,t)}{s+it} = 0$$

and a similar result can be obtained for  $\psi(s, t)$ .

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 1.12.** Let G be either the whole complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  or some open disk. If  $u: G \to \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function then u has a harmonic conjugate.

Proof.

### 1.5 Analytic Functions as Mappings

We shall suppose in this section that all paths are continuously differentiable.

**Theorem 1.13.** If  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is analytic, then f preserves angles at each point  $z_0 \in G$  where  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

Maps which preserve angles are known as **conformal maps**. Thus, if f is analytic on  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in G$ , it is conformal.

**Definition 1.14.** A mapping of the form  $S(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  where  $S: \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  is called a *linear fractional transformation*. If a,b,c,d are such that  $ad-bc \neq 0$ , then S(z) is called a Möbius Transformation.

A Möbius Transformtion is invertible, where

$$S^{-1}(z) = \frac{dz - b}{-cz + a}$$

## **Chapter 2**

## **Complex Integration**

### 2.1 Riemann Stieltjes Integral

The following definition is taken from [3]

**Definition 2.1.** Let [a,b] be a given interval. By a partition P of [a,b] we mean a finite set of points  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$  where

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$$

Let  $\alpha : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be monotonically increasing. Corresponding to each partition *P* of [a,b], write

$$\Delta \alpha_i = \alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})$$
 for  $1 \le i \le n$ 

Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. For each partition  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , let

$$M_i = \sup_{x_{i-1} \le x \le x_i} f(x) \qquad m_i = \sup_{x_{i-1} \le x \le x_i} f(x)$$

Define

$$U(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i \Delta \alpha_i$$
  $L(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \Delta \alpha_i$ 

and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f \, d\alpha = \inf_{\mathcal{P}} U(P, f, \alpha) \qquad \int_{a}^{b} f \, d\alpha = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} L(P, f, \alpha)$$

If the above two values are equal, we say that f is *Riemann-Stieltjes integrable* with respect to  $\alpha$  on [a,b] and denote the common value as  $\int_a^b f \ d\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  for  $[a,b]\subseteq\mathbb{R}$  is of *bounded variation* if there is a constant M>0 such that for any partition  $P=\{a=t_0< t_1<\cdots< t_m=b\}$  of [a,b]

$$v(\gamma, P) = \sum_{k=1}^{|} \gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})| \le M$$

The total variation of  $\gamma$ ,  $V(\gamma)$  is defined by

$$V(\gamma) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])} v(\gamma, P)$$

**Proposition 2.3.**  $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  *is of bounded variation if and only if*  $\Re\gamma$  *and*  $\Im\gamma$  *are of bounded variation.* 

*Proof.* Follows from the following inequality:

$$\max\{|u(t_k) - u(t_{k-1})|, |v(t_k) - v(t_{k-1})|\} \le |\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})| \le |u(t_k) - u(t_{k-1})| + |v(t_k) - v(t_{k-1})|$$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let*  $\gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  *be of bounded variation. Then* 

- (a) If P and Q are partitions of [a, b] with Q a refinement of P, then  $v(\gamma, P) \leq v(\gamma, Q)$
- (b) If  $\sigma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  is also of bounded variation and  $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$  then  $\alpha\gamma+\beta\sigma$  is of bounded variation and  $V(\alpha\gamma+\beta\sigma)\leq |\alpha|V(\gamma)+|\beta|V(\sigma)$

Proof.

1. Let  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$  be an interval in the partition of P. Let  $y \in Q \setminus P$  such that  $y \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ . Then, note that

$$|\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})| \le |\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(y)| + |\gamma(y) - \gamma(t_i)|$$

giving us the desired conclusion.

2. Similar to above, we have

$$|(\alpha\gamma + \beta\sigma)(t_i) - (\alpha\gamma + \beta\sigma)(t_{i-1})| \le |\alpha||\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})| + |\beta||\sigma(t_i) - \sigma(t_{i-1})|$$

Consequently,

$$v(\alpha \gamma + \beta \sigma, P) \le |\alpha| v(\gamma, P) + |\beta| v(\sigma, P)$$

The conclusion is obvious.

**Definition 2.5 (Smooth, Piecewise Smooth).** A path in a region  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function  $\gamma$ :  $[a,b] \to G$  for some  $[a,b,] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\gamma'(t)$  exists for each  $t \in [a,b]$  and  $\gamma': [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, then  $\gamma$  issaid to be *smooth*.  $\gamma$  Is said to be *piecewise smooth* if there is a partition  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$  of [a,b] such that  $\gamma$  is smooth on each subinterval  $[t_{i-1},t_i]$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *If*  $\gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  *is piecewise smooth then*  $\gamma$  *is of bounded variation and* 

$$V(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

*Proof.* We shall prove the statement in the case when  $\gamma$  is smooth on [a,b]. The general case follows from applying our proof to each piecewise smooth subinterval of [a,b].

Let  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m = b$  be a partition, denoted by P. Then,

$$v(\gamma, P) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})|$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left| \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \gamma'(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

First, this shows that  $\gamma$  is of bounded variation and further,  $V(\gamma) \leq \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| \ dt$ . We shall show the reverse inequality, which would prove the theorem.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\gamma'$  is continuous on [a,b], it must be uniformly continuous, therefore, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $|s-t| < \delta$ , we have  $|\gamma'(s) - \gamma'(t)| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m = b$  be a partition with mesh smaller than  $\delta$ . Consequently, for all  $1 \le i \le m$ , we have for all  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ ,

$$|\gamma'(t) - \gamma'(t_i)| < \varepsilon \Longrightarrow |\gamma'(t)| < |\gamma'(t_i)| + \varepsilon$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} |\gamma'(t)| \, dt &= |\gamma'(t_i)| \Delta t_i + \varepsilon \Delta t_i \\ &= \left| \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma'(t_i) - \gamma'(t) + \gamma'(t) \, dt \right| + \varepsilon \Delta t_i \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma'(t_i) - \gamma'(t) \, dt \right| + \left| \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma'(t) \, dt \right| + \varepsilon \Delta t_i \\ &\leq \varepsilon \Delta t_i + |\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})| + \varepsilon \Delta t_i \\ &= |\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})| + 2\varepsilon \Delta t_i \end{split}$$

Adding together all these inequalities, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| dt \le v(\gamma, P) + 2\varepsilon(b - a) \le V(\gamma) + 2\varepsilon(b - a)$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we have the desired conclusion.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  be of bounded variation and suppose that  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  is continuous. Then there is a complex number I such that for every  $\varepsilon>0$  there is a  $\delta>0$  such that when P is a partition of [a,b] with  $\|P\|<\delta$ , then

$$\left|I - \sum_{k=1}^{m} f(\tau_k)(\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1}))\right| < \varepsilon$$

*for whatever choice of points*  $\tau_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ .

