

# SOME ASPECTS OF THE ALGEBRAIC THEORY OF QUADRATIC FORMS

R. PARIMALA

(Preliminary notes for lectures at AWS 2009)

There are many good references for this material including [EKM], [L], [Pf], and [S].

## 1. QUADRATIC FORMS

Let  $k$  be a field,  $\text{char } k \neq 2$

**Definition 1.1.** A **quadratic form**  $q : V \rightarrow k$  on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $k$  is a map satisfying:

- (1)  $q(\lambda v) = \lambda^2 q(v)$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $\lambda \in k$ .
- (2) The map  $b_q : V \times V \rightarrow k$ , defined by

$$b_q(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}[q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w)]$$

is bilinear.

The bilinear form  $b_q$  is symmetric;  $q$  determines  $b_q$  and for all  $v \in V$ ,  $q(v) = b_q(v, v)$ .

For a choice of basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $V$ ,  $b_q$  is represented by a symmetric matrix  $A(q) = (a_{ij})$ ,  $a_{ij} = b_q(e_i, e_j)$ . If  $v = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i e_i \in V$ ,  $X_i \in k$ , then

$$q(v) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ii} X_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} X_i X_j.$$

Thus  $q$  is represented by a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2. Clearly, every homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 corresponds to a quadratic form on  $V$  with respect to the chosen basis. We define the *dimension of  $q$*  to be the dimension of the underlying vector space  $V$  and denote it by  $\dim(q)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Two quadratic forms  $(V_1, q_1)$ ,  $(V_2, q_2)$  are **isometric** if there is an isomorphism  $\phi : V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_2$  such that  $q_2(\phi(v)) = q_1(v)$ ,  $\forall v \in V_1$ .

If  $A(q_1)$ ,  $A(q_2)$  are the matrices representing  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  with respect to bases  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively,  $\phi$  yields a matrix  $T \in M_n(k)$ ,  $n = \dim V$  such that

$$TA(q_2)T^t = A(q_1).$$

In other words, the symmetric matrices  $A(q_1)$  and  $A(q_2)$  are orthogonally equivalent. Thus isometry of quadratic forms yields orthogonal equivalence on symmetric matrices.

**Definition 1.3.** The form  $q : V \rightarrow k$  is said to be **regular** if  $b_q : V \times V \rightarrow k$  is nondegenerate.

Thus  $q$  is regular if and only if the map  $V \rightarrow V^* = \text{Hom}(V, k)$ , defined by  $v \rightarrow (w \mapsto b_q(v, w))$ , is an isomorphism. This is the case if  $A(q)$  is invertible.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $V$  and  $q : V \rightarrow k$  be a quadratic form. The **orthogonal complement** of  $W$  denoted  $W^\perp$  is the subspace

$$W^\perp = \{v \in V : b_q(v, w) = 0 \forall w \in W\}.$$

**Exercise 1.5.** Let  $(V, q)$  be a regular quadratic form and  $W$  a subspace of  $V$ . Then

- (1)  $\dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) = \dim(V)$
- (2)  $(W^\perp)^\perp = W$

**1.1. Orthogonal sums.** Let  $(V_1, q_1)$ ,  $(V_2, q_2)$  be quadratic spaces. The space

$$(V_1, q_1) \perp (V_2, q_2) = (V_1 \oplus V_2, q_1 \perp q_2),$$

with  $q_1 \perp q_2$  defined by

$$(q_1 \perp q_2)(v_1, v_2) = q_1(v_1) + q_2(v_2), \quad v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2.$$

is called the *orthogonal sum* of  $(V_1, q_1)$  and  $(V_2, q_2)$ .

**1.2. Diagonalisation.** Let  $(V, q)$  be a quadratic space. There exists a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $V$  such that  $b_q(e_i, e_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Such a basis is called an *orthogonal basis* for  $q$  and, with respect to an orthogonal basis,  $b_q$  is represented by a diagonal matrix. If  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $q$  and  $q(e_i) = d_i$ ,  $V = ke_1 \oplus \dots \oplus ke_n$  is an orthogonal sum and  $q|_{ke_i}$  is represented by  $\langle d_i \rangle$ .

Thus every quadratic space is diagonalisable. We simply write  $q = \langle d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle$  if  $q$  admits an orthogonal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  with  $q(e_i) = d_i$ .

### 1.3. Hyperbolic spaces.

**Definition 1.6.** A quadratic space  $(V, q)$  is said to be **isotropic** if there is a nonzero  $v \in V$  such that  $q(v) = 0$ . It is **anisotropic** if  $q$  is not isotropic. A quadratic space  $(V, q)$  is said to be **universal** if it represents every nonzero element of  $F$ .

**Example 1.7.** The quadratic form  $X^2 - Y^2$  is isotropic over  $k$ . Suppose  $(V, q)$  is a regular space which is isotropic. Let  $v \in V$  be such that  $q(v) = 0$ ,  $v \neq 0$ . Since  $q$  is regular, there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $b_q(v, w) \neq 0$ . After scaling we may assume  $b_q(v, w) = 1$ . If  $q(w) \neq 0$ , we may replace  $w$  by  $w + \lambda v$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}q(w)b_q(v, w)^{-1}$ , and assume that  $q(w) = 0$ . This  $W = kv \oplus kw$  is a 2 dimensional subspace of  $V$  and  $q|_W$  is represented by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with respect to  $\{v, w\}$ .

**Definition 1.8.** A binary quadratic space isometric to  $(k^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$  is called a **hyperbolic plane**. A quadratic space  $(V, q)$  is **hyperbolic** if it is isometric to an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes. A subspace  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $q$  restricts to zero on  $W$  and  $\dim W = \frac{1}{2} \dim V$  is called a **Lagrangian**.

Every regular quadratic form which admits a Lagrangian can easily be seen to be hyperbolic.

**Exercise 1.9.** Let  $(V, q)$  be a regular quadratic space and  $(W, q|_W)$  a regular subspace. Then  $(V, q) \xrightarrow{\sim} (W, q|_W) \perp (W^\perp, q|_{W^\perp})$ .

Let  $(V, q)$  be a quadratic space. Then

$$V_0 = \{v \in V : b_q(v, w) = 0 \ \forall w \in V\}$$

is called the **radical** of  $V$ . If  $V_1$  is any complementary subspace of  $V_0$  in  $V$ , then  $q|_{V_1}$  is regular and  $(V, q) = (V_0, 0) \perp (V_1, q|_{V_1})$ . Note that  $V$  is

regular if and only if the radical of  $V$  is zero. If  $(V, q)$  is any quadratic space, we define the **rank** of  $q$  to be the dimension of  $V/V^\perp$ . Of course if  $(V, q)$  is regular, then  $\text{rank}(q) = \dim(q)$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** (*Witt's Cancellation Theorem*) Let  $(V_1, q_1)$ ,  $(V_2, q_2)$ ,  $(V, q)$  be quadratic spaces over  $k$ . Suppose

$$(V_1, q_1) \perp (V, q) \cong (V_2, q_2) \perp (V, q).$$

Then  $(V_1, q_1) \cong (V_2, q_2)$ .

The key ingredient of Witt's cancellation theorem is the following.

**Proposition 1.11.** Let  $(V, q)$  be a quadratic space and  $v, w \in V$  with  $q(v) = q(w) \neq 0$ . Then there is an isometry  $\tau : (V, q) \xrightarrow{\sim} (V, q)$  such that  $\tau(v) = w$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q(v) = q(w) = d \neq 0$ . Then

$$q(v+w) + q(v-w) = 2q(v) + 2q(w) = 4d \neq 0.$$

Thus  $q(v+w) \neq 0$  or  $q(v-w) \neq 0$ . For any vector  $u \in V$  with  $q(u) \neq 0$ , define  $\tau_u : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$\tau_u(z) = z - \frac{2b_q(z, u)u}{q(u)}.$$

Then  $\tau_u$  is an isometry called the *reflection with respect to  $u$* .

Suppose  $q(v-w) \neq 0$ . Then  $\tau_{v-w} : V \rightarrow V$  is an isometry of  $V$  which sends  $v$  to  $w$ . Suppose  $q(v+w) \neq 0$ . Then  $\tau_w \circ \tau_{v+w}$  sends  $v$  to  $w$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.12.** The orthogonal group of  $(V, q)$  denoted by  $O(q)$  is the set of isometries of  $V$  onto itself. This group is generated by reflections. This is seen by an inductive argument on  $\dim(q)$ , using the above lemma.

