Point-counting and applications

Jonathan Pila

Lecture Notes

Arizona Winter School, 2023

Introduction

These lectures discuss point-counting and applications to diophantine problems. The main objective is to describe the point-counting approach to the unlikely intersection problem of a curve in a product of modular curves.

Lecture 1

Synopsis. The basic point-counting result (for "definable sets in an o-minimal structure", but deferring a discussion of this notion) and its simplest application to an "unlikely intersection" problem: describing the distribution of torsion points on a subvariety of $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$, a problem known as "Multiplicative Manin-Mumford".

Introduction. Diophantine geometry studies the distribution of rational points (and more generally points defined over number fields) on algebraic varieties. For curves one has good (geometric) criteria for finiteness of such points (Faltings proof of the Mordell conjecture). This result is quantitative but not effective: one can bound the number of rational points but not their height.

In higher dimensions one has very strong conjectures (Bombieri-Lang: an algebraic variety is "mordellic" outside its (geometrically defined) "special set"), but results are sparse. Some results assert that suitable algebraic varieties have "very few" rational points beyond the "obvious" ones. As such results do not assert finiteness, they are framed in terms of counting points up to some give height bound H.

1.1. Definition. The *height* of a rational number q = a/b in lowest terms is $H(q) = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$.

For example, conjecturally, no positive integer can be written as a sum of two fifth powers in two different ways. That is, all positive integer solutions to the diophantine equation $w^5 + x^5 = y^5 + z^5$ are **trivial** in the sense that $\{w, x\} = \{y, z\}$. Up to height H there are $H^2 + O(H)$ trivial integer solutions.

1.2. Theorem. ([22]) For $\epsilon > 0$ and $H \ge 1$ there are $\ll_{\epsilon} H^{13/8+\epsilon}$ non-trivial solutions to $w^5 + x^5 = y^5 + z^5$ in positive integers up to H.

We will start by considering analogous results for rational points on non-algebraic (but suitable) sets. Here one needs some notion of "suitable" as one can hardly hope to prove meaningful things about rational points on arbitrary sets.

We will give a provisional notion of "suitable set" and defer a precise description of these sets "definable in an o-minimal structure over the real field" to the third lecture. Counting result for curves. The basic one-dimension result is the following.

For a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we define

$$X(\mathbb{Q}, H) = \{ x \in X \cap \mathbb{Q}^n : H(x) \le H \}$$

and the counting function

$$N(X,H) = \#X(\mathbb{Q},H).$$

1.3. Theorem. ([21, 50]) Let f(x) be a non-algebraic function that is real analytic on an open neighbourhood of [0, 1], and let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the graph of $f: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a constant $c(f, \epsilon)$ such that

$$N(X, H) \le c(f, \epsilon)H^{\epsilon}$$
.

The proof (see Appendix) proceeds by showing that the points in question reside on "few" algebraic curves of degree $d = d(\epsilon)$. Here "few" means $\ll H^{\epsilon}$. This relies on a mean value theorem of H. A. Schwarz and "size versus height" considerations to show that all the points in a small subinterval of [0,1] must lie on one such algebraic curve. But not too small: [0,1] is covered by $\ll H^{\epsilon}$ such subintervals. As f is non-algebraic, the intersection $X \cap A$ is finite and of size uniformly bounded for all curves A of degree d. This gives the result.

Higher-dimensional sets. Moving to higher dimensional sets, one must set out some reasonable class of sets, as one cannot hope to get good estimates for arbitrary sets. Our theorem will apply to sets which are "definable in an o-minimal structure over the real field" (henceforward for brevity we will call such a set "definable") but provisionally consider the image $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of a function

$$f:[0,1]^k\to\mathbb{R}^n$$

that is real analytic on an open neighbourhood of $[0,1]^k$. Such a set we will see is definable, though not all definable sets are of this form.

Also, a higher dimensional (definable) set X may contain positive-dimensional real semi-algebraic sets (see below) even if X itself is non-algebraic.

1.4. Definition. A semi-algebraic set in \mathbb{R}^n is a finite union of sets each of which is defined by finitely many equations and inequations between polynomials with real coefficients.

For example, the graph $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of $z = x^y$ on $x, y \in [1, 2]$, which is of the above-mentioned type. Such semi-algebraic subsets may contain "many" rational points.

- **1.5. Definition.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The algebraic part of X, denoted X^{alg} is the union $\bigcup A$ of all connected positive-dimensional semi-algebraic sets $A \subset X$. The transcendental part of X, denoted X^{trans} , is the complement in X of X^{alg} .
- **1.6. Theorem.** ([59]) Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be definable in an o-minimal structure over the real field. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is constant $c(X, \epsilon)$ such that

$$N(X^{\mathrm{trans}}, H) \le c(X, \epsilon)H^{\epsilon}.$$

This is the basic point-counting result. It can be elaborated in various ways, for example to count algebraic points of some fixed degree rather than rational points. In general one cannot improve the bound $\ll_{\epsilon} H^{\epsilon}$ or make the constant $C(X, \epsilon)$ effective. But under more restrictive hypotheses one can hope to do either or both, and various results are known. See e.g. [12, 14].

Diophantine applications. Here we sketch the very simplest application of the counting theorem to a diophantine problem. It is part of a wider collection of result and conjectures.

Warning. Above we discussed sets in real Eudlicdean space and "dimension" refers to real dimension. Below we discuss complex algebraic varieties and "dimension" for them will be complex dimension. Further below we interact these pictures viewing \mathbb{C} as \mathbb{R}^2 and considering complex analytic sets as real sets. So beware that "dimension" can refer to real or complex dimension depending on context!

The Mordell conjecture (1922) has already been mentioned. Proved by Faltings (1983), it asserts that a smooth projective curve V of genus $g \geq 2$, such as smooth plane quartic curve, has only finitely many rational points.

This conjecture fits into a more general conjectural framework, including the Modell-Lang conjecture (proved in work of a number of people starting with Faltings), and a much wider and very much open Zilber-Pink conjecture.

In the course of considering the conjectural picture, Lang considered the following problem around 1960. Let F be a Laurent polynomial in two variables (polynomial in X, X^{-1}, Y, Y^{-1}) and let

$$V = \{(x, y) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^2 : F(x, y) = 0\}.$$

We want to consider points on V that are roots of unity. Roots of unity are the torsion points in the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^{\times} , hence it is natural to take the ambient variety to be the group $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^2$ rather than \mathbb{C}^2 .

1.7. Theorem. (Proved by Ihara-Serre-Tate) The number of such points is finite except in the case that F is of the form $x^ny^m = \eta$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are not both zero and η is a root of unity.

The number of such points is infinite in the exceptional cases. Such V is a coset by a torsion point of an algebraic subgroup $x^ny^m=1$; such a set will be called a *torsion coset*.

Let us now consider an algebraic subvariety $V \subset X = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$. Then X is an algebraic group, and denote by X_{tors} its set of torsion points (points whose coordinates are all roots of unity).

The algebraic subgroups of X are all defined by multiplicative conditions: some number of equations of the form $x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n} = 1$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the torsion cosets are then the components of subvarieties defined by some number of equations of the form $x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n} = \eta$ with η a root of unity. If zero-dimensional such a point is a torsion point.

The following result is a special case of the Multiplicative Mordell-Lang conjecture, proved by Laurent (1984); it also follows from earlier results of Mann on linear relations between roots of unity.

1.8. Theorem. Let $V \subset X$ be an algebraic variety. There are finitely many torsion cosets $X_i \subset V$ that account for all the torsion points of X that are in V.

The point-counting approach. This follows a strategy proposed by Zannier, implemented initially in [60, 44].