This number *I* is called the *integral of f with respect to*  $\gamma$  *over* [a,b] and is designated by

$$I = \int f \, d\gamma$$

We first need the following lemma due to Cantor:

**Lemma 2.8 (Cantor).** *Let*  $A_1, A_2, ...$  *be a sequence of non-empty compact, closed subsets of a topological space* X *such that*  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \cdots$  *. Then,* 

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \neq \emptyset$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k = \emptyset$ . Define  $B_i = X \setminus A_i$ , then,  $\{B_i\}$  forms an open cover for  $A_1$ , consequently, has a finite subcover, say  $\{B_{n_1}, \ldots, B_{n_k}\}$ . Now, since

$$A_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{n_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_k} B_j$$

This immediately implies that

$$A_{n_k} = A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_k} B_i = \emptyset$$

a contradiction.

*Proof of Theorem* 2.7. Since f is continuous, it must be uniformly continuous. Thus, we can find positive numbers  $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \cdots$  such that if  $|s-t| < \delta_m$ , then  $|f(s)-f(t)| < \frac{1}{m}$ . Let  $\mathscr{P}_m$  denote the colletion of all partitions P of [a,b] with  $\|P\| < \delta_m$ . Note that we have  $\mathscr{P}_1 \supseteq \mathscr{P}_2 \supseteq \cdots$ . Finally define  $F_m$  to be the closure of

$$\left\{ S(P) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\tau_k) (\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})) \mid P \in \mathscr{P}_m, \ t_{k-1} \le \tau_k \le t_k \right\} \tag{$\diamond$}$$

We shall show that the following hold:

$$\begin{cases} F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots \\ \operatorname{diam} F_m \le \frac{2}{m} V(\gamma) \end{cases}$$

The first sequence of containments follows trivially from the definition of  $\mathscr{P}_m$ . Recall that in a metric space, diam  $\overline{E} = \operatorname{diam} E$  for all  $E \subseteq X$ . With this in mind, it suffices to show that the diameter of the set  $(\diamond)$  is at most  $\frac{2}{m}V(\gamma)$ .

We shall show that if  $P \in \mathscr{P}_m$  and  $P \subseteq Q$  are partitions of [a,b], then  $|S(P) - S(Q)| < \frac{1}{m}V(\gamma)$ . Choose any interval  $[t_{k-1},t_k]$  in the partition P and let Q refine it as

$$t_{k-1} = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = t_k$$

Let  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n$  be a tagging of the refinement. Then,

$$\left| f(\tau_k) \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(s_i) - \gamma(s_{i-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^n f(\chi_i) (\gamma(s_i) - \gamma(s_{i-1})) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (f(\tau_k) - f(\chi_i)) (\gamma(s_i) - \gamma(s_{i-1})) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma(s_i) - \gamma(s_{i-1})|$$

Adding together these inequalities for each subinterval  $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$ , we have that  $|S(P) - S(Q)| \le \frac{1}{m}V(\gamma)$ . Let  $P, R \in \mathscr{P}_m$  and Q be their common refinement. Then, we have

$$|S(P) - S(R)| \le |S(P) - S(Q)| + |S(Q) - S(R)| \le \frac{2}{m}V(\gamma)$$

From this it follows that diam  $F_m \leq \frac{2}{m}V(\gamma)$ . Now, since diam  $F_m \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ , it must be the case that  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m$  is a singleton set, containing a single complex number, say I.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $m > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}V(\gamma)$ . Choose  $\delta = \delta_m$ . Since  $I \in F_m$ , it must be the case that  $F_m \subseteq B(I, \varepsilon)$ , giving us the desired conclusion.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $f,g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  be continuous functions and let  $\gamma,\sigma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  be functions of bounded variation. Then for any scalars  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

1. 
$$\int_a^b \alpha f + \beta g \, d\gamma = \alpha \int_a^b f \, d\gamma + \beta \int_a^b g \, d\gamma$$

2. 
$$\int_a^b f d(\alpha \gamma + \beta \sigma) = \alpha \int_a^b f d\gamma + \beta \int_a^b f d\sigma$$

Proof.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let  $\gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  be of bounded variation and let  $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  be continuous. If  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$  then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f \, d\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} f \, d\gamma$$

**Theorem 2.11.** *If*  $\gamma$  *is piecewise smooth and*  $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  *is continuous, then* 

$$\int_{a}^{b} f \, d\gamma = \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \gamma'(t) \, dt$$

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case where  $\gamma$  is smooth, since the general statement follows by applying our result to each piecewise smooth component and adding them up using Lemma 2.10.

We have that  $\gamma = u + iv$  is smooth where  $u, v : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ ; thus, both u and v must be smooth, furthermore,  $\gamma' = u' + iv'$ . As a result, it suffices to prove the theorem for  $\gamma$  being real valued and smooth. We shall require the fact that is it real valued to apply the Mean Value Theorem.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  be such that for any partition  $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$ ,

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f \, d\gamma - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\tau_{k}) (\gamma(t_{k}) - \gamma(t_{k-1})) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \gamma'(t) \, dt - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\tau_{k}) \gamma'(\tau_{k}) (t_{k} - t_{k-1}) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for any choice of  $\tau_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ . Using the mean value theorem, choose  $\tau_k$  such that

$$\gamma'(\tau_k) = \frac{\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})}{t_k - t_{k-1}}$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, d\gamma - \int_a^b f(t) \gamma'(t) \, dt \right| < \varepsilon$$

and we have the desired conclusion.

**Definition 2.12 (Bounded Variation).** Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$  be a path. The set  $\{\gamma(t) \mid a \le t \le b\}$  is called the *trace of*  $\gamma$  and is denoted by  $\{\gamma\}$ . The path  $\gamma$  is said to be *rectifiable* if it is of bounded variation.