**Theorem 1.13.** (*Witt's decomposition*) Let  $(V, q)$  be a quadratic space. Then there is a decomposition

$$(V, q) = (V_0, 0) \perp (V_1, q_1) \perp (V_2, q_2)$$

where  $V_0$  is the radical of  $q$ ,  $q_1 = q|_{V_1}$  is anisotropic and  $q_2 = q|_{V_2}$  is hyperbolic. If  $(V, q) = (V_0, 0) \perp (W_1, f_1) \perp (W_2, f_2)$  with  $f_1$  anisotropic and  $f_2$  hyperbolic, then

$$(V_1, q_1) \cong (W_1, f_1), \quad (V_2, q_2) \cong (W_2, f_2).$$

**Remark 1.14.** A hyperbolic space  $(W, f)$  is determined by  $\dim(W)$ ; if  $\dim(W) = 2n$ ,  $(W, f) \cong nH$ , where  $H = (k^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$  is the hyperbolic plane.

From now on, we shall assume  $(V, q)$  is a regular quadratic space. We denote by  $q_{an}$  the quadratic space  $(V_1, q_1)$  in Witt's decomposition which is determined by  $q$  up to isometry. We call  $\frac{1}{2} \dim(V_2)$  the *Witt index* of  $q$ . Thus any regular quadratic space  $q$  admits a decomposition  $q \cong q_{an} \perp (nH)$ , with  $q_{an}$  anisotropic and  $H$  denoting the hyperbolic plane. We also sometime denote by  $H^n$  the sum of  $n$  hyperbolic planes.

## 2. WITT GROUP OF FORMS

**2.1. Witt groups.** We set

$W(k) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of regular quadratic spaces over } k\} / \sim$  where the Witt equivalence  $\sim$  is given by:

$$(V_1, q_1) \sim (V_2, q_2) \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist } r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that} \\ (V_1, q_1) \perp H^r \cong (V_2, q_2) \perp H^s \end{array}.$$

$W(k)$  is a group under orthogonal sum:

$$[(V_1, q_1)] \perp [(V_2, q_2)] = [(V_1, q_1) \perp (V_2, q_2)].$$

The zero element in  $W(k)$  is represented by the class of hyperbolic spaces. For a regular quadratic space  $(V, q)$ ,  $(V, q) \perp (V, -q)$  has Lagrangian

$$W = \{(v, v) : v \in V\}$$

so that  $(V, q) \perp (V, -q) \cong H^n$ ,  $n = \dim(V)$ . Thus,  $[(V, -q)] = -[(V, q)]$  in  $W(k)$ .

It follows from Witt's decomposition theorem that every element in  $W(k)$  is represented by a unique anisotropic quadratic space up to isometry. Thus  $W(k)$  may be thought of as a group made out of isometry classes of anisotropic quadratic spaces over  $k$ .

The abelian group  $W(k)$  admits a ring structure induced by tensor product on the associated bilinear forms. For example, if  $q_1 \cong \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  and  $q_2$  is a quadratic form, then  $q_1 \otimes q_2 \cong a_1 q_2 \perp a_2 q_2 \perp \dots \perp a_n q_2$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $I(k)$  denote the ideal of classes  $q$  of even dimensional quadratic forms in  $W(k)$ . The ideal  $I(k)$  is called the **fundamental ideal**.  $I^n(k)$  stands for the  $n$ -th power of the ideal  $I(k)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $P_n(k)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of forms of the type

$$\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle := \langle 1, a_1 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, a_n \rangle.$$

Elements in  $P_n(k)$  are called  **$n$ -fold Pfister forms**.

The ideal  $I(k)$  is generated by  $\langle 1, a \rangle$ ,  $a \in k^*$ . Moreover, the ideal  $I^n(k)$  is generated additively by  $n$ -fold Pfister forms. For instance, for  $n = 2$ , the generators of  $I^2(k)$  are of the form

$$\langle a, b \rangle \otimes \langle c, d \rangle \cong \langle 1, ac, ad, cd \rangle - \langle 1, cd, -bc, -bd \rangle = \langle\langle ac, ad \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle cd, -bc \rangle\rangle$$

**Example 2.3.**  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , every 2 dimensional quadratic space over  $k$  is isotropic.

$$W(k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$[(V, q)] \mapsto \dim(V) \pmod{2}$$

is an isomorphism.

**Example 2.4.**  $k = \mathbb{R}$ . Every quadratic form  $q$  is represented by

$$\langle 1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1 \rangle$$

with respect to an orthogonal basis. The number  $r$  of  $+1$ 's and the number  $s$  of  $-1$ 's in the diagonalisation above are uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of  $q$ . The *signature* of  $q$  is defined as  $r - s$ . The signature yields an homomorphism  $sgn : W(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  which is an isomorphism.

**2.2. Quadratic forms over  $p$ -adic fields.** Let  $k$  be a finite extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers. We call  $k$  a non-dyadic  $p$ -adic field if  $p \neq 2$ . The field  $k$  has a discrete valuation  $v$  extending the  $p$ -adic valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $\pi$  be a uniformizing parameter for  $v$  and  $\kappa$  the residue field for  $v$ . The field  $\kappa$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $u$  be a unit in  $k^*$  such that  $\bar{u} \in \kappa$  is not a square. Then

$$k^*/k^{*2} = \{1, u, \pi, u\pi\}.$$

Since  $\kappa$  is finite, every 3-dimensional quadratic form over  $\kappa$  is isotropic. By Hensel's lemma, every 3-dimensional form  $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$  over  $k$ , with  $u_i$  units in  $k$  is isotropic. Since every form in  $k$  has a diagonal representation

$$\langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle \perp \pi \langle v_1, \dots, v_s \rangle,$$

if  $r$  or  $s$  exceeds 3,  $q$  is isotropic. In particular every 5-dimensional quadratic form over  $k$  is isotropic. Further, up to isometry, there is a unique quadratic form in dimension 4 which is anisotropic, namely,

$$\langle 1, -u, -\pi, u\pi \rangle.$$

This is the norm form of the unique quaternion division algebra  $H(u, \pi)$  over  $k$  (cf., section 2.3).

**2.3. Central simple algebras and the Brauer group.** Recall that a finite dimensional algebra  $A$  over a field  $k$  is a *central simple algebra* over  $k$  if  $A$  is simple (has no 2-sided ideals) and the center of  $A$  is  $k$ . Recall also that for a field  $k$ ,

$$Br(k) = \{\text{Isomorphism classes of central simple algebras over } k\} / \sim$$

where the Brauer equivalence  $\sim$  is given by:  $A \sim B$  if and only if  $M_n(A) \cong M_m(B)$  for some integers  $m, n$ . The pair  $(Br(k), \otimes)$  is a group. The inverse of  $[A]$  is  $[A^{\text{op}}]$  where  $A^{\text{op}}$  is the *opposite algebra* of  $A$ : that is, the multiplication structure,  $*$ , on  $A^{\text{op}}$  is given by  $a * b = ba$ . We have a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\phi : A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_k(A)$  induced by  $\phi(a \otimes b)(c) = acb$ . The identity element in  $Br(k)$  is given by  $[k]$ . By Wedderburn's theorem on central simple algebras, the elements of  $Br(k)$  parametrize the isomorphism classes of finite dimensional central division algebra over  $k$ .

For elements  $a, b \in k^*$ , we define the **quaternion algebra**  $H(a, b)$  to be the 4-dimensional central simple algebra over  $k$  generated by  $\{i, j\}$  with the relations  $i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = -ji$ . This is a generalization of the standard Hamiltonian quaternion algebra  $H(-1, -1)$ . The algebra  $H(a, b)$  admits a canonical involution  $\bar{\phantom{x}} : H(a, b) \rightarrow H(a, b)$  given by

$$\overline{\alpha + i\beta + j\gamma + ij\delta} = \alpha - i\beta - j\gamma - ij\delta$$

This involution gives an isomorphism  $H(a, b) \cong H(a, b)^{\text{op}}$ ; in particular,  $H(a, b)$  has order 2 in  ${}_2Br(k)$ . The norm form for this algebra is given by  $N(x) = x\bar{x}$  which is a quadratic form on  $H(a, b)$  represented with respect to the orthogonal basis  $\{1, i, j, ij\}$  by  $\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle = \langle\langle -a, -b \rangle\rangle$ .

**2.4. Classical invariants for quadratic forms.** Let  $(V, q)$  be a regular quadratic space. We define  $\dim(q) = \dim(V)$  and  $\dim_2(q) = \dim(V)$  modulo 2. We have a ring homomorphism  $\dim_2 : W(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . We note that  $I(k)$  is the kernel of  $\dim_2$ . This gives an isomorphism

$$\dim_2 : W(k)/I(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $\text{disc}(q) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}[\det(A(q))] \in k^*/k^{*2}$ . Since  $A(q)$  is determined up to orthogonal equivalence,  $\det(A(q))$  is determined modulo squares. We have  $\text{disc}(H) = 1$  and  $\text{disc}(q)$  induces a group homomorphism

$$\text{disc} : I(k) \rightarrow k^*/k^{*2}$$

which is clearly onto. It is easy to verify that  $\ker(\text{disc}) = I^2(k)$ . Thus the discriminant homomorphism induces an isomorphism  $I(k)/I^2(k) \rightarrow k^*/k^{*2}$ .