Consider the (modified) exponential map $e(z) = \exp(2\pi i z)$ and its *n*-fold cartesian power (which we will also denote e):

$$e: \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n, \quad e(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (e(z_1), \dots, e(z_n)).$$

The pre-images of torsion points under e are precisely rational points: studying torsion points on V can be approached by studying rational points on $e^{-1}(V) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Now $e^{-1}(V)$ is not a definable set (where we identify \mathbb{C}^n with \mathbb{R}^{2n} using real and

Now $e^{-1}(V)$ is not a definable set (where we identify \mathbb{C}^n with \mathbb{R}^{2n} using real and imaginary parts), due to the periodicity of e. The map e is invariant under the action of \mathbb{Z}^n acting on \mathbb{C}^n by translation. A fundamental domain for this action is the set

$$F = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : 0 \le \operatorname{Re}(z_i) < 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

The graph of the restriction of e to F is a definable set (in the structure known as $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an exp}}$; see Lecture 2) and (hence) so is

$$Z = e^{-1}(V) \cap F.$$

Moreover, every point in V has a pre-image (and indeed a unique pre-image) in Z. So studying torsion points in V is the same as studying rational points in Z. A crucial fact will be that torsion points are algebraic points of quite high degree and hence with many Galois conjugates.

Note: Here the pre-images of torsion points are rational points and lie on the real line inside F. In general the pre-images of "special" points are dense in F.

By the order $N(\eta)$ of a root of unity η we mean its minimal order. By the complexity of a torsion point (η_1, \ldots, η_n) we mean the maximum order of its coordinates. The degree of a root of unity is $\phi(N)$, where ϕ is the Euler ϕ -function and N is its order. This is quite large: all the primitive roots are conjugate e.g. if N = p is a prime number the degree is p - 1.

1.9. Theorem. (see e.g. Hardy and Wright) Let $\delta > 0$. Then there is constant $c(\delta)$ such that, for a root of unity η of exact order N, one has $[\mathbb{Q}(\eta):\mathbb{Q}] \geq c(\delta)N^{1-\delta}$.

It will be necessary to have a description of the algebraic part of Z. Suppose Z contains some positive dimensional semi-algebraic subset A in the real coordinates. Then in fact $e^{-1}(V)$ contains a complex algebraic subset W containing A. This is by analytic continuation because the map e is complex analytic. Hence Z^{alg} consists of (positive dimensional components of) $F \cap e^{-1}(V)^{\text{complex alg}}$.

And what is $e^{-1}(V)^{\text{complex alg}}$? We need to understand when we can have $e(W) \subset V$. The exponential map is highly transcendental, and usually e(W) is Zariski dense in $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$. E.g. e(z) and $e(z^2)$ are algebraically independent functions. But if e.g. $W \subset \mathbb{C}^2 : z_2 = 2z_1 + 3$ then e(W) is contained in $x_2 = e^3x_1^2$ and is not Zariski dense.

A theorem of Ax [4] proves (as a special case) that these are the only kind of exceptions. The complex algebraic part of $e^{-1}(V)$ is just the union of translates of positive-dimensional rational subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n contained in $e^{-1}(V)$, which is just the pre-image of cosets contained in V.

Moreover, one can show that the cosets $T \subset V$ are translates of finitely many algebraic subgroups. Hence the union of such cosets (as V is closed) is some algebraic subvariety $S \subset V$.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. via point-counting. First, we can assume that V is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Indeed, for any V, there is a subvariety $W \subset V$ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that contains all the algebraic points of V. Say V is defined over a Galois number field K of degree d over \mathbb{Q} . Then S is defined over K too.

Choose say $\delta=1/2$ in 1.9, so that a torsion point P of complexity N has at least $c(1/2)N^{1/2}$ Galois conjugates over $\mathbb Q$ and hence $c(1/2)N^{1/2}/d$ conjugates over K.

On the other hand, choosing $\epsilon = 1/4$ in 1.6, Z has at most $c(X, 1/4)N^{1/4}$ rational points outside its algebraic part. Therefore, if V contains a torsion point P of sufficiently high complexity then the pre-images of most of its conjugates over K must lie in Z^{alg} , and so their images (the conjugates of P) must lie in S. Hence all lie in S.

Now we can conclude the proof by induction. As S consists of translates of finitely many algebraic groups T_i , asking which translates of $T = T_i$ lie fully in V is asking for torsion points on the quotient space X/T. \square

Several other diophantine problems can be approached in the same way. One has a quasi-projective algebraic variety X with some countable collection of algebraic points that are "special" (above: torsion). One has a map $u: U \to X$ from some complex domain U, invariant under some group action with fundamental domain F.

E.g. the André-Oort conjecture. In the simplest example this leads to analogues of the above in which $e(2\pi ix)$ is replaced by the modular function (j-function). Say $u: \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ with $u(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (j(z_1), \ldots, j(z_n))$.

The crucial elements of the proof are: that the graph of $u|_F$ is definable, that the pre-images of special points are algebraic points of some bounded degree; that special points themselves have high degree (a positive power of some natural complexity measure that controls the height of a pre-image in F): and a description of the algebraic part matching the description of exceptional subvarieties (cosets that contain a torsion point).

Lecture 2

Synopsis. On unlikely intersections for a curve in $Y(1)^n$. This is a true "unlikely intersection" problem, rather than a "special point" problem. We will go through the proof (of a partial result) emphasizing the arithmetic aspects, functional transcendence, point-counting, and further issues where o-minimality plays a role.

The modular curve Y(1). For background on elliptic curves and their j-invariants see e.g. [71]. Or see [55, Ch. 4].

If $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}.\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}.\omega_2$ is a lattice then $\Lambda \setminus \mathbb{C}$ has the structure of an elliptic curve. Scaling the lattice or changing basis produces an isomorphic curve (over \mathbb{C}), so one can assume that the lattice has the form $\Lambda_{\tau} = \mathbb{Z}.1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}.\tau$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the complex upper half-plane (positive imaginary part).

The elliptic curve corresponding to Λ_{τ} is determined up to isomorphism by its j-invariant, a complex number $j(\tau)$ associated to $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. The modular function $j : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function that is invariant under the action of the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{H} given by

$$z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

I will write z rather than τ for the variable in \mathbb{H} . This action has the classical fundamental domain F consisting of the region in between real parts $\pm 1/2$ and outside the unit circle, and half the boundary.

The modular function j maps onto \mathbb{C} ; hence the moduli space of complex elliptic curves, which is classically denoted Y(1), is just the complex line \mathbb{C} . It is the simplest (positive dimensional) example of a *Shimura variety*.

Special subvarieties. For a generic elliptic curve E, the only complex numbers λ which preserve the lattice (i.e. satisfy $\lambda \Lambda \subset \Lambda$) are the integers. Equivalently, this means that the endomorphism ring of E is generically \mathbb{Z} . For some elliptic curves there are non-integer λ preserving Λ . Such elliptic curves are said to have *complex multiplication* and their j-invariants are algebraic numbers (even algebraic integers) known as *singular moduli*. Thirteen of them are rational numbers. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the set of singular moduli.

The elliptic lattice curve corresponding to Λ_{τ} has CM just if $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is quadratic over \mathbb{Q} . Thus singular moduli are the values of j at quadratic points, just as roots of unity are the values of $e^{2\pi iz}$ at rational points.

We also consider the following relations on pairs of elliptic curves E, E': for each positive integer N, one may consider when E, E' are related by a cyclic isogeny of degree N, meaning that $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ (up to scaling) and the quotient $\Lambda/\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. It turns out that this relation is captured by a polynomial $\Phi_N(j,j')$ on the corresponding j-invariants. Thus $\Phi_1 = X - Y$ while for N > 1 one proves that $\Phi_N \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$ and are symmetric. These polynomials are classically called modular polynomials.