**Definition 2.13 (Line Integral).** If  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$  is a rectifiable path and f is a function defined and continuous on the trace of  $\gamma$ . Then, the line integral of f along  $\gamma$  is

$$\int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \ d\gamma(t)$$

**Theorem 2.14.** If  $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  is a rectifiable path and  $\varphi:[c,d]\to[a,b]$  is a continuous non-decreasing function with  $\varphi(c)=a$  and  $\varphi(d)=b$ . Then, for any function f continuous on  $\{\gamma\}$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma} f = \int_{\gamma \circ \varphi} f$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there is a  $\delta_1$  such that for all partitions  $P = \{c = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = d\}$  with  $\|P\| < \delta$ , and a tagging,  $\sigma_k \in [s_{k-1}, s_k]$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\gamma \circ \varphi} f - \sum_{k=1}^n f(\gamma \circ \varphi(\sigma_k)) (\gamma \circ \varphi(s_k) - \gamma \circ \varphi(s_{k-1})) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

furthermore, whenever  $s,t \in [c,d]$  with  $|s-t| < \delta_1$ ,  $|\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)| < \delta_2$  (note that we can do this since the function  $\varphi$  is uniformly continuous).

Choose  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that if  $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$  with  $||P|| < \delta_2$  and a tagging  $\tau_k \in [t_{k-1}t_k]$ , then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\gamma(\tau_k)) (\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Finally, let  $\sigma_k = \varphi(\tau_k)$ , then we have through a trivial manipulation that

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f - \int_{\gamma \circ \varphi} f \right| < \varepsilon$$

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $\sigma: [c,d] \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma[a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  be rectifiable paths. The path  $\sigma$  is *equivalent* to  $\gamma$  if there is a function  $\varphi: [c,d] \to [a,b]$  which is continuous, strictly increasing, and with  $\varphi(c) = a$  and  $\varphi(d) = b$  such that  $\sigma = \gamma \circ \varphi$ .

A *curve* is an equivalence class of paths. A trace of a curve is the trace of any one of its members. A curve is smooth (piecewise smooth) if and only if some one of its representatives is smooth (piecewise smooth).

**Definition 2.16.** If  $\gamma$  is a rectifiable curve then denote by  $-\gamma:[-b,-a]\to\mathbb{C}$  the curve defined by  $(-\gamma)(t)=\gamma(-t)$  for  $-b\le t\le -a$ . This may also be denoted by  $\gamma^{-1}$  (although the former is more customary). For some  $c\in\mathbb{C}$ , let  $\gamma+c:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$  denote the curve defined by  $(\gamma+c)(t)=\gamma(t)+c$ .

**Definition 2.17.** Let  $\gamma[a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  be a rectifiable path and for  $a \le t \le b$ , let  $|\gamma|(t)$  be  $V(\gamma,[a,t])$ . That is,

$$|\gamma|(t) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})| : \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t\} \text{ is a partition of } [a, t] \right\}$$

Define

$$\int_{\gamma} f |dz| = \int_{a}^{b} f(\gamma(t)) d|\gamma|(t)$$

**Proposition 2.18.** Let  $\gamma$  be a rectifiable curve and suppose that f is a function continuous on  $\{\gamma\}$ . Then

(a) 
$$\int_{\gamma} f = - \int_{-\gamma} f$$

(b) 
$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f \right| \leq \int_{\gamma} |f| \, |dz| \leq V(\gamma) \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \{\gamma\}\}$$

(c) If 
$$c \in C$$
, then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma+c} f(z-c) dz$ 

*Proof.* All follow from definitions.

**Theorem 2.19 (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for Line Integrals).** *Let* G *be open in*  $\mathbb C$  *and let*  $\gamma$  *be a rectifiable path in* G *with initial and end points*  $\alpha$  *and*  $\beta$  *respectively. If*  $f:G\to\mathbb C$  *is a continuous function with a primitive*  $F:G\to\mathbb C$ , *then* 

$$\int_{\gamma} f = F(\beta) - F(\alpha)$$

We would require the following lemma in order to prove the above theorem

**Lemma 2.20.** *If* G *is an open set in*  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\gamma:[a,b]\to G$  *is a rectifiable path, and*  $f:G\to\mathbb{C}$  *is continuous then for every*  $\varepsilon>0$  *there is a polygonal path*  $\Gamma$  *in* G *such that*  $\Gamma(a)=\gamma(a)$ ,  $\Gamma(b)=\gamma(b)$  *and*  $|\int_{\gamma}f-\int_{\Gamma}f|<\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* We shall divide the proof into two cases:

• Case I: G is an open disk, say B(c,r)

Since  $\{\gamma\}$  is compact, there is  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\{\gamma\} \subseteq \overline{B}(c,\rho) \subseteq G$ . Consequently, we shall proceed with the assumption that  $G = \overline{B}(c,\rho)$ . Therefore, G is compact and f is uniformly continuous on G.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there is a  $\delta_1$  such that whenever  $|s - t| < \delta_1$ ,  $|f(s) - f(t)| < \varepsilon$ . Similarly, there is  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that whenever  $|s - t| < \delta_2$ ,  $|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)| < \delta_1$ .

Furthermore, due to Theorem 2.7, there is a mesh size,  $\delta_3$  such that for any partition  $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b\}$  with  $||P|| < \delta_3$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\gamma(\tau_k))(\gamma(t_k) - \gamma(t_{k-1})) \right|$$

Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \delta_3\}$  and  $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$  be a partition of [a, b] with  $||P|| < \delta$ . Define the polygonal path  $\Gamma$  by

$$\Gamma(t) = \frac{1}{t_k - t_{k-1}} \left( (t_k - t)\gamma(t_{k-1}) + (t - t_{k-1})\gamma(t_k) \right)$$

which is essentially the straight line joining the points  $\gamma(t_{k-1})$  and  $\gamma(t_k)$ .

First, note that

$$\int_{\Gamma} f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma(t_{k}) - \gamma(t_{k-1})}{t_{k} - t_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} f(\Gamma(t)) dt$$

Then, we have

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f - \int_{\Gamma} f \right| \leq \varepsilon + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\gamma(\tau_{k}))(\gamma(t_{k}) - \gamma(t_{k-1})) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma(t_{k}) - \gamma(t_{k-1})}{t_{k} - t_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} f(\Gamma(t)) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma(t_{k}) - \gamma(t_{k-1})}{t_{k} - t_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} f(\gamma(t_{k})) - f(\Gamma(t)) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\gamma(t_{k}) - \gamma(t_{k-1})|}{t_{k} - t_{k-1}} \left| \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} f(\gamma(t_{k})) - f(\Gamma(t)) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\gamma(t_{k}) - \gamma(t_{k-1})| \leq \varepsilon (1 + V(\gamma))$$

This completes the proof for the first case.

