

## 4. Weil's conjecture

### 4.1 Endomorphism rings of abelian varieties: Albert classification

Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -simple abelian variety of dimension

$g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D} = \text{End}_k^\circ(A)$

Weil's theorem:  $\mathcal{D}$  is a division algebra.

$F$  the centre of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

$x \mapsto x^+$  be Rosati involution  $A$ .

This is a positive involution. So the fixed field  $F^+ = \{x \in \mathcal{D} : x^+ = x\}$

is a totally real number field (ie.

every embedding  $\sigma: F^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  factors through  $\mathbb{R}$ .)

Clearly,  $F^+ \subseteq F$ .

Let  $e = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ ,  $e^+ = [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ ,  $[\mathcal{D} : F] = d^2$   
 $d \in 2\mathbb{Z} > 0$ .

## Theorem (Albert Classification)

Keeping the notation as above, the algebra  $D$  is isomorphic to one of the following types:

- (1) Type I:  $J = F = F^+$ , and the Rosati involution is the identity; in that case,  $e \mid \theta$ .
- (2) Type II:  $F = F^+$ , and  $D$  is a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over  $F$ , i.e.  $\forall \sigma: F \hookrightarrow R$ ,  $D \otimes_R R = \text{Ne}(R)$ . In that case,  $\theta \mid \vartheta$ .
- (3) Type III:  $F = F^+$ , and  $D$  is totally definite quaternion algebra (i.e.  $\forall \sigma: F \hookrightarrow R$ )  
 $D \otimes_R R \cong H$ , where  $H$  is the Hamilton quaternion algebra.) In that case,  $e^2 \mid \vartheta$ .
- (4) Type IV:  $F$  is a CM extension of  $F^+$  (i.e. it is totally imaginary quadratic extn of  $F^+$ ), and  $D$  is a division algebra with centre in  $F$ . In that case,

- \*  $e^+ d^2)g$  if  $\text{char}(k) \neq 0$
- \*  $e^+ d)g$  if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$

## L.2 Zeta functions of abelian varieties

Theorem .. A is an abelian variety /  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

$$\cdot \dim A = g$$

$$\cdot q = p^n \quad p = \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$n \geq 1$$

(i) Every root  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  of the characteristic polynomial  $f_A$  of  $\pi_A$  has absolute value  $|\alpha| = \sqrt{q}$ .

(ii) If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is complex, then so is  $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha/q$ , and the two roots appear with the same multiplicity.

If  $\alpha = \sqrt{q}$  or  $-\sqrt{q}$  is a root of  $f_A$ , then it occurs with even multiplicity.

Prop.: (i) Reduce to the case of a simple abelian variety.

So assume that

$h: A \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} A' = A_1 \times \dots \times A_s$ , where each  $A_i$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -simple.

The isogeny  $h$  induces an isomorphism of Tate modules:

Tate modules:

$$V_e(h): V_e(A) \cong V_e(A') = V_e(A_1) \oplus \dots \oplus V_e(A_s)$$

But we have  $h \circ \pi_A = \pi_{A'} \circ h$

$$\rightsquigarrow V_e(h) \cdot V_e(\pi_A) \cdot V_e(h)^{-1} = V_e(\pi_{A'})$$

but in that case, we see that

$$V_e(\pi_{A'}): V_e(A') \longrightarrow V_e(A)$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_s) \longmapsto (V_e(\pi_{A_1})(x_1), \dots, V_e(\pi_{A_s})(x_s))$$

so this implies that

$$f_A = f_{A_1} \cdots f_{A_s}.$$

so enough to consider simple abelian varieties.

Let  $\lambda: A \rightarrow \tilde{A}^\vee$  and  $+$  be the rotation involution induced by  $\lambda$ . We first show that

$$\pi_A \circ \pi_A^+ = [9]_A.$$

But  $\pi_A \circ \pi_A^+ = \pi_A \cdot \lambda^{-1} \cdot \pi_A^\vee \cdot \lambda = \lambda^{-1} \pi_A \cdot \pi_A^\vee \cdot \lambda$

So it is enough to show that  $\pi_A \cdot \pi_A^\vee = [9]_A$ .