If all the above is unfamiliar, you may just take as given that there is a certain countably infinite subset of algebraic numbers $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a sequence of bivariate polynomials $\Phi_N \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$ with rather remarkable properties. In particular if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\Phi_N(\sigma,y) = 0$ then $y \in \Sigma$.

Then a special subvariety of $Y(1)^3$ is an irreducible component of an algebraic subvariety defined by some number of equations of the form $x_i = \sigma$, where σ is "special" (i.e. a singular modulus), or $\Phi_N(x_j, x_k) = 0$ where Φ_N is a classical modular polynomial.

We define also a broader class of weakly special subvarieties where one allows constant coordinates $x_i = c$ where c is any complex number, not necessarily special. So a special subvariety is weakly special and a weakly special subvariety that contains a special point is a special subvariety. (These properties hold in general.)

The André-Oort conjecture concerns special points (singular moduli). The simplest case concerns a curve $V \subset Y(1)^2$. The set of special points of $Y(1)^2$ is Σ^2 . The conjecture (proved in this case by André [2]) asserts that if $V \cap \Sigma^2$ is infinite then V must be the zero-set of a modular polynomial. This is the analogue of Lang's problem for a curve $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^2$ and torsion points. (And one can give a counting proof that extends to $Y(1)^n$ in analogy with the proof of Theorem 1.8 by counting quadtraic points in a suitable definable set.)

In general, for a Shimura variety X, its special points S and special subvarieties $\{T\}$, one has always that special points are dense in a special subvariety. The André-Oort conjecture is the converse statement: if special points are (Zariski-)dense in $V \subset X$ then V is a special subvariety.

Unlikely intersections for a curve in $Y(1)^3$. The André-Oort conjecture, like Multiplicative Manin-Mumford, is a "special point problem". The much broader Zilber-Pink conjecture ([73, 18, 64]) considers more generally "unlikely intersections".

Say $V \subset Y(1)^3$ is a curve and $T \subset Y(1)^3$ is a one-dimensional special subvariety (say defined by two modular conditions $\Phi_N(x,y) = 0$, $\Phi_M(y,z) = 0$ or one special coor-

dinate and one modular relation, but beware that the intersection of the two modular relations is in general not irreducible: a special subvariety is one of its components. However we want to consider the union of all one-dimensional special subvarieties).

Since V, T are both one-dimensional inside $Y(1)^3$ one would expect them not to intersect, although they might. And there are countably many possibilities for T. However, if V satisfies one modular condition (e.g. $\Phi_N(x,y)=0$) identically, then V will in general intersect the curve defined by this condition together with one additional modular condition (e.g. $\Phi_M(y,z)$).

The following is the simplest unlikely intersection problem in a Shimura variety.

2.1. Conjecture. (Special case of ZP) Let $V \subset X = Y(1)^3$ be a curve that is not contained in any proper special subvariety of X. Then the intersection of V with the union $X^{[2]}$ of all special subvarieties of codimension ≥ 2 is a finite set.

The multiplicative analogue. The multiplicative analogue of this problem was considered by Bombieri-Masser-Zannier in [17], obtaining a partial result completed in [46], and further extended in [19]. A point-counting approach is in [23]. See also [16].

Let $V \subset \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ be a curve. Say n=3. Then it is unlikely for V to intersect a torsion coset of dimension 1, equivalently for a point $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in V$ to satisfy **two independent multiplicative conditions**. Of course one could have two such conditions satisfies on just two of the coordinates, say x_1, x_2 . Then x_1, x_2 must be roots of unity, and the existence of infinitely many such points is governed by the corresponding special point problem (here Lang's problem).

2.2. Theorem. ([46, 19]) Let $V \subset \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^3$ be a curve defined over \mathbb{C} that is not contained in any proper special subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^3$. Then there are only finitely many points in V which satisfy two independent multiplicative conditions.

Note that here one cannot automatically assume that V is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The extension to \mathbb{C} includes genuinely different problems, such as (following [19]) that there are only finitely many complex $t \neq 0, 1, \pi$ such that there are two independent multiplicative relations among

$$2, \quad \pi, \quad t, \quad t-1, \quad t-\pi.$$

The Zilber-Pink conjecture (ZP). ZP ([73, 18, 64]) concerns, more generally, a mixed Shimura variety X in place of $Y(1)^3$. These have a countably infinite collection of "special subvarieties" $\mathcal{T} = \{T\}$ including a countably infinite set of "special points". Suppose $V \subset X$. The conjecture addresses intersections $V \cap T$ for $T \in \mathcal{T}$ that are atypical in dimension. This includes the unlikely intersections, whose "expected" dimension would be negative such as (as above) two curves in a space of dimension 3 or more.

2.3. Definition. Let X be a mixed Shimura variety with its collection $\mathcal{T} = \{T\}$ of special subvarieties. A subvariety $A \subset V$ is called an *atypical component* (of V in X) if $A \subset_{\mathrm{cpt}} V \cap T$ for some $T \in \mathcal{T}$

$$\operatorname{codim} A < \operatorname{codim} V + \operatorname{codim} T$$
, (i.e. $\dim A > \dim V + \dim T - \dim X$).

2.4. Zilber-Pink Conjecture. Let X be a mixed Shimura variety and $V \subset X$. Then the union of atypical components of V in X is a finite union (hence a closed algebraic subset of V).

It is always "unlikely" for a proper $V \subset X$ to contain a special point. So ZP implies "special point" conjectures such as Manin-Mumford and André-Oort. It also implies Mordell-Lang. But it goes far beyond these in the more general "unlikely intersection" problems such as Conjecture 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. The analogue of 2.2 for Abelian varieties is established in [37]. In general, Conjecture 2.4 is wide open; see [20] for a result on planes in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^5$; it is open for surfaces in general; the best general result in $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^n$ are in [33].

Back to a curve in $Y(1)^3$. A number of partial results towards 2.1 are known [36, 54, 28] (see also [26, 27, 47] for results on curves in the Siegel modular varieties \mathcal{A}_g of abelian varieties). In general the required counting results in the counting approach are not effective, but the Galois lower bounds can be made effective in the known cases. In [36] these use isogeny estimates to get Galois lower bounds from height upper bounds.

The points $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in V \cap X^{[2]}$ fall into a few different types, the "generic" one being that the coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3 are non-special with the corresponding elliptic curves being pairwise isogenous. Let's call these "totally isogenous points".

Point-counting strategy for totally isogenous points. I want to describe this, concentrating on the counting aspect. I will say a little about the arithmetic aspects, which are the central focus of Project 1, at the end.

Suppose that $V \subset Y(1)^3$ is a curve and that $P = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is a totally isogenous point. This requires the points x_i to be non-special. Then the special curve T they lie on is unique (otherwise the intersection of two distinct special curves is a special point). So we have unique L, N, M such that

$$\Phi_L(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
, $\Phi_M(x_2, x_3) = 0$, $\Phi_N(x_3, x_1) = 0$.

We define the *complexity* of P to be $B(P) = \max(L, M, N)$.

Let $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in F$ be the *j*-pre-images of the x_i . Then $z_2 = gz_1$ for some 2×2 integral matrix g of determinant L, and one can show that the entries of the matrix have height at most cB^7 ([36, Lemma 5.2] or see [55, 21.9]; a better exponent is obtained in [45]).

I want first to describe: how (and where) an unlikely intersection leads to a rational point.

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, the group of 2×2 real matrices with positive determinant, and let $Z = j^{-1}(V)$. For $(\alpha, \beta) \in G^2$ let

$$Y_{\alpha,\beta} = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{H}^3 : z_2 = \alpha z_1, z_3 = \beta z_2\}.$$

Then a totally isogenous point $P \in V$ gives rise to a rational point on

$$W = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in G^2 : Y_{\alpha, \beta} \cap Z \neq \emptyset\}.$$

And this is a definable set.