The next invariant for quadratic forms is the Clifford invariant. To each quadratic form  $(V, q)$  we wish to determine a central simple algebra containing  $V$  whose multiplication on elements of  $V$  satisfies  $v \cdot v = q(v)$ . The smallest such algebra (i.e., defining a universal property) will be the Clifford algebra.

**Definition 2.5.** The **Clifford algebra**  $C(q)$ , of quadratic space  $(V, q)$  is  $T(V)/I_q$ , where  $I_q$  is the two sided ideal in the tensor algebra  $T(V)$  generated by  $\{v \otimes v - q(v), v \in V\}$ .

The algebra  $C(q)$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  gradation  $C(q) = C_0(q) \oplus C_1(q)$  induced by the gradation  $T(V) = T_0(V) \oplus T_1(V)$ , where

$$T_0(V) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0, i \text{ even}} V^{\otimes i} \quad \text{and} \quad T_1(V) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1, i \text{ odd}} V^{\otimes i}.$$

If  $\dim(q)$  is even, then  $C(q)$  is a central simple algebra over  $k$ . If  $\dim(q)$  is odd,  $C_0(q)$  is a central simple algebra over  $k$ . The Clifford algebra  $C(q)$  comes equipped with an involution  $\tau$  defined by  $\tau(v) = -v, v \in V$ . Thus, if  $\dim(q)$  is even,  $C(q)$  determines a 2 torsion element in  $Br(k)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** The **Clifford invariant**  $c(q)$  of  $(V, q)$  in  $Br(k)$  is defined as

$$c(q) = \begin{cases} [C(q)], & \text{if } \dim(q) \text{ is even} \\ [C_0(q)], & \text{if } \dim(q) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

The Clifford invariant induces a homomorphism  $c : I^2(k) \rightarrow {}_2Br(k)$ ,  ${}_2Br(k)$  denoting the 2 torsion in the Brauer group of  $k$ . The very first case of the Milnor conjecture:  $c$  is surjective and  $\ker(c) = I^3(k)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** (Merkurjev [M1]) *The map  $c$  induces an isomorphism*

$$I^2(k)/I^3(k) \cong {}_2Br(k)$$



**Example 2.8.** Let  $q \cong \otimes_{i=1}^n \langle\langle -a_i, -b_i \rangle\rangle \in I^2(k)$ . Then

$$c(q) \cong \otimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} H_i$$

where  $H_i = H(a_i, b_i)$ .

**Exercise 2.9.** Given  $\otimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} H_i$  a tensor product of  $n$  quaternion algebras over  $k$ , show that there is a quadratic form  $q$  over  $k$  of dimension  $2n + 2$  such that  $c(q) \cong \otimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} H_i$ .

Thus the image of  $I^2(q)$  in  ${}_2Br(k)$  is spanned by quaternion algebras. It was a long standing question whether  ${}_2Br(k)$  is spanned by quaternion algebras. Merkurjev's theorem answers this question in the affirmative; further, it gives precise relations between these algebras in  ${}_2Br(k)$ .

### 3. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY AND THE MILNOR CONJECTURE

Let  $\Gamma_k = Gal(\bar{k}|k)$ ,  $\bar{k}$  denoting the separable closure of  $k$ , be the absolute Galois group of  $k$ . The group

$$\Gamma_k = \varprojlim_{L \subset \bar{k}, L|k \text{ finite Galois}} Gal(L|k)$$

is a profinite group. A *discrete*  $\Gamma_k$  module  $M$  is a continuous  $\Gamma_k$  module for the discrete topology on  $M$  and the profinite topology on  $\Gamma_k$ . For a discrete  $\Gamma_k$  module  $M$ , we define  $H^n(k, M)$  as the direct limit of the cohomology of the finite quotients

$$H^n(k, M) = \varinjlim_{L \subset \bar{k}, L|k \text{ finite Galois}} H^n(Gal(L|k), M^{\Gamma_L}).$$

Suppose  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  and  $M = \mu_2$ . The module  $\mu_2$  has trivial  $\Gamma_k$  action. We denote this module by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) &\cong k^*/k^{*2} \\ H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) &\cong {}_2Br(k) \text{ (2 torsion in } Br(k)) \end{aligned}$$

These can be seen from the Kummer short exact sequence of  $\Gamma_k$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_2 \longrightarrow \bar{k}^* \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \bar{k}^* \longrightarrow 0$$

and noting that  $H^1(\Gamma_k, \bar{k}^*) = 0$  (Hilbert's Theorem 90) and  $H^2(\Gamma_k, \bar{k}^*) = Br(k)$ .

For an element  $a \in k^*$ , we denote by  $(a)$  its class in  $H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in k^*$ , the cup product  $(a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_n) \in H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is denoted by  $(a_1) \cdots (a_n)$ .

For  $a, b \in k^*$ , the element  $(a).(b)$  represents the class of  $H(a, b)$  in  ${}_2Br(k)$ . The map

$$c : I^2(k) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

sends  $\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle$  to the class of  $H(a, b)$  in  $H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . The forms  $\langle 1, -a, -b, ab \rangle$  additively generate  $I^2(k)$ . Merkurjev's theorem asserts that  $H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by  $(a).(b)$ ,  $a, b \in k^*$ . The Milnor conjecture (quadratic form version) proposes higher invariants  $I^n(k) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  extending the classical invariants.

**Milnor conjecture:** The assignment

$$\langle 1, a_1 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, a_n \rangle \mapsto (a_1) \cdots (a_n)$$

yields a map  $e_n : P_n(k) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . This map extends to a homomorphism  $e_n : I^n(k) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  which is onto and  $\ker(e_n) = I^{n+1}(k)$ .

The maps *dimension mod 2*, *discriminant* and *Clifford invariant* coincide with  $e_0, e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Unlike these classical invariants, which are defined on all quadratic forms, conjecturally  $e_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  are defined only on elements in  $I^n(k)$  on which the invariants  $e_i$ ,  $i \leq n-1$  vanish. Arason [Ar], (1975) proved that  $e_3 : I^3(k) \rightarrow H^3(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is well defined and is one-one on  $P_3(k)$ . As we mentioned earlier, the first nontrivial case of the Milnor conjecture was proved by Merkurjev for  $n = 2$ . The Milnor conjecture (quadratic form version) is now a theorem due to Orlov-Vishik-Voevodsky [OVV].

Milnor conjecture gives a classification of quadratic forms by their Galois cohomology invariants: Given anisotropic quadratic forms  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , suppose  $e_i(q_1 \perp -q_2) = 0$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $q_1 = q_2$  in  $W(k)$ . We need only to verify  $e_i(q_1 \perp -q_2) = 0$  for  $i \leq N$  where  $N \leq 2^n$  and  $\dim(q_1 \perp -q_2) \leq 2^n$ , by the following theorem of Arason and Pfister.

**Theorem 3.1** (Arason-Pfister Hauptsatz). *Let  $k$  be a field. The dimension of an anisotropic quadratic form in  $I^n(k)$  is at least  $2^n$ .*

**3.1. Pfister forms.** The theory of Pfister forms (or multiplicative forms as Pfister called them) evolved from questions on classification of quadratic forms whose nonzero values form a group (hereditarily).

**Definition 3.2.** A regular quadratic form  $q$  over  $k$  is called **multiplicative** if the nonzero values of  $q$  over any extension field  $L$  over  $k$  form a group.

We have the following examples of quadratic forms which are multiplicative.

**Example 3.3.**  $\langle 1 \rangle$  : nonzero squares are multiplicatively closed in  $k^*$ .

**Example 3.4.**  $\langle 1, -a \rangle$  :  $x^2 - ay^2$ ,  $a \in k^*$  is the norm from the quadratic algebra  $k[t]/(t^2 - a)$  over  $k$  and the norm is multiplicative.

**Example 3.5.**  $\langle 1, -a \rangle \otimes \langle 1, -b \rangle$  :  $x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2$  is a norm form from the quaternion algebra  $H(a, b)$  :  $N(\alpha + i\beta + j\gamma + i\delta) = \alpha^2 - a\beta^2 - b\gamma^2 + ab\delta^2$ . The norm once again is multiplicative.