But, by definition

$$\pi_A = F_{A/F_q}$$

By the properties of the Verschlingung map  
(see next lecture), we have

$$\pi_A^\vee = V_{\tilde{A}/F_q}, \text{ and}$$

$$\pi_{A^\vee} \cdot \pi_A^\vee = F_{A^\vee/F_q} \cdot V_{\tilde{A}/F_q} = [P^n]_{\tilde{A}} = [9]_{\tilde{A}^\vee}$$

Thus  $\pi_A \cdot \pi_A^+ = [9]_A$ .

Now, since  $A$  is simple,  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_A]$  is a number field. Furthermore,  $f_A$  is a power of the

minimal polynomial  $g$  of  $\bar{\pi}_A$ .

So the complex roots of  $g$  (and hence  $f_A$ ) are of the form  $i(\bar{\pi}_A)$  where

$$i: \mathbb{Q}[\bar{\pi}_A] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

The relation  $\bar{\pi}_A \cdot \bar{\pi}_A^+ = [9]_A$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\bar{\pi}_A]$  is stable under the involution  $+$ .

This is a positive involution.

(a) Totally real case:  $\mathbb{Q}[\bar{\pi}_A]$  is totally real and  $+$  is just the identity map.

(i) CP:  $\mathbb{Q}[\bar{\pi}_A]$  is a CT field, i.e.

$$\forall i: \mathbb{Q}[\bar{\pi}_A] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}, i(x) = i(x^+)$$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}[\bar{\pi}_A]$$

In either case, we see that  $\bar{\pi}_A \cdot \bar{\pi}_A^+ = 9$  implies that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is a root of  $f_A$ , then  $|\alpha| = \sqrt{9}$ .

(ii) The first two assertions are easy to prove (exercise).

Assume that  $\alpha = \sqrt{9}$  or  $\alpha = -\sqrt{9}$  is a root of  $f_A$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\bar{\alpha}_A]$  cannot be a CM field. This means that  $\mathbb{Q}[\bar{\alpha}_A]$  must be totally real. In that case the only possible roots are  $\alpha = \pm \sqrt{9}$  because of the relation  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = 9$ .

If  $\sqrt{9}$  has multiplicity  $m \geq 1$ , then

$-\sqrt{9}$  has multiplicity  $2g-m$ .

$$\text{But } f_A(0) = (-1)^m 9^g$$

$$= \deg(f_A) = 9^g$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1)^m 9^g = 9^g \Rightarrow m \text{ is even.} \quad \square$$

Let  $X$  be a scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , let  $N_n := \# X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  be the number of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ -rational points. The zeta function of  $X$  is defined by

$$Z(X; t) : \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_n}{n} t^n\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[t].$$

Theorem. Let  $A$  be an abelian variety/ $\mathbb{F}_q$ .  
 Write  $f_A = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (t - \alpha_i)$  (roots are  
 counted with multiplicity).

$$(i) \quad \# A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i^n)$$

(ii) The zeta function is given by

$$Z(A; t) = \frac{P_1 P_3 \dots P_{2g+1}}{P_0 P_2 \dots P_{2g}}, \text{ where}$$

each  $P_k(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ ,  $k=0, \dots, 2g$ ; and  
 is given explicitly in terms of the  $\alpha_i$   
 as follows:

$$P_k(t) := \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq 2g} (1 - \alpha_{i_1} - \alpha_{i_2} t - \dots - \alpha_{i_k} t^k).$$

(iii) Functional equation:  $Z(A; \frac{1}{q^{2g} t}) = Z(A; t)$

# Jacobian varieties

## The functor

$X$  is a complete non singular curve /  $k$ .

The divisor group of  $X$ :

$$\text{Div}(X) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n n_i P_i : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, P_i \in X(\bar{k}) \right\}$$

The degree map:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i P_i \mapsto \deg(D) := \sum_{i=1}^n n_i.$$

For  $f \in \bar{k}(X)$ ,

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_{P \in X(\bar{k})} \text{NP}(f) P$$

$\in \text{Div}(X)$ .

$$\text{Prin}(X) = \left\{ D \in \text{Div}(X) : D = \text{div}(f) \text{ for some } f \in \bar{k}(X) \right\}.$$

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \text{Div}(X)/\text{Prin}(X)$$

$$\text{Div}^0(X) := \{ D \in \text{Div}(X) : \deg D = 0 \}$$

U1

$$\text{Prin}(X)$$

Define  $\text{Pic}^0(X) = \text{Div}^0(X)/\text{Prin}(X)$

Key fact:

$$D \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}(D) \text{ line bundle}$$

$$D \longleftarrow \mathcal{L}$$

This correspondence is well-defined,  
and setting  $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = \deg(D)$ ,  
this is independent of the choice of  $D$ .

$$\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow D, D' \rightsquigarrow D' - D = \text{div}(f)$$

But  $\text{div}(f)$  has degree 0.