Some consequences of o-minimality. Let us make some further observations. First, since each $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ is definable, the intersection $Y_{\alpha,\beta} \cap Z$ is either finite or contains a real analytic arc. But in the latter case, since $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $j^{-1}(V)$ are complex analytic sets, the intersection is complex analytic and positive dimensional, hence $j^{-1}(V) \subset Y_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Now by an analogue of Ax's theorem for the modular function, as this amounts to the "modular logarithm" of V being not Zariski-dense in \mathbb{H}^3 , we must actually have that V is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety.

Now we rules out V being contained in a proper special subvariety, so the only possibility is that some coordinate is constant on V. This greatly simplifies the problem, and so I want to assume that we are not in this case.

Then each intersection $Y_{\alpha,\beta} \cap Z$ is a finite set.

Now, the sets $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $(\alpha,\beta) \in G^2$ form a definable family, meaning that the set

$$Y = \{(\alpha, \beta, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in G^2 \times \mathbb{H}^3 : z_2 = \alpha z_2, z_3 = \beta z_2\}$$

whose fibres over G^2 are the $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a definable set. It is then an consequence of o-minimality that the finite size of $Y_{\alpha,\beta} \cap Z$ is uniformly bounded over all α, β .

A problem and a work-around. For any point $z \in (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ there is a positive dimensional set of (α, β) such that $z \in Y_{\alpha,\beta}$. This is because G is transitive on \mathbb{H} and each point has a stabiliser. This implies that $W^{\text{alg}} = W$ and so the counting theorem as presented in Lecture 1 says something trivial.

However, all is not lost! The proof of the counting theorem gives something more than stated. I will be a bit sketchy. It implies that the rational points of W are contained in its intersections with $\ll H^{\epsilon}$ algebraic sets of suitable degree and real dimension that are either points or pieces in the algebraic part (because some dimension did not drop on intersection). These pieces are called "blocks" and defined in detail [53] (with a variant in [55]).

But each individual $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ only accounts for a finite bounded number of points on Z. If the point P has "many" conjugates, then the "few" pieces (points or subsets of W^{alg}) cannot account for so many points unless there is some one-real-dimensional semi-algebraic subset in one of the pieces such that the intersection point with Z moves. I.e. the "pieces" cannot all be contained in stabilisers.

But then by "modular Ax" we get an algebraic surface that contains $j^{-1}(V)$, which contradicts our assumptions.

Conclusion. Thus, if we can prove that a totally isogenous point has "many" Galois conjugates, then this strategy will succeed. What we need is the following.

Conjecture. For given V defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ there are positive constants c(V), $\delta(V)$ such that if $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in V$ is a totally isogenous point of complexity B = B(P) then

$$[\mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2, x_3) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cB^{\delta}.$$

This conjecture in turn follows if such points have small height, and it can be established ([36]) when V is "asymmetric".

Conjecture. For given V defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is a constant $c(V, \epsilon)$ such that if $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in V$ is a totally isogenous point of complexity B = B(P) then

$$h(x_1, x_2, x_3) \le c(V, \epsilon)B^{\epsilon}.$$

This conjecture in turn follows from a conjecture on likely intersections (see [34, Appendix B] and [55, 21.23]). For other cases where it holds see [28].

Lecture 3

Synopsis. An introduction to definable sets in o-minimal structures, examples, and refinements of point-counting to count algebraic points of bounded degree. This encounters the situation when the "basic" statement can become trivial, but the proof of the counting theorem still yields a useful statement. This will be needed in the application in Lecture 3.

Mathematical structures and model theory. (Also covered in the PAWS notes of Ronnie Nagloo.)

Algebraic structures are often defined as consisting of a set with some specified kind of "additional structure".

A prime example is a *field*. It is a set K endowed with two binary operations + and \times and two elements 0 and 1. Such a structure, whether or not it is a field, we would (in model theory) write as $(K, +, \times, 0, 1)$. Examples are $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, 0, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{C}, +, \times, 0, 1)$ etc.

There is a corresponding "first-order" language with symbols $\dot{+}, \dot{\times}, \dot{0}, \dot{1}$ in addition to the logical symbols and quantifiers (and = and brackets).

A structure as above is indeed a field if various properties hold (associativity, commutativity, distributivity etc.). All these properties can be expressed in the corresponding language: for example, every non-zero element has to have a multiplicative inverse, and addition is associative:

$$\forall x (\neg x = \dot{0} \to \exists y x \dot{\times} y = \dot{1}), \quad \forall x \forall y \forall z (x \dot{+} (y \dot{+} z) = (x \dot{+} y) \dot{+} z).$$

These statements use only the operation symbols $(\dot{+}, \dot{\times})$ and constant symbols $(\dot{0}, \dot{1})$ in the language, logical operations (\neg, \rightarrow)), and quantifiers (\forall, \exists) that run over the set K (and = and brackets).

Another kind of algebraic structure is an ordered field $(K, <, +, \times, 0, 1)$ that carries a strict total order. Here one requires that the order interact well with the field operations (e.g. multiplying by a positive number preserves inequalities). I won't list them (see [31]), but e.g. $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \times, 0, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{Q}, <, +, \times, 0, 1)$ are ordered fields.

More generally, a structure one can have any number of functions, of given arities, on it, and any number of relations, of specified arities, and specified constants. There is a corresponding first-order language. To indicate such a general structure \mathcal{M} on a set M we would write

$$\mathcal{M} = (M, \ldots).$$

For example, $\mathcal{M} = (M, <)$ is a set with a binary relation. If suitable axioms (which can be written in the language) are satisfied it will be a strictly totally ordered set. If one wants to talk about a structure \mathcal{M}' on M consisting of the order < and some other (unspecified) structure, one refers to this as an *expansion* of $\mathcal{M} = (M, <)$ and writes

$$\mathcal{M}' = (M, <, \ldots).$$

Formally one distinguishes the symbols in the language from their interpretation is a structure (e.g. by a dot as above); in practice one ignores this distinction.

Definable sets. Given a structure $\mathcal{M} = (M, ...)$, a definable set is a set $A \subset M^n, n \geq 1$, whose membership can be described by a sentence ϕ in the first-order language corresponding to \mathcal{M} , i.e.

$$A = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^n : \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}.$$

Strictly, only constants that are distinguished in the structure (and si represented in the language) are permitted in ϕ . A broader notion of definable with parameters allows the use of any constants from M. In o-minimality, "definable" nearly always means "with parameters" and we will adopt this convention.

Minimal and o-minimal structures. A non-zero polynomial has only finitely many roots in a field. A consequence is that a subset of \mathbb{C} that is definable (with parameters) in $(\mathbb{C}, +, \times, 0, 1)$ is either finite or cofinite. A structure with this property is called *minimal*, as such sets are definable (with parameters) when there is no structure at all, just using =. (The structure is called *strongly minimal* if all elementarily equivalent (same theory) structures are minimal.) This property plays a very important role in model theory, being enjoyed by the "nicest" structures.

Now consider a ordered structure such as $(\mathbb{R}, <)$. It is not minimal as an interval and its complement are both infinite. O-minimality is the analogue of minimality for a structure $\mathcal{M} = (M, <, \ldots)$ expanding a dense linear order without endpoints.

3.1. Definition. A structure $\mathcal{M} = (M, <, \ldots)$ expanding a dense linear order without endpoints is *o-minimal* if the definable subsets of M are no more than the subsets definable in (M, <). Namely, finite unions of points and open intervals (including intervals of the form (a, ∞) and $(-\infty, b)$).