**Example 3.6.**  $\langle 1, -a \rangle \otimes \langle 1, -b \rangle \otimes \langle 1, -c \rangle$  :  $(x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2) - c(u^2 - av^2 - bw^2 + abs^2)$  is the norm form from an octonian algebra associated to the triple  $(a, b, c)$ ; it is a non-associative algebra obtained from the quaternion algebra  $H(a, b)$  by a doubling process. The norm is once again multiplicative.

**Theorem 3.7.** (Pfister) *An anisotropic quadratic form  $q$  over  $k$  is multiplicative if and only if  $q$  is isomorphic to a Pfister form.*

We shall sketch a proof of this theorem. The main ingredients are

**Theorem 3.8.** (Cassels-Pfister) *Let  $q = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be a regular quadratic form over  $k$  and  $f(X) \in k[X]$ , a polynomial over  $k$  which is a value of  $q$  over  $k(X)$ . Then there exist polynomials  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in k[X]$  such that  $f(X) = a_1g_1(X)^2 + \dots + a_ng_n(X)^2$ .*

**Corollary 3.9.** (Specialisation Lemma) *Let  $q = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be a quadratic form over  $k$ ,  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ ,  $p(X) \in k(X)$  a rational function represented by  $q$  over  $k(X)$ . Then for any  $v \in k^n$  where  $p(v)$  is defined,  $p(v)$  is represented by  $q$  over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume, by multiplying  $p(X)$  by a square that  $p(X) \in k[X]$ . Let  $p(X) = p_1(X_n)$ ,  $p_1 \in k[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ . By Cassels-Pfister theorem,  $p_1(X_n)$  is represented by  $q$  over  $k(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})[X_n]$ . Let  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Then specialising  $X_n$  to  $v_n$ , we have  $p_1(v_n) \in k[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$  is represented by  $q$  over  $k(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ . By an induction argument, one concludes that  $p(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** (*Subform theorem*) Let  $q = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ ,  $\gamma = \langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$  be anisotropic quadratic forms over  $k$ . Then  $\gamma$  is a subform of  $q$  (i.e.,  $q \cong \gamma \perp \gamma'$  for some form  $\gamma'$  over  $k$ ) if and only if  $b_1X_1^2 + \dots + b_mX_m^2$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** Let  $q$  be an anisotropic quadratic form over  $k$  of dimension  $n$ . Then  $q$  is multiplicative if and only if for indeterminates  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ ,  $q(X).q(Y)$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ .

*Proof.* The only non-obvious part is “only if”. Suppose  $L|k$  is a field extension and  $v, w \in L^n$ . Let  $q(v) = c$  and  $q(w) = d$ . Since  $q(X).q(Y)$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k(X, Y)$ , by specialisation lemma,  $q(X).q(w)$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k(X)$  and by the same lemma,  $q(v).q(w)$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** Let  $q$  be an anisotropic quadratic form over  $k$  of dimension  $n$ . Let  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be a set of  $n$  indeterminates. Then  $q$  is multiplicative if and only if  $q \cong q(X).q$  over  $k(X)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $q \cong q(X).q$  over  $k(X)$ . Let  $A$  be the matrix representing  $q$  over  $k$ . There exists  $W \in Gl_n(k(X))$  such that  $q(X)A = WAW^t$ . Let  $Y = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  be a set of  $n$  indeterminates. Over  $k(X, Y)$ ,

$$q(X).q(Y) = Y(q(X)A)Y^t = (YW)A(YW)^t = q(Z)$$

where  $Z = YW$ . Thus  $q(X).q(Y)$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k(X, Y)$  and by 3.11,  $q$  is multiplicative. Suppose conversely that  $q$  is multiplicative. Then  $q(X).q(Y)$  is a value of  $q$  over  $k(X, Y)$ . By the subform theorem,  $q(X).q$  is a subform of  $q$ . A dimension count yields  $q \cong q(X).q$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Pfister’s theorem 3.7.* Let  $q = \langle 1, a_1 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, a_n \rangle$  be an anisotropic quadratic form over  $k$ . Over any extension  $L|k$ , either  $q$  is anisotropic or isotropic in which case it is universal. Thus it suffices to show that the nonzero values of  $q$  form a subgroup of  $k^*$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ ; for  $n = 1$ ,  $q$  is the norm form from a quadratic extension of  $k$  (see Example 3.4). Let  $n \geq 2$ . We have  $q \cong q_1 \perp a_n q_1$ , where  $q_1 = \langle 1, a_1 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, a_{n-1} \rangle$  is an anisotropic  $(n-1)$  fold Pfister form. Let  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_{2^{n-1}}\}$ ,  $Y = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_{2^{n-1}}\}$  be two sets of  $2^{n-1}$  indeterminates. Since  $q_1$  is multiplicative, by Lemma 3.12,  $q_1(X).q_1 \cong q_1$  over  $k(X)$  and  $q_1(Y).q_1 \cong q_1$  over  $k(Y)$ . We have over  $k(X, Y)$

$$q \cong q_1(X)q_1 \perp a_n q_1(Y)q_1 \cong \langle q_1(X), a_n q_1(Y) \rangle \otimes q_1.$$

Since  $q(X, Y) = q_1(X) + a_n q_1(Y)$ ,  $\langle q_1(X), a_n q_1(Y) \rangle$  represents  $q(X, Y)$ . Therefore, by a comparison of discriminants,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q_1(X), a_n q_1(Y) \rangle &\cong \langle q(X, Y), a_n q(X, Y) q_1(X) q_1(Y) \rangle \\ &\cong q(X, Y) \langle 1 \perp a_n q_1(X) q_1(Y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} q &\cong q(X, Y) \langle 1, a_n q_1(X) q_1(Y) \rangle \otimes q_1 \\ &\cong q(X, Y) (q_1 \perp a_n q_1) \\ &\cong q(X, Y) q \end{aligned}$$

Thus by 3.12  $q$  is multiplicative.

Conversely, let  $q$  be an anisotropic quadratic form over  $k$  which is multiplicative. Let  $n$  be the largest such that  $q$  contains an  $n$  fold Pfister form  $q_1 = \langle 1, a_1 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, a_n \rangle$  as a subform. Suppose  $q \cong q_1 \perp \gamma$ ,  $\gamma = \langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$ , with  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $Z = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_{2^n}\}$ . Over  $k(Z)$ ,

$$q \cong q(Z, 0) q \cong q_1(Z) (q_1 \perp \gamma) \cong q_1(Z) \cdot q_1 \perp q_1(Z) \cdot \gamma \cong q_1 \perp q_1(Z) \cdot \gamma.$$

By Witt's cancellation,  $\gamma \cong q_1(Z) \cdot \gamma$  over  $k(Z)$ . Thus  $\gamma$  represents  $b_1 q_1(Z)$  over  $k(Z)$  and by the subform theorem,  $\gamma \cong b_1 q_1 \perp \gamma_1$ . Then  $q \cong q_1 \perp b_1 q_1 \perp \gamma_1 \cong \langle 1, b_1 \rangle \otimes q_1 \perp \gamma_1$  contains a  $n + 1$  Pfister form  $\langle 1, b_1 \rangle \otimes q_1$  leading to a contradiction to maximality of  $n$ . Thus  $q \cong q_1$ .  $\square$

An important property of Pfister forms is stated in the following.

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $\phi$  be an  $n$  fold Pfister form. If  $\phi$  is isotropic then  $\phi$  is hyperbolic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi = r \cdot \langle 1, -1 \rangle \perp \phi_0$ , with  $\phi_0$  anisotropic,  $\dim(\phi_0) \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\dim(\phi) = m$  and  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$  be a set of  $m$  indeterminates. Over  $k(X_1, \dots, X_m)$

$$\phi = r \cdot \langle 1, -1 \rangle \perp \phi_0 \cong \phi(X_1, \dots, X_m) \cdot \phi \cong r \langle 1, -1 \rangle \perp \phi(X_1, \dots, X_m) \cdot \phi_0.$$

By Witt's cancellation theorem

$$\phi_0 \cong \phi(X_1, \dots, X_m) \cdot \phi_0$$

and if  $b$  is a value of  $\phi_0$ ,  $b \cdot \phi(X_1, \dots, X_m)$  is a value of  $\phi_0$  and by the subform theorem,  $b \cdot \phi$  is a subform of  $\phi_0$  contradicting  $\dim(\phi_0) < \dim(\phi)$ . Thus  $\phi \cong r \cdot \langle 1, -1 \rangle$  is hyperbolic.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *The only integers  $n$  such that a product of sums of  $n$  squares is again a sum of  $n$  squares over any field of characteristic zero are  $n = 2^m$  for all  $m \geq 0$ .*

### 3.2. Level of a field.

**Definition 3.15.** The **level** of a field  $k$  is the least positive integer  $n$  such that  $-1$  is a sum of  $n$  squares in  $k$ . We denote the level of  $k$  by  $s(k)$ .