• Case II: *G* is arbitrary

Since  $\{\gamma\}$  is compact, there is r>0 such that for all  $z\in\gamma$ ,  $B(z,r)\subseteq G$ . Using uniform continuity, there is  $\delta>0$  such that  $|\gamma(s)-\gamma(t)|< r$  whenever  $|s-t|<\delta$ . Let  $P=\{a=t_0< t_1<\cdots< t_n=b\}$  be a partition with  $\|P\|<\delta$ . Define  $\gamma_k:[t_{k-1},t_k]\to\mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $\{\gamma_k\}\subseteq B(\gamma(t_{k-1}),r)$  and thus, we can apply Case I to obtain a polygonal path  $\Gamma_k$  such that  $|\int_{\gamma_k}f-\int_{\Gamma_k}f|<\varepsilon/n$ . The conclusion is now obvious by pasting together all the  $\Gamma_k$ 's.

*Proof of Theorem* 2.19. Again, we divide the proof into two cases:

• <u>Case I:</u>  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$  is piecewise smooth. Then, we trivially have

$$\int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) dt = \int_a^b F'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) dt = \int_a^b (f \circ \gamma)'(t) dt = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a))$$

• Case II: General case

Recall that a polygonal path is piecewise smooth. That is, for any polygonal path  $\Gamma$  that begins at  $\gamma(a)$  and ends at  $\gamma(b)$ ,  $\int_{\Gamma} f = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a))$ . Since any rectifiable curve can be approximated by a polygonal path, we have a suitable  $\Gamma$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f - (F(\beta) - F(\alpha)) \right| = \left| \int_{\gamma} f - \int_{\Gamma} f \right| < \varepsilon$$

giving us the desired conclusion.

**Corollary.** Let G,  $\gamma$  and f satisfy the same hypothesis as in Theorem 2.19. If  $\gamma$  is a closed curve, then

$$\int_{\gamma} f = 0$$

Recall that the fundamental theorem of calculus in real analysis claimed that each continuous function had a primitive. This is untrue in complex analysis. Consider the function  $f(z) = |z|^2$ . That is,  $f(x + iy) = x^2 + y^2$ . Suppose this has a primitive, say F = U + iV. Then, using **CR**, we must have

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = x^2 + y^2$$
 and  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = 0$ 

This implies that U(x, y) = u(x) for some function u, but this gives

$$u'(x) = x^2 + y^2$$

which is obviously not possible.

### 2.2 Power Series for Analytic Functions

**Theorem 2.21 (Leibniz's Rule).** *Let*  $\varphi : [a,b] \times [c,d] \to \mathbb{C}$  *be a continuous function and define*  $g : [c,d] \to \mathbb{C}$  *yb* 

$$g(t) = \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(s, t) \, ds$$

Then g is continuous. Moreover, if  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$  exists and is a continuous function on  $[a,b] \times [c,d]$  then g is continuously differentiable and

$$g'(t) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(s, t) ds$$

*Proof.* We shall first show that g is continuous. Since  $\varphi$  is continuous, it is uniformly continuous on  $[a,b] \times [c,d]$ . Choose some  $t_0 \in [c,d]$ . Then, there is a  $\delta$  such that whenever  $|(s,t)-(s',t')| < \delta$ ,  $|\varphi(s,t)-\varphi(s',t')| < \varepsilon$ . Consequently, whenever  $|t-t_0| < \delta$ ,  $|g(t)-g(t_0)| < (b-a)\varepsilon$ . This implies continuity.

Fix a point  $t_0 \in [c,d]$  and choose any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Further, denote  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$  by  $\varphi_2$ , which is given to be continuous, and thus, is uniformly continuous on  $[a,b] \times [c,d]$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that whenever  $|(s,t) - (s',t')| < \delta$ ,  $|\varphi_2(s',t') - \varphi(s,t)| < \varepsilon$ . That is,

$$|\varphi_2(s,t)-\varphi_2(s,t_0)|<\varepsilon$$

whenever  $|t - t_0| < \delta$  and  $a \le s \le b$ . Therefore, we have

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_2(s,\tau) - \varphi_2(s,t_0) \, d\tau \right| < \varepsilon |t - t_0|$$

Note that  $\Phi(t) = \varphi(s,t) - t\varphi_2(s,t_0)$  is a primitive of  $\varphi_2(s,t) - \varphi_2(s,t_0)$ . Due to the fundamental theorem of calculus, we must have

$$|\varphi(s,t) - \varphi(s,t_0) - (t-t_0)\varphi_2(s,t_0)| \le \varepsilon |t-t_0|$$

for all  $s \in [a, b]$  whenever  $|t - t_0| < \delta$ . This is equivalent to writing

$$-\varepsilon \ge \frac{\varphi(s,t) - \varphi(s,t_0)}{t - t_0} - \varphi_2(s,t_0) \le \varepsilon$$

Integrating both sides with respect to s, we have

$$\left| \frac{g(t) - g(t_0)}{t - t_0} - \int_a^b \varphi_2(s, t_0) \, ds \right| \le \varepsilon (b - a)$$

This shows that *g* is differentiable and

$$g'(t) = \int_a^b \varphi_2(s,t) \, ds$$

Obviously the right hand side of the above equality is continuous and thus *g* is continuously differentiable.

**Example 2.** Let z be a complex number with |z| < 1. Then,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{is}}{e^{is} - z} \, ds$$

and equivalently stated, if  $\gamma:[0,2\pi]\to\mathbb{C}$  is a closed path given by  $\gamma(t)=e^{it}$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{x - z} \, dx = 2\pi$$

Proof. Define the function

$$g(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{is}}{e^{is} - tz} ds$$

for  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Note that in this region, the function

$$\varphi(s,t) = \frac{e^{is}}{e^{is} - tz}$$

is well defined, since  $|e^{is}| = 1 > |tz|$ .

Using Theorem 2.21, we have

$$g'(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ze^{is}}{(e^{is} - tz)^2} \, ds$$

Consider the function

$$\Phi(s) = \frac{iz}{e^{is} - tz}$$

Notice that

$$\Phi'(s) = \frac{ze^{is}}{e^{is} - tz}$$

Then, using Theorem 2.19,  $g'(t) = \Phi(2\pi) - \Phi(0) = 0$ . Therefore, g is constant. The conclusion follows from calculating t = 0.

**Proposition 2.22.** Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic and suppose  $\overline{B}(a,r) \subseteq G$  where r > 0. If  $\gamma(t) = a + re^{it}$ ,  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ , then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} \, dw$$

*for* |z - a| < r.