One can consider expansions of more general orders, but in fact the most interesting examples arise as expansions of an ordered field. If o-minimal, the field must be real closed and we will stick to expansions $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \times, 0, 1, \ldots)$ of the real field.

While sometimes described as fulfilment of Grothendieck's vision of a "tame topology", the idea of o-minimality arose out of the study of the model theory of the real exponential, specifically the structure $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \times, 0, 1, e^x)$, in work of van den Dries [30], prompted by a question of Tarski, and was developed (and named) in analogy with minimality in a series of papers by Knight, Pillay, and Steinhorn ([41, 61, 62, 63]).

Properties. O-minimal structure have remarkable properties. For example, a function definable in an o-minimal structure (meaning its graph is a definable set) must be continuous except at finitely many points and even (in an expansion of a field) differentiable except at finitely many points.

One also has strong uniformity properties. A definable family in a structure $\mathcal{M} = (M, \ldots)$ is a definable subset X of some $M^k \times M^n$ considered as the family of fibres $X_y = \{x \in M^n : (x,y) \in X\}$ parameterized by $y \in M^k$. (Some fibres might be empty.) If $\mathcal{M} = (M, <, \ldots)$ is an o-minimal structure and $X \subset M^k \times M^n$ is a definable family such that the fibres X_y are all finite, then there must be a uniform bound on their size. (Hence the uniform bound on $\#X \cap C$ for C of degree d in the proof of

This is part of the proof of the key structure theorem for definable sets in o-minimal structures, the Cell Decomposition Theorem, due to Knight-Pillay-Steinhorn [41].

Theorem 1.3.)

Examples. The basic example is the ordered field $\mathbb{R}_{alg} = (\mathbb{R}, <, +, \times, 0, 1)$. The o-minimality of this structure follows from quantifier elimination, due to Tarski.

A second key example is $\mathbb{R}_{\exp} = (\mathbb{R}, <, +, \times, 0, 1, e^x)$, due to Wilkie [68]. Another example is

$$\mathbb{R}_{an} = (\mathbb{R}, <, +, \times, 0, 1, \{f : B \to \mathbb{R}\})$$

where B ranges over all closed bounded boxes $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for all n, and f over all functions that are real analytic on an open neighbourhood of B. The o-minimality of this structure of restricted analytic functions follows from Gabrielov's Theorem in real analysis.

Finally, one can add e^x to \mathbb{R}_{an} to form the structure $\mathbb{R}_{an \text{ exp}}$. Note that the graph of e^x is not restricted analytic. This structure seems to suffice for diophantine applications. For example, the sets required in the proof of Theorem 1.8 are definable in $\mathbb{R}_{an \text{ exp}}$ as they are defined using e^x and restricted sine and cosine.

Proving the counting theorem. The key to this is a realising a definable set as an image in a suitable way i.e. a suitable parameterisation. Such a result for semi-algebraic sets was proved by Yomdin [70] and refined by Gromov [32].

3.2. Definition. Let $Z \subset P \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be a definable family of sets in an o-minimal expansion of \mathbb{R} , and $r \geq 1$ an integer. A definable r-parameterisation of Z is a finite set Φ of definable families

$$\phi: P \times (0,1)^k \to (0,1)^n$$

of maps $(0,1)^k \to (0,1)^n$ such that, for each $y \in P$, the finite set of fibres $\{\phi_y\} : \phi \in \Phi$ is an r-parameterisation of Z_y .

3.3. Theorem. (The r-Parameterisation Theorem; [59]) Let Z be a definable family of sets in $(0,1)^n$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a definable r-parameterisation of Z. \square

Sketch proof of the counting theorem. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We choose r large enough and r-parameterise Z. Now on a small sub-box of $(0,1)^k$ (but not too small! $\ll H^{\epsilon}$ boxes cover $(0,1)^k$) all the rational points up to height H lie on one algebraic hypersurface of some degree $d = d(\epsilon)$. The intersections of X with all such hypersurfaces form a definable family. Generally, the intersections will have lower dimension, and we can repeat.

There are a number of technicalities, and one must see how the "algebraic part" presents. Essentially, when a definable set is intersected with an algebraic variety of dimension ℓ and the intersection has the same dimension ℓ then such pieces are in the algebraic part. So in fact one intersects a k-dimensional set Z with k dimensional real algebraic sets by imposing an algebraic relation on every k+1 coordinates. The intersections components of dimension k are then in the algebraic part. \square

Observation. In this proof, the rational points on all Z are contained in $\ll H^{\epsilon}$ "pieces" that are either points or positive dimensional pieces contained in Z^{alg} .

One can count algebraic points of bounded degree. For a set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, integer $k \geq 1$ and $H \geq 1$ we set (using the multiplicative Weil height, but you could also use the maximum height of the coefficients of the minimal polynomial)

$$Z(k, H) = \{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in Z : [\mathbb{Q}(z_i) : \mathbb{Q}] \le k, \quad H(z_i) \le H, i = 1, \dots, n \},$$
$$N(k, Z, H) = \# Z(k, H).$$

3.4. Theorem. ([53, Theorem 1.6]) Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be definable in an o-minimal structure, $k \geq 1$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a constant $c(Z, k, \epsilon)$ such that, for all $H \geq 1$,

$$N(k, Z^{\text{trans}}, H) \le c(Z, k, \epsilon) H^{\epsilon}.$$

Proving this encounters the issue that we encountered in the previous lecture.

Lecture 4

Synopsis. In the last lecture we will, as time permits, describe further applications and problems.

Relative Manin-Mumford. Let E be an elliptic curve and $V \subset E \times E$ a curve. Then the Manin-Mumford conjecture (theorem of Raynaud) implies that V contains only finitely many torsion points of $E \times E$, unless it is a translate of an elliptic curve by a torsion point.

In a series of papers Masser and Zannier considered the case where V is a curve moving in a family of squaresof elliptic curves (or more generally products of two elliptic curves).

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$ one has the elliptic curve on Legendre form

$$E_{\lambda}: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$$

which one considers as the fibre over λ in a family \mathcal{L} of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$ (the Legendre family. Let $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ denotes the family of squares $E\times E$ over $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$. Then $\dim\mathcal{L}^{(2)}=3$. It is a "mixed Shimura variety". The special points of $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ are the torsion points in CM fibres (the Generalised AO in \mathcal{L} is proved in [3]). The special subvarieties of dimension 1 include torsion sections parameterising, for each k, the k-torsion points in $E\times E$ over λ . (Also: the special subvarieties of individual fibres.)

Let $V \subset \mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ be a curve. Then ZP predicts that V meets only finitely many torsion sections unless $V \subset T$ for some special subvariety of dimension 2 (torsion translate of a family of elliptic curves subvarieties $F \subset E \times E$).

A nice special case ([44]) is to take

$$V = \{ \left(\lambda, \sqrt{2(2-\lambda)}, \sqrt{6(3-\lambda)} \right) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \}$$

that, is the curve consisting of the points of $E_{\lambda} \times E_{\lambda}$ whose coordinates are (2, ...) and (3, ...). The "unlikely intersections" with special subvarieties of dimension 1 are those λ for which **both** points $P_1(\lambda) = (2, ...), P_2(\lambda) = (3, ...) \in E_{\lambda}$ are **torsion**.

4.1. Theorem. ([44]). The set of λ for which both points in E_{λ} are torsion is finite.

The proof employs point-counting, the strategy that Zannier had suggested also in our re-proof of the classical Manin-Mumford theorem.

Note that the above result does not affirm ZP for such V as one must also consider points such that λ is CM and there is a linear relation between the two points $P_1(\lambda), P_2(\lambda)$ in E (and here "linear" includes the relevant CM). These cases are dealt with in [5].