If the field is formally real (i.e.,  $-1$  is not a sum of squares), then the level is infinite. It was a long standing open question whether the level of a field, if finite, is always a power of 2. Pfister's theory of quadratic forms leads to an affirmative answer to this question.

**Theorem 3.16.** (*Pfister*) *The level of a field is a power of 2 if it is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $n = s(k)$ . We choose an integer  $m$  such that  $2^m \leq n < 2^{m+1}$ . Suppose

$$(3.17) \quad -1 = (u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \cdots + u_{2^m}^2) + (u_{2^m+1}^2 + \cdots + u_n^2)$$

The element  $u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \cdots + u_{2^m}^2 \neq 0$  since  $s(k) \geq 2^m$ . Every ratio of sums of  $2^m$  squares is again a sum of  $2^m$  squares since  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes m}$  is a multiplicative form. Thus, from (3.17) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 1 + \frac{u_{2^m+1}^2 + \cdots + u_n^2 + 1}{u_1^2 + \cdots + u_{2^m}^2} \\ &= 1 + (v_1^2 + \cdots + v_{2^m}^2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $-1 = v_1^2 + \cdots + v_{2^m}^2$  and  $s(k) = 2^m$ . □

**Remark 3.18.** There exist fields with level  $2^n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . For instance,  $\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_{2^n})(\sqrt{-(X_1^2 + \cdots + X_{2^n}^2)})$  is a field of level  $2^n$ .

**Exercise 3.19.** Let  $k$  be a  $p$ -adic field with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Show,

- (1)  $s(k) = 1$  if  $q$  is odd and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (2)  $s(k) = 2$  if  $q$  is odd and  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ .

## 4. THE $u$ -INVARIANT

**Definition 4.1.** The  **$u$ -invariant** of a field  $k$ , denoted by  $u(k)$ , is defined to be the largest integer  $n$  such that every  $n + 1$  dimensional quadratic form over  $k$  is isotropic and there is an anisotropic form in dimension  $n$  over  $k$ .

$$u(k) = \max \{ \dim(q) : q \text{ anisotropic form over } k \}.$$

If  $k$  admits an ordering then sums of nonzero squares is never zero and there is a refined  $u$ -invariant for fields with orderings, due to Elman-Lam [EL].

**Example 4.2.** (1)  $u(\mathbb{F}_q) = 2$ .

- (2)  $u(k(X)) = 2$ , if  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $X$  is a curve over  $k$  (Tsen's theorem).
- (3)  $u(k) = 4$  for  $k$  a  $p$ -adic field
- (4)  $u(k) = 4$  for  $k$  a totally imaginary number field. This follows from the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem.
- (5) Suppose  $u(k) = n < \infty$ . Let  $k((t))$  denote the field of Laurent series over  $k$ . Then  $u(k((t))) = 2n$ . In fact, the square classes in  $k((t))^*$  are  $\{u_\alpha, tu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  where  $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  are the square classes in  $k^*$ . As in the  $p$ -adic field case, every form over  $k((t))$  is isometric to  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle \perp t \langle v_1, \dots, v_s \rangle$ ,  $u_i, v_i \in k^*$  and this form is anisotropic if and only if  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle$  and  $\langle v_1, \dots, v_s \rangle$  are anisotropic (cf., project notes)
- (6) More generally, if  $K$  is a complete discrete valuated field with residue field  $\kappa$  of  $u$ -invariant  $n$ , then  $u(K) = 2n$ .

**Definition 4.3.** A field  $k$  is  $C_i$  if every homogeneous polynomial in  $N$  variables of degree  $d$  with  $N > d^i$  has a nontrivial zero.

**Example 4.4.** Finite fields and function fields in one variable over algebraically closed fields are  $C_1$ .

If  $k$  is a  $C_i$  field,  $u(k) \leq 2^i$ . Further, the property  $C_i$  behaves well with respect to function field extensions. If  $l|k$  is finite and  $k$  is  $C_i$  then  $l$  is  $C_i$ ; further, if  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are indeterminates,  $k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is  $C_{i+n}$ .

**Example 4.5.** The  $u$ -invariant of transcendental extensions:

- (1)  $u(k(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = 2^n$  if  $k$  is algebraically closed. In fact,

$$u(k(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \leq 2^n$$

since  $k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a  $C_n$  field. Further, the form

$$\langle\langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, t_1 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, t_n \rangle$$

is anisotropic over  $k((t_1))((t_2)) \dots ((t_n))$  and hence also over  $k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  (cf., Springer's Theorem, project notes)

- (2)  $u(\mathbb{F}_q(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = 2^{n+1}$ .

All fields of known  $u$ -invariant in the 1950's happened to have  $u$ -invariant a power of 2. Kaplansky raised the question whether the  $u$ -invariant of a field is always a power of 2.

**Proposition 4.6.** *The  $u$ -invariant does not take the values 3, 5, 7.*

*Proof.* Let  $q$  be an anisotropic form of dimension 3. By scaling, we may assume that  $q \cong \langle 1, a, b \rangle$ . Then the form  $\langle 1, a, b, ab \rangle$  is anisotropic; if  $\langle 1, a, b, ab \rangle$  is isotropic, since discriminant is one, it is hyperbolic and Witt's cancellation yields  $\langle a, b, ab \rangle \cong \langle 1, -1, -1 \rangle$  is isotropic and  $q \cong a\langle a, b, ab \rangle$  is isotropic leading to a contradiction. Thus  $u(k) \neq 3$ .

Let  $u(k) < 8$ . Let  $q \in I^2(k)$  be any quadratic form. Every 3 fold Pfister form (which has dimension 8) is isotropic and hence hyperbolic. Thus  $I^3(k)$  which is generated by 3 fold Pfister forms is zero. For any  $c \in k^*$ ,  $\langle 1, -c \rangle \cdot q \in I^3(k)$  is zero and  $c \cdot q$  is Witt equivalent to  $q$ , hence isometric to  $q$  by Witt's cancellation. We conclude that every quadratic form whose class is in  $I^2(k)$  is universal.

Suppose  $u(k) = 5$  or  $7$ . Let  $q$  be an anisotropic form of dimension  $u(k)$ . Since every form in dimension  $u(k) + 1$  is isotropic, if  $\text{disc}(q) = d$ ,  $q \perp -d$  is isotropic and therefore  $q$  represents  $d$ . We may write  $q \cong q_1 \perp \langle d \rangle$  where  $q_1$  is even dimensional with trivial discriminant. Hence  $[q_1] \in I^2(k)$  so that  $q_1$  is universal. This in turn implies that  $q_1 \perp \langle d \rangle \cong q$  is isotropic, leading to a contradiction.  $\square$

In the 1990's Merkurjev [M2] constructed examples of fields  $k$  with  $u(k) = 2n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $n = 6$  being the first open case. Since then, it has been shown that the  $u$ -invariant does not need to be even. In [I], Izhboldin proves there exist fields  $k$  with  $u(k) = 9$  and in [V] Vishik has shown that there exist fields  $k$  with  $u(k) = 2^r + 1$  for all  $r \geq 3$ .

Merkurjev's construction yields fields  $k$  which are not of arithmetic type, i.e., not finitely generated over a number field or a  $p$ -adic field. It is still an interesting question whether  $u(k)$  is a power of 2 if  $k$  is of arithmetic type.

The behaviour of the  $u$ -invariant is very little understood under rational function field extensions. For instance, it is an open question if  $u(k) < \infty$  implies  $u(k(t)) < \infty$  for the rational function field in one variable over  $k$ . This is not known even for  $k = \mathbb{Q}_2$  and was unknown for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $p$  odd until late 90's. Conjecturally,  $u(\mathbb{Q}_p(t)) = 8$ , in analogy with the positive characteristic local field case,  $u(\mathbb{F}_p((X))(t)) = 8$ .

We indicate some ways of bounding the  $u$ -invariant of a field  $k$  once we know how efficiently the Galois cohomology groups  $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  are generated by symbols for all  $n$ .