*Proof.* It is not hard to see that without loss of generality we may suppose that a = 0 and r = 1. Then, we would like to show that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(e^{is})e^{is}}{e^{is} - z} ds$$

for |z| < 1. This is equivalent to showing

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{f(e^{is})e^{is}}{e^{is} - z} - f(z) \right) ds = 0$$

Define the function

$$\varphi(s,t) = \frac{f(z + t(e^{is} - z))e^{is}}{e^{is} - z} - f(z)$$

and  $g(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(s,t) \, ds$ . We would like to show that g(1) = 0. Note that the function  $\varphi(s,t)$  is well defined and continuously differentiable on the interval  $[0,2\pi] \times 1$ [0,1] (it is here that we use the fact that |z| < 1). Then,

$$g'(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(z + t(e^{is} - z))e^{is} ds$$

Consider the function  $\Phi(s) = \frac{1}{it}f(z + t(e^{is} - z))$ . Trivially note that  $\Phi'(s) = f(z + t(e^{is} - z))e^{is}$ . Using the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$g'(t) = \Phi(2\pi) - \Phi(0) = 0$$

Implying that g is constant on [0,1]. Recall that we have already calculated

$$g(0) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z)}{e^{is} - z} - f(z) \, ds = 0$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.23.** Let  $\gamma$  be a rectifiable curve in  $\mathbb C$  and suppose that  $F_n$  and F are continuous functions on  $\{\gamma\}$  such that the sequence  $\{F_n\}$  converges uniformly to F. Then

$$\int_{\gamma} F = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\gamma} F_n$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then, there is a positive integer N such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|F_n - F| \leq \varepsilon / V(\gamma)$ . Then, we have (for all  $n \ge N$ )

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} F - F_n \right| \le \int_{\gamma} |F - F_n| \, |dz| \le \varepsilon$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.24.** Let f be analytic in B(a,R); then  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-a)^n$  for |z-a| < R, where  $a_n = \frac{1}{n!}f^{(n)}(a)$ and this series has radius of convergence  $\geq R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in B(a, R)$ . Choose |z - a| < r < R and define  $\gamma$  to be the circle  $\partial B(a, r)$ . Then, using Proposition 2.22,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} \, dw$$

Now, note that

$$\frac{1}{w-z} = \frac{1}{w-a} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z-a}{w-a}} = \frac{1}{w-a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z-a}{w-a}\right)^k$$

Since  $w \in \{\gamma\}$ , there must exist M > 0 such that |f(w)| < M for all  $w \in \{\gamma\}$  and thus

$$\frac{|f(w)||z-a|^n}{|w-a|^{n+1}} \le \frac{M}{r} \left(\frac{|z-a|}{r}\right)^n$$

Due to the Weierstrass M-test, the power series converges uniformly for  $w \in \{\gamma\}$ . And due to the Weierstrass M-test, the power series converges uniformly for  $w \in \{\gamma\}$ . Therefore, we may write

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z - a}{w - a}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w - a)^{n+1}} dw\right] (z - a)^n$$

Define

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-a)^{n+1}} dw$$

Then, the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-a)^n$  converges to f(z) on B(a,r). Consequently, f is infinitely differentiable at z and thus,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(a)$$

Now, the characterization of  $a_n$  is independent of  $\gamma$  and therefore r. Consequently, this power series converges to f(z) whenever |z - a| < R. Therefore, the radius of convergence must be at least R.

**Corollary.** If  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is analytic adn  $a \in G$ . Then  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-a)^n$  for |z-a| < R where  $R = d(a, \partial G)$ .

**Corollary.** If  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is analytic, then it is infinitely differentiable.

**Corollary.** If  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is analytic and  $\overline{B}(a,r) \subseteq G$ , then

$$f^{(n)}(a) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-a)^{n+1}} dw$$

where  $\gamma(t) = a + re^{it}$  for  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

**Proposition 2.25 (Cauchy's Estimate).** *Let* f *be analtic in* B(a, R) *and suppose*  $f(z) \le m$  *for all*  $z \in B(a, R)$ . *Then* 

$$|f^{(n)}(a)| \le \frac{n!M}{R^n}$$

*Proof.* Let r < R and  $\gamma(t) = a + re^{it}$  for  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ .

$$|f^{(n)}(a)| \le \frac{n!}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-a)^{n+1}} ds \right| \le \int_{\gamma} \left| \frac{f(w)}{(w-a)^{n+1}} \right| |dw| \le \frac{n!M}{r^n}$$

The result follows by letting  $r \to R^-$ .

**Proposition 2.26.** *Let* f *be analytic in the disk* B(a, R) *and suppose that*  $\gamma$  *is a closed rectifiable curve in* B(a, R). *Then* 

$$\int_{\gamma} f = 0$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that f has a primitive on B(a, R) whence, we would be done by Theorem 2.19. Due to Theorem 2.24, there is a power series representation for f,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - a)^n$$

for  $z \in B(a, R)$ .

Define the function

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} (z-a)^{n+1}$$

Notice that the radius of convergence of F is equal to that of f and F' = f. As a result, F is a primitive for f on B(a, R).

### 2.3 Zeros of Analytic Functions

**Definition 2.27 (Entire Function).** An *entire function* si a function which isd efined and analytic in the whole complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We immediately obtain the following result:

**Proposition 2.28.** *If* f *is an entire function, then* f *has a power series expansion with infinite radius of convergence.* 

**Lemma 2.29.** No non-constant polynomial is bounded. That is, if  $p(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ . Then,  $\lim_{z \to \infty} p(z) = \infty$ .

Proof. Trivial.

**Theorem 2.30 (Liouville).** *If f is a bounded entire function, then f is constant.* 

In the proof of Liouville's Theorem, we shall require the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.31.** If G is open and connected and  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is differentiable with f'(z) = 0 for all  $z \in G$ , then f is constant on G.

*Proof.* Choose any  $z_0 \in G$  and let  $\omega_0 = f(z_0)$ . Define  $A = f^{-1}(\{z_0\})$ . Obviously, A is closed in G. Choose  $a \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B(a,\varepsilon) \subseteq G$ . Pick any  $z \in B(a,\varepsilon)$  with  $a \neq z$ . Define g(t) = f((1-t)a+tz). Note that g'(s) = f'((1-t)a+tz)(z-a) = 0, consequently, g is constant and therefore,  $f(z) = g(1) = g(0) = \omega_0$ . Therefore,  $B(a,\varepsilon) \subseteq A$  and thus A is open. This shows that A must be equal to G, completing the proof.

*Prof of Theorem* 2.30. Let M > 0 be such that  $|f(z)| \le M$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Choose any  $a \in A$ . Then, for any R > 0, applying Proposition 2.25, we have

$$|f'(a)| \le \frac{M}{R}$$

Letting  $R \to \infty$ , we have f'(a) = 0 for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . We are now done due to the preceding lemma.