Relative unlikely intersections. If one takes the family $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ of E_{λ}^{n} then a point in the fibre is unlikely to satisfy two independent "linear" relations in the group. Such problems are studied in [6]. Consider a curve $V \subset \mathcal{L}^{(n)}$, whose general points we may write as $(\lambda, P_1(\lambda), \ldots, P_n(\lambda))$.

4.2. Theorem. ([6]) Suppose the points $P_1(\lambda), \ldots, P_n(\lambda) \in E$ are generically linear independent over \mathbb{Z} . Then there exists only finitely many λ for which $P_1(\lambda), \ldots, P_n(\lambda) \in E$ satisfy two independent relations over \mathbb{Z} .

An analogue of **ZP**. Were we take the ambient variety $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ and declare the "special subvarieties" to be irreducible algebraic varieties defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. So the special points are just $\mathbb{A}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Now let $V \subset X$ defined over \mathbb{C} .

4.3. Theorem. ([24]) Let $V \subset X$ defined over \mathbb{C} . Suppose that V is not contained in any special hypersurface defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ then the intersection of V with the union of all special subvarieties of codimension at least dim V+1 is not Zariski dense in V.

Thus, for example, if $V \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ is a curve not contained in any hypersurface defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ then the intersection of V with the union of all algebraic curves defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a finite set.

ZP for a curve $V \subset Y(1)^3$ not defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

4.4. Theorem. ([54]) Let $V \subset Y(1)^3$ be a curve that is not defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then the intersection of V with the union of all special curves is finite.

If V is not contained in any hypersurface defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ then 4.4 follows from the much stronger 4.3. When V is so contained the argument depends on the high gonality of modular curves to give "many" conjugates to apply point-counting.

Uniformity and effectivity. Results proved via o-minimality tend to come with a high degree of uniformity as fibres of definable families all "look the same". For example o-minimal proofs of MM (as also others) yields the following uniformity.

4.5. Theorem. Let A be an abelian variety and $d, k \geq 1$ over a number field K. Let $V \subset A$ a subvariety of degree d defined over a numberfield of degree k over K. Suppose (for simplicity) that V contains no torsion cosets of A of positive dimension. Then there exists

$$N = N(A, d, k)$$

such that any torsion point on V has (exact) order at most N.

If the "no positive dimensional special subvarieties" is removed then a similar uniform bound holds for the shape of the "special set" of V. Making such a bound effective is another matter: it sepends on precisely controlling how to parameterise such sets and on uniform arithmetic estimates (lower bounds for Galois orbits).

4.6. Theorem. ([12]) Let A be a product of CM elliptic curves defined over some fixed number field K. Suppose $V \subset A$ defined over some finite degree extension L/K. Suppose that V contains no positive dimensional special subvarieties. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exist c, m, effectively computable from $g = \dim A$ and ϵ , such that, if $P \in V$ is a torsion point of exact order N then

$$N \le c[L:K]^{1+\epsilon} \deg(V)^m.$$

Here is an effective result on RMM. In the following, the constant $\delta(V)$ attched to an algebraic variety measures its complexity in terms of: degree, height and degree of field of definition of defining equations.

4.7. Theorem. ([11]) Let $V \subset \mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ be an irreducible curve defined over a number field K on which λ is non-constant. Suppose that no equation nP = mQ holds identiclly for $(P(\lambda), Q(\lambda)) \in V$, for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ not both zero. Then any torsion point $(P(\lambda), Q(\lambda)) \in V$ has the order bounded by

$$\operatorname{poly}(\delta(V), [K : \mathbb{Q}]).$$

This result though explicit is not uniform in the above sense as the bound depends on heights of coefficients.

Uniform Zilber-Pink. If one does not control the field of definition of $V \subset A$ (say) one cannot bound the order of a torsion point on V. But one can still hope to bound the **number** of torsion points. Indeed, for a **fixed** Abelian variety, such results are implied by MM applied to Cartesian powers, by the "Automatic Uniformity" [66].

4.8. Theorem. ([38]) Let A be an Abelian variety defined over a numberfield K. There exist effective explicit constants c = c(A), e = e(A) with the following property. Let $V \subset A$. Then the number M of torsion cosets contained in V satisfies

$$M \le c(\deg V)^e$$
.

Recent spectacular results of DeMarco, Krieger, Ye, Dimitrov, Gao, Habegger, and Kühne have achieved (not via point-counting) remarkable uniformity in Manin-Mumford and Mordell-Lang in which the Abelian variety is allowed to vary.

4.9. Theorem. ([43]) For each $g \geq 2$ there exists an integer $c(g) \geq 1$ such that the following is true. For every algebraic curve V over \mathbb{C} and every point $P \in V(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\#\Big(\iota_P(V)\cap\operatorname{Tors}\big(\operatorname{Jac}(V)\big)\Big)\leq c(g)$$

where

$$\iota_P: V \to \operatorname{Jac}(V), \quad Q \mapsto P - Q$$

is the Abel-Jacobi sending P to the identity in Jac(V).

In fact such "numerical uniformity" is implied by ZP. Thus, for example, assuming ZP for all powers of $Y(1)^n$, one gets the following numerical uniformity.

4.10. Theorem. ([55]) Assume that ZP holds for all cartesian powers of Y(1). Let $d \ge 1$. There is a constant c(d) such that if $V \subset Y(1)^3$ is a curve of degree d that is not contained in any proper special subvariety then the number of "unlikely" points on V is at most c(d).

Other unlikely intersection problems. We just describe a few examples of problems that fall under ZP. For further examples and references see [55].

We have not touched on cases of ZP that come under the earlier André-Pink-Zannier conjecture, including Relative Mordell-Lang problems; see [29].

It is unlikely for distinct singular moduli $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$ to be multiplicatively independent; see [56]. If $\phi: Y \to E$ is a map from a modular curve to an elliptic curve then its is unlikely for the images $\phi(\sigma_i)$ of singular moduli to be linearly dependent in E; see [57].

If R is an order in an imaginary quadratic number field then there are finitely many elliptic curves (up to isomorphism) with CM by precisely R. So they correspond to finitely many points in Y(1). By contrast, if R is a non-trivial endomorphism ring of Abelian varieties of dimension $g \geq 2$ then the subvariety of A_g has codimension at least g-1. Hence, for a curve $V \subset A_g, g \geq 3$ one expects only finitely many of the Abelian varieties parameterised by the curve to have any non-trivial endomorphisms, unless V is contained in some proper special subvariety.

Acknowledgements. Thanks to Xiaojiang Cheng for catching several typos and obscurities.

References

References for the project are included here.

- 1. J. Armitage, Pfaffian control of some polynomials involving the j-function and Weierstrass elliptic functions, arXiv:2011.09382.
- 2. Y. André, Finitude des couples d'invariants modulaire singuliers sur une courbe algébrique plane non modulaire, J. Reine Angew. Math. 505 (1998), 203–208.
- 3. Y. André, Shimura varieties, subvarieties, and CM points, Six lectures at the Franco-Taiwan arithmetic festival, Aug.-Sept. 2001.
- 4. J. Ax, On Schanuel's conjectures, Annals 93 (1971), 252–268.
- 5. F. Barroero, CM relations in fibred powers of elliptic families, *JIM Jussieu* **18** (2019), corrigendum, *JIM Jussieu* (2018), online.
- 6. F. Barroero and L. Capuano, Linear relations in families of powers of elliptic curves, *ANT* **10** (2016), 195–214.
- 7. J.-P. Bézivin, Suites d'entières et fonctions entières arithmétiques, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 3 (1994) 313–334.
- 8. J.-P. Bézivin, Sur les fonctions entières q-arithmétiques, Rend. Circulao Math. Palermo (2) 47 (1998), 447–462.
- 9. Y. Bilu, D. Masser, and U. Zannier, An effective "theorem of André" for CM points on plane curves, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **154** (2013), 145–152.
- 10. G. Binyamini, Some effective estimates for André-Oort in $Y(1)^n$, with an appendix by E. Kowalski, Crelle **767** (2020), 17–35.
- 11. G. Binyamini, Point counting for foliations over number fields, arXiv:2009.00892, Forum Math. Pi 10 (2022), 1–39.
- 12. G. Binyamini, G. O. Jones, H. Schmidt, and M. E. M. Thomas, An effective Pila-Wilkie theorem for sets definable using Pfaffian functions, with some diophantine applications, arXiv:2301.09883.
- 13. G. Binyamini and D. Masser, Effective André-Oort for non-compact curves in Hilbert modular varieties, arXiv:2101.06412, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, to appear.
- 14. G. Binyamini, D. Novikov, and B. Zack, Wilkie's conjecture for Pfaffian structures, arXiv:2202.05305.