We set

$$H_{dec}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \{(a_1) \cdots (a_n), a_i \in k^*\}$$



and call elements in this set symbols. By Voevodsky's theorem on Milnor conjecture,  $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is additively generated by  $H_{dec}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $k$  be a field such that  $H^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , there exist integers  $N_i$  such that every element in  $H^i(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is a sum of  $N_i$  symbols. Then  $u(k)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $q$  be a quadratic form over  $k$  of dimension  $m$  and discriminant  $d$ . Let  $q_1 = \langle d \rangle$  if  $m$  is odd and  $\langle 1, -d \rangle$  if  $m$  is even. Then  $q \perp -q_1$  has even dimension and trivial discriminant. Hence  $q \perp -q_1 \in I^2(k)$ . Let  $e_2(q \perp -q_1) = \sum_{j \leq N_2} \xi_{2j}$  where  $\xi_{2j} \in H_{dec}^2(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\phi_{2j}$  be 2 fold Pfister forms such that  $e_2(\phi_{2j}) = \xi_{2j}$ . Then  $q_2 = \sum_{j \leq N_2} \phi_{2j}$  has dimension at most  $4N_2$  and  $e_2(q \perp -q_1 \perp -q_2) = 0$  and  $q \perp -q_1 \perp -q_2 \in I^3(k)$ , by Merkurjev's Theorem. Repeating this process and using Milnor Conjecture, we get  $q_i \in I^i(k)$  which is a sum of  $N_i$   $i$ -fold Pfister forms and  $q - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} q_i \in I^{n+1}(k) = 0$ , since  $H^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Thus  $[q] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} q_i$  and  $\dim(q_{an}) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} 2^i N_i$ . Thus  $u(k) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} 2^i N_i$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.8.** A field  $k$  is said to have **cohomological dimension at most  $n$**  if  $H^i(k, M) = 0$  for  $i \geq n + 1$  for all finite discrete  $\Gamma_k$  modules  $M$  (cf. [Se] §3).

**Example 4.9.** Finite fields and function fields in one variable over algebraically closed fields have cohomological dimension 1. Totally imaginary number fields and  $p$ -adic fields are of cohomological dimension 2. Thus if  $k$  is a  $p$ -adic field, and  $k(X)$  a function field in one variable over  $k$ ,  $cd(k(X)) \leq 3$ . In particular,  $H^4(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** (Saltman) *Let  $k$  be a non-dyadic  $p$ -adic field and  $k(X)$  a function field in one variable over  $k$ . Every element in  $H^2(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is a sum of two symbols.*

**Theorem 4.11.** (Parimala-Suresh) *Let  $k(X)$  be as in the previous theorem. Then every element in  $H^3(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is a symbol.*

**Corollary 4.12.** *For  $k(X)$  as above,  $u(k(X)) \leq 2 + 8 + 8 = 18$ .*

It is not hard to show from the above theorems that  $u(k(X)) \leq 12$ . With some further work it was proved in [PS1] that  $u(k(X)) = 10$ . More recently in [PS2] the estimated value  $u(k(X)) = 8$  was proved. For an alternate approach to  $u(k(X)) = 8$ , we refer to ([HH], [HHK], [CTPS]).

## 5. SUMS OF SQUARES

An additional reference for sums of squares is given by H. Cohen at <http://www.math.u-bordeaux1.fr/~cohen/Cohensquares.pdf> which is a translation of the original paper [C].

**Definition 5.1.** An element  $f \in \mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is called **positive semi-definite** if  $f(a) \geq 0$  for all  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $f$  is defined.

**Hilbert's seventeenth problem:**

Let  $\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be the rational function field in  $n$  variables over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. Hilbert's seventeenth problem asks whether every positive semi-definite  $f \in \mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a sum of squares in  $\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . E. Artin settled this question in the affirmative and Pfister gave an effective version of Artin's result (cf. [Pf], chapter 6).

**Theorem 5.2** (Artin, Pfister). *Every positive semi-definite function  $f \in \mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  can be written as a sum of  $2^n$  squares in  $\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .*

For  $n \leq 2$  the above was due to Hilbert himself. If one asks for expressions of positive definite polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  as sums of  $2^n$  squares in  $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , there are counter examples for  $n = 2$ ; the Motzkin polynomial

$$f(X_1, X_2) = 1 - 3X_1^2X_2^2 + X_1^4X_2^2 + X_1^2X_2^4$$

is positive semi-definite but not a sum of 4 squares in  $\mathbb{R}[X_1, X_2]$  ([CEP], [CT]). In fact, Pfister's result has the following precise formulation.

**Theorem 5.3** (Pfister). *Let  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  be a function field in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then every  $n$  fold Pfister form in  $\mathbb{R}(X)$  represents every sum of squares in  $\mathbb{R}(X)$ .*

We sketch a proof of this theorem below.

**Proposition 5.4** (Pure Subform Theorem). *Let  $k$  be any field and  $\phi$  an anisotropic  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $k$ . Let  $\phi = 1 \perp \phi'$ . If  $b_1$  is any value of  $\phi'$ , then  $\phi \cong \langle\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $n$ ; for  $n = 1$  the statement is clear. Let  $n > 1$ . We assume the statement holds for all  $(n - 1)$ -fold Pfister forms. let  $\phi = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ ,  $\psi = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$ , and let  $\phi'$ ,  $\psi'$  denote the pure subforms of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  respectively. We have  $\phi = \psi \perp a_n\psi$ ,  $\phi' = \psi' \perp a_n\psi$ . Let  $b_1$  be a value of  $\phi'$ . We may write  $b_1 = b'_1 + a_nb$ , with  $b'_1$  a value of  $\psi'$  and  $b$  a value of  $\psi$ . The only nontrivial case

to discuss is when  $b \neq 0$  and  $b'_1 \neq 0$ . By induction we may assume  $\psi \cong \langle\langle b'_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$  and  $b\psi \cong \psi$ . We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &\cong \langle\langle b'_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, a_n \rangle\rangle \cong \langle\langle b'_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, a_nb \rangle\rangle \\ &\cong \langle\langle b'_1, a_nb \rangle\rangle \otimes \langle\langle b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Since  $b_1 = b'_1 + a_nb$ ,  $\langle b'_1, a_nb \rangle \cong \langle b_1, b_1b'_1a_nb \rangle$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle b'_1, a_nb \rangle\rangle &= \cong \langle 1, b'_1, a_nb, a_nbb'_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, b_1, b_1b'_1a_nb, a_nbb'_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle\langle b_1, c_1 \rangle\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

$$\phi \cong \langle\langle b_1, c_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle\rangle.$$

□

*Proof of Pfister's theorem.* Let  $\phi$  be an anisotropic  $n$  fold Pfister form over  $K = \mathbb{R}(X)$ . Let  $b = b_1^2 + \dots + b_m^2$ ,  $b_i \in K$ . We show that  $\phi$  represents  $b$  by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 1$ ,  $b$  is a square and is represented by  $\phi$ . Suppose  $m = 2$ ,  $b = b_1^2 + b_2^2$ ,  $b_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_2 \neq 0$ . The field  $K(\sqrt{-1})$  is a function field in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbb{C}$  and is  $C_n$ . Then  $\phi$  is universal over  $K(\sqrt{-1})$  and hence represents  $\beta = b_1 + ib_2$ . Let  $v, w \in K^{2^n}$  such that  $\phi_{K(\sqrt{-1})}(v + \beta w) = \beta$ . Hence

$$\phi(v) + \beta^2\phi(w) + \beta(2\phi(v, w) - 1) = 0.$$

The irreducible polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $K$  is

$$\phi(w)X^2 + (2\phi(v, w) - 1)X + \phi(v)$$

and hence  $N(\beta) = b = \frac{\phi(v)}{\phi(w)}$  is a value of  $\phi$  since  $\phi$  is multiplicative.

Suppose  $m > 2$ . We argue by induction on  $m$ . Suppose  $\phi$  represents all  $m-1$  sums of squares. Let  $b$  be a sum of  $m$  squares. After scaling  $b$  by a square, we may assume that  $b = 1 + c$ ,  $c = c_1^2 + \dots + c_{m-1}^2$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Let  $\phi \cong 1 \perp \phi'$ . By induction hypothesis,  $\phi$  represents  $c$ . Let  $c = c_0^2 + c'$ ,  $c'$  a value of  $\phi'$ . Let  $\psi = \phi \otimes \langle 1, -b \rangle$  and  $\psi = 1 \perp \psi'$  with  $\psi' = \langle -b \rangle \perp \phi' \perp -b\phi'$ . The form  $\psi'$  represents  $c' - b = (c - c_0^2) - (1 + c) = -1 - c_0^2$ . Thus, by the Pure Subform Theorem,

$$\psi \cong \langle\langle -1 - c_0^2, d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -1 - c_0^2 \rangle \otimes \langle\langle d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle\rangle.$$

By induction, the  $n$  fold Pfister form  $\langle\langle d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle\rangle$  represents  $1 + c_0^2$  which is a sum of 2 squares; thus  $\psi$  is isotropic, hence hyperbolic. Thus  $\phi \cong b\phi$  represents  $b$ . □

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{R}(X)$  be a function field in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then every sum of squares in  $K$  is a sum of  $2^n$  squares.*

*Proof.* Set  $\phi = \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes n}$  in the above theorem.  $\square$

**Definition 5.6.** The **Pythagoras number**  $p(k)$  of a field  $k$  is the least positive integer  $n$  such that every sum of squares in  $k^*$  is a sum of at most  $n$  squares.