We may now prove the fundamental theorem of algebra:

**Theorem 2.32 (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra).** *If* p(z) *is a non-constant polyomial then there is a complex number a with* p(a) = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then,  $f(z) = \frac{1}{p(z)}$  is entire. Since  $\lim_{z \to \infty} p(z) = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{z \to \infty} f(z) = 0$ . Therefore, there is  $\varepsilon$  such that whenever  $|z| > \varepsilon$ , |f(z)| < 1. This immediately implies that f is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$ , consequently is constant. A contradiction.

**Theorem 2.33.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region, and  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be an analytic function. Then the following are equivalent

- (a)  $f \equiv 0$
- (b) there is a point  $a \in G$  succh that  $f^{(n)}(a) = 0$  for each  $n \ge 0$
- (c) the set  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$  has a limit point in G

*Proof.* It is clear that  $(a) \Longrightarrow (b) \land (c)$ . We shall show that  $(c) \Longrightarrow (b)$  and  $(b) \Longrightarrow (a)$ .

•  $\underline{(c) \Longrightarrow (b)}$ : Let a be a limit point of the set  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ . We shall show that  $f^{(n)}(a) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let n be the smallest integer  $\geq 1$  such that  $f^{(r)}(a) = 0$  for all r < n. Now, there is R > 0 such that  $B(a,R) \subseteq G$ , and thus there is a power series expansion around a for all  $z \in B(a,R)$ , given by

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k (z - a)^k$$

Define the function

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n+k} (z-a)^k$$

Then  $g(a) = a_n \neq 0$ . It is not hard to see that g(z) is analytic in B(a,R), as a result, there is some 0 < r < R such that  $g(z) \neq 0$  for each  $z \in B(a,r)$ . But since a is a limit point of the set  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ , there is some  $b \neq a$  in  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap B(a,r)$ , and we have  $0 = f(b) = (b-a)^n g(b)$ , a contradiction. This shows that no such  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can exist.

•  $\underline{(c) \Longrightarrow (b)}$ : Let  $A = \{z \in G \mid f^{(n)}(z) = 0, \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We shall show that A is clopen in G. Indeed, let  $a \in A$ . Since G is open, there is B = A such that  $B(a, B) \subseteq G$ . Let  $B \in B(a, B)$ . Note that A has a power series expansion around A that is valid for all A is open.

Next, let  $\{z_k\}$  be a sequence of points in A converging to  $a \in G$ . Then, using continuity of  $f^{(n)}$ , we conclude that  $f^{(n)}(a) = \lim f^{(n)}(z_k) = 0$  and A is closed. This completes the proof.

22

**Lemma 2.34.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  is analytic such that f(G) is a subset of a circle. Then f is constant.

Proof.

**Theorem 2.35 (Maximum Modulus Theorem).** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be an analytic function such that there is  $a \in G$  with  $|f(a)| \ge |f(z)|$  for all  $z \in G$ . Then f is constant on G.

*Proof.* Let r > 0 be such that  $B(a,r) \subseteq G$  and let  $\gamma$  be the curve given by  $\gamma(t) = a + re^{it}$ . Then, we have

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - a} dw$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(a + re^{it})$$

and equivalently,

$$|f(a)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(a + re^{it})| dt \le |f(a)|$$

As a result,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(a)| - |f(a + re^{it})| dt = 0$$

since the integrand is a continuous nonnegative function of t, it must be identically zero. As a result, f maps the ball B(a,r) to the circle |z| = |f(a)|. Due to Lemma 2.34, f is constant on B(a,r). Since B(a,r) has at least one limit point in G (say a for example), it must be constant on G.

### 2.4 Cauchy's Theorem

**Definition 2.36 (Homotopy for Closed Curves).** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 : [0,1] \to G$  be two closed rectifiable curves. Then  $\gamma_0$  is *homotopic* to  $\gamma_1$  in G if there is a continuous function  $Gamma : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to G$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma(s,0) = \gamma_0(s) \text{ and } \gamma(s,1) = \gamma_1(s) & 0 \le s \le 1\\ \Gamma(0,t) = \Gamma(1,t) & 0 \le t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

We denote this by  $\gamma_0 \simeq \gamma_1 \pmod{G}$ .

**Lemma 2.37.** The relation  $\simeq$  is an equivalence relation over the set of all closed curves in G.

Proof. Standard proof from Algebraic Topology.

**Theorem 2.38 (Cauchy).** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic. Let  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  be homotopic closed curves. Then

$$\int_{\gamma_0} f = \int_{\gamma_1} f$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma: I^2 \to G$  be the homotopy taking  $\gamma_0$  to  $\gamma_1$ . Since  $I^2$  is compact, so is  $\Gamma(I^2)$ . Consequently, due to the Lebesgue Number Lemma, there is r>0 such that for all  $a\in\Gamma(I^2)$ ,  $B(a,r)\subseteq G$ . Using the uniform continuity of  $\Gamma$ , there is  $\delta>0$  such that whenever  $|(s',t')-(s,t)|<\delta$ ,  $|\Gamma(s',t')-\Gamma(s,t)|< r$ . Choose  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sqrt{2}/n<\delta$ . Finally, let  $\gamma_t$  denote the curve  $\Gamma(s,t)$  where t is fixed and  $0\leq s\leq 1$ .

Let  $Z_{i,j}$  denote the point  $\Gamma\left(\frac{i}{n},\frac{j}{n}\right)$  and  $Q_{i,j}$  denote the square  $\left(\frac{i}{n},\frac{j}{n}\right) \to \left(\frac{i+1}{n},\frac{j}{n}\right) \to \left(\frac{i+1}{n},\frac{j+1}{n}\right) \to \left(\frac{i}{n},\frac{j+1}{n}\right) \to \left(\frac{i}{n},\frac{j+1}{n}\right) \to \left(\frac{i}{n},\frac{j}{n}\right)$ . We shall show that

$$\int_{\Gamma(Q_{i,i})} f = 0$$

which would imply the desired conclusion through a straightforward inductive process.

But since  $|z_1 - z_2| < \sqrt{2}/n < \delta$  for all  $z_1, z_2 \in Q_{i,j}$ , we can conclude that  $\Gamma(Q_{i,j}) \subseteq B(Z_{i,j}, r)$ , whence we are done due to Proposition 2.26.

**Corollary.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and  $\gamma$  a closed rectifiable curve in G which is nulhomotopic. Then,

$$\int_{\gamma} f = 0$$

for every analytic function *f* defined on *G*.

**Corollary.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1$  be path homotopic curves. Then,

$$\int_{\gamma_0} f = \int_{\gamma_1} f$$

for every analytic function *f* defined on *G*.