- 15. R. P. Boas, Comments on [65], in George Pólya: Collected Ppers, Volume 1, R. P. Boas, editor, MIT Press, Cambridge, 1974, 771–773.
- 16. E. Bombieri, P. Habegger, D. Masser, and U. Zannier, A note on Maurin's theorem, *Rend. Lincei. Mat. Appl.* **21** (2010), 251–260.
- 17. E. Bombieri, D. Masser, and U. Zannier, Intersecting a curve with algebraic subgroups of multiplicative groups, *IMRN* **20** (1999), 1119–1140.
- 18. E. Bombieri, D. Masser, and U. Zannier, Anomalous subvarieties structure theorems and applications, *IMRN* **19** (2007), 33 pages.
- 19. E. Bombieri, D. Masser, and U. Zannier, On unlikely intersections of complex varieties with tori, *Acta Arithmetica* **133** (2008), 309–323.
- 20. E. Bombieri, D. Masser, and U. Zannier, Intersecting a plane with algebraic subgroups of multiplicative groups, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa Cl. Sci* (5) **7** (2008), 51–80.
- 21. E. Bombieri and J. Pila, The number of integral points on arcs and ovals, *Duke Math. J.* **59** (1989) 337–357.
- 22. T. D. Browning and D. R. Heath-Brown, Plane curves in boxes and equal sums of two powers, *Math. Z.* **251** (2005), 233–247.
- 23. L. Capuano, D. Masser, J. Pila, and U. Zannier, Rational points on Grassmannians and unlikely intersections in tori, *Bull. London Math. Soc* 48 (2016), 141–154.
- 24. Z. Chatzidakis, D. Ghioca, D. Masser, and G. Maurin, Unlikely, likely and impossible intersections without algebraic groups, *Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **24** (2013), 485–501.
- 25. P. Corvaja, D. Masser, and U. Zannier, Torsion hypersurfaces on abelian schemes and Betti coordinates, *Math. Ann.* **371** (2018), 1013–1045.
- 26. C. Daw and M. Orr, Unlikely intersections with $E \times \text{CM}$ curves in A_2 , arXiv, arXiv:1902.10483.
- 27. C. Daw and M. Orr, Lattices with skew-Hermitian forms over division algebras and unlikely intersections, arXiv:2111.13056.
- 28. C. Daw and M. Orr, Zilber-Pink in a product of modular curves assuming multiplicative degeneration, arXiv:2208.06338.
- 29. G. A. Dill, Unlikely intersections with isogeny orbits in a product of elliptic schemes, *Math. Ann.* **377** (2020), 1509–1545.
- 30. L. van den Dries, Remarks on Tarski's problem concerning $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \exp)$, in *Logic colloquium '82*, pp. 97–121, Lolli, Longo, and Marcja, editors, *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics* **112**, North Holland, 1984.
- 31. L. van den Dries, *Tame Topology and O-minimal Structures*, LMS Lecture Note Series **248**, CUP, 1998.
- 32. M. Gromov, Entropy, homology and semi-algebraic geometry [after Y. Yomdin], Séminaire Bourbaki, 1985-86, exposé 663, Astérisque 145-146 (1987), 225-240.
- 33. P. Habegger, On the bounded height conjecture, IMRN 2009, 860–886.
- 34. P. Habegger, Effective height upper bounds on algebraic tori, arXiv:1201.1815, Autour de la conjecture de Zilber-Pink, Course notes, CIRM, 2011, 167–242, Panor. Synthèses **52**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2017.
- 35. P. Habegger, G. Jones, and D. Masser, Six unlikely intersection problems in search of effectivity, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **162** (2017), 447–477.
- 36. P. Habegger and J. Pila, Some unlikely intersections beyond André-Oort, *Compositio* 148 (2012), 1–27.

- 37. P. Habegger and J. Pila, O-minimality and certain atypical intersection, *Annales de l'ENS* **49** (2016), 813–858.
- 38. E. Hrushovski, The Manin-Mumford conjecture and the model theory of difference fields, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **112** (2001), 43–115.
- 39. G. O. Jones and S. Qiu, Integer-valued definable functions in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an exp}}$, IJNT 17 (2021), 1739–1752.
- 40. G. O. Jones, M. E. M. Thomas, and A. J. Wilkie, Integer-valued definable functions, *Bull. LMS* 44 (2012), 1285–1291.
- 41. J. Knight, A. Pillay, and C. Steinhorn, Definable sets in ordered structures. II, *Trans. AMS* **295** (1986), 593–605.
- 42. L. Kühne, An effective result of André-Oort type, Annals 176 (2012), 651–671.
- 43. L. Kühne, Equidistribution in families of Abelian varieties, arXiv:2101.10272.
- 44. D. Masser and U. Zannier, Torsion anomalous points and families of elliptic curves, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **346** (2008), 491–494, and Amer. J. Math **132** (2010), 1677–1691.
- 45. D. Masser and U. Zannier, Abelian varieties isogenous to no Jacobian, *Annals* **191** (2020), 635–674.
- 46. G. Maurin, Courbes algébriques et équations multiplicatives, *Math. Annalen* **341** (2008), 789-824.
- 47. G. Papas, Some cases of the Zilber-Pink conjecture for curves in A_g , arXiv, arXiv:2211.06763.
- 48. F. Pellarin, Sur une majoration explicite pour un degré disogénie liant deux courbes elliptiques, *Acta Arith.* **100** (2001), 203–243.
- 49. A. Perelli and U. Zannier, Su un teorema di Pólya, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* A (5) **18** (1981), 305–307.
- 50. J. Pila, Geometric postulation of a smooth function and the number of rational points, *Duke Math. J.* **63** (1991) 449–463.
- 51. J. Pila, Concordant sequences and concordant entire functions, Ren. Circ. Math. Palermo (2) 51 (2002) 51–82.
- 52. J. Pila, Entire functions having a concordant value sequence, *Israel J. Math.* **134** (2003) 317–343
- 53. J. Pila, On the algebraic points of a definable set, Selecta Math. N. S. 15 (2009), 151–170.
- 54. J. Pila, On a modular Fermat equation, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 92 (2017), 85–103.
- 55. J. Pila, *Point-Counting and the Zilber-Pink Conjecture*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **228**, CUP, 2022.
- 56. J. Pila and J. Tsimerman, Muliplicative relations among singular moduli, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (4) **17** (2017), 1357–1382.
- 57. J. Pila and J. Tsimerman, Independence of CM points in elliptic curves, preprint, *JEMS* **24** (2022), 3161–3182.
- 58. J. Pila and F. R. Villegas, Concordant sequences and integral-valued entire functions, *Acta Arithmetica* 88 (1999) 239–268.
- 59. J. Pila and A. J. Wilkie, The rational points of a definable set, *DMJ* **133** (2006), 591–616.
- 60. J. Pila and U. Zannier, Rational points in periodic analytic sets and the Manin-Mumford conjecture, Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei (9) 19 (2008) 149–162.