**Example 5.7.** If  $\mathbb{R}$  is the field of real numbers,  $p(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ .

**Example 5.8.** If  $\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a function field in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbb{R}$  by Pfister's theorem  $p(\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \leq 2^n$ .

5.1. **Effectiveness of the bound**  $p(\mathbb{R}(X)) \leq 2^n$ . Let

$$K = \mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

be the rational function field in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $n = 1$  the bound is sharp. For  $n = 2$  the Motzkin polynomial

$$f(X_1, X_2) = 1 - 3X_1^2X_2^2 + X_1^4X_2^2 + X_1^2X_2^4$$

is positive semi definite; Cassels-Ellison-Pfister [CEP] show that this polynomial is not a sum of three squares in  $\mathbb{R}(X_1, X_2)$ . Therefore  $p(\mathbb{R}(X_1, X_2)) = 4$ .

**Lemma 5.9** (Key Lemma). *Let  $k$  be a field and  $n = 2^m$ . Let  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in k^n$  be such that  $u.v = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i v_i = 0$ . Then there exist  $w_j \in k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  such that*

$$\left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} v_i^2 \right) = \left( \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} w_j^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i^2$ ,  $\mu = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} v_i^2$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ . The elements  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are values of  $\phi_m = \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes m}$  and  $\lambda\phi_m \cong \phi_m$  and  $\mu\phi_m \cong \phi_m$ . We choose isometries  $f : \lambda\phi_m \cong \phi_m$ ,  $g : \mu\phi_m \cong \phi_m$  such that  $f(1, 0, \dots, 0) = u$  and  $g(1, 0, \dots, 0) = v$ . If  $U$  and  $V$  are matrices representing  $f$ ,  $g$  respectively, we have

$$UU^t = \lambda^{-1}, \quad VV^t = \mu^{-1}, \quad \lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}VV^t = (VU^t)(VU^t)^t.$$

The first row of  $VU^t$  is of the form  $(0, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  since  $u.v = 0$ . Thus  $\lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1} = \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} w_i^2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** *Let  $k$  be an ordered field with  $p(k) = n$ . Then  $p(k(t)) \geq n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in k^*$  be such that  $\lambda$  is a sum of  $n$  squares and not a sum of less than  $n$  squares. Suppose  $\lambda + t^2$  is a sum of  $n$  squares in  $k(t)$ . By Cassels-Pfister theorem,

$$\lambda + t^2 = (\mu_1 + \nu_1 t)^2 + \cdots + (\mu_n + \nu_n t)^2$$

with  $\mu_i, \nu_i \in k^*$ . If  $u = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ ,  $v = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ , then  $u.v = 0$ ,  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu_i^2 = \lambda$ ,  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \nu_i^2 = 1$ . Thus  $\lambda = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu_i^2)(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \nu_i^2)$  is a sum of  $n - 1$  squares by the key lemma 5.9 contradicting the choice of  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.11.**  $p(\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \geq n + 2$ . Thus

$$n + 2 \leq p(\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \leq 2^n.$$

*Proof.* By [CEP], we know that  $p(\mathbb{R}(X_1, X_2)) = 4$ . The fact that  $n+2 \leq p(\mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n))$  now follows by Corollary 5.10 and induction.  $\square$

**Remark 5.12.** It is open whether  $p(\mathbb{R}(X_1, X_2, X_3)) = 5, 6, 7$  or 8.

**Remark 5.13.** The possible values of the Pythagoras number of a field have all been listed ([H], [Pf, pg. 97]).

**Proposition 5.14.** *If  $k$  is a non-formally real field,  $p(k) = s(k)$  or  $s(k) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $s(k) = n$ ,  $-1$  is not a sum of less than  $n$  squares, so that  $p(k) \geq s(k)$ . For  $a \in k^*$ ,

$$a = \left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)^2 + (-1)\left(\frac{a-1}{2}\right)^2$$

is a sum of  $n + 1$  squares if  $-1$  is a sum of  $n$  squares. Thus  $p(k) \leq s(k) + 1$ .  $\square$

Let  $k$  be a  $p$ -adic field and  $K = k(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  a rational function field in  $n$  variables over  $k$ . Then  $s(k) = 1, 2$  or 4 so that  $s(K) = 1, 2$ , or 4. Thus  $p(K) \leq 5$  (in fact it is easy to see that if  $s(k) = s$ ,  $p(K) = s + 1$ ).

Thus we have bounds for  $p(k(X_1, \dots, X_n))$  if  $k$  is the field of real or complex numbers or the field of  $p$  adic numbers. The natural questions concern a number field  $k$ .

**Conjecture.** (Pfister) Let  $k$  be a number field and  $F = k(X)$  a function field in  $d$  variables over  $k$ . Then

- (1) for  $d = 1$ ,  $p(F) \leq 5$ .
- (2) for  $d \geq 2$ ,  $p(F) \leq 2^{d+1}$ .

Let  $k$  be a number field and  $F = k(t)$  the rational function field in one variable over  $k$ . In this case  $p(k(t)) = 5$  is a theorem [La], [Po]. The fact that  $p(k(t)) \leq 8$  can be easily deduced from the following injectivity in the Witt groups ([CTCS], Prop. 1.1):

$$W(k(t)) \longrightarrow \prod_{w \in \Omega(k)} W(k_w(t)),$$

with  $\Omega(k)$  denoting the set of places of  $k$ . In fact, if  $f \in k(t)$  is a sum of squares,  $f$  is a sum of at most two squares in  $k_w(t)$  for a real place  $w$ , by Pfister's theorem (which in the case of function fields of curves goes back to Witt). Further, for a finite place  $w$  of  $k$  or a complex place,  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes 3} = 0$  in  $W(k_w)$ . Thus  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes 3} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle$  is hyperbolic over  $k_w(t)$  for all  $w \in \Omega(k)$ .

By the above injectivity, this form is hyperbolic over  $k(t)$ , leading to the fact that  $f$  is a sum of at most eight squares in  $k(t)$ .

For a general function field  $k(X)$  in one variable over  $k$ , ( $d = 1$ ), the best possible known result is due to F. Pop,  $p(F) \leq 6$  [P]. We sketch some results and conjectures from the arithmetic side which could lead to a solution of the conjecture for  $d \geq 2$  (see Colliot-Thélène, Jannsen [CTJ] for more details).

For any field  $k$ , by Voevodsky's theorem, we have an injection

$$e_n : P_n(k) \rightarrow H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

In fact, for any field  $k$ , if  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in P_n(k)$  have the same image under  $e_n$  then  $\phi_1 \perp -\phi_2 \in \ker(e_n) = I^{n+1}(k)$ . Then in  $W(k)$ , the classes  $\phi_1 \perp -\phi_2 = \phi'_1 \perp -\phi'_2$  where  $\phi'_1$  and  $\phi'_2$  are the pure subforms of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . Moreover,  $\dim(\phi'_1 \perp -\phi'_2)_{\text{an}} \leq 2^{n+1} - 2 < 2^{n+1}$ . By the Arason-Pfister Haupt-Satz, (Theorem 3.1), anisotropic forms in  $I^{n+1}(k)$  must have dimension at least  $2^{n+1}$ , therefore  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ .

Let  $k$  be a number field and  $F = k(X)$  be a function field in  $d$  variables over  $k$ . Let  $f \in F$  be a function which is a sum of squares in  $F$ . One would like to show that  $f$  is a sum of  $2^{d+1}$  squares. Let  $\phi_{d+1} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes(d+1)}$  and  $q = \phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle$ . This is a  $d+1$  fold Pfister form and  $\phi_{d+1}$  represents  $f$  if and only if  $q$  is hyperbolic or equivalently, by the injectivity of  $e_n$  above,  $e_{d+2}(\phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle) = 0$ .

We look at this condition locally at all completions  $k_v$  at places  $v$  of  $k$ . Let  $k_v(X)$  denote the function field of  $X$  over  $k_v$ . (We may assume that  $X$  is geometrically integral). Let  $v$  be a complex place. The field  $k_v(X)$  has cohomological dimension  $d$  so that  $H^m(k_v(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $m \geq d+1$ . Hence  $e_{d+2}(\phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle) = 0$  over  $k_v(X)$ . Let  $v$  be a real place. Then over  $k_v(X)$ ,  $f$  is a sum of squares, hence a sum of

at most  $2^d$  squares (by Pfister's Theorem 5.3) so that  $\phi_d \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle$  is hyperbolic over  $k_v(X)$ . Hence  $e_{d+2}(\phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle) = 0$ .