**Corollary.** If  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is simply connected then  $\int_{\gamma} f = 0$  for every closed rectifiable curve  $\gamma \subseteq G$  and every analytic function  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.39.** If G is simply connected and  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  is analytic in G, then f has a primitive in G.

*Proof.* Fix some basepoint  $a \in G$  and for each  $z \in G$ , define  $F : G \to \mathbb{C}$  as  $F(z) = \int_{\gamma} f$ . Due to the previous result, this function is well defined. We shall show that F is a primitive for f on G. Let  $z_0 \in G$ . Since G is open, there is r > 0 such that  $\overline{B}(z_0, r) \subseteq G$ . Note that this is a convex set centered at  $z_0$ , as a result, all line segments between two points are contained in it. Choose some  $z \in B(z_0, r)$ . Then,

$$\frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f(z_0) = \frac{1}{z - z_0} \int_{[z_0, z]} (f(w) - f(z_0)) dw$$

$$\implies \left| \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f(z_0) \right| \le \left| \frac{1}{z - z_0} \right| \int_{[z_0, z]} |(f(w) - f(z_0))| |dw|$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Note that  $\overline{B}(z_0, r)$  is compact in G and thus, f is uniformly continuous. As a result, there is a small enough r > 0 such that for all  $z \in B(z_0, r)$ ,  $|f(z) - f(z_0)| < \varepsilon$ . And thus,

$$\left| \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f(z_0) \right| \le \varepsilon$$

which implies the desired conclusion.

**Theorem 2.40 (Morera).** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous function. If for every triangular path  $\Delta$  in G, the value of  $\int_{\Lambda} f = 0$ , then f is analytic over G.

*Proof.* Note that it suffices to show this in the case G = B(a, R) for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and R > 0, since for every  $a \in G$ , there is an open ball containing it and showing the analyticity of f every such ball would imply the analyticity of f on G.

Let [x,y] denote the straight line segment that begins at x and ends at y. Define the function  $F:G\to\mathbb{C}$  by

$$F(z) = \int_{[a,z]} f$$

We shall show that F' = f, which would imply the analyticity of F and therefore that of f. Choose some  $z_0 \in G$ . For any  $z \in G$ , we have

$$F(z) - F(z_0) = \int_{[a,z]} f - \int_{[a,z_0]} f = \int_{[z_0,z]} f$$

Then,

$$\frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f(z_0) = \frac{1}{z - z_0} \int_{[z_0, z]} (f - f(z_0))$$

Choose r>0 such that  $\overline{B}(z_0,r)\subseteq G$ . Since f is continuous on G, it is uniformly continuous on  $\overline{B}(z_0,r)$ . Let  $\varepsilon>0$  be given. There is  $\delta>0$  such that whenever  $|z-z_0|<\delta$ ,  $|f(z)-f(z_0)|<\varepsilon$ . Consequently, for all such z, we have

$$\left| \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f(z_0) \right| \le \frac{1}{|z - z_0|} \int_{[z_0, z]} |f(t) - f(z_0)| \ |dt| \le \varepsilon$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.41 (Goursat).** *Let*  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  *be an open set and*  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  *be differentiable. Then,* f *is analytic over* G.

*Proof.* Due to Morera's Theorem, it suffices to show that for every triangular path  $\Delta = [a, b, c, a] \subseteq G$ , the value  $\int_{\Lambda} f = 0$ .

We shall define a sequence of closed triangular regions  $\Delta = \Delta^{(0)} \supseteq \Delta^{(1)} \supseteq \cdots$ . Obviously, since each triangular region is closed and bounded, it must be compact.

Divide the triangle  $\Delta^{(i)}$  into four congruent triangles using the midpoint of each side. Let the smaller triangles be denoted by  $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$ . Define

$$j = \operatorname{argmax}_{j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}} \left| \int_{\Delta_j} f \right|$$
 and  $\Delta^{(i+1)} = \Delta_j$ 

We have

$$\begin{cases} \left| \int_{\Delta^{(i)}} f \right| \le 4 \left| \int_{\Delta^{(i+1)}} f \right| \\ 2 \operatorname{diam} \Delta^{(i+1)} = \operatorname{diam} \Delta^{(i)} \\ 2V(\Delta^{(i+1)}) = V(\Delta^{(i)}) \end{cases}$$

Then, using Lemma 2.8,  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \Delta^{(i)}$  is singleton, say  $\{z_0\}$ . Choose some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since f is differentiable at  $z_0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f'(z_0) \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $|z-z_0|<\delta$ . Choose  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\operatorname{diam}\Delta^{(n)}=\frac{1}{2^n}\operatorname{diam}\Delta<\delta$ . Therefore,  $\Delta^{(n)}\subseteq B(z_0,\delta)$ . Then, we have

$$\int_{\Lambda^{(n)}} f = \int_{\Lambda^{(n)}} f(z) - f(z_0) - (z - z_0) f'(z_0) dz$$

whence

$$\left| \int_{\Delta^{(n)}} f \right| = \left| \int_{\Delta^{(n)}} f(z) - f(z_0) - (z - z_0) f'(z_0) dz \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\Delta^{(n)}} |f(z) - f(z_0) - (z - z_0) f'(z_0)| |dz|$$

$$\leq \int_{\Delta^{(n)}} \varepsilon |z - z_0| |dz|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \operatorname{diam} \Delta^{(n)} V(\Delta^{(n)})$$

$$= \varepsilon (\operatorname{diam} \Delta) V(\Delta) \frac{1}{4n}$$

from which it follows that

$$\left| \int_{\Delta} f \right| \leq 4^n \left| \int_{\Delta^{(n)}} f \right| \leq \varepsilon(\operatorname{diam} \Delta) V(\Delta)$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we have the desired conclusion.

Due to Theorem 2.41, we may redefine an analytic function in its more accepted definition.

**Definition 2.42 (Analytic).** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open. Then  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  is said to be analytic if it is differentiable over G.

### 2.5 Winding Numbers

**Proposition 2.43.** *If*  $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$  *is a closed rectifiable curve and*  $a \notin \{\gamma\}$ *, then* 

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z - a}$$

is an integer.

*Proof.* The proof is divided into two parts. First, we prove the statement of the proposition for all piecewise smooth curves.

- Case I:  $\gamma$  is piecewise smooth
- Case II:  $\gamma$  is an arbitrary rectifiable curve

**Definition 2.44 (Winding Number).** If  $\gamma$  is a closed rectifiable curve in  $\mathbb C$  then for  $a \notin \{\gamma\}$ ,

$$n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - a} \, dz$$

is called the *winding number* of  $\gamma$  around a.