- 61. A. Pillay and C. Steinhorn, Definable sets in ordered structures, *Bulletin AMS* 11 (1984), 159–162.
- 62. A. Pillay and C. Steinhorn, Definable sets in ordered structures I, *Trans. AMS* **295** (1986), 565–592.
- 63. A. Pillay and C. Steinhorn, Definable sets in ordered structures III, *Trans. AMS* **309** (1988),469–476.
- 64. R. Pink, A common generalization of the conjectures of André-Oort, Manin-Mumford, and Mordell-Lang, manuscript dated 17 April 2005 available from the author's webpage.
- 65. G. Pólya, Über ganzwertige ganze Funktionen, *Rend. Circ.Mat.Palermo* **40** (1915), 1–16. Also collected papers.
- 66. T. Scanlon, Automatic uniformity, IMRN 2004, 3317–3326.
- 67. M. Waldschmidt, Integer-valued functions, Hurwitz functions and related topics: a survey, 31st meeting of the Journées Arithmétiques, arXiv:2002.01223
- 68. A. J. Wilkie, Model completeness results for expansions of the ordered field of real numbers by restricted Pfaffian functions and the exponential function, J. Amer. M. Soc. 9 (1996), 1051–1094.
- 69. A. J. Wilkie, Complex continuations of $\mathbb{R}_{an \text{ exp}}$ -definable unary functions with a diophantine application, J. LMS 93 (2016), 547–566.
- 70. Y. Yomdin, C^k -resolution of semi-algebraic mappings. Addendum to "Volume growth and entropy", *Israel J. Math.* **57** (1987), 301–317.
- 71. D. Zagier, Elliptic modular forms and their applications, *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*, 1–103, J.H. Brunier, G. van der Geer, G. Harder, and D. Zagier, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- 72. U. Zannier, Some problems of unlikely intersections in arithmetic and geometry, with appendices by D. Masser, Annals of Mathematics Studies 181, PUP, 2012.
- 73. B. Zilber, Exponential sums equations and the Schanuel conjecture, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **65** (2002), 27–44.

Appendix

An upper estimate. Let D be a positive integer and $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_D \in C^D(I)$ on a closed interval I of length 2L. Suppose $x_1, \ldots, x_D \in I$. We want to estimate the alternant

$$\Delta = \det \left(\phi_j(x_i) \right)$$

the intuition being that if any two $x_{i'}, x_i$ come close together then Δ will become "small" like $x_{i'} - x_i$. This can be done directly via the following mean value theorem due to H. A. Schwarz.

A.1. Proposition. With the notation above, there exists intermediate points ξ_{ij} such that

$$\Delta = V(x_1, \dots, x_D) \det \left(\phi_j^{(i-1)}(\xi_{ij}) \right),\,$$

where V is the Vandermonde determinant.

One can be more precise about the location of the intermediate points. In the following $c(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_D)$ depends on the maximum size of the ϕ_j and their derivatives up to order D-1 on I.

A.2. Corollary. We have $|\Delta| \leq c(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_D) L^{D(D-1)/2}$.

To count rational points on higher dimension sets, we will present them as images $\phi:(0,1)^k\to(0,1)^n$ and we will want to estimate similar alternants. However there is no Vandermonde on points in \mathbb{R}^2 (or higher). So we present another approach.

A cruder approach which generalises. Let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_D \in C^D([-L, L])$, and consider Δ as above. Expand each entry of Δ by Taylor's Theorem with remainder of degree D. Namely,

$$\phi_j(x_i) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{D-1} a_{j\ell} x_i^{\ell} + R_{ji}^{(D)}, \quad R_{ji}^{(D)} = \alpha_{jD} x_i^{D}$$

where $a_{j\ell} = \phi_j^{(\ell)}(0)$ while α_{jD} is the value of $\phi_j^{(D)}/D!$ at some suitable intermediate point ξ_{ji}^D between 0 and x_i .

Now expand the determinant! Each term is a sum over the rows involving choices $j = \sigma(i)$ of which row to take an entry from, where $\sigma \in S_n$, and k_i of which degree term in the expansion to take. Set $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_D)$, an element of $\{0, 1, \ldots, D\}^{\{1, \ldots, D\}}$. Then

$$\Delta = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\kappa} \delta_{\sigma,\kappa}, \quad \delta_{\sigma,\kappa} = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{D} a_{\sigma(i)\kappa_i} x_i^{\kappa_i}$$

where, if $\kappa_i = D$, we will understand that $a_{\sigma(i)D}$ will mean $\alpha_{\sigma(i)D}$. Now fix κ and sum over all σ , giving

$$\Delta = \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{\sigma,\kappa} = \sum_{\sigma} \Delta_{\kappa}, \text{ where } \Delta_{\kappa} = \det \left(a_{j\kappa_i} x_i^{\kappa_i} \right)$$

and the point is that if $\kappa_{i'} = \kappa_i < D$ for $i' \neq i$ then $\Delta_{\kappa} = 0$ as it has dependent columns.

So each choice of $\kappa_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, D-1$ can be made only once and we see that $\ell(\kappa) \geq D(D-1)/2$ for a non-zero Δ_{κ} . So

$$\Delta = \sum_{\ell(\kappa) \ge D(D-1)/2} \Delta_{\kappa},$$

and so

$$|\Delta| \ll c(d)c(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_i)L^{D(D-1)/2}$$
.

An arithmetic lower bound. Now suppose that f is analytic on [-L, L] and, given d, we take $\phi_j, j = 1, \dots D$ to be the monomial functions

$$x^a f(x)^b$$
, $0 \le a, b \le a + b \le d$.

We consider points (x_i, y_i) , where $y_i = f(x_i)$ are rational points of the graph of height at most H. That is, we can write

$$x_i = \frac{a_i}{b_i}, \quad y_i = \frac{c_i}{d_i}$$

where $|a_i|, |b_i|, |c_i|, |d_i| \leq H$.

We consider Δ as a rational number, and note that the denominator in column i can be cleared by multiplying through by $(b_i d_i)^d$.

Thus (the "fundamental theorem of transcendental number theory"), either

$$|\Delta| \ge \frac{1}{H^{2dD}}, \quad \mathbf{or} \quad \Delta = 0.$$

Points in shortish intervals. If the points (x_i, y_i) on the graph of f have height at most H, and lie in I = (-L, L), then the determinant must vanish if

$$c(d, f)L^{D(D-1)/2} \le H^{-cdD},$$

that is, if

$$L \le c'(f,d)H^{-4dD/D(D-1)} = c'(f,d)H^{-8/(d+3)}.$$

Moreover, this will be true for *any* choice of D such rational points on the graph. Hence the rank of the array of monomials is less than D, and **all** the rational points up to height H lie on **one** real algebraic curve (possibly reducible) of degree at most d (and defined over \mathbb{Q} and with some bound on its height, which we don't need).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Choose d so that $8/(d+3) \le \epsilon$. The interval [0,1] can be covered by H^{ϵ} intervals I on each of which all the rational points up to height H lie on one curve of degree d. The number of points in an intersection $X \cap A$, where X is the graph and A is algebraic curve of degree d, is bounded by some c''(f,d). \square

Higher dimensions. We will present a set in $(0,1)^n$ as a union of finitely many images $\phi:(0,1)^k\to(0,1)^n$. For the above, we do need analytic functions. In the upper estimate, only some finite number of derivatives (depending on ϵ via d) is needed. One needs to show that definable sets can be "parameterised" in this way, bounding some fixed finite number of derivatives, uniformly in families.