Let  $v$  be a non-dyadic  $p$ -adic place of  $k$ . Then  $\phi_2$  is hyperbolic over  $k_v$  so that  $\phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle = 0$  and  $e_{d+2}(\phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle) = 0$ .

Let  $v$  be a dyadic place of  $k$ . Over  $k_v$ ,  $\phi_3$  is hyperbolic so that  $e_{d+2}(\phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle) = 0$ . Thus for all completions  $v$  of  $k$ ,  $e_{d+2}(\phi_{d+1} \otimes \langle 1, -f \rangle)$  is zero. The following conjecture of Kato implies Pfister's conjecture for  $d \geq 2$ .

**Conjecture.** (Kato) Let  $k$  be a number field,  $X$  a geometrically integral variety over  $k$  of dimension  $d$ . Then the map

$$H^{d+2}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} H^{d+2}(k_v(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

has trivial kernel.

The above conjecture is the classical Hasse-Brauer-Noether theorem if dimension of  $X$  is zero, i.e., the injectivity of the Brauer group map:

$$Br(k) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} Br(k_v).$$

For  $\dim X = 1$ , the conjecture is a theorem of Kato ([K]). For  $\dim X = 2$ , Kato's conjecture was proved by Jannsen ([Ja]). Using Jannsen's theorem Colliot-Thélène-Jannsen [CTJ] derived Pfister's conjecture: every sum of squares in  $k(X)$ ,  $X$  a surface over a number field, is a sum of at most 8 squares.

The case  $X$  is a curve is more delicate. We explain how Kato's theorem was used by Colliot-Thélène to derive  $p(k(X)) \leq 7$  for a curve  $X$  over a number field.

Suppose  $K = k(X)$  has no ordering. We claim that  $s(K) \leq 4$ . To show this it suffices to show that  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes 3}$  is zero over  $k_v(X)$  for every place  $v$  of  $k$ . At finite places  $v$ ,  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes 3}$  is already zero in  $k_v$ . If  $v$  is a real place of  $k$ ,  $k_v(X)$  is the function field of a real curve over the field of real numbers which has no orderings. By a theorem of Witt,  $Br(k_v(X)) = 0$  and every sum of squares is a sum of two squares in  $k_v(X)$ . Thus  $-1$  is a sum of two squares in  $k_v(X)$  and  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes 3} = 0$  over  $k_v(X)$ . Since  $H^3(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} H^3(k_v(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is injective by Kato's theorem,  $e_3(\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes 3}) = 0$  in  $H^3(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $e_3$  is injective on 3 fold Pfister forms,  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\otimes 3} = 0$  in  $k(X)$ . Thus  $s(k(X)) \leq 4$ . In this case,  $p(k(X)) \leq 5$ .

Suppose  $K$  has an ordering. Let  $f \in K^*$  be a sum of squares in  $K$ . Then  $K(\sqrt{-}f)$  has no orderings and hence  $-1$  is a sum of 4 squares in

$K(\sqrt{-f})$ . Let  $a_i, b_i \in K$  be such that

$$-1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} (a_i + b_i \sqrt{-f})^2, \quad a_i, b_i \in K.$$

Then

$$1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} a_i^2 = f \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} b_i^2 \right), \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} a_i b_i = 0.$$

By the Key Lemma, 5.9,  $(1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} a_i^2) \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} b_i^2$  is a sum of at most 7 squares.

## REFERENCES

- [A] Albert, A.A., *Normal division algebras of degree four over an algebraic field*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **34** (1932), 363-372.
- [Ar] Arason, J. K., *Cohomologische Invarianten quadratischer Formen*, *J. Algebra*, **36** (1975), 448-491.
- [CEP] Cassels, J.W.S., Ellison, W.J., Pfister, A., *On sums of squares and on elliptic curves over function fields*, *J. Number Th.* **3** (1971), 125-149.
- [C] Cohen, H., *Représentations comme sommes de carrés*, *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, 1971-1972 (Univ. Bordeaux I, Talence)*, *Exp. No. 21*, (1972). English translation available at <http://www.math.u-bordeaux1.fr/~cohen/Cohensquares.pdf>
- [CT] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., *The Noether-Lefschetz theorem and sums of 4 squares in the rational function field  $\mathbb{R}(x, y)$* , *Compos. Math.* **86** (1993) 235-243.
- [CTCS] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Coray, D., Sansuc, J.-J., *Descente et principe de Hasse pour certaines variétés rationnelles*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **320**, (1980), pg. 150-191.
- [CTJ] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Jannsen, U., *Sommes de carrés dans les corps de fonctions*. *C.R. Acad. Paris, Sér. I* **312** (1991), 759-762.
- [CTPS] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Parimala, R., Suresh, V., *Patching and local-global principles for homogeneous spaces over function fields of  $p$ -adic curves*, preprint arXiv:0812.3099.
- [CTSaSw] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Sansuc, J.-J., Swinnerton-Dyer, P., *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces. II*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **374**, (1987), pp. 72 - 168.
- [EKM] Elman, R., Karpenko, N., Merkurjev, A., *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, **56** (2008).



- [EL] Elman, R. and Lam, T.-Y., *Quadratic forms and the u-invariant I*, *Math.Z.* **131** (1973), 238–304.
- [H] Hoffmann, D.W., *Pythagoras numbers of fields*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **12**, (1999), 3, 839–848.
- [HH] Harbater, D., Hartmann, J., *Patching over fields*, preprint arXiv:0710.1392, to appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics.
- [HHK] Harbater, D., Hartmann, J., Krashen, D., *Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras*, preprint arXiv:0809.4481.
- [I] Izhboldin, Oleg T., *Fields of u-invariant 9*. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **154** (2001).
- [Ja] Jannsen, U., *Principe de Hasse cohomologique*, *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris, 1989–90*, *Progr. Math.*, Birkhäuser Boston, **102**, 121–140 (1992)
- [K] Kato, Kazuya, *A Hasse principle for two-dimensional global fields, with an appendix by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **366**, (1986).
- [L] Lam, T.-Y., *Introduction to Quadratic forms over fields*, *GSM*, AMS **67** (2004).
- [La] Landau, E., *Über die Darstellung definiter Funktionen durch Quadrate*, *Math. Ann.*, **62**, (1906), 2, pg.272–285.
- [Li] Lichtenbaum, S., *Duality theorems for curves over p-adic fields*, *Invent. Math.* **7** (1969), 120–136.
- [OVV] Orlov, D., Vishik, A., and Voevodsky, V., *An exact sequence for  $K_*^M/2$  with applications to quadratic forms*, *Annals of Math.* **165** (2007), 1–13.
- [M1] Merkurjev, A. S. *On the norm residue symbol of degree 2*. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **261** (1981), 542–547.
- [M2] Merkurjev, A. S., *Simple algebras and quadratic forms*. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **55** (1991), 218–224; *translation in Math. USSR-Izv.* **38** (1992), no. 1, 215–221.
- [Pf] Pfister, A., *Quadratic forms with applications to algebraic geometry and topology*, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, Cambridge University Press, **217** (1995)
- [P] Pop, F., *Summen von Quadraten in arithmetischen Funktionenkorpern*, preprint (<http://www.math.upenn.edu/~pop/Research/Papers.html>)
- [PS1] Parimala, R. and Suresh, V., *Isotropy of quadratic forms over function fields in one variable over p-adic fields*, *Publ. de I.H.É.S.* **88** (1998), 129–150.

- [PS2] Parimala, R. and Suresh, V., *The  $u$ -invariant of the function fields of  $p$ -adic curves*, arxiv:0708.3128v1
- [Po] Pourchet, Y., *Sur la représentation en somme de carrés des polynômes à une indéterminée sur un corps de nombres algébriques*, *Acta Arith.*, Polska Akademia Nauk. Instytut Matematyczny. *Acta Arithmetica*, **19**, (1971), 89–104.
- [RST] Rowen, L.H., Sivatski, A.S., Tignol, J.-P., *Division algebras over rational function fields in one variable*, in *Algebra and Number Theory*, 158 - 180, Hindustan Book Agency, Dheli (2005).
- [Sa] Saltman, D., *Division algebras over  $p$ -adic curves with an appendix by William Jacob and J.-P. Tignol*, *Journal of Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **12**, pp 25 - 47, (1997).
- [S] Scharlau, W., *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Springer-Verlag **270** (1985).
- [Se] Serre, J-P., *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag (1997).
- [V] Vishik, A., *Fields of  $u$ -invariant  $2^r + 1$* . *Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry - Manin Festschrift*, Birkhauser, (2007), in press.