**Theorem 2.45 (Cauchy's Integral Formula).** *Let*  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  *be analytic and*  $\gamma \subseteq G$  *be a nulhomotopic rectifiable closed contour. Then, for*  $a \notin \{\gamma\}$ *,* 

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} = n(\gamma; a) f(a)$$

*Proof.* Note that the function f(z) - f(a) is analytic and has a zero at z = a, therefore, there is an analytic function  $g: G \to \mathbb{C}$  such that f(z) - f(a) = g(z)(z - a). From here, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} g(z) = 0$$

and therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(a)}{z-a} = n(\gamma; a) f(a)$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of the winding number.

**Lemma 2.46.** *Let*  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  *be a region and*  $\gamma \subseteq G$  *be a closed rectifiable contour and*  $\varphi : \{\gamma\} \to \mathbb{C}$  *be continuous. For each positive integer m, let* 

$$F_m(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\varphi(w)}{(w-z)^m} dw$$

Then  $F_m$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{\gamma\}$ . Furthermore,  $F'_m(z)=mF'_{m+1}(z)$ .

*Proof.* Fix some  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\gamma\}$ . Now, there is R > 0 such that  $B(a, R) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\gamma\}$ . Consider some  $z \in B(a, R)$ . Then,

$$F_{m}(z) - F_{m}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \varphi(w) \left[ \frac{1}{(w-z)^{m}} - \frac{1}{(w-a)^{m}} \right] dw$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \varphi(w) \left( \frac{1}{w-z} - \frac{1}{w-a} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(w-z)^{k} (w-a)^{m-k-1}} \right) dw$$

$$= \frac{z-a}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \varphi(w) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(w-z)^{k} (w-a)^{m-k}} \right) dw$$

**Theorem 2.47 (Extended Cauchy's Integral Formula).** *Let*  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$  *be an analytic function and*  $\gamma \subseteq G$  *be a closed contour of bounded variation. Then, for every*  $a \in G \setminus \{\gamma\}$ *, and every nonnegative integer* n,

$$n(\gamma; a) f^{(n)}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-a)^{n+1}} dw$$

*Proof.* Follows from the above lemma.

### 2.6 The Open Mapping Theorem

**Theorem 2.48.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic having zeros  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  counting multiplicity in G. Then, for any closed curve  $\gamma \subseteq G$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n(\gamma; a_k)$$

*Proof.* Recall that if f has a zero at z=a, then there is an analytic function  $g:G\to\mathbb{C}$  such that f(z)=(z-a)g(z). Continuing this way, we have an analytic function  $h:G\to\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(z)=\prod_{k=1}^n(z-a)h(z)$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z - a_k} + \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}$$

Since the function h has no zeros in G, the function h'/h is analytic on G and therefore, the integral is 0. The conclusion now follows.

## Chapter 3

## Singularities

**Definition 3.1.** A function f has an *isolated singularity* at a point z = a if there is R > 0 such that f is analytic on 0 < |z - a| < R. The point a is called a *removable singularity* if there is an analytic function  $g: B(a,R) \to \mathbb{C}$  such that f(z) = g(z) for 0 < |z - a| < R.

**Theorem 3.2.** If f has an isolated singularity at a, then the point z = a is a removable singularity if and only if

$$\lim_{z \to a} (z - a) f(z) = 0$$

*Proof.* The forward direction is obvious. We shall show the reverse direction, that is, suppose  $\lim_{z \to a} (z - a) f(z) = 0$ . There is R > 0 such that f is analytic in 0 < |z - a| < R. Now, define the function  $g : B(a, R) \to \mathbb{C}$  such that g(z) = (z - a) f(z). It is obvious that g is continuous. It suffices to show that g is analytic, since then, there would exist an analytic function h such that g(z) = (z - a)h(z), implying the desired conclusion.

To show that g is analytic, we shall use Morera's Theorem. Let T be a triangle in B(a, R). Note that since this region is convex, it suffices to choose any three points a, b, c in the interior and they would form a valid triangle. Let  $\Delta$  denote the interior of T. If  $a \notin \Delta$ , then T is nulhomotopic and due to Theorem 2.38, the integral  $\int_T g$  must be zero.

Next, if a is a vertex of the triangle, say [a, b, c, a], then for any points x and y on the line segments [a, b] and [a, c],

$$\int_{[a,b,c,a]} g = \int_{[a,x,y]} g + \int_{[x,b,c,y]} g = \int_{[a,x,y]} g$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 2.38. Since g is continuous, there is r > 0 such that for all  $t \in B(a,r)$ ,  $|g(t)| < \varepsilon$ . And thus,  $|\int_{[a,x,y]} g| < \varepsilon \ell$  where  $\ell$  is the permieter of T. It is now obvious that the integral must be zero.

Finally, suppose  $a \in \Delta$  where T = [b, c, d, b]. The integral is now given by

$$\int_{[b,c,d,a]} g = \int_{[a,b,c,a]} g + \int_{[a,c,d,a]} g + \int_{[a,d,b,a]} g = 0$$

This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.3 (Pole, Essential Singularity).** If z = a is an isolated singularity of f, then a is a *pole* of f if  $\lim_{z \to a} |f(z)| = \infty$ . If an isolated singularity is niether a pole nor a removable singularity, it is then called an *essential singularity*.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $f: G \setminus \{a\} \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic with a pole at z = a. Then there is an analytic function  $g: G \to \mathbb{C}$  and a positive integer m such that

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z-a)^m}$$
 on  $G \setminus \{a\}$ 

and  $g(a) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the analytic function  $h: G \setminus \{a\} \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $h = \frac{1}{f}$ . Then it is obvious that  $\lim_{z \to a} f(z) = 0$ , as a result, f has a removable singularity at z = a, and thus, there is an analytic function  $\tilde{h}: G \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $h = \tilde{h}$  on G. Now, since  $\tilde{h}(a) = 0$ , there is a positive integer m and an analytic function  $g: G \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\tilde{h}(z) = (z-a)^m g(z)$ . As a result, we see that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-a)^m} \frac{1}{g(z)}$$

and the conclusion follows.

**Definition 3.5.** If f has a pole at z = a, and m is the smallest positive integer such that  $f(z)(z - a)^m$  has a removable singularity at z = a, then f is said to have a pole of order m at z = a.

# **Bibliography**

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors. Complex Analysis. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 2 edition, 1966.
- [2] John B. Conway. Functions of one complex variable, volume 11 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1978.
- [3] Walter Rudin. *Principles of mathematical analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953.