We can equally define  $\text{Pic}(X)$  and  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  as follows:

$\text{Pic}(X) := \left\{ \text{line bundles on } X \right\} / \begin{matrix} \text{isomorphism} \\ \text{of} \\ \text{groups} \end{matrix}$

$\text{Pic}^0(X) := \left\{ \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X) \mid \deg \mathcal{L} = 0 \right\} / \sim$

Riemann-Roch Theorem

Euler characteristic  $\chi(X, \mathcal{L})$ :

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{L}) = \deg(\mathcal{L}) + 1 - g,$$

where  $g = \text{genus of } X$ .

Take  $T$  a connected scheme /  $k$ .

$$X \times_k T = X \times_{\text{spec}(k)} T$$

$X_t$  be the fibre of the projection

$$p_T : X \times_k T \longrightarrow T$$

For  $L \in \text{Pic}(X \times_k T)$ , then the map  
 $t \mapsto \chi(X_t, L_t)$  is locally  
constant.

$\Rightarrow \deg(L_t)$  is independent of  $t$ .

Even better, if  $T' \rightarrow T$  is a  
relative base change,  $\deg(L_t)$  would  
still be unchanged.

The functor:

$$F(T) := \left\{ L \in \text{Pic}(X \times T) \mid \deg L_t = 0 \forall t \in T \right\}$$

$\overline{P_T^* \text{Pic}(T)}$

Theorem. Suppose  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  
 $F$  is representable by an abelian variety  
of dimension  $g$ , called the Jacobian  
variety of  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{Jac}(X)$ .

The theorem says that there exists a pair  $(J, \mathcal{M})$  where  $J$  is an abelian variety over  $k$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  a line bundle on  $X \times J$  such that the following are true:

- (a)  $\mathcal{M}|_{X \times \{0\}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\mathcal{M}|_{\{x\} \times J} \cong \mathcal{O}_J$
- (b)  $\forall T$  (as above),  $t \in T$ ,  $L \in \text{Pic}(X \times T)$  such that  $L|_{X \times \{t\}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $L|_{\{x\} \times T} \cong \mathcal{O}_T$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\phi: T \rightarrow J$  such that  $\phi(t) = o$  and  $L \cong (1 \times \phi)^*\mathcal{M}$ .

### Zeta functions of curves

Hasse-Weil-Lefschetz Theorem.

Proposition Let  $X$  be a complete non-singular curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $\text{Jac}(X)$  its Jacobian. Write  $f_X = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (t - \alpha_i)$

( $\alpha_i$  are the roots counted with multiplicity.)

For any integer  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\# X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = 1 - \text{Tr}(\pi_J^m) + q^m$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^m + q^m.$$

Theorem. Let  $X$  is a complete non singular  
curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ;  $J = \text{Jac}(X)$ .

$$f_J := \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (t - \alpha_i)$$

Then we have

(a)  $Z(X; t) = \frac{P_1}{P_0 P_2}$ , where

$$P_0 := 1 - t$$

$$P_2 := 1 - qt$$

$$P_1 := \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i t) \quad (\text{reciprocal polynomial of } f_J)$$

$$(6) \quad Z(X; t) = q^{g-1} t^{2g-2} Z\left(X; \frac{1}{q}t\right)$$

Theorem. Let  $A$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g/\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then, we have

$$|\text{Tr}(\pi_A)| \leq g \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor.$$

There is an equality if and only if either

$$\cdot \alpha_i + \bar{\alpha}_i = \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor, \quad \forall i$$

$$\cdot \alpha_i + \bar{\alpha}_i = -\lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor, \quad \forall i$$

Corollary (H.-W.-S.) Let  $X$  be a complete non singular curve  $/\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then, the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of  $X$  is bounded by the following inequalities:

$$q+1 - g \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor \leq \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq q+1 + g \